

## SOME REMARKS ON SATURATION PROBLEM IN THE LOCAL APPROXIMATION II

YOSHIYA SUZUKI AND SATOSHI WATANABE

(Received August 12, 1968)

**1. Introduction.** One of the authors ([8], [9]) has considered the problem of determining the order of saturation and its class in the local approximation by a special class of linear positive operators which includes the Bernstein polynomial [4], the Szász operator [10] and the V.A.Baskakov operator [1] as particular cases. Meyer-König and Zeller [6] deal with a power series which has approximation properties similar to those of the Bernstein polynomial.

Our object of this paper is to prove the local saturation theorem about the Meyer-König and Zeller operator in the  $C$ -space.

**2. The operator of Meyer-König and Zeller.** This operator<sup>\*)</sup>

$$(1) \quad M_n(f; x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right) \binom{\nu+n}{\nu} x^{\nu}(1-x)^{n+1}$$

is constructed in correspondence with a function  $f(x) \in C[0, 1]$ . The sequence of operators  $\{M_n(f; x)\}$  converges uniformly in  $[0, 1]$  to the function  $f(x)$ , if  $f(x)$  is continuous. In this section, we shall prove the following local saturation theorem for the operator  $M_n(f; x)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *For any function  $f(x) \in C[0, 1]$ , we get :*

(i) *If*

$$(2) \quad |M_n(f; x) - f(x)| < \frac{Mx(1-x)^2}{2n}, \quad x \in [a, b] \quad (n=1, 2, \dots),$$

*then  $f(x)$  has a derivative which belongs to  $\text{Lip}_M 1$  on  $[a, b]$ .*

(ii) *If  $f'(x)$  exists and belongs to  $\text{Lip}_M 1$  on  $[a_1, b_1]$ , then*

---

<sup>\*)</sup> Actually in the definition of the operator, Meyer-König and Zeller have taken  $f\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu+n+1}\right)$  in stead of  $f\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right)$ .

$$|M_n(f; x) - f(x)| < \frac{Mx(1-x)^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \text{ uniformly on } x \in [a_2, b_2].$$

(iii) If in addition to the assumption of (i), the relation

$$M_n(f; x) - f(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

holds a.e. on  $[a_1, b_1]$ , then  $f(x)$  is linear on  $[a_1, b_1]$ , where

$$0 \leq a < a_1 < a_2 < b_2 < b_1 < b \leq 1.$$

**2.1. Auxiliary theorems.** The following theorems for linear positive operator are known by P.P.Korovkin [3], R.G.Mamedov [5] and F.Schurer [7].

**THEOREM A** (P.P.Korovkin [3]). *Let  $\{L_n(f; x)\}$  be an infinite sequence of linear positive operators, which satisfies the three conditions*

$$L_n(1; x) = 1 + \alpha_n(x),$$

$$L_n(t; x) = x + \beta_n(x),$$

$$L_n(t^2; x) = x^2 + \gamma_n(x),$$

where  $\alpha_n(x)$ ,  $\beta_n(x)$  and  $\gamma_n(x)$  being any functions uniformly tending to zero on  $[a, b]$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $L_n(f; x)$  converges uniformly on  $[a, b]$  to  $f(x)$ , if  $f(x)$  is continuous in  $[a, b]$ .

**THEOREM B** (R.G.Mamedov [5] and F.Schurer [7]). *The “weight function”  $\psi(x)$  is a bounded, twice continuously differentiable function not equal to zero on  $[a, b]$ . If the three conditions*

$$L_n(1; x) = 1, \quad x \in [a, b],$$

$$L_n(t; x) = x, \quad x \in [a, b],$$

and

$$L_n(t^2; x) = x^2 + \frac{\psi(x)}{n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \text{ uniformly on } [a, b],$$

are satisfied for a sequence of linear positive operators  $\{L_n(f; x)\}$ , which have the property

$$L_n\{(t-x)^4; x\} = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \text{ uniformly on } [a, b],$$

then for each function  $f(x) \in C^{(2)}[a, b]$ , we get

$$L_n(f; x) - f(x) = \frac{\psi(x)f''(x)}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad x \in [a, b].$$

As a slight modification of Theorem B, we have

THEOREM C. Let a sequence of linear positive operators  $\{L_n(f; x)\}$  satisfy the same conditions of Theorem B, then for each function  $f(x) \in C^{(2)}[a, b]$ , we get

$$L_n(f; x) - f(x) = \frac{\psi(x)f''(x)}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \text{uniformly on } [a_1, b_1],$$

where  $a < a_1 < b_1 < b$ .

