Tôhoku Math. Journ. Vol. 19, No. 2, 1967

# ON PROPERTY P OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

#### JÔSUKE HAKEDA

(Received February 20, 1967)

Many results are known for the unitary invariants of von Neumann algebras, but, unfortunately, very little is known for algebraic one, especially for continuous von Neumann algebras, even in the case of factors. Recently, J.Schwartz has shown the existence of the new factor of type II<sub>1</sub> ([1]), by introducing a new property, called property P. The definition of property Pis not given in the algebraic form, however, it is very suggestive that the isomorphic images of known factors with property P have also property P. The purpose of this paper is to show that property P is actually an algebraic invariant, i.e. invariant under isomorphism.

1. We shall start with our key lemma in rather general form. Let E be a Banach space which is the conjugate space of a Banach space and G a uniformly bounded group of continuous linear transformations on E with respect to the weak\* topology. Let  $E_0$  be the subset of E whose elements are G-invariant, i.e.  $E_0 = \{x \in E : sx = x \text{ for all } s \in G\}$ . By  $\mathfrak{G}$ , we shall denote the set of all non-negative real valued function f on G such that f(s) = 0 for  $s \in G$ 

with finite numbers of exceptions and  $\sum_{s \in G} f(s) = 1$ .  $\widetilde{f(x)}$  will be defined as

follows:  $\widetilde{f}(x) = \sum_{s \in G} f(s)sx$ . For arbitrary  $x \in E$ ,  $K_x$  will denote the closure of the

set of all f(x) for  $f \in \mathfrak{G}$  with respect to the weak\* topology. Then  $K_x$  is compact with respect to the weak\* topology. Hereafter, we use the weak\* topology in the argument on E without any proviso.

LEMMA 1.1. If there exists a directed sequence  $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\widetilde{f}_{\alpha}(x)$ converges to some  $\tau(x) \in K_x$  for every  $x \in E$ , then, for arbitrary  $h \in \mathfrak{G}$ , there exists a directed sequence  $(h_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)$  converges to  $\widetilde{h}(\tau(x))$  for every  $x \in E$ .

PROOF. We define the directed sequence  $(h_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathfrak{G}$  as follows:

$$h_{\alpha}(r) = \sum_{s \in G} h(s) f_{\alpha}(s^{-1}r) \text{ for } r \in G.$$

By the continuity of every elment of G,  $\sum_{r \in G} f_{\alpha}(s^{-1}r)rx$  converges to  $s\tau(x) \in K_x$ .

Therefore

$$\sum_{r \in \mathcal{G}} h_{\alpha}(r)rx = \sum_{r,s \in \mathcal{G}} h(s)f_{\alpha}(s^{-1}r)rx = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{G}} h(s)\sum_{r \in \mathcal{G}} f_{\alpha}(s^{-1}r)rx$$
$$\sum h(s)s\tau(x). \qquad q. e. d.$$

coverges to  $\sum_{s \in G} h(s) s \tau(x)$ .

LEMMA 1.2. If, for every  $x \in E$ , there exists a directed sequence  $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathfrak{S}$ such that  $\widetilde{f}_{\alpha}(x)$  converges to some element of  $E_0$ , then there exists a directed sequence  $(g_{\beta})_{\beta \in B} \subset \mathfrak{S}$  such that  $\widetilde{g}_{\beta}(x)$  converges to some element of  $E_0$ for every  $x \in E$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \{\widetilde{f} = (\widetilde{f}(x))_{x \in E} : f \in \mathfrak{F}\}, \overline{\mathfrak{F}}$  the closure in  $\prod_{x \in E} K_x$  and  $\mathfrak{T}_x = (K_x \cap E_0) \times \left(\prod_{y \neq x} K_y\right)$ .

