Tôhoku Math. Journ. 24 (1972), 463-468.

# ON THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF MARTINGALE INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

### Norihiko Kazamaki

(Received Feb. 17, 1972)

1. In the present paper we shall consider the following stochastic integral equation:

$$(1) X_t = x + \int_0^t f(X_{u-}) dM_u + \int_0^t g(X_{u-}) dA_u$$
 ,  $X_0 = x \in R$ 

where  $(M_t)$  is a local martingale and  $(A_t)$  is an increasing process. This is a continuation of [1] in which we assumed the square integrability of each  $M_t$  and the continuity of the process  $(A_t)$ .

2. Let  $(\Omega, F, P)$  be a complete probability space, given an increasing right continuous family  $(F_t)$  of sub  $\sigma$ -fields of F. We assume as usual that  $F_0$  contains all the negligible sets. In addition, suppose the family  $(F_t)$  is quasi-left continuous; namely, for every stopping time T and every sequence  $(T_n)$  of stopping times such that  $T_n \uparrow T$ , the  $\sigma$ -field  $F_T$  is generated by the field  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{T_n}$ . A notation such that "let  $M = (M_t, F_t)$  be martingale" means that the martingale property is relative to the family  $(F_t)$ . All martingales below are assumed to be right continuous.

By a normal change of time  $C = (F_t, c_t)$  we mean a family of stopping times of the family  $(F_t)$ , finite valued, such that for a  $\cdot e \omega$  the sample function  $c \cdot (\omega)$  is strictly increasing,

$$c_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(\omega) = 0, \, c_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}(\omega) = \lim_{t o \infty} c_t(\omega) = \infty$$

and continuous.

As usual, we do not distinguish two processes X and Y such that for a.e  $\omega X.(\omega) = Y.(\omega)$ . This is important for the understanding of uniqueness statements below.

DEFINITION. A right continuous process  $M = (M_t, F_t)$  is a local martingale if there exists a sequence of stopping times  $T_n \uparrow \infty$  such that for every *n* the process  $M_{t \wedge T_n}$  on the set  $\{T_n > 0\}$  is a uniformly integrable martingale.

We assume in this paper that  $M_0 = 0$ .

#### N. KAZAMAKI

3. We are now in a position to state our result.

THEOREM. Let f and g be real valued bounded functions such that for all  $x, y \in R$ 

(2) 
$$Max(|f(x) - f(y)|, |g(x) - g(y)|) \le \alpha |x - y|$$

where  $\alpha$  is some constant. Then the equation (1) has a unique solution.

The key to the proof of this theorem is the following lemma which is closed to the Gundy decomposition of martingales. Since it is proved in [2], we omit the proof.

**LEMMA.** Let M be a local martingale. Then there exist stopping times  $R_n \uparrow \infty$  such that the process  $M_{t \wedge R_m}$  can be written as

$$(3) M_{t \wedge R_n} = H_t + V_t, V_t = M_{R_n} I_{\{t \ge R_n\}} + B_t^{(1)} - B_t^{(2)}$$

where  $(H_t)$  is an L<sup>2</sup>-bounded martingale stopped at  $R_n$  and each  $(B_t^{(i)})$ , i = 1, 2, is a natural increasing process.

Of course, H and  $B^{(i)}$  depend on  $R_n$ . Note that if the family  $(F_t)$  is quasi-left continuous, then any natural increasing process is continuous; so  $B^{(i)}$  is continuous. This fact is important in the following.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Let us keep the notations used in the lemma. As is well known, there exists a unique continuous increasing process  $\tilde{A}_t$ such that the process  $A_t^* = A_t - \tilde{A}_t$  is a martingale. Then we can rewrite the equation (1) in the form

(4) 
$$X_t = x + \int_0^t f(X_{u-}) dM_u + \int_0^t g(X_{u-}) dA_u^* + \int_0^t g(X_{u-}) d\widetilde{A}_u$$

Therefore, there is no loss of generality in assuming that the process A is continuous, as we now do.