Now let  $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$  be given. We consider the following class  $U$  of functions  $u(x)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ :  $u(x) = \psi(x)q(x)$  where  $q(x)$  is twice continuously differentiable and vanishes outside of an interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $a < \alpha < \beta < b$ . Auxiliary numbers  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) are chosen to satisfy  $a < a_1 < a_2 < \alpha < \beta < b_2 < b_1 < b$ . For the linear positive operators  $\{L_n(f; x)\}$  and  $f(x) \in C[a, b]$ , let us define the linear functional  $A_n(f)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad A_n(f) &= 2 \sum_{na < k < nb} \frac{L_n\left(f; \frac{k}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{\psi\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)} u\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{na < k < nb} \left[ L_n\left(f; \frac{k}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right] q\left(\frac{k}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We assume that for each  $u(x) \in U$ , there is an absolute constant  $K$  such that

$$|A_n(f)| \leq K \|f\|, \quad \|f\| \equiv \max_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|.$$

THEOREM D (Y. Suzuki [8]). For the operator which has the same properties as the hypothesis of Theorem B, and  $f(x) \in C[a, b]$ , we get:

(i) If there is an absolute constant  $K$  such that

$$|A_n(g)| \leq K \|g\|, \quad \text{for any } g(x) \in C[a, b],$$

and

$$|L_n(f; x) - f(x)| < \frac{M\psi(x)}{2n}, \quad x \in [a, b] \quad (n=1, 2, \dots),$$

then  $f(x)$  has a derivative which belongs to  $\text{Lip}_M 1$  on  $[a, b]$ .

(ii) If  $f'(x)$  exists and belongs to  $\text{Lip}_M 1$  on  $[a_1, b_1]$ , then

$$|L_n(f; x) - f(x)| < \frac{M\psi(x)}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \text{uniformly on } x \in [a_2, b_2].$$

(iii) If in addition to the assumption of (i), the relation

$$L_n(f; x) - f(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

holds a.e. on  $[a_1, b_1]$ , then  $f(x)$  is linear on  $[a_1, b_1]$ .

The functional,  $A_n(f)$  in (3) is used in order to consider the local saturation problem for the Baskakov operator, but it is convenient to modify the definition of  $A_n(f)$  for the Meyer-König and Zeller operator  $M_n(f, x)$ . That is, let us define a linear functional  $\tilde{A}_n(f)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad \tilde{A}_n(f) &= 2 \sum_{a < \frac{k}{k+n} < b} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \left[ \frac{L_n\left(f; \frac{k}{k+n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right)}{\psi\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right)} \right] u\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{a < \frac{k}{k+n} < b} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \left[ L_n\left(f; \frac{k}{k+n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) \right] q\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we assume momentarily that for each  $u(x) \in U$ , there is an absolute constant  $K$  such that

$$|\tilde{A}_n(f)| \leq K \|f\|, \quad \|f\| \equiv \max_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|,$$

where the constant  $K$  is independent on  $f(x)$ . This is an essential point of our proof and its proof will be given in the section 2.2. Then we have

**THEOREM 2.** *For the linear positive operators  $\{L_n(f; x)\}$  which have the same properties as the hypothesis of Theorem B, and  $f(x) \in C[a, b]$ , we get:*

(i) *If there is an absolute constant  $K$  such that*

$$|\tilde{A}_n(g)| \leq K\|g\|, \text{ for any } g(x) \in C[a, b],$$

and

$$(5) \quad |L_n(f; x) - f(x)| < \frac{M\psi(x)}{2n}, \quad x \in [a, b] \quad (n=1, 2, \dots),$$

then  $f(x)$  has a derivative which belongs to  $\text{Lip}_M 1$  on  $[a_1, b_1]$ .

