ON CONFORMALLY FLAT SPACES SATISFYING A CERTAIN CONDITION ON THE RICCI TENSOR

KOUEI SEKIGAWA AND HITOSHI TAKAGI

(Received February 2, 1970)

1. Introduction. The Riemannian curvature tensor R of a locally symmetric Riemannian manifold (M, g) satisfies

(*)
$$R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$$
 for any tangent vectors X and Y,

where the endomorphism R(X, Y) operates on R as a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of M.

Let R_1 be the Ricci tensor of (M, g). Then (*) implies in particular

(**)
$$R(X, Y) \cdot R_1 = 0$$
 for any tangent vectors X and Y .

In the present paper we shall prove

THEOREM A. Let M^m $(m \ge 3)$ be an m-dimensional connected complete conformally flat space satisfying the condition (**). Then M^m is one of the following manifolds:

- (I) A space of constant curvature.
- (II) A locally product space of a space of constant curvature K ($\neq 0$) and a space of constant curvature -K.
- (III) A locally product space of a space of constant curvature K ($\neq 0$) and a 1-dimensional space.

The authors wish to express their sincere thanks to Prof. S. Tanno who gave them many valuable suggestions and guidances, and also thanks to Prof. S. Sawaki who gave the authors many guidances.

2. Conformally flat cases of dimension m>3. Let M^m (m>3) be a connected conformally flat spaces, then the curvature tensor R of M^m is given by

$$(2.1) R(X,Y) = (1/(m-2))(AX \wedge Y + X \wedge AY) - (\operatorname{trace} A/(m-1)(m-2))X \wedge Y,$$

for any tangent vectors X and Y of M^m , where A denotes a field of symmetric endomorphism which corresponds to the Ricci tensor R_1 , that is, $R_1(X,Y) = g(AX,Y)$, and $X \wedge Y$ denotes the endomorphism which maps Z upon g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y.

At a point of M^m , let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space such that $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$, $1 \le i \le m$. Then the equation (2.1) implies

$$R(e_i,e_j)=((m-1)(m-2))^{-1}\bigg((m-1)(\lambda_i+\lambda_j)-\sum_{k=1}^m\lambda_k\bigg)e_i\wedge e_j$$

Now by the equation (**) and

$$[R(e_i, e_i) \cdot R_1](e_k, e_h) = -R_1(R(e_i, e_i)e_k, e_h) - R_1(e_k, R(e_i, e_i)e_h),$$

we have

$$(2.2) (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \left((m-1)(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) - \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \right) = 0, \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

In this paper, the indices i, j, k, h, \cdots run from 1 to m.

LEMMA 2.1. At each point of M^m , the rank of R_1 is m, m-1, or 0.

PROOF. If there exists an integer r (1 < r < m) such that $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_r = 0$, $\lambda_{r+1} \neq 0, \cdots, \lambda_m \neq 0$, and if we put $\Lambda = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k$, then (2.2) implies

$$(m-1)\lambda_{r+1}-\Lambda=0$$
,

$$(m-1)\lambda_m-\Lambda=0.$$

Hence $\lambda_{r+1} = \cdots = \lambda_m = \lambda \neq 0$. Again (2.2) implies $(m-1)\lambda - (m-r)\lambda = (r-1)\lambda = 0$, that is, $\lambda = 0$ which is a contradiction. Q. E. D.

LEMMA 2.2. If all the λ_i 's have the same sign at a point of M^m , then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots \lambda_m = \lambda$, at the point.

PROOF. If there exists an integer r $(1 \le r < m)$ such that $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_r = \lambda$, $\lambda_{r+1} \ne \lambda, \cdots, \lambda_m \ne \lambda$, then (2.2) implies

$$(m-1)(\lambda+\lambda_{r+1})-\Lambda=0$$
,

• • • •

$$(m-1)(\lambda+\lambda_m)-\Lambda=0.$$

Heace $\lambda_{r+1} = \cdots = \lambda_m = \mu \neq 0$. Again (2.2) implies $(m-1)(\lambda + \mu) - r\lambda - (m-r)\mu = 0$, that is,

$$(2.3) (m-r-1)\lambda = (1-r)\mu.$$

Then, as m>3, from (2.3) we have $r\neq 1$, m-1. But from (2.3) we have also $\lambda \mu < 0$. This is a contradiction. Q. E. D.

