

A PROOF OF CARTAN'S THEOREMS A AND B

YUM-TONG SIU

(Received December 13, 1967)

In this note we give a new proof of the following theorems of Cartan :

THEOREM A. *If \mathfrak{F} is a coherent analytic sheaf on a (reduced) Stein space X , then $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{F})$ generates \mathfrak{F}_x for all $x \in X$.*

THEOREM B. *If \mathfrak{F} is a coherent analytic sheaf on a Stein space X , then $H^p(X, \mathfrak{F}) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$.*

The known proofs of these theorems depend on one of the following : (i) Cartan's Lemma of invertible holomorphic matrices ([2], [3]), (ii) methods of partial differential equations ([5]), and (iii) methods of differential geometry ([1]). In the proof here essentially we make use only of Dolbeault's Lemma (I.D.3, [4]) and Schwartz's Theorem (App.B, 12, [4]). Theorem B is first proved and then Theorem A is derived from it.

NOTATIONS. ${}_n\mathcal{D}$ = the structure-sheaf of the complex n -space C^n . For $r > 0$, B_r^n or B_r denotes the ball in C^n with radius r and centered at the origin. The boundary of a set E in C^n is denoted by ∂E . Suppose $g = (g_1, \dots, g_p)$ is a p -tuple of complex-valued functions defined on a set K . Then $\|g\|_K$ denotes $\sup\{|g_i(x)| \mid 1 \leq i \leq p, x \in K\}$. If \mathcal{U} is an open covering of a topological space, then $N(\mathcal{U})$ denotes the nerve of \mathcal{U} .

DEFINITION 1. Suppose $\gamma_i < \delta_i$ and $\delta_i > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. The domain $\{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in C^n \mid \gamma_i < |z_i| < \delta_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is called a *polyannulus*.

In this definition γ_i can be negative. Hence a polydisc is a polyannulus.

DEFINITION 2. Suppose p_j , $1 \leq j \leq n+r$, are polynomials on C^n such that $p_i = z_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose $\alpha_j < \beta_j$ and $\beta_j > 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n+r$. The domain $D = \{z \in C^n \mid \alpha_j < |p_j(z)| < \beta_j, 1 \leq j \leq n+r\}$ is called a *polynomial polyannulus*. Suppose (k_1, \dots, k_{n+r}) is a permutation of $(1, \dots, n+r)$ such that $\alpha_{k_j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $\alpha_{k_j} < 0$ for $m < j \leq n+r$. The polynomials p_{k_j} , $1 \leq j \leq m$, are called *essential defining polynomials* for D .

Trivial modifications of the proofs of I.D.1, 2, 3 in [4] give us :

- (1) Suppose P is a polyannulus in C^n . If $q > 0$ and ω is a C^∞ $\bar{\partial}$ -closed

$(0, q)$ -form on a neighborhood of P^- , then there is a C^∞ $(0, q-1)$ -form η on P such that $\bar{\partial}\eta = \omega$.

By using (1) instead of I.D.3, [4], we can easily modify the proof of I.F.5, [4] to obtain:

- (2) Suppose D is a polynomial polyannulus in C^n . If $q > 0$ and ω is a C^∞ $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, q)$ -form on a neighborhood of D^- , then there is a C^∞ $(0, q-1)$ -form η on D such that $\bar{\partial}\eta = \omega$.

By using (2) instead of I.F.5, [4], we can easily modify the proof of I.F.8, [4] to obtain:

- (3) Suppose D is a polynomial polyannulus and p_k , $1 \leq k \leq m$, are essential defining polynomials for D . Let $G = \{z \in C^n \mid p_k(z) \neq 0, 1 \leq k \leq m\}$. Then any holomorphic function on D can be approximated uniformly on compact subsets of D by holomorphic functions on G .