(ii) If  $f'(x)$  exists and belongs to  $\text{Lip}_M 1$  on  $[a_1, b_1]$ , then

$$|L_n(f; x) - f(x)| < \frac{M\psi(x)}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \text{ uniformly on } x \in [a_2, b_2].$$

(iii) If in addition to the assumption of (i), the relation

$$L_n(f; x) - f(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

holds a.e. on  $[a_1, b_1]$ , then  $f(x)$  is linear on  $[a_1, b_1]$ .

PROOF. We have only to prove (i), for the proof of (ii) and (iii) are the same as in Theorem D (see, [8]). We shall verify the relation

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_n(g) = \int_a^b g(x)u''(x)dx, \text{ for any } g(x) \in C[a, b] \text{ and } u(x) \in U.$$

Firstly let us suppose that  $g(x) \in C^{(2)}[a, b]$ , then we get

$$(7) \quad L_n(g; x) - g(x) = \frac{\psi(x)g''(x)}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

uniformly on  $x \in [a_1, b_1]$ , for any  $g(x) \in C^{(2)}[a, b]$ .

From (4) and (7), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_n(g) &= \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} g''\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) u\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) + o(1) \\ &\longrightarrow \int_a^b g''(x)u(x)dx = \int_a^b g(x)u''(x)dx \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (6). Since  $C^{(2)}[a, b]$  is dense in  $C[a, b]$  and there is a constant  $K$  such that

$$|\tilde{A}_n(g)| \leq K\|g\|, \text{ for any } g(x) \in C[a, b],$$

the relation (6) is established for all  $g(x) \in C[a, b]$ . On the other hand, we can write

$$(8) \quad \tilde{A}_n(f) = \int_a^b u(x) d\lambda_n(x),$$

with the step function

$$\lambda_n(x) = 2 \sum \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \frac{L_n\left(f; \frac{k}{k+n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right)}{\psi\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right)},$$

where the summation runs over  $k$  such that  $a < k/(k+n) < x$ . We assume that  $f(x)$  satisfies (5) for  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then the function  $\lambda_n(x)$  has a total variation not greater than  $M$ , and an increment  $|\lambda_n(x) - \lambda_n(y)|$  does not exceed the number of points  $k/(k+n)$  in  $[x, y]$  multiplied with  $M/n$ . By Helly's theorem [11], we can extract a subsequence  $\{\lambda_{n_i}(x)\}$  which converges on  $[a, b]$  to a function  $\lambda(x)$  of bounded variation and we have

$$(9) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} A_{n_p}(f) = \int_a^b u(x) d\lambda(x).$$

From (6) and (9)

$$\int_a^b f(x) u''(x) dx = \int_a^b \Lambda(x) u''(x) dx,$$

where  $\Lambda(x)$  is an indefinite integral of  $\lambda(x)$ . Since this is true for all  $u(x) \in U$ , we have

$$f(x) = \Lambda(x) + gx + h, \quad x \in [a, b],$$

with some constants  $g$  and  $h$ . Hence  $f'(x) = \lambda(x) + g$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$ .

For the completion of the proof of (i), we have only to verify that  $\lambda(x)$  belongs to  $\text{Lip}_M 1$ , which is trivial by the definition of  $\lambda(x)$ .

## 2.2. Some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If we set*

$$F_k(\nu) = \frac{\nu-k}{\nu-k+n}, \quad G_k(\nu) = \binom{\nu-k+n}{\nu-k}, \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

*then we obtain*

$$(10) \quad F_k(\nu)G_k(\nu) = G_{k+1}(\nu),$$

$$(11) \quad F_0(\nu) = \frac{n-k}{n+k} F_k(\nu) + \frac{k}{k+n} \{1 + F_0(\nu)F_k(\nu)\}.$$