(i) We shall show \$\overline{F} ∩ \$\mathbb{L}\_{x\_0} \Rightarrow \$\vec{\mathbb{G}}\$ for every \$x\_0 \in E\$. From the hypothesis, there exists a directed sequence \$\vec{(f\_a)\_{a \in 4}}\$ \sigma \$\vec{\mathbb{G}}\$ such that \$\tilde{f}\_a(x\_0)\$ converges to some element of \$E\_0\$. Since \$\prod\_{x \in E}\$ K\_x\$ is compact, \$(\tilde{f}\_a)\_{a \in 4} < \$\prod\_{x \in E}\$ K\_x, and \$(\overline{\Phi}\_a \cdots \Delta\_a = \$\vec{\beta}\_{\beta} : \beta \geta \beta)\_{a \in 4}\$ has the finite intersection property, we have \$\beta\_{a \in 4}\$ \$\vec{\Phi}\_a \Rightarrow \$\vec{\mathbb{G}}\_a \Rightarrow \$\vec{\mathbf{G}}\_a \Rightarrow \$\vec{\mathbf{G

 $\lim_{x \in E} K_x, \text{ which is the conclusion of our lemma. We shall prove this by mathe$  $matical induction. Take arbitrary finite set <math>\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset E$  and assume that  $\bigcap_{i < n} (\overline{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{T}_{x_i}) \neq \emptyset$ . For an element  $\tau_1 \in \bigcap_{i < n} (\overline{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{T}_{x_i})$ , there exists a directed sequence  $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathfrak{E}$ , such that  $\widetilde{f_{\alpha}}(\tau_1(x_n))$  converges to some element of  $E_0$ . Let  $\tau_{\alpha} = (\widetilde{f_{\alpha}}(\tau_1(x)))_{x \in E}$ , then  $\tau_{\alpha} \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ , because of Lemma 1.1. By the same reason as in (i), we can assume that  $(\tau_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  is convergent. Let  $\tau_{\alpha}$  converges

#### J. HAKEDA

to  $\tau_2 \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ , then  $\tau_2(x_n) \in E_0$  and  $\tau_2(x_i) = \tau_1(x_i)$  for i < n, i.e.  $\tau_2 \in \bigcap_{i \le n} (\overline{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{T}_{x_i})$  Therefore the family  $\{\overline{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{T}_x : x \in E\}$  has the finite intersection property. q. e. d.

2. Now, we consider a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . By  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ , we shall denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $\mathfrak{A}'$  be the commutant of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . It is said that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has property P, when the weakly closed convex hull of the set  $\{UTU^* : U \in \mathfrak{A} U \text{ unitary}\}$  has a non-void intersection with  $\mathfrak{A}'$  for every  $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ .

By  $K(T, \mathfrak{A})$ , we shall denote the weakly closed convex hull of the set  $\{UTU^*: U \in \mathfrak{A} \ U \text{ unitary}\}.$ 

PROPOSITION 2.2. Property P is invariant under ampliation.

PROOF. Let  $\Re$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $T = (T_{\iota\kappa})_{\iota,\kappa\in I}$  be a matrix representation of  $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{K})$ , where  $T_{\iota,\kappa} \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ . For any unitary operator  $U \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ , we define the transformation  $\overline{U}$  on  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$  as follows:

$$\overline{U}: S \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \longrightarrow USU^* \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H}).$$

Let  $E = \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ ,  $G\{\overline{U}: U \in \mathfrak{A} \ U$  unitary}, then  $E_0 = \mathfrak{A}'$ . We consider the  $\mathfrak{G}$ , as in section 1, about the group G. There exists a directed sequence  $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \subset \mathfrak{G}$ , such that  $f_{\alpha}(T_{\iota \kappa})$  converges weakly to some  $T'_{\iota \kappa} \in \mathfrak{A}'$  for every  $\iota, \kappa \in I$  from Lemma 1.2. We shall define the function  $F_{\alpha}$  over the group  $\{\overline{U \otimes I}: \overline{U} \in G\}$  such as

$$F_{\alpha}(\overline{U \otimes I}) = f_{\alpha}(\overline{U}) \text{ for every } f_{\alpha}, \text{ and}$$

$$\widetilde{F}_{\alpha}(T) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{G}} F_{\alpha}(\overline{U \otimes I}) \overline{U \otimes I} T \text{ for the } F_{\alpha} \text{ and } T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{K}).$$

$$= \widetilde{F}_{\alpha}(T) = (\widetilde{F}_{\alpha}(T)) = (\widetilde{F}_{\alpha}(T))$$