Now we have

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let M^m (m>3) be a connected conformally flat space satisfying the condition (***). If the Ricci form R_1 is definite at least at one point of M^m , then M^m is a space of constant curvature.

PROOF. If the Ricci form R_1 is positive (resp. negative) definite at some point $x_0 \in M^m$, then, by the continuity argument for the characteristic polynomial of A, R_1 is positive (resp. negative) definite near x_0 in M^m . Thus, let $W = \{x \in M^m; R_1 \text{ is positive (resp. negative) definite at } x\}$, which is an open set. Let W_0 be a connected component of x_0 in W. Then by lemma $2 \cdot 2$, $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_m = \lambda$, on W_0 and $\lambda(x)$ is a differentiable function on W_0 , since $\lambda(x) = \operatorname{trace} A/m$. Now, the open submanifold W_0 becomes a conformally flat space by the Riemannian metric which is the restriction of g to W_0 . Thus W_0 becomes an Einstein space by the induced metric from M^m . As m > 3, $\lambda(x)$ is a constant function on W_0 . Hence, (2,1) implies that W_0 is a space of constant curvature $\lambda/(m-1)$. Therefore, by the connectivity of M^m and the continuity argument for the characteristic polynomial of A, it follows that $W_0 = M^m$.

Next, we assume that the Ricci form R_1 is non-degenerate and indefinite at some point $x_0 \in M^m$. Then, from the proof of lemma 2.2, there exists an integer r (1 < r < m-1) such that $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_r = \lambda > 0$, and $\lambda_{r+1} = \cdots = \lambda_m = \mu < 0$, at x_0 . By the continuity argument for the characteristic polynomial of A, let $W = \{x \in M^m; R \text{ is non-degenerate and indefinite at } x\}$, which is an open set. Let W_0 be a connected component of x_0 in W.

Then it follows that r is constant on W_0 and non-zero eigenvalues, $\lambda(x) > 0$, and $\mu(x) < 0$ are differentiable functions on W_0 , since, if $m \neq 2r$, then $\lambda(x) = F(x)$, $\mu(x) = G(x)$, or $\lambda(x) = G(x)$, $\mu(x) = F(x)$ $x \in W_0$, where

$$F(x) = ((1-r)/(m-1)(m-2r)) \text{ trace } A,$$

$$G(x) = ((m-r-1)/(m-1)(m-2r)) \text{ trace } A,$$

and if m=2r, then $\lambda(x)={}^{2r}\sqrt{(-1)^r\det A},\ \mu(x)=-{}^{2r}\sqrt{(-1)^r\det A},\ x\in W_0$. We define two distributions on W_0 as follows:

$$T_1(x) = \{X \in M_x^m ; AX = \lambda(x)X\},$$

 $T_2(x) = \{X \in M_x^m ; AX = \mu(x)X\}.$

LEMMA 2.4. $T_1(x)$ and $T_2(x)$ are differentiable on W_0 .

Proof is given by the slight modifications of the arguments in [3].

By lemma 2.4, for any $x \in W_0$ we may choose a differentiable field of orthonormal basis $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m\}$ near x in W_0 in such a way that $\{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$ and $\{X_{r+1}, \dots, X_m\}$ are bases near x in W_0 for T_1 and T_2 , respectively.

By making use of (2.1) and (2.3), we have

LEMMA 2.5. With respect to the basis $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m\}$, we have

(2. 4)
$$R(X_a, X_b) = KX_a \wedge X_b,$$

$$R(X_u, X_v) = -KX_u \wedge X_v,$$

and otherwise zero, where $K = (\lambda - \mu)/(m-2)$ and $1 \le a, b, c, \cdots \le r, r+1 \le u, v, w, \cdots \le m$.