First, we shall treat the equation (1) on the stochastic interval [0, R[, where R is one of the stopping times  $(R_n)$  in the lemma. On this interval we have

$$(5) \quad X_{t} = x + \int_{0}^{t} f(X_{u-}) dH_{u} + \int_{0}^{t} f(X_{u-}) dB_{u}^{(1)} - \int_{0}^{t} f(X_{u-}) dB_{u}^{(2)} + \int_{0}^{t} g(X_{u-}) dA_{u}.$$

As is well known, there exists a unique continuous increasing process  $\langle H \rangle$  such that  $H^2 - \langle H \rangle$  is a martingale.

Define now:

$$(6) \qquad \lambda_t = t + \langle H \rangle_t + B_t^{(1)} + B_t^{(2)} + A_t , \qquad \theta_t = \inf \left\{ u: \lambda_u > t \right\}.$$

Clearly  $(\lambda_t)$  is a continuous increasing process with  $P(\lambda_0 = 0, \lambda_{\infty} = +\infty) = 1$ .

464

Then an easy computation shows that  $\theta = (F_t, \theta_t)$  and  $\Lambda = (F_{\theta_t}, \lambda_t)$  are normal change of time. It is obvious that  $\lambda_R$  is a stopping time with respect to the Family  $(F_{\theta_t})$  and the process  $(t - \langle H \rangle_{\theta_t} - B_{\theta_t}^{(1)} - B_{\theta_t}^{(2)} - A_{\theta_t}, F_{\theta_t})$  is increasing. As  $\theta_t < R$  on the set  $\{t < \lambda_R\}$ , we get from (5)

$$egin{aligned} (7) \ X_{ heta_t} &= x + \int_{0}^{t} f(X_{ heta_{u-}}) dM_{ heta_u} + \int_{0}^{t} g(X_{ heta_{u-}}) dA_{ heta_u} \ &= x + \int_{0}^{t} f(X_{ heta_{u-}}) dH_{ heta_u} + \int_{0}^{t} f(X_{ heta_{u-}}) dB_{ heta_u}^{(1)} - \int_{0}^{t} f(X_{ heta_{u-}}) dB_{ heta_u}^{(2)} + \int_{0}^{t} g(X_{ heta_{u-}}) dA_{ heta_u} \end{aligned}$$

on the stochastic interval [0,  $\lambda_R$ ] relative to the family  $(F_{\theta_t})$ .

Therefore, in order to show the existence of a unique solution of the equation (1) on the interval [0, R], it suffices to consider the equation (7) in stead of (1). Namely, there is no loss of generality in assuming that the process  $(t - \langle H \rangle_t - B_t^{(1)} - B_t^{(2)} - A_t, F_t)$  is increasing, as we now do. For simplicity, the proof is spelled out for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  only.

Define in succession:

(8) 
$$X_t^0 = x$$
  
 $X_t^{n+1} = x + \int_0^t f(X_{u-}^n) dM_u + \int_0^t g(X_{u-}^n) dA_u$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ .

Clearly the processes  $(f(X_t^n))$  and  $(g(X_t^n))$  are right continuous.

Put now:  $c_t^n = f(X_t^n) - f(X_t^{n-1})$ ,  $d_t^n = g(X_t^n) - g(X_t^{n-1})$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $\alpha \leq 1/4$ . Then, by using the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} D_n(t) &= E[(X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n)^2 I_{\{t < R\}}] \\ &= E\Big[\Big(\int_0^t c_u^n dM_u + \int_0^t c_u^n dB_u^{(1)} - \int_0^t c_u^n dB_u^{(2)} + \int d_u^n dA_u\Big)^2 I_{\{t < R\}}\Big] \\ &\leq 4E\Big[\int_0^t (c_u^n)^2 I_{\{u < R\}} d\langle H \rangle_u + B_t^{(1)} \int_0^t (c_u^n)^2 I_{\{u < R\}} dB_u^{(1)} \\ &+ B_t^{(2)} \int_0^t (c_u^n)^2 I_{\{u < R\}} dB_u^{(2)} + A_t \int_0^t (d_u^n)^2 I_{\{u < R\}} dA_u\Big] \\ &\leq (4\alpha)^2 \int_0^t E[(X_u^n - X_u^{n-1})^2 I_{\{u < R\}}] du \\ &\leq \int_0^t D_{n-1}(u) du; D_0(t) \leq (4K)^2 t, \text{ where } K = Max \, (||f||_{\infty}, ||g||_{\infty}) \end{split}$$