DEFINITION 3. Suppose \mathfrak{F} is a coherent analytic sheaf on a σ -compact complex space (X, \mathfrak{D}) and K is a compact subset of X . Suppose $\varphi: \mathfrak{D}^p \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ is a sheaf-epimorphism such that φ induces an epimorphism $\tilde{\varphi}: \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{D}^p) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{F})$. For $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{F})$, $\|f\|_K^p$ is defined as $\inf\{\|g\|_K \mid g \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{D}^p), \tilde{\varphi}(g) = f\}$.

LEMMA 1. Under the assumptions of Def. 3, the norms $\{\|\cdot\|_K^p \mid K \text{ is a compact subset of } X\}$ define a Fréchet space topology in $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{F})$.

PROOF. Let $\mathfrak{K} = \text{Ker } \varphi$. $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{K})$ is a closed subspace of the Fréchet space $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{D}^p)$ with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets (cf. VIII.A. 2, [4]). The surjectivity of $\tilde{\varphi}$ implies that the topology defined by the norms $\|\cdot\|_K^p$ in $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{F})$ is identical with the quotient topology induced by $\tilde{\varphi}$ and that the quotient topology is a Fréchet space topology. q.e.d.

This Fréchet space topology of $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{F})$ is independent of the choice of φ and hence is canonical.

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose $\varphi^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi^{(m)}$ are real-valued C^∞ functions on C^n satisfying:

$$(*) \quad |\varphi_{ij}^{(k)}(z)| < (6n^2)^{-1} \text{ and } |\varphi_{ij}^{(k)}(z) - \delta_{ij}| < (3n^2)^{-1}$$

for $z \in C^n$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and $1 \leq k \leq m$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta,

$$\varphi_{ij}^{(k)} = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^{(k)}}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}, \text{ and } \varphi_{ij}^{(k)} = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^{(k)}}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}. \text{ Suppose } D = \{z \in C^n \mid \varphi^{(k)}(z) < 0, 1 \leq k \leq m\}$$

is a bounded domain. Then $H^p(D, \mathcal{E})=0$ for $p \geq 1$.

PROOF. First we prove that

(4) for $z^0=(z_1^0, \dots, z_n^0) \in \partial D$, there exists a polynomial f such that $f(z^0)=0$ and f is nowhere zero on D .

Fix $z^0 \in \partial D$. Then $\varphi^{(k)}(z^0)=0$ for some k . Define a polynomial $f(z)$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi^{(k)}}{\partial z_i}(z^0)(z_i - z_i^0)$. Then $\varphi^{(k)}(z)=2\text{Re}(f(z) + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \varphi_{ij}^{(k)}(z^*)(z_i - z_i^0)(z_j - z_j^0))$
 $+ \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \varphi_{ij}^{(k)}(z^*)(z_i - z_i^0)\overline{(z_j - z_j^0)}$ for some z^* depending on z . (*) implies that
 $\varphi^{(k)}(z) \geq 2\text{Re}f(z) + \frac{1}{3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |z_i - z_i^0|^2 \right)$. Hence f is nowhere zero on D .

Construct open subsets P_k of D , $1 \leq k < \infty$, such that (i) P_k is a union of topological components of a polynomial polyannulus whose essential defining polynomials are nowhere zero on D , (ii) $P_k \subset \subset P_{k+1}$, and (iii) $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k = D$. This is possible by (4).

Now by using (2) and (3) we can complete the proof in almost the same way as the proof of I.D.5, [4]. q.e.d.

COROLLARY. Suppose \mathfrak{F} is a coherent analytic sheaf on D admitting a finite free resolution. Then $H^p(D, \mathfrak{F})=0$ for $p \geq 1$.

PROPOSITION 2. Suppose \mathfrak{F} is a coherent analytic sheaf defined on an open neighborhood G of B_r in \mathbb{C}^n . Then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F}) < \infty$ for $p \geq 1$.