Now, in general, for a differentiable local field of orthonormal basis $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m\}$ in a Riemannian manifold (M, g), we may put

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_i} X_j = \sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_{i jk} X_k,$$

where $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}$ denotes covariant differentiation for the Riemannian connection constructed by g, and $\gamma_{ijk} = -\gamma_{ikj}$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. Let M^m (m>3) be a connected conformally flat space satisfying the condition (**). If the Ricci form R_1 is non-degenerate and indefinite of signature 2r-m at least at one point of M^m , then M^m is a locally product space of an r-dimensional space of constant curvature K and an (m-r)-dimensional space of constant curvature -K, where 1 < r < m-1.

PROOF. Taking account of (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}_{u}}R)(X_{a},X_{b}) = X_{u}KX_{a} \wedge X_{b} + K\sum_{j=1}^{m} \gamma_{u\,ai} X_{i} \wedge X_{b}$$

$$+ K\sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{u\,bi} X_{a} \wedge Y_{i} - K\sum_{c=1}^{r} \gamma_{u\,ac} X_{c} \wedge X_{b}$$

$$- K\sum_{c=1}^{r} \gamma_{u\,bc} X_{a} \wedge X_{c}$$

$$= X_{u}KX_{a} \wedge X_{b} + K\sum_{v=r+1}^{m} \gamma_{u\,av} X_{v} \wedge X_{b} + K\sum_{v=r+1}^{m} \gamma_{u\,bv} X_{a} \wedge X_{v},$$

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}_{a}}R)(X_{b}, X_{u}) = K\sum_{v=r+1}^{m} \gamma_{a\,bv} X_{v} \wedge X_{u} - K\sum_{c=1}^{r} \gamma_{a\,uc} X_{b} \wedge X_{c},$$

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}_{b}}R)(X_{u}, X_{a}) = -K\sum_{c=1}^{r} \gamma_{b\,uc} X_{c} \wedge X_{a} + K\sum_{v=r+1}^{m} \gamma_{b\,av} X_{u} \wedge X_{v}.$$

By the second Bianchi identity, we have $X_uK=0$, and $\gamma_{u\,va}=0$. Similarly we have $X_aK=0$, and $\gamma_{a\,bu}=0$. Where $a,b=1,\cdots,r;\ u,v=r+1,\cdots,m$. Thus W_0 is a locally product space of an r-dimensional space of constant curvature K and an (m-r)-dimensional space of constant curvature -K. Therefore, by the connectivity of M^m and the continuity argument for the characteristic polynomial of A, it follows that $W_0=M^m$. Q. E. D.

Lastly, we assume that the rank of the Ricci form R_1 is m-1 at some point $x_0 \in M^m$, and furthermore M^m is complete. Then, from the proofs of lemma 2.1 and lemma 2.2, and the continuity argument for the characteristic polynomial of A, the rank of R_1 is m-1 near x_0 in M^m . Thus let $W = \{x \in M^m : \text{the rank of } R_1 \text{ is } m-1 \text{ at } x\}$, which is an open set. Let W_0 be a connected component of x_0 in W. From the proof of lemma 2.1, we see that all the non-zero eigenvalues of A at each point of W_0 are equal to each other, say, λ , and the non-zero eigenvalue $\lambda(x)$ is a differentiable function on W_0 , since $\lambda(x) = \text{trace } A/(m-1)$.

We define two distributions on W_0 as follows:

$$T_1(x) = \{X \in M_x^m ; AX = \lambda(x)X\},$$

 $T_0(x) = \{X \in M_x^m ; AX = 0\}, \quad x \in W_0.$

Corresponding to lemma 2.4, we have

LEMMA 2.7. $T_1(x)$ and $T_0(x)$ are differentiable on W_0 .