PROOF. From an easy calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(\nu)G_k(\nu) &= \frac{\nu-k}{\nu-k+n} \binom{\nu-k+n}{\nu-k} = \frac{(\nu-k-1+n)(\nu-k-2+n) \cdots (n+1)}{(\nu-k-1)(\nu-k-2) \cdots 1} \\ &= \binom{\nu-(k+1)+n}{\nu-(k+n)} = G_{k+1}(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand of (11) is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+k} [k + F_k(\nu)\{(n-k) + kF_0(\nu)\}] &= \frac{1}{n+k} \left[ k + F_k(\nu) \frac{n^2 + n\nu - kn}{\nu+n} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n+k} \left[ k + \frac{\nu-k}{\nu-k+n} \frac{n(\nu-k+n)}{\nu+n} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n+k} \left[ \frac{k(\nu+n) + n(\nu-k)}{\nu+n} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n+k} \frac{\nu(n+k)}{\nu+n} \\ &= F_0(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. *We have*

$$(12) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} F_0^2(\nu) G_0(\nu) x^{\nu} (1-x)^{n+1} = x^2 + \frac{x(1-x)^2}{n+1} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

$$(13) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} F_0^3(\nu) G_0(\nu) x^{\nu} (1-x)^{n+1} = x^3 + \frac{3x^2(1-x)^2}{n+1} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

$$(14) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} F_0^4(\nu) G_0(\nu) x^{\nu} (1-x)^{n+1} = x^4 + \frac{6x^3(1-x)^2}{n+1} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to show (12) only, for (13) and (14) are verified analogously.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} F_0^2(\nu) G_0(\nu) x^{\nu} (1-x)^{n+1} \\ &= x(1-x)^{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} F_0(\nu) G_1(\nu) x^{\nu-1} \\ &= x(1-x)^{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n-1}{n+1} F_1(\nu) + \frac{1}{n+1} \{1 + F_0(\nu) F_1(\nu)\} \right] G_1(\nu) x^{\nu-1} \\ &= \frac{n-1}{n+1} x^2 + \frac{1}{n+1} x + \frac{x^2}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} F_0(\nu) G_2(\nu) x^{\nu-2} (1-x)^{n+1} \\ &= x^2 + \frac{x}{n+1} (1-2x) + \frac{x^2}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} F_0(\nu) G_2(\nu) x^{\nu-2} (1-x)^{n+1}, \\ & \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} F_0(\nu) G_2(\nu) x^{\nu-2} (1-x)^{n+1} \\ &= (1-x)^{n+1} \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n-2}{n+2} F_2(\nu) + \frac{1}{n+2} (1 + F_0(\nu) F_2(\nu)) \right] G_2(\nu) x^{\nu-2} \\ &= \frac{n-2}{n+2} x + \frac{1}{n+2} \left[ 1 + x \sum_{\nu=3}^{\infty} F_0(\nu) G_3(\nu) x^{\nu-3} (1-x)^{n+1} \right] \\ &= x + \frac{1}{n+2} \left[ 1 + 4x + x \sum_{\nu=3}^{\infty} F_0(\nu) G_3(\nu) x^{\nu-3} (1-x)^{n+1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} F_0^2(\nu) G_0(\nu) x^{\nu} (1-x)^{n+1} = x^2 + \frac{x(1-x)^2}{n+1} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$



where we used the estimation :

$$0 < \sum_{\nu=3}^{\infty} F_0(\nu)G_3(\nu)x^{\nu-3}(1-x)^{n+1} < \sum_{\nu=3}^{\infty} G_3(\nu)x^{\nu-3}(1-x)^{n+1} = 1.$$

LEMMA 3. *It holds that*

$$M_n\{(t-x)^4; x\} = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

PROOF. Using Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_n\{(t-x)^4\} &= -M_n(t^4; x) - 4xM_n(t^3; x) + 6x^2M_n(t^2; x) - 3x^4 \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} F_0^4(\nu)G_0(\nu)x^{\nu}(1-x)^{n+1} - 4x \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} F_0^3(\nu)G_0(\nu)x^{\nu}(1-x)^{n+1} \\ &\quad + 6x^2 \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} F_0^2(\nu)G_0(\nu)x^{\nu}(1-x)^{n+1} - 3x^4 \\ &= \left\{x^4 + \frac{6x^3(1-x)^2}{n+1}\right\} - \left\{4x^4 + \frac{12x^3(1-x)^2}{n+1}\right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{6x^4 + \frac{6x^3(1-x)^2}{n+1}\right\} - 3x^4 + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. *If  $f''(x)$  exists and is bounded, we have*

$$M_n(f; x) = f(x) + \frac{f''(x)x(1-x)^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad x \in [a, b], \quad 0 \leq a < b \leq 1.$$

This holds uniformly on the interval  $[a_1, b_1]$  if  $0 \leq a < a_1 < b_1 < b \leq 1$  and if  $f(x)$  is twice continuously differentiable on  $[a, b]$ .