Then  $F_{\alpha}(T) = (f_{\alpha}(T_{\iota \kappa}))_{\iota,\kappa \in I} (F_{\alpha}(T))_{\alpha \in A} \subset K(T, \mathfrak{A} \otimes I)$ . By compactness of  $K(T, \mathfrak{A} \otimes I)$ with respect to the weak topology, we can assume  $(\widetilde{F_{\alpha}}(T))_{\alpha \in A}$  convergent by the same reason as in the proof of (i) in Lemma 1.2. Therefore  $\widetilde{F_{\alpha}}(T) = (\widetilde{f_{\alpha}}(T_{\iota \kappa}))_{\iota,\kappa \in I} \subset K(T, \mathfrak{A} \otimes I) \cap \mathfrak{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{R})$ . q.e.d.

Using Proposition 2.2, we obtain the following

PROPOSITION 2.3. If  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  have property P, then  $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$  has the same property.

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{B}$ ) act on  $\mathfrak{H}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{R}$ ),  $\mathfrak{A} \otimes I$  and  $I \otimes \mathfrak{B}$  have property P

240

from Proposition 2.2. Hence, for an operator  $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{R})$ ,  $K(T, \mathfrak{A} \otimes I) \cap (\mathfrak{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{R})) \models \phi$ , and for  $T' \in K(T, \mathfrak{A} \otimes I) \cap (\mathfrak{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{R}))$ ,

### $K(T', I \otimes \mathfrak{B}) \cap (\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathfrak{B}) \neq \phi.$

On the other hand,  $I \otimes \mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{R})$  implies  $K(T', I \otimes \mathfrak{B}) \cap \mathfrak{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{R})$  because of  $UT'U^* \in \mathfrak{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{R})$  for any unitary operator  $U \in I \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ . Therefore, there exists  $T_0 \in K(T, I \otimes \mathfrak{B}) \cap (\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathfrak{B}')$  such that  $T_0 \in \mathfrak{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{R})$ . Since  $K(T, I \otimes \mathfrak{B}) \subset K(T, \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$  and  $(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})' = (\mathfrak{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{R})) \cap (\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathfrak{B}'), T_0 \in K(T, \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}) \cap (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})'$ . q.e.d.

DEFINITION 2.4. The algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_E$  is called induction of the von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , where E is the projection operator of  $\mathfrak{A}'$ .

For the case of the induction, the invariability of property P is obtained as follows.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Property P is invariant under induction.

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a von Neumann algebra on  $\mathfrak{H}$  with property P, and  $\mathfrak{A}_{\underline{E}}(E \in \mathfrak{A}')$  its induction. Then, for any  $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ , there exists a directed sequence  $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathfrak{E}$  such that  $\widetilde{f}_{\alpha}(T)$  converges weakly to  $T \in \mathfrak{A}'$ . We define the function  $F_{\alpha}$  such that  $F_{\alpha}(\overline{V}) = \sum f_{\alpha}(\overline{U})$ , where V is a unitary operator of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\underline{E}}$  and  $\overline{U}$  runs over the set  $\{\overline{U}: U \in \mathfrak{A} \ U \text{ unitary}, \ V = (\underline{EUE})_{\underline{E}}\}$ . Then  $\widetilde{F}_{\alpha}(T_{\underline{E}})$  converges weakly to some element of  $(\mathfrak{A}')_{\underline{E}} = (\mathfrak{A}_{\underline{E}})'$ . q.e.d.

THEOREM 2.6. Property P is invariant under isomorphism.

PROOF. Combining Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.5 and the structure theorem of ismorphism of von Neumann algebras [2: Chap. I, §4, 4 Thm.3], we get the theorem. q.e.d.

Still some questions remain open about property P. What is the algebraic version of property P? Is there any difference between property P and hyper-finiteness of factors of type II<sub>1</sub>? etc.

On the first question, we have not yet complete version. But we have made some steps with slite extended sense, which will appear later. Finally, I thank Professor M.Fukamiya and Dr. J.Tomiyama for several helpful conversations on the subject of this paper.

# J. HAKEDA

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J. SCHWARTZ, Two finite, non-hyperfinite, non-isomorphic factors, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 16(1963), 19-26.
- [2] J. DIXMIER, Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertienne, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1957.

Mathematical Institute, Tôhoku University, Sendai, Japan.