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1. Let $R^{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space whose points $x$ are represented by its coordinates $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ and let $\Omega_{T} \equiv R^{n} \times(0, T)(T<+\infty)$ be a strip in the ( $n+1$ )-dimensional Euclidean half-space $R^{n} \times(0, \infty)$. Every point in $\Omega_{T}$ is denoted by $(x, t), x \in R^{n}, t \in(0, T)$.

We introduce a function space $E_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)(\lambda \in(0,1])$ which is the totality of functions $W(x, t)$ such that

$$
|W(x, t)| \leqq \mu \exp \left[\alpha\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}\right]
$$

in the closure $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$ of $\Omega_{T}$ for some positive constants $\mu$ and $\alpha$.
Consider a parabolic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u \equiv \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}+c u-\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with variable coefficients $a_{i j}\left(=a_{i j}\right), b_{i}$ and $c$ defined in $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$, where $\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j}>0$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$ for every non-zero real vector $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{n}\right)$. We assume that there exist positive constants $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$ and $\lambda \in(0,1]$ such that in $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \leqq K_{1}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{1-\lambda}|\xi|^{2}  \tag{2}\\
& \left|b_{i}\right| \leqq K_{2}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad(1 \leqq i \leqq n) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \leqq K_{3}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under these assumptions the equation (1) was treated by many authors, Krzyżański, Bodanko, Aronson, Besala and others. In particular, Bodanko [2]

[^0]proved the existence and the uniqueness of solutions $u(x, t) \in E_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ of the Cauchy problem for (1). Aronson-Besala [1] showed the existence of a fundamental solution of (1) in some strip $R^{n} \times\left(0, T^{\prime}\right)$, where $T^{\prime} \leqq T$.

In this paper, we shall deal with the behavior of solutions of the Cauchy problem of (1) for large $|x|$.
2. In the later discussion, the existence of positive function $H(x, t)$ such that $L H \leqq 0$ in $\Omega_{r}$, plays an important role. The following lemma shows the existence of such a function.

Lemma 1. Suppose that all the coefficients of the differential operator $L$ in (1) satisfy (2), (3) and (4). Let $\rho$ be a number greater than 1 . Then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\alpha}=H_{\alpha}(x, t)=\exp \left[-\alpha\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda} \rho^{\beta(\alpha) t}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies $L H_{\alpha} \leqq 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{T_{\alpha}} \equiv R^{n} \times\left[0, T_{\alpha}\right]$, where $\alpha>0, \beta(\alpha)=-\left[4 \alpha \lambda^{2} K_{1}\right.$ $\left.-4 \lambda(\lambda-1) K_{1}+2 \lambda K_{2} n+\frac{K_{3}}{\alpha} \rho\right](\log \rho)^{-1}$ and $T_{\alpha}=\min \left(T,|\beta(\alpha)|^{-1}\right)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{L H_{\alpha}}{H_{\alpha}}= & {\left[4 \alpha^{2} \lambda^{2}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{2 \lambda-2} \rho^{2 \beta(\alpha) t}-4 \alpha \lambda(\lambda-1)\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda-2} \rho^{\beta(\alpha) t}\right] \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{i} x_{j} } \\
& \quad-2 \alpha \lambda\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda-1} \rho^{\beta(\alpha) t} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i i}+b_{i} x_{i}\right)+c+\alpha\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda} \rho^{\beta(\alpha) t} \beta(\alpha) \log \rho \\
\leqq & 4 \alpha^{2} \lambda^{2} \rho^{2 \beta(\alpha) t}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda} K_{1}-4 \alpha \lambda(\lambda-1) \rho^{\beta(\alpha) t} K_{1}+2 \alpha \lambda \rho^{\beta(\alpha) t}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda} K_{2} n \\
& +K_{3}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}+\alpha\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda} \rho^{\beta(\alpha) t} \beta(\alpha) \log \rho \\
\leqq & \alpha\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda} \rho^{\beta(\alpha) t}\left[4 \alpha \lambda^{2} K_{1} \rho^{\beta(\alpha) t}-4 \lambda(\lambda-1) K_{1}+2 \lambda K_{2} n\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{K_{3}}{\alpha} \rho^{-\beta(\alpha) t}+\beta(\alpha) \log \rho\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

So, if $(x, t)$ is in $\Omega_{r_{a}}$, then the term in the bracket of the last side of the above is non-positive. Thus we have the lemma.

The following maximum principle due to Bodanko [2] will be important in the later treatment.