PROOF. Choose in \mathbb{C}^n balls $U_k \subset \subset V_k \subset \subset G$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, such that (i) $\partial B_r \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m U_k$, and (ii) \mathfrak{F} admits a finite free resolution on V_k . Let ψ_k be a C^∞ non-negative function on \mathbb{C}^n such that $\psi_k \equiv 0$ outside V_k and $\psi_k > 0$ on U_k , $1 \leq k \leq m$. Let $\varphi^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2 - r^2$. Choose positive numbers λ_k , $1 \leq k \leq m$, so small that $\varphi^{(k)} = \varphi^{(0)} - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \psi_i$ satisfies (*) for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$. Let $D_k = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \varphi^{(k)}(z) < 0\}$, $0 \leq k \leq m$. Then $D_0 = B_r \subset \subset D_m$, $D_k = D_{k-1} \cup (D_k \cap V_k)$, and $D_{k-1} \cap V_k = D_{k-1} \cap (D_k \cap V_k)$. By Cor. to Prop. 1, $H^p(D_{k-1} \cap V_k, \mathfrak{F})=0$ for $p \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$. From the exactness of the Mayor-Vietoris sequence $H^p(D_k, \mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow H^p(D_{k-1}, \mathfrak{F}) \oplus H^p(D_k \cap V_k, \mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow H^p(D_{k-1} \cap V_k, \mathfrak{F})$, we conclude that $H^p(D_k, \mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow H^p(D_{k-1}, \mathfrak{F})$ is surjective for $p \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$. Hence

(5) the restriction map $H^p(D_m, \mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F})$ is surjective, $p \geq 1$.

Choose two finite collections of balls in G , $\{\tilde{U}_j^i\}_{j=1}^l$, $i=1, 2$, such that (i) $\tilde{U}_j^1 \subset \subset \tilde{U}_j^2$, (ii) $B_r \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^l \tilde{U}_j^1$, (iii) $D_m \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^l \tilde{U}_j^2$, and (iv) on \tilde{U}_j^2 we have a sheaf-epimorphism $\xi_j: {}_n\mathcal{D}^{p_0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ which is part of a finite free resolution. Let $U_j^1 = \tilde{U}_j^1 \cap B_r$, $U_j^2 = \tilde{U}_j^2 \cap D_m$, and $\mathfrak{U}_i = \{U_j^i\}_{j=1}^l$, $i=1, 2$. Fix $p \geq 1$. Since $H^l(U_{j_0}^i \cap \cdots \cap U_{j_a}^i, \text{Ker } \xi_{j_0}) = 0$ by Cor. to Prop. 1, the map $\Gamma(U_{j_0}^i \cap \cdots \cap U_{j_a}^i, {}_n\mathcal{D}^{p_0}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U_{j_0}^i \cap \cdots \cap U_{j_a}^i, \mathfrak{F})$ induced by ξ_{j_0} is surjective for $l \geq j_0, \dots, j_a \geq 1$ and $i=1, 2$. By Lemma 1 $\Gamma(U_{j_0}^i \cap \cdots \cap U_{j_a}^i, \mathfrak{F})$ has a canonical Fréchet space topology. $Z^p(N(\mathfrak{U}_i), \mathfrak{F})$, $i=1, 2$, and $C^{p-1}(N(\mathfrak{U}_1), \mathfrak{F})$ can be given Fréchet space structures canonically. Let $\rho: Z^p(N(\mathfrak{U}_2), \mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow Z^p(N(\mathfrak{U}_1), \mathfrak{F})$ be the restriction map and $\delta: C^{p-1}(N(\mathfrak{U}_1), \mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow Z^p(N(\mathfrak{U}_1), \mathfrak{F})$ be the coboundary map. Since $H^s(U_{j_0}^i \cap \cdots \cap U_{j_a}^i, \mathfrak{F}) = 0$ for $s \geq 1, i=1, 2, l \geq j_0, \dots, j_a \geq 1$ by Cor. to Prop.1, $H^p(N(\mathfrak{U}_1), \mathfrak{F}) \approx H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F})$ and $H^p(N(\mathfrak{U}_2), \mathfrak{F}) \approx H^p(D_m, \mathfrak{F})$. By (5) $\rho \oplus \delta: Z^p(N(\mathfrak{U}_2), \mathfrak{F}) \oplus C^{p-1}(N(\mathfrak{U}_1), \mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow Z^p(N(\mathfrak{U}_1), \mathfrak{F})$ defined by $(\rho \oplus \delta)(a \oplus b) = \rho(a) + \delta(b)$ is surjective. Since $U_j^1 \subset \subset U_j^2$, the map $\rho \oplus 0: Z^p(N(\mathfrak{U}_2), \mathfrak{F}) \oplus C^{p-1}(N(\mathfrak{U}_1), \mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow Z^p(N(\mathfrak{U}_1), \mathfrak{F})$ defined by $(\rho \oplus 0)(a \oplus b) = \rho(a)$ is compact. By Schwartz Theorem (App.B, 12, [4]), $0 \oplus \delta = \rho \oplus \delta - \rho \oplus 0$ has finite-dimensional cokernel. Hence δ has finite-dimensional cokernel. $\dim_c H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F}) < \infty$. q.e.d.