PROOF. This follows from Theorems B, C and Lemma 3.

LEMMA 5. *For  $0 \leq x < 1$ , let us write*

$$T_{nr}(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \{(n+1)x - (1-x)\nu\}^r p_{n\nu}(x),$$

$$T_n^*(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} |(n+1)x - (1-x)\nu| p_{n\nu}(x),$$

where  $p_{n\nu}(x) = \binom{\nu+n}{\nu} x^\nu (1-x)^{n+1}$ , then we have

$$(15) \quad T_{n0}(x) = 1, \quad T_{n1}(x) = 0, \quad T_{n2}(x) = (n+1)x$$

and

$$(16) \quad T_n^*(x) \leq \sqrt{(n+1)x}.$$

PROOF. Since  $U_{n0}(x) \equiv \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p_{n\nu}(x) = 1$ , we shall calculate the following quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{nr}(x) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \nu^r p_{n\nu}(x), \quad (r = 1, 2) \\ U_{n1}(x) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \nu \binom{\nu+n}{\nu} x^\nu (1-x)^{n+1} \\ &= x \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (\nu+n) \binom{\nu-1+n}{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} (1-x)^{n+1} \\ &= x \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \{(n+1) + (\nu-1)\} \binom{\nu-1+n}{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} (1-x)^{n+1} \\ &= (n+1)x + U_{n1}(x)x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} U_{n1}(x) &= \frac{(n+1)x}{1-x}. \\ U_{n2}(x) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \nu^2 \binom{\nu+n}{\nu} x^\nu (1-x)^{n+1} \\ &= x \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu \{(n+1) + (\nu-1)\} \binom{\nu-1+n}{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} (1-x)^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= x(n+1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu \binom{\nu-1+n}{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} (1-x)^{n+1} \\
&\quad + x \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \{1 + (\nu-1)\} (\nu-1) \binom{\nu-1+n}{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} (1-x)^{n+1} \\
&= x(n+1)(U_{n0}(x) + U_{n1}(x)) + x(U_{n1}(x) + U_{n2}(x)), \\
(1-x)U_{n2}(x) &= x(n+1) \left\{ 1 + \frac{(n+1)x}{1-x} \right\} + \frac{(n+1)x^2}{1-x} = \frac{x(n+1)(1+nx+x)}{1-x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$U_{n2}(x) = \frac{(n+1)^2 x^2 + (n+1)x}{(1-x)^2}.$$

Therefore it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{n0}(x) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p_{n\nu}(x) = U_{n0}(x) = 1, \\
T_{n1}(x) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \{(n+1)x - (1-x)\nu\} p_{n\nu}(x) \\
&= (n+1)x U_{n0}(x) - (1-x) U_{n1}(x) \\
&= (n+1)x - (n+1)x \\
&= 0, \\
T_{n2}(x) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \{(n+1)x - (1-x)\nu\}^2 p_{n\nu}(x) \\
&= (n+1)^2 x^2 U_{n0}(x) - 2(n+1)x(1-x) U_{n1}(x) + (1-x)^2 U_{n2}(x) \\
&= (n+1)^2 x^2 - 2(n+1)^2 x^2 + (n+1)^2 x^2 + (n+1)x \\
&= (n+1)x,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$T_n^*(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} |(n+1)x - (1-x)\nu| p_{n\nu}(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \{(n+1)x - (1-x)v\}^2 p_{nv}(x) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{nv}(x) \right\}^{1/2} \\
&= \sqrt{(n+1)x}.
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 6. *For given  $0 < a < b < 1$ , there exist constants  $C_r (r=1, 2)$  such that for polynomials*

$$R_n(x) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_v p_{nv}(x), \quad |a_v| \leq L,$$

one has

$$(17) \quad |R_n^{(r)}(x)| \leq C_r L (n+1)^{r/2}, \quad a \leq x \leq b.$$

PROOF. Let us set  $X = [x(1-x)]^{-1}$ . Then

$$(18) \quad p'_{nv}(x) = -\{(n+1)x - (1-x)v\} X p_{nv}(x),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(19) \quad p''_{nv}(x) &= -(n+1+v)X p_{nv}(x) + \{(n+1)x - (1-x)v\}^2 X^2 p_{nv}(x) \\
&\quad + (1-2x)\{(n+1)x - (1-x)v\} X^2 p_{nv}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