Lemma 2. Suppose that coefficients of $L$ in (1) satisfy (2), (3) and
$c \leqq 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$. If a usual solution $u(x, t) \in E_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ of the equation (1) fulfills $|u(x, 0)| \leqq \mu_{0}$ for a constant $\mu_{0}$, then $|u(x, t)| \leqq \mu_{0}$ throughout $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$.
3. Now we consider a usual solution $u(x, t) \in E_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ of (1). Here we assume that all the coefficients of (1) satisfy (2), (3) and (4). Let us suppose that $|u(x, 0)| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\alpha_{0}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}\right]$ for some positive constants $\mu_{0}$ and $\alpha_{0}$. We put

$$
u(x, t)=v(x, t) H_{\alpha_{0}}(x, t),
$$

where $H_{\alpha_{0}}(x, t)$ is obtained by putting $\alpha=\alpha_{0}$ in (5). Then it is obvious that

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{*} * \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{L H_{\alpha_{0}}}{H_{\alpha_{0}}} v-\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=0
$$

where $b_{i}^{*}=b_{i}-4 \alpha_{0} \lambda \rho^{\beta\left(\alpha_{0}\right) t}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}$. Lemma 1 implies that $\frac{L H_{\alpha_{0}}}{H_{\alpha_{0}}}$ $\leqq 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{r_{\alpha_{0}}}$, where $T_{\alpha_{0}}=\min \left(T,\left|\beta\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right|^{-1}\right)$ and $\beta\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=-\left[4 \alpha_{0} \lambda^{2} K_{1}-4 \lambda(\lambda-1) K_{1}\right.$ $\left.+2 \lambda K_{2} n+\frac{K_{3}}{\alpha_{0}} \rho\right](\log \rho)^{-1}$.

Further in $\bar{\Omega}_{T_{\alpha_{0}}}$ we have $\left|b_{i}{ }^{*}\right| \leqq K_{2}^{\prime}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}$ for some positive constant $K_{2}^{\prime}$ which is independent of $t$. Clearly $|v(x, 0)|=\frac{|u(x, 0)|}{\left|H_{\alpha_{0}}(x, 0)\right|} \leqq \mu_{0}$. Hence we see by Lemma 2 that $|v(x, t)| \leqq \mu_{0}$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{T_{\alpha_{0}}}$.

Therefore it holds that

$$
|u(x, t)| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\alpha_{0}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda} \rho^{\beta\left(\alpha_{0}\right) t}\right]
$$

in $\bar{\Omega}_{T_{\alpha_{0}}}$.
If $T_{\alpha_{0}}<T$, then we consider $u\left(x, T_{\alpha_{0}}\right)$ to be the initial condition of $u(x, t)$ in $R^{n} \times\left(T_{\alpha_{0}}, T\right)$ and repeat the same procedure as the above. Since

$$
\left|u\left(x, T_{\alpha_{0}}\right)\right| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\alpha_{0} \rho^{-1}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}\right]
$$

we get

$$
|u(x, t)| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\alpha_{0} \rho^{-1}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda} \rho^{\beta\left(\alpha_{0} \rho^{-1}\right) t}\right]
$$

in $R^{n} \times\left[T_{\alpha_{0}}, T_{\alpha_{0}}+T_{\alpha_{1}}\right]$, where $T_{\alpha_{1}}=\min \left(T-T_{\alpha_{0}}\left|\beta\left(\alpha_{0} \rho^{-1}\right)\right|^{-1}\right)$.
In general, if $T_{\alpha_{0}}+\cdots+T_{\alpha_{k}}<T$, then by the argument used above, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x, t)| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\alpha_{0} \rho^{-(k+1)}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda} \rho^{\beta\left(\alpha_{0} \rho^{k}(k+1) t\right.}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $R^{n} \times\left[T_{\alpha_{0}}+\cdots+T_{\alpha_{k}}, T_{\alpha_{0}}+\cdots+T_{\alpha_{k}}+T_{\alpha_{k+1}}\right]$, where

$$
T_{\alpha_{k+1}}=\min \left(T-\left(T_{\alpha_{0}}+\cdots+T_{\alpha_{k}}\right),\left|\beta\left(\alpha_{0} \rho^{-(k+1)}\right)\right|^{-1}\right)>0 .
$$

We consider the convergent series
(7) $\quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\beta\left(\alpha_{0} \rho^{-k}\right)\right|^{-1}$

$$
=\log \rho \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[4 \alpha_{0} \lambda^{2} K_{1} \rho^{-k}-4 \lambda(\lambda-1) K_{1}+2 \lambda K_{2} n+\frac{K_{3}}{\alpha_{0}} \rho^{k+1}\right]^{-1}
$$

For simplicity we put $f=4 \alpha_{0} \lambda^{2} K_{1}, g=-4 \lambda(\lambda-1) K_{1}+2 \lambda K_{2} n$, and $h=K_{3} \alpha_{0}^{-1}$. Assume now $4 f h-g^{2}>0$. The function $\left[f \rho^{-\tau}+g+h \rho^{\tau+1}\right]^{-1}$ of the real variable $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in(-\infty, \infty)$ has its maximum at $\boldsymbol{\tau}=\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}=(1 / 2) \log _{\rho}(f / h \rho)$.