PROPOSITION 3. *Under the assumption of Prop. 2, $H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F}) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$.*

PROOF. By shrinking G , w.l.o.g. we can assume $\dim \text{Supp } \mathfrak{F} < \infty$. Fix $p \geq 1$. Use induction on $\dim \text{Supp } \mathfrak{F}$. The case $\dim \text{Supp } \mathfrak{F} = 0$ is trivial. Suppose the proposition is true for $\dim \text{Supp } \mathfrak{F} < d$. Now assume $\dim \text{Supp } \mathfrak{F} = d > 0$. Let $\text{Supp } \mathfrak{F} = (\cup_{i \in I} X_i) \cup (\cup_{j \in J} X_j)$ be the decomposition into irreducible branches, where $\dim X_i < d$ and $\dim X_j = d$. Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the projection $\pi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_1$. After a linear coordinates transformation in \mathbb{C}^n we can assume that no X_j is contained in $\pi^{-1}(a)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Let M be the set of entire functions on \mathbb{C} . Take $f \in M - \{0\}$. Let $\varphi_f: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ be the sheaf-homomorphism defined by multiplication by $f \circ \pi$ and let $\mathfrak{K}_f = \text{Ker } \varphi_f$ and $\mathfrak{L}_f = \text{Coker } \varphi_f$. Then $\dim \text{Supp } \mathfrak{K}_f < d$ and $\dim \text{Supp } \mathfrak{L}_f < d$. By induction hypothesis

$$(6) \quad H^q(B_r, \mathfrak{K}_f) = H^q(B_r, \mathfrak{L}_f) = 0 \quad \text{for } q \geq 1.$$

The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}_f \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}/\mathfrak{K}_f \rightarrow 0$ (where α is the inclusion) implies that $H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F}/\mathfrak{K}_f)$ by (6). The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}/\mathfrak{K}_f$

$\xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{F} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_f \longrightarrow 0$ (where β is induced by φ_f) implies that $H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F}/\mathfrak{R}_f) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F})$ by (6). Hence φ_f induces an isomorphism

$$(7) \quad \varphi_f^* : H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F}).$$

Suppose $0 \neq \omega \in H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F})$. Define $\Phi : M \rightarrow H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F})$ by $\Phi(f) = \varphi_f^*(\omega)$ for $f \in M - \{0\}$ and $\Phi(0) = 0$. Then Φ is a linear injection by (7). $\dim_c H^p(B_r, \mathfrak{F}) \geq \dim_c M = \infty$, contradicting Prop.2. q.e.d.

A proof similar to [6] gives us

COROLLARY 1. *Under the assumption of Prop.2, \mathfrak{F} is generated on B_r by $\Gamma(B_r, \mathfrak{F})$.*

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose \mathfrak{F} is a coherent analytic sheaf on a Stein space X and G is a relatively compact open subset of X . Then \mathfrak{F} is generated on G by $\Gamma(G, \mathfrak{F})$.*

PROOF. Follows from the fact that some open neighborhood of G in X is biholomorphic to a subvariety of a ball in a complex number space. q.e.d.