From (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(20) \quad |R'_n(x)| &\leq LX \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} |(n+1)x - (1-x)v| p_{nv}(x) \\
&\leq L \frac{\sqrt{x}}{x(1-x)} \sqrt{n+1} \\
&\leq C_1 L (n+1)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R''_n(x) &= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_v p''_{nv}(x) \\
&= -X \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_v (n+1+v) p_{nv}(x) + X^2 \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_v \{(n+1)x - (1-x)v\}^2 p_{nv}(x) \\
&\quad + (1-2x)X^2 \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_v \{(n+1)x - (1-x)v\} p_{nv}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} \{(n+1)x - (1-x)\nu\} p_{n\nu}(x) = (n+1)x \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} p_{n\nu}(x) - (1-x) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} \nu p_{n\nu}(x),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad |R_n''(x)| &\leq L \{(n+1)X + (n+1)x^2 X^2 + (n+1)xX^2 + 2(n+1)x|1-2x|X^2\} \\ &= L(n+1) \left\{ \frac{1}{x(1-x)} + \frac{1}{(1-x)^2} + \frac{1+2|1-2x|}{x(1-x)^2} \right\} \\ &\leq C_2 L(n+1). \end{aligned}$$

From (20) and (21) we obtain Lemma 6.

LEMMA 7. For arbitrary  $\delta > 0$ , there is a constant  $C(\delta)$  such that

$$(22) \quad \sum_{\left| \frac{\nu}{\nu+n} - x \right| \geq \delta} p_{n\nu}(x) \leq C(\delta)n^{-1}, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\left| \frac{\nu}{\nu+n} - x \right| \geq \delta} p_{n\nu}(x) &\leq \delta^{-2} \sum_{\left| \frac{\nu}{\nu+n} - x \right| \geq \delta} \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu+n} - x \right)^2 p_{n\nu}(x) \\ &\leq n^{-2} \delta^{-2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} [-\{(n+1)x - (1-x)\nu\} + x]^2 p_{n\nu}(x) \\ &= n^{-2} \delta^{-2} \{T_{n2}(x) - 2xT_{n1}(x) + x^2\} \\ &= n^{-1} \delta^{-2} x \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{x}{n} \right\} \leq C(\delta) \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 8. Let  $Q_n(x)$  be a sequence of twice continuously differentiable functions on  $[0, 1]$ ; (A) let the maximum  $\mu_n$  of  $|Q_n(x)|$  on the intervals  $(0, a_2)$  and  $(b_2, 1)$  be  $\mu_n = O(n^{-1})$ , and (B) the maximum  $M_n$  of  $|Q_n''(x)|$  on  $(a_1, b_1)$  be  $M_n = O(n)$ .

Then

$$(23) \quad \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} Q_n\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) - n \int_0^1 Q_n(x) dx = O(1).$$

PROOF. Let  $k_1$  be the smallest and  $k_2$  the largest value of  $k$  satisfying the condition  $a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1$ . For large  $n$ ,

$$\frac{k_1}{k_1+n} < a_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k_2}{k_2+n} > b_2.$$

For these  $n$  the difference (23) is equal to

$$(24) \quad n \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{k+1}{k+1+n} - \frac{k}{k+n} \right) \left[ Q_n \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right) + Q_n \left( \frac{k+1}{k+1+n} \right) \right] - \int_{\frac{k}{k+n}}^{\frac{k+1}{k+1+n}} Q_n(x) dx \right\},$$

with an error not exceeding  $(n+1)\mu_n = O(1)$ . The curled bracket in (24) equals to

$$\frac{1}{12} \left\{ \frac{n}{(k+1+n)(k+n)} \right\}^3 Q_n''(\xi_k), \quad \frac{k}{k+n} \leq \xi_k \leq \frac{k+1}{k+1+n},$$

by the remainder formula of the trapezoid approximation. This order is  $O(n^{-2})$ , and the order of the whole sum in (24) is  $O(1)$ .