As  $\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} D_0(t) \le (4K)^2$ , we derive the estimate

(9) 
$$D_n(t) \leq (4K)^2 \frac{t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$$

Since the process  $\left(\int_{0}^{t} c_{u-}^{n} dH_{u}, F_{t}\right)$  is an L<sup>2</sup>-bounded martingale, the extension

of Kolmogorov's inequality to martingales shows that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$P\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1}\left|\int_{0}^{t} c_{u-}^{n} dH_{u}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq \varepsilon^{-2} E\left[\int_{0}^{t} (c_{u}^{n})^{2} d\langle H\rangle_{u}\right]$$

$$(10) \qquad \leq \varepsilon^{-2} E\left[\int_{0}^{t} (c_{u}^{n})^{2} I_{\{u< R\}} d\langle H\rangle_{u}\right] \qquad (\because H_{t} = H_{t\wedge R})$$

$$\leq \alpha^{2} \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{0}^{1} D_{n-1}(u) du .$$

Next, we get by using the Schwarz inequality

$$P\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1}\left|\int_{0}^{t} c_{u-}^{n} dB_{u}^{(i)}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) = P\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} \left(\int_{0}^{t} c_{u-}^{n} dB_{u}^{(i)}\right)^{2} \geq \varepsilon^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq P\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} B_{t}^{(i)} \int_{0}^{t} (c_{u-}^{n})^{2} dB_{u}^{(i)} \geq \varepsilon^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq P\left(\int_{0}^{1} (c_{u-}^{n})^{2} I_{\{u< R\}} du \geq \varepsilon^{2}\right) \quad (\because B_{t}^{(i)} = B_{t\wedge R}^{(i)})$$

$$\leq \alpha^{2} \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{0}^{1} D_{n-1}(u) du .$$

Similarly we obtain

(12) 
$$P\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1}\left|\int_{0}^{t} d_{u-t}^{n} dA_{u\wedge R}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq \alpha^{2} \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{0}^{1} D_{n-1}(u) du .$$

Thus  $P(\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} | X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n | I_{\{t < R\}} \ge 4\varepsilon) \le \text{Const.} \times \varepsilon^{-2}/(n+1)!$ . Pick  $\varepsilon^{-2} = (n-1)!$ . Then  $\varepsilon^{-2}/(n+1)!$  is the general term of a convergent sum, and so the Borel-Cantelli lemma shows that the processes  $(X_t^n I_{\{t < R\}})$  converge uniformly almost surely for  $0 \le t \le 1$  to some right continuous process  $X^R = (X_t^R, F_t)$ . Furthermore by using the extension of Kolmogorov's inequality to martingales we have

$$egin{aligned} &P\Bigl(\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1}\left|\int_{0}^{t}f(X_{u-}^{n})dH_{u}\,-\,\int_{0}^{t}f(X_{u-}^{R})dH_{u}\,
ight|&\geq arepsilon
ight)\ &\leq arepsilon^{-2}E\Bigl[\int_{0}^{1}\!\{f(X_{u}^{n})\,-\,f(X_{u}^{R})\}^{2}I_{\{u< R\}}d\langle H
angle_{u}\Bigr]\,. \end{aligned}$$

According to the bounded convergence theorem, the right hand side of this inequality converges to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus the processes  $\left(\int_{0}^{t} f(X_{u-}^{n}) dH_{u}\right)$  converge uniformly almost surely to the process  $\left(\int_{0}^{t} f(X_{u-}^{n}) dH_{u}\right)$  for some subsequence  $(n_{k})$ . It is not difficult to see that  $\left(\int_{0}^{t} f(X_{u-}^{n}) dB_{u}^{(i)}\right)$  and  $\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(X_{u-}^{n}) dA_{u \wedge R}\right)$  converge uniformly almost surely to  $\left(\int_{0}^{t} f(X_{u-}^{n}) dB_{u}^{(i)}\right)$  and  $\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(X_{u-}^{n}) dA_{u \wedge R}\right)$  respectively. Consequently the process  $X^{R}$  satisfies the following equality:

466

SOLUTIONS OF MARTINGALE INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

(13) 
$$X_t^R = x + \int_0^t f(X_{u-}^R) dH_u + \int_0^t f(X_{u-}^R) dB_u^{(1)} - \int_0^t f(X_{u-}^R) dB_u^{(i)} + \int_0^t g(X_{u-}^R) dA_u$$
  
on [0, R].