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose D is an open subset of a Stein space (X, \mathfrak{D}) and $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is holomorphic such that (i) for some open neighborhood G of D φ maps G biholomorphically onto a subvariety of some open subset H of \mathbb{C}^n and (ii) $\varphi(D)$ is a subvariety in a ball B_r in H . Then $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{D})$ is dense in $\Gamma(D, \mathfrak{D})$ with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets.*

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{J} be the ideal-sheaf of $\varphi(G)$ on H . Since $H^1(B_r, \mathfrak{J}) = 0$, the natural map: $\Gamma(B_r, \mathfrak{D}) \rightarrow \Gamma(B_r, \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{J}) (\cong \Gamma(D, \mathfrak{D}))$ is surjective. This means that the map $\alpha : \Gamma(B_r, \mathfrak{D}) \rightarrow \Gamma(D, \mathfrak{D})$ induced by $\varphi|_D$ is surjective. Let $\beta : \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathfrak{D}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{D})$ be induced by φ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathfrak{D}) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{D}) \\ \rho \downarrow & & \sigma \downarrow \\ \Gamma(B_r, \mathfrak{D}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Gamma(D, \mathfrak{D}) \end{array}$$

is commutative, where ρ and σ are restriction maps. Since $\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathfrak{D})$ is dense in $\Gamma(B_r, \mathfrak{D})$ (I.F.9, [4]), $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{D})$ is dense in $\Gamma(D, \mathfrak{D})$. q.e.d.

PROOF OF CARTAN'S THEOREM B. Suppose \mathfrak{F} is a coherent analytic sheaf on a Stein space (X, \mathfrak{D}) . We construct open subsets X_k and holomorphic maps $\varphi^{(k)}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n_k}, 1 \leq k < \infty$, such that (i) $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k$, (ii) $X_k \subset \subset X_{k+1}$, (iii) $\varphi^{(k)}$ maps X_{k+1} biholomorphically onto a subvariety of an open subset of \mathbb{C}^{n_k} , and (iv) $\varphi^{(k)}(X_k)$ is a subvariety in a ball of \mathbb{C}^{n_k} . By Cor.2 to Prop.3, there exist sheaf-epimorphisms $\psi^{(k)}: \mathfrak{D}^{r_k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ on X_k for $k \geq 1$. By Prop. 3, $H^1(X_k, \text{Ker } \psi^{(k+s)})=0$ for $k \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$. Hence

$$(8) \quad \tilde{\psi}_{k,s}: \Gamma(X_k, \mathfrak{D}^{r_{k+s}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X_k, \mathfrak{F}) \text{ induced by } \psi^{(k+s)} \text{ is surjective for } k \geq 1 \text{ and } s \geq 1.$$

By Lemma 1 $\Gamma(X_k, \mathfrak{F})$ has a canonical Fréchet space structure for $k \geq 1$. For $k \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(X_{k+s}, \mathfrak{D}^{r_{k+s+1}}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(X_k, \mathfrak{D}^{r_{k+s+1}}) \\ \tilde{\psi}_{k+s,1} \downarrow & & \tilde{\psi}_{k,s+1} \downarrow \\ \Gamma(X_{k+s}, \mathfrak{F}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(X_k, \mathfrak{F}) \end{array}$$

is commutative, where the horizontal maps are restriction maps. By (8) and Cor. 3 to Prop. 3,

$$(9) \quad \Gamma(X_{k+s}, \mathfrak{F}) \text{ is dense in } \Gamma(X_k, \mathfrak{F}) \text{ for } k \geq 1 \text{ and } s \geq 1.$$

By Prop. 3 $H^p(X_k, \mathfrak{F})=0$ for $p \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$. Let $\mathfrak{X}^{(k)} = \{X_m\}_{m=1}^k$ for $k \geq 1$, and $\mathfrak{X} = \{X_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$. Then $H^p(N(\mathfrak{X}^{(k)}), \mathfrak{F})=0$ for $k \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$, and $H^p(X, \mathfrak{F}) \simeq H^p(N(\mathfrak{X}), \mathfrak{F})$ for $p \geq 1$.