**2.3. The proof of Theorem 1.** We can rewrite (4) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_n(f) &= 2 \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \left\{ M_n \left( f; \frac{k}{k+n} \right) - f \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right) \right\} q \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} f \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu+n} \right) p_{n\nu} \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right) - f \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right) \right\} q \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} f \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu+n} \right) \left\{ \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} p_{n\nu} \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right) q \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{n^2}{(\nu+1+n)(\nu-1+n)} q \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu+n} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the Taylor expansion for  $q(k/(k+n))$ , it follows

$$q\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) = q\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right) + \left(\frac{k}{k+n} - \frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right) q'\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k+n} - \frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right)^2 q''(\xi_{k\nu}),$$

where  $\xi_{k\nu}$  are between  $k/(k+n)$  and  $\nu/(\nu+n)$ . Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_n(f) = & 2 \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right) \left[ \left\{ \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} p_{n\nu}\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{n^2}{(\nu+1+n)(\nu-1+n)} \right\} q\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right) \right. \\ & + \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \left(\frac{k}{k+n} - \frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right) p_{n\nu}\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) q'\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right) \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \left(\frac{k}{k+n} - \frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right)^2 p_{n\nu}\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) q''(\xi_{k\nu}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q''(x)$  is bounded, the statement will follow if we can prove the three sums

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^{(1)} = & \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} p_{n\nu}\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{n^2}{(\nu+1+n)(\nu-1+n)} \right| \left| q\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right) \right|, \\ S_n^{(2)} = & \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \left(\frac{k}{k+n} - \frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right) p_{n\nu}\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) \right| \left| q'\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_n^{(3)} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \left(\frac{k}{k+n} - \frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right)^2 p_{n\nu}\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right)$$

are bounded. For the third sum we have, using the estimation (15) for  $T_{nr}(x)$  in Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned}
(25) \quad S_n^{(3)} &\leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ (n+1) \frac{k}{k+n} - \left( 1 - \frac{k}{k+n} \right) v \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{k}{k+n} \right\}^2 p_{nv} \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right) + O(1) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \left\{ T_{n2} \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right) - 2 \frac{k}{k+n} T_{n1} \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right) + \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right)^2 \right\} + O(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \left\{ (n+1) \frac{k}{k+n} - \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right)^2 \right\} + O(1) \\
&= O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $S_n^{(1)}$ , we can rewrite it in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n^{(1)} &= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} q_{nv} \left\{ \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} p_{nv} \left( \frac{k}{k+n} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{n^2}{(v+1+n)(v-1+n)} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $q_{nv} = \pm q \left( \frac{v}{v+n} \right)$  and  $q_{nv} = 0$  for  $\frac{v}{v+n} < \alpha$  or  $\frac{v}{v+n} > \beta$ . Thus the  $q_{nv}$  are bounded. If we put

$$(26) \quad Q_n(x) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} q_{nv} p_{nv}(x) = \sum_{a_1 < \frac{v}{v+n} < b_1} q_{nv} p_{nv}(x),$$

then since  $\int_0^1 p_{nv}(x) dx = \frac{n+1}{(v+1+n)(v+2+n)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
n \int_0^1 Q_n(x) dx &= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+1)}{(v+1+n)(v+2+n)} q_{nv} \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{(v+1+n)(v-1+n)} q_{nv} - \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{n(2n-v+1)}{(v+1+n)(v-1+n)(v+2+n)} q_{nv}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore



$$S_n^{(1)} = \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} Q_n\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) - n \int_0^1 Q_n(x) dx + O(1).$$

For the function (26), the condition (A) in Lemma 8 is checked by means of Lemma 7 and (B) by means of Lemma 6. Hence using Lemma 8, we get

$$(27) \quad S_n^{(1)} = O(1).$$

To estimate  $S_n^{(2)}$ , we write it in the form

$$(28) \quad S_n^{(2)} = \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \left\{ \frac{k}{k+n} \bar{Q}_n\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) - \bar{Q}_n\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) \right\},$$

where

$$\bar{Q}_n(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} q'_{\nu\nu} p_{\nu\nu}(x), \quad \bar{\bar{Q}}_n(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nu}{\nu+n} q'_{\nu\nu} p_{\nu\nu}(x),$$

$$q'_{\nu\nu} = \pm q'\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu+n}\right) \text{ and } q'_{\nu\nu} = 0 \text{ for } \frac{\nu}{\nu+n} < \alpha \text{ or } \frac{\nu}{\nu+n} > \beta.$$