Thus, for any $x \in W_0$, we may choose a differentiable field of orthonormal basis $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m\}$ near x in W_0 in such a way that $\{X_1, \dots, X_{m-1}\}$ and $\{X_m\}$ are bases near x in W_0 for T_1 and T_0 , respectively. Corresponding to lemma 2.5, we have

LEMMA 2.8. With respect to the basis $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m\}$, we have

$$(2.6) R(X_a, X_b) = KX_a \wedge X_b,$$

and otherwise zero, where $K = \chi/(m-2)$, and $1 \le a, b, c, \cdots \le m-1$.

LEMMA 2.9. T_1 is involutive.

PROOF. Taking account of (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}_{c}}R)(X_{a},X_{b}) = X_{c}KX_{a} \wedge X_{b} + K\gamma_{c\ am}X_{m} \wedge X_{b} + K\gamma_{c\ bm}X_{a} \wedge X_{m},$$

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}_{a}}R)(X_{b},X_{c}) = X_{a}KX_{b} \wedge X_{c} + K\gamma_{a\ bm}X_{m} \wedge X_{c} + K\gamma_{a\ cm}X_{b} \wedge X_{m},$$

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}_{b}}R)(X_{c},X_{a}) = X_{b}KX_{c} \wedge X_{a} + K\gamma_{b\ cm}X_{m} \wedge X_{a} + K\gamma_{b\ am}X_{c} \wedge X_{m}.$$

By the second Bianchi identity, we have

(2.7)
$$X_c K = 0, \quad c = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

(2.8)
$$\gamma_{abm} - \gamma_{bam} = 0$$
, for $a \neq b$, $a, b = 1, \dots, m-1$.

By (2.8), T_1 is involutive.

Q. E. D.

For each $x \in W_0$, we denote by $M_1(x)$ the maximal integral manifold through x of T_1 . Then, by (2.7), K is constant on each $M_1(x)$.

LEMMA 2.10. Each trajectory of X_m is a geodesic.

PROOF. From (2.5) and lemma 2.8, we have

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}_{a}}R)(X_{a},X_{b}) = X_{m}KX_{a} \wedge X_{b} + K\gamma_{m \ am}X_{m} \wedge X_{b} + K\gamma_{m \ bm}X_{a} \wedge X_{m},$$

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}_{a}}R)(X_{b},X_{m}) = -K\sum_{c=1}^{m-1}\gamma_{a \ mc}X_{b} \wedge X_{c},$$

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}_{b}}R)(X_{m},X_{a}) = -K\sum_{c=1}^{m-1}\gamma_{b \ mc}X_{c} \wedge X_{a}.$$

By the second Bianchi identity, we have

$$\gamma_{m\,ma}=0,$$

$$\gamma_{a\,mb}=0,$$

(2.11)
$$X_m K + K(\gamma_{a m a} + \gamma_{b m b}) = 0$$
, for $a \neq b$, $a, b = 1, \dots, m-1$.

Thus, from (2.9), it follows that $\nabla_{\mathbf{X}_m} X_m = 0$.

Q. E. D.

From (2.11) we have

$$(2. 12) \gamma_{1 m1} = \gamma_{2 m2} = \cdots = \gamma_{m-1 mm-1}.$$

Thus, from lemma 2.8, taking account of (2.9), (2.10) and (2.12), we have

$$\begin{split} R(X_a,X_m)X_m &= \bigtriangledown_{\mathbf{X_a}}\bigtriangledown_{\mathbf{X_m}}X_m - \bigtriangledown_{\mathbf{X_m}}\bigtriangledown_{\mathbf{X_a}}X_m - \bigtriangledown_{[\mathbf{X_a},\mathbf{X_m}]}X_m \\ &= -X_m\gamma_{a\ ma}\,X_a - \sum_{c=1}^{m-1}\gamma_{a\ ma}\,\gamma_{m\ ac}\,X_c \\ &- \sum_{c=1}^{m-1}\gamma_{a\ ma}\,\gamma_{a\ mc}\,X_c + \sum_{c=1}^{m-1}\gamma_{m\ ac}\,\gamma_{c\ mc}\,X_c \\ &= -X_m\gamma_{a\ ma}\,X_a - (\gamma_{a\ ma})^2X_a = 0, \end{split}$$

that is

(2.13)
$$X_m \gamma_{a m a} + (\gamma_{a m a})^2 = 0$$
, for $a = 1, \dots, m-1$.