First suppose that $f>h$. Then we can find $\rho_{0}(>1)$ so that if $\rho_{0}>\rho>1$, then $f / h \rho>1$, that is, $\tau_{0}>0$. Let $p$ be the non-negative integer such that $p<\tau_{0} \leqq p+1$. Then we see easily from $4 f h \rho-g^{2}>0$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (8) } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\beta\left(\alpha_{0} \rho^{-k}\right)\right|^{-1} \geqq \log \rho \int_{1}^{p} \frac{d \tau}{f \rho^{-\tau}+g+h \rho^{\tau+1}}+\log \rho \int_{p+1}^{\infty} \frac{d \tau}{f \rho^{-\tau}+g+h \rho^{\tau+1}} \\
& =\frac{2}{\sqrt{4 h \rho f-g^{2}}} \\
& \quad \times \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{4 h \rho f-g^{2}}\left[4 h \rho f-g^{2}+\left(2 h \rho^{p+1}+g\right)(2 h \rho+g)+2 h \rho\left(\rho^{p}-1\right)\left(2 h \rho^{p+2}+g\right)\right]}{\left(2 h \rho^{p+2}+g\right)\left[4 h \rho f-g^{2}+\left(2 h \rho^{p+1}+g\right)(2 h \rho+g)\right]-\left(4 h \rho f-g^{2}\right) 2 h \rho\left(\rho^{p}-1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term of the above will be denoted by $T^{*}(\rho)$, which is continuous in $\rho \in[1, \infty)$.

In the case when $f \leqq h$, we see that $f \leqq h \rho, \tau_{0} \leqq 0$ and that
(9) $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\beta\left(\alpha_{0} \rho^{-k}\right)\right|^{-1} \geqq \log \rho \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d \tau}{f \rho^{-\tau}+g+h \rho^{\tau+1}}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{4 h \rho f-g^{2}}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{4 h \rho f-g^{2}}}{2 h \rho+g}$.

The right hand side of (9) will be denoted by $T^{* *}(\rho)$, which is also continuous in $[1, \infty)$.

We put

$$
\widetilde{T}(\rho)= \begin{cases}T^{*}(\rho), & (f>h)  \tag{10}\\ T^{* *}(\rho), & (f \leqq h)\end{cases}
$$

Now we can prove the following

ThEOREM 1. Suppose that the parabolic operator $L$ in (1) satisfies the conditions (2), (3) and (4) in $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$ and that the constants $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$ appeared in (2), (3) and (4) satisfy $D=4 \lambda^{2}\left[\left(K_{2} n-2(\lambda-1) K_{1}\right)^{2}-4 K_{1} K_{3}\right]<0$. Let $u(x, t) \in E_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)(\lambda \in(0,1])$ be a usual solution of $L u=0$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{r^{*}}$ Put

$$
T_{0}=\min \left(T, \frac{2}{\sqrt{-D}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{-D}}{2 \lambda K_{2} n-4 \lambda(\lambda-1) K_{1}+2 K_{3} \alpha_{0}^{-1}}\right)
$$

If

$$
|u(x, 0)| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\alpha_{0}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}\right]
$$

for some positive constants $\mu_{0}$ and $\alpha_{0}$, then for any $t$ in the closed interval $\left[0, T^{\prime}\right]$ contained in $\left[0, T_{0}\right)$ there exists a positive constant $\alpha$ such that

$$
|u(x, t)| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\alpha^{\prime}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}\right]
$$

for any $x \in R^{n}$.
Proof. We see easily from the continuity of $\widetilde{T}(\rho)$ in $[1, \infty)$ that there exist a positive integer $N$ and a positive number $\rho(>1)$ such that

$$
T^{\prime} \leqq \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left|\beta\left(\alpha_{0} \rho^{-k}\right)\right|^{-1} .
$$

Therefore, for $\alpha^{\prime}=\max _{0 \leq k \leq N}\left(\alpha_{0} \rho^{-k+\beta\left(\alpha_{0} \rho^{-k}\right) t}\right)$, we have $|u(x, t)| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\alpha^{\prime}\left(|x|^{2}\right.\right.$ $\left.+1)^{\lambda}\right]$ at every point $(x, t) \in R^{n} \times\left[0, T^{\prime}\right]$, which proves the theorem.
4. Example. We consider a particular parabolic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+k^{2}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right) u-\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=0, \quad\left(\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}\right), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k(>0)$ is a constant. Krzyżański [3] proved the existence of the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\left(\frac{k}{2 \alpha_{0} \sin 2 k t+k \cos 2 k t}\right)^{n / 2} \exp \left[-\frac{k\left(2 \alpha_{0} \cos 2 k t-k \sin 2 k t\right)}{2\left(2 \alpha_{0} \sin 2 k t+k \cos 2 k t\right)}|x|^{2}+k^{2} t\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the above equation (11) in $R^{n} \times(0, \pi / 4 k)$ with the Cauchy data $u(x, 0)$ $=e^{-\alpha_{0}|x|^{2}}$ by using the fundamental solution, which was constructed in [4].