Since  $\int_0^1 x p_{\nu\nu}(x) dx = \frac{(n+1)(\nu+1)}{(\nu+1+n)(\nu+2+n)(\nu+3+n)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (29) \quad & \left| \int_0^1 x \bar{Q}_n(x) dx - \int_0^1 \bar{\bar{Q}}_n(x) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} q'_{\nu\nu} \left\{ \int_0^1 x p_{\nu\nu}(x) dx - \frac{\nu}{\nu+n} \int_0^1 p_{\nu\nu}(x) dx \right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} q'_{\nu\nu} \left\{ \frac{(n+1)(\nu+1)}{(\nu+1+n)(\nu+2+n)(\nu+3+n)} - \frac{(n+1)\nu}{(\nu+1+n)(\nu+2+n)(\nu+n)} \right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} q'_{\nu\nu} \frac{(n+1)(n-2\nu)}{(\nu+n)(\nu+1+n)(\nu+2+n)(\nu+3+n)} \right| \\ &\leq \text{const.} \sum_{a_1 < \frac{\nu}{\nu+n} < b_1} \frac{1}{n^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Like the function (26), also the functions  $\bar{Q}_n(x)$  and  $\bar{\bar{Q}}_n(x)$  satisfy the conditions (A) and (B) of Lemma 8. For functions  $x\bar{Q}_n(x)$  this follows from the fact

$$|\{x\bar{Q}_n(x)\}''| \leq 2|\bar{Q}_n'(x)| + |\bar{Q}_n''(x)|, 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

and Lemma 6 with  $r=1, 2$ . Applying (29) and Lemma 8 to the sum (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n^{(2)}| &\leq \left| \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \frac{k}{k+n} \bar{Q}_n\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) - n \int_0^1 x \bar{Q}_n(x) dx \right| \\ &\quad + n \left| \int_0^1 x \bar{Q}_n(x) dx - \int_0^1 \bar{Q}_n(x) dx \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{a_1 < \frac{k}{k+n} < b_1} \frac{n^2}{(k+1+n)(k-1+n)} \bar{\bar{Q}}_n\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right) - n \int_0^1 \bar{\bar{Q}}_n(x) dx \right| \\ &= O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (25), (27) and the estimation for  $S_n^{(2)}$ , we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

#### LITERATURES

- [1] V. A. BASKAKOV, An example of a sequence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous function, Doklady Akad. Nauk, 113(1957), 249-251 (Russian).
- [2] E. W. CHENEY AND A. SCHARMA, Bernstein power series, Canadian Journ. of Math., 14(1964), 241-252.
- [3] P. P. KOROVKIN, Linear operators and approximation theory, Delhi, 1960.
- [4] G. G. LORENTZ, Inequalities and the saturation classes of Bernstein polynomials, Proc. of the Conference at Oberwolfach, (1963), 200-207.
- [5] R. G. MAMEDOV, Asymptotic approximation of differentiable functions with linear positive operators, Doklady Akad. Nauk, 128(1959), 471-474 (Russian).
- [6] W. MEYER-KÖNIG AND K. ZELLER, Bernsteinsche Potenzreihen, Studia Math., 16(1960), 89-94.
- [7] F. SCHURER, On the approximation of functions of many variables with linear positive operators, Indagationes Math., 25(1963), 313-327.
- [8] Y. SUZUKI, Saturation of local approximation by linear positive operators of Bernstein type, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 19(1967), 429-453.

- [9] Y. SUZUKI AND K. IKENO, Some remarks on saturation problem in the local approximation, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 20(1968), 214-233.
- [10] O. SZÁSZ, Generalization of S. Bernstein polynomials to the infinite interval, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards, 45(1950), 239-245; Collected Mathematical Works, Cincinnati (1955), 1401-1407.
- [11] D. V. WIDDER, The Laplace transform, Princeton University Press, 1946.

COLLEGE OF GENERAL EDUCATION  
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY  
SENDAI, JAPAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY  
YAMAGATA, JAPAN