That is,  $X^{R}$  satisfies the equation (1) on the interval [0, R[.

Now let X and Y be two solutions of the equation (1) on [0, R[. Then we can obtain as in the proof of (9)

(14) 
$$D(t) \equiv E[(X_t - Y_t)I_{(t < R)}] \leq \int_0^t D(u) du , \qquad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} D(t) \leq 32K^2$$

from which D(t) = 0. This implies that X = Y on [0, R[.

Next, for each *n*, let  $X^{R_n} = (X_t^{R_n}, F_t)$  be a solution of the equation (1) on the stochastic interval  $[0, R_n[$ .  $X^{R_{n+1}}$  being also a solution of (1) on  $[0, R_n[$ , we get  $X^{R_n} = X^{R_{n+1}}$  on  $[0, R_n[$ . This relation therefore defines a right continuous process X such that

(15) 
$$X = X^{R_n}$$
 on [0,  $R_n$ ],  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ 

Furthermore, for each n,

$$E\left[\left\{\int_{0}^{t} (fX_{u-}^{R_{n}}) - f(X_{u-}))dH_{u}\right\}^{2}\right] = E\left[\int_{0}^{t} \{f(X_{u-}^{R_{n}}) - f(X_{u-})\}^{2}I_{\{u < R_{n}\}}d\langle H \rangle_{u}\right] = 0,$$

from which  $\int_0^{\cdot} f(X_{u-}) dH_u = \int_0^{\cdot} f(X_{u-}^R) dH_u$  on  $[0, R_n]$ .

Obviously we have on the interval  $[0, R_n]$ 

$$\int_{0}^{t} f(X_{u-}) dB_{u}^{(i)} = \int_{0}^{t} f(X_{u-}^{R_{n}}) dB_{u}^{(i)} \text{ and } \int_{0}^{t} g(X_{u-}) dA_{u} = \int_{0}^{t} g(X_{u-}^{R_{n}}) dA_{u}$$

Thus, the process X satisfies the equation (1) on each [0,  $R_n$ [. As  $R_n \uparrow \infty$ , X is a solution of (1).

Finally, we are going to show its uniqueness. If X and Y are two solutions of (1), then these processes satisfy the equation (1) on each interval  $[0, R_n[$ . Therefore X = Y on  $[0, R_n[$  for each n. Hence X = Y. This completes the proof.

4. In the following, instead of the quasi-left continuity of the family  $(F_t)$ , we assume that the local martingale M and the increasing process A are continuous.

**PROPOSITION.** Let  $\rho$  and  $\kappa$  be positive increasing function defined on  $(0, \infty)$ . Suppose that

(16) 
$$\int_{0+} \rho^{-2}(u) du = + \infty, \quad \int_{0+} \kappa^{-1}(u) du = + \infty$$
$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le \rho(|x - y|), \quad |g(x) - g(y)| \le \kappa(|x - y|), \quad \forall x, y \in R$$

## N. KAZAMAKI

and  $\kappa$  is concave.

Then the uniqueness holds for the equation (1).

By using a normal change of time, this proposition can be proved in the same way as Theorem 1 of [3].

#### References

- [1] N. KAZAMAKI, Note on a stochastic integral equation, Univesité de Strasbourg, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg. (to appear)
- [2] C. DOLÉANS-DADE AND P. A. MEYER, Intégrales stochastiques par rapport aux martingales locales, Université de Strasbourg, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 124, Springer, Heidelberg (1970), 77-107.
- [3] T. YAMADA AND S. WATANABE, On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. II-1 (1971), 155-167.

Mathematical Institute Tohoku university Sendai, Japan

468