LEMMA 2.11. Any geodesic whose tangent belongs to T_0 at each $x \in W_0$ is infinitely extendible in W_0 .

PROOF. For any $x \in W_0$, let L(s) be a geodesic with arc length s, whose initial point is x and initial direction at x belongs to T_0 . Then, by lemma 2.10, for sufficiently small s each tangent vector at s of L(s) belongs to T_0 .

Thus, from (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$\frac{d^2K}{ds^2} + 2\frac{dK}{ds}\gamma_{a_{ma}} + 2K\frac{d}{ds}\gamma_{a_{ma}} = 0,$$

that is,

(2. 14)
$$2K\frac{d^{2}K}{ds^{2}} - 3\left(\frac{dK}{ds}\right)^{2} = 0.$$

If K > 0, then (2.14) implies

(2.15)
$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} (1/\sqrt{K}) = 0.$$

If K < 0, then (2.14) implies

(2. 16)
$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} (1/\sqrt{-K}) = 0.$$

Therefore, from (2.15) and (2.16), we have

(2.17)
$$K = 1/(as + b)^2$$
, and $-1/(as + b)^2$, respectively,

where a and b are certain constants. As a geodesic in M^m , L(s) is infinitely extendible. If this geodesic does not lie in W_0 , let s_0 be a point such that $L(s) \in W_0$ for $s < s_0$ but $L(s) \notin W_0$. The characteristic polynomial of A at L(s), $s < s_0$, is $(t - \lambda(s))^{m-1}t$. That of A at $L(s_0)$ is therefore the limit as $s \to s_0$, namely, $(t - \lambda(s_0))^{m-1}t$. But $\lambda(s_0) = \lim_{s \to s_0} \lambda(s) = \lim_{s \to s_0} \pm \frac{(m-2)}{(as+b)^2} = \pm \frac{(m-2)}{(as_0+b)^2}$ can not be 0. This is a contradiction. It follows that $L(s_0) \in W_0$. Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 2.12. Let M^m (m>3) be a connected complete conformally flat space satisfying the condition (***). If the rank of the Ricci form R is m-1 at least at one point of M^m , then M^m is a locally product space of an (m-1)-dimensional space of constant curvature K and a 1-dimensional space.

PROOF. From lemma 2.11, K(s) must to be defined for any s along L(s). But, if $a \neq 0$ in (2.17), then $1/\lambda$ will be 0 for s = -b/a which is a contradiction. We have thus shown that K is equal to a constant on each L(s). Therefore, K is constant on W_0 . Then, from (2.11) and (2.12), we have $\gamma_{a ma} = 0$, for a = 1, \cdots , m-1. Thus, from (2.9) and (2.10), T_1 and T_0 are parallel. Therefore, M^m is a locally product space of an (m-1)-dimensional space of constant curvature K and a 1-dimensional space.

3. 3-dimensional cases. Let M be a 3-dimensional connected Riemannian manifold with the metric tensor g. Then the curvature tensor R of M is given by

$$(3. 1) R(X, Y) = AX \wedge Y + X \wedge AY - (\operatorname{trace} A/2)X \wedge Y,$$

for any tangent vectors X and Y of M, where A is a symmetric endomorphism satisfying $R_1(X,Y)=g(AX,Y)$. Then (3.1) is obtained by putting m=3 in (2.1). This suggests that we may apply the similar ones as the arguments in § 2 in this section.

At a point of M, let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space such that $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$, i = 1, 2, 3. Then the condition (**) is equivalent to

$$(3.2) (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(2(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) - \sum_{k=1}^3 \lambda_k) = 0, \text{for } i \neq j.$$

From (3.2), we can easily show that the following cases are possible:

(i)
$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda \neq 0$$
,

(ii)
$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda \neq 0$$
, $\lambda_3 = 0$,

(iii)
$$\lambda = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$$
.