Fix $q \geq 1$ and $\sigma \in Z^q(N(\mathfrak{X}), \mathfrak{F})$. Let $\sigma^{(k)} = \sigma|N(\mathfrak{X}^{(k)})$. Then $\sigma^{(k)} = \delta\alpha^{(k)}$ for some $\alpha^{(k)} \in C^{q-1}(N(\mathfrak{X}^{(k)}), \mathfrak{F})$. $\alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(k-1)} \in Z^{q-1}(N(\mathfrak{X}^{(k-1)}), \mathfrak{F})$.

Case $q=1$. Construct by induction on k $\beta^{(k)} \in C^0(N(\mathfrak{X}^{(k)}), \mathfrak{F})$ such that $\delta\beta^{(k)} = \sigma^{(k)}$ and $\sup_{3 \leq j \leq k} \|\beta^{(k)} - \beta^{(k-1)}\|_{X_{j-1}}^{\psi^{(j)}} < 2^{-k}$: Choose $\beta^{(1)} = \alpha^{(1)}$. Suppose we have chosen $\beta^{(1)}, \dots, \beta^{(k-1)}$. Then $\alpha^{(k)} - \beta^{(k-1)}$ is a section of \mathfrak{F} on X_{k-1} . By (8) and (9) there exists $\tau \in \Gamma(X_k, \mathfrak{F})$ such that $\sup_{3 \leq j \leq k} \|\tau - (\alpha^{(k)} - \beta^{(k-1)})\|_{X_{j-1}}^{\psi^{(j)}} < 2^{-k}$. Set $\beta^{(k)} = \alpha^{(k)} - \tau$. The construction is complete. Define $\beta \in C^0(N(\mathfrak{X}), \mathfrak{F})$ by $\beta(X_k) = \lim_{m \geq k} \beta^{(m)}(X_k)$. It is easily verified that β is well-defined and $\delta\beta = \sigma$.

Case $q > 1$. Since $\alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(k-1)} \in Z^{q-1}(N(\mathfrak{X}^{(k-1)}), \mathfrak{F})$, there exists $\beta^{(k-1)} \in C^{q-1}(N(\mathfrak{X}^{(k-1)}), \mathfrak{F})$ such that $\delta\beta^{(k-1)} = \alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(k-1)}$ on $N(\mathfrak{X}^{(k)})$. Define $\gamma \in C^{q-1}(N(\mathfrak{X}), \mathfrak{F})$ by $\gamma = \alpha^{(k)} - \delta(\sum_{m < k} \beta^{(m)})$ on $N(\mathfrak{X}^{(k)})$. γ is well-defined and $\delta\gamma = \sigma$. q.e.d.

PROOF OF CARTAN'S THEOREM A. Follows from Theorem B by [6].
q.e.d.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ANDREOTTI AND E. VESENTINI, Les théorèmes fondamentaux de la théorie des espaces holomorphiquement complets, Séminaire Charles Ehresmann, 4(1962), 1-31.
- [2] H. CARTAN, Séminaire Henri Cartan de l'École Normale Supérieure, 1951-52.
- [3] R. C. GUNNING, On Cartan's theorems A and B in several complex variables, Annali di Mat. pura ed appl., 55(1961), 1-12.
- [4] R. C. GUNNING AND H. ROSSI, Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1965.
- [5] L. HÖRMANDER, An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1966.
- [6] Y.-T. SIU, A Note on Cartan's Theorems A and B, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 18(1967), 955-956.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME
NOTRE DAME, INDIANA, U. S. A.