The solution $u(x, t)$ decays exponentially as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ if $t<(1 / 2 k) \tan ^{-1}\left(2 \alpha_{0} / k\right)$. If we put $K_{1}=1, K_{2}=0, K_{3}=k^{2}$ and $\lambda=1$ in our Theorem 1, then we get the result stated above.

As is easily seen, the solution $u(x, t)$ in (12) grows exponentially as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ provided that $t>(1 / 2 k) \tan ^{-1}\left(2 \alpha_{0} / k\right)$.
5. Recently Kusano [5] discussed the decay of solutions of the Cauchy problem of (1) for large $|x|$ under the assumptions (2), (3) and $c \leqq K_{3}^{\prime}$ for a positive constant $K_{3}^{\prime}$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$. Here we show that Kusano's result can be derived from the discussion stated above. First we prove the following :

Lemma 3. Let $u(x, t) \in E_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)(\lambda \in(0,1])$ be a usual solution of the parabolic equation (1) and the operator $L$ in (1) satisfy the conditions (2), (3), and $c \leqq 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$. If for some positive constants $\mu_{0}, \alpha_{0}$ and $\lambda \in(0,1]$

$$
|u(x, 0)| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\alpha_{0}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}\right],
$$

then there exists a positive constant $\widetilde{\alpha}=\widetilde{\alpha}\left(\alpha_{0}, T\right)$ for which

$$
|u(x, t)| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\widetilde{\alpha}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}\right]
$$

in $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$.
Proof. We put $K_{3}=0$ in (3). Then we get the divergent series

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\beta\left(\alpha_{0} \rho^{-k}\right)\right|^{-1}=\log \rho \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(4 \alpha_{0} \lambda^{2} K_{1} \rho^{-k}-4 \lambda(\lambda-1) K_{1}+2 \lambda K_{2} n\right)^{-1}
$$

instead of the convergent series (7).
So we can easily conclude the existence of a positive constant $\tilde{\alpha}$ in our lemma.

Now we can prove Kusano's result.
Theorem 2. (Kusano [5]) Assume that the parabolic operator $L$ in (1) satisfies the conditions (2), (3) and $c \leqq K_{3}^{\prime}$ for a positive constant $K_{3}^{\prime}$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$. Let $u(x, t) \in E_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)(\lambda \in(0,1])$ be a usual solution of $L u=0$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$. If

$$
|u(x, 0)| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\alpha_{0}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}\right]
$$

for some positive constants $\mu_{0}$ and $\alpha_{0}$, then $u(x, t)$ decays exponentially as $|x|$ tends to $\infty$ for any $t \in[0, T]$.

Proof. We put $v(x, t)=u(x, t) e^{-K_{s}^{\prime} t}$. Then $v(x, t)$ satisfies

$$
\sum_{i j=1}^{n} a_{i j} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}+\left(c-K_{3}^{\prime}\right) v-\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=0 .
$$

Lemma 3 implies the existence of a positive constant $\tilde{\alpha}$ such that $|v(x, t)|$ $\leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\widetilde{\alpha}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}\right]$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{r}$. Thus we see $|u(x, t)| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\widetilde{\alpha}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}\right.$ $\left.+K_{3}^{\prime} t\right]$, which proves our theorem.
6. By the similar argument to that used in $\S 3$, we can prove the following whose proof is omitted.

Theorem 3. Assume that the parabolic operator $L$ in (1) satisfies the conditions (2), (3) and

$$
c \leqq K_{3}^{\prime \prime} \log \left(|x|^{2}+1\right)+K_{3}^{\prime}, \quad\left(K_{3}^{\prime}, K_{3}^{\prime \prime}>0\right)
$$

in $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$. Let $u(x, t) \in E_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)(\lambda \in(0,1])$ be a usual solution of $L u=0$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{r}$. If

$$
|u(x, 0)| \leqq \mu_{0} \exp \left[-\alpha_{0}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}\right]
$$

for some positive constants $\mu_{0}$ and $\alpha_{0}$, then there exist positive constants $\widetilde{\mu}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}$ for which

$$
|u(x, t)| \leqq \widetilde{\mu}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{k_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}^{\prime \prime} t} \exp \left[-\widetilde{\alpha}\left(|x|^{2}+1\right)^{\lambda}\right]
$$

in $\bar{\Omega}_{T}$.
Remark. If $K_{3}^{\prime}=0$ in Theorem 3, then Theorem 3 also reduces to Kusano's result, Theorem 2.
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