Thus we have

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let M be a 3-dimensional connected Riemannian manifold satisfying the condition (**). If the rank of R is 3 at least at one point of M, then M is a space of constant curvature.

REMARK. In general, if a Riemannian manifold (M, g) satisfies the condition (**), then we can see that multiplicity of any non-zero eigenvalue of A is greater than 1.

Next, we assume that the rank of the Ricci form R_1 is 2 at some point $x_0 \in M$. Then we can define two differentiable distributions, T_1 and T_0 corresponding to the eigenvalues, λ and 0 of A respectively on W_0 , and furthermore we may choose a differentiable field of orthonormal basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ near x in W_0 , for any $x \in W_0$, in such a way that $\{X_1, X_2\}$ and $\{X_3\}$ are bases for T_1 and T_0 respectively, where W_0 is the connected component of x_0 in $W = \{x \in M;$ the rank of R_1 is 2 at $x\}$.

With respect to the basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$, we have

(3.3)
$$R(X_1, X_2) = \lambda X_1 \wedge X_2$$
, and otherwise zero.

(3.4)
$$R_1(X_1, X_1) = R_1(X_2, X_2) = \lambda$$
, and otherwise zero.

Now, we assume that M is conformally flat and complete. Then the following equation holds good:

$$(3.5) \qquad (\nabla_{\mathbf{z}} R_1)(X, Y) - (\nabla_{Y} R_1)(X, Z)$$
$$= (1/4)(Z(\operatorname{trace} A) g(X, Y) - Y(\operatorname{trace} A) g(X, Z)),$$

for any tangent vectors X, Y and Z of M. We shall prove

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let M be a 3-dimensional connected complete conformally flat space satisfying the condition (**). If the rank of the Ricci form R_1 is 2, then M is a locally product space of a 2-dimensional space of constant curvature and 1-dimensional space.

PROOF. From (2.5) and (3.3), by the second Bianchi identity, we have

$$\gamma_{3\,31} = \gamma_{3\,32} = 0.$$

By putting $X = X_1$, $Y = X_2$, $Z = X_3$ in (3.5) and using (2.5) and (3.4), we have

(3.7)
$$\gamma_{213} = 0$$
, similarly, $\gamma_{123} = 0$.

By putting $X = X_3$, $Y = X_1$, $Z = X_3$

(3.8)
$$X_1\lambda = 0$$
, similarly, $X_2\lambda = 0$.

By putting $X = X_1$, $Y = X_1$, $Z = X_3$

(3.9)
$$X_{3}\lambda + 2\lambda \gamma_{131} = 0$$
, similarly, $X_{3}\lambda + 2\lambda \gamma_{232} = 0$.

By (3. 9), we have

$$(3. 10) \gamma_{31} = \gamma_{232}.$$

From the equation $R(X_1, X_3)X_3 = 0$, by making use of (3.6), (3.7) and (3.10), we have

$$X_3\gamma_{1\,31}+(\gamma_{1\,31})^2=0.$$

Therefore, from the above discussions, the rest of proof is given by the slight modifications of the arguments in the last case in 2.

Q. E. D.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. KOBAYASHI AND K. NOMIZU, Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol. I, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963.
- [2] M. KURITA, On the holonomy group of conformally flat Riemannian manifold, Nagoya
- Math. J., 9 (1955), 161-171.

 [3] K. NOMIZU, On hypersurfaces satisfying a certain condition on the curvature tensor, Tôhoku Math. J., 20(1968), 46-59.
- [4] M. TANI, On a conformally flat Riemannian space with positive Ricci curvature, Tôhoku Math. J., 19 (1967), 227-231.
- [5] S. TANNO, Hypersurfaces satisfing a certain condition on the Ricci tensor, Tôhoku Math-J., 21(1969), 297-303.

FACULTY OF SCIENCE NIIGATA UNIVERSITY NIIGATA, JAPAN