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1. Introduction. Let $C_{w}$ be the Wiener space consisting of continuous real valued functions $x(t)$ on $[0,1]$ with $x(0)=0$. It is the purpose of this paper to investigate the transformation of the generalized Wiener measure on $C_{w}$ corresponding to the generalized Brownian motion process (i.e. Brownian motion process with nonstationary increments) when the elements of $C_{w}$ are transformed by a Volterra integral equation of the second kind.

For $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n} \leqq 1$, let $\mathfrak{F}_{t_{1} \cdots t_{n}}$ be the $\sigma$-field of subsets of $C_{w}$ of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left\{x \in C_{w} ;\left[x\left(t_{1}\right), \cdots, x\left(t_{n}\right)\right] \in B\right\}, \quad B \in \mathfrak{B}^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{B}^{n}$ is the $\sigma$-field of Borel sets in the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $R^{n}$. Let $b(t)$ be a strictly increasing continuous function on $[0,1]$. It is well known that if we define a set function $m$ on $\mathfrak{F}_{t_{1} \cdots t_{n}}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
m(E)= & \frac{1}{\left\{(2 \pi)^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[b\left(t_{i}\right)-b\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(n) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}  \tag{1.2}\\
& \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\xi_{i}-\xi_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{b\left(t_{i}\right)-b\left(t_{i-1}\right)}\right\} d \xi_{1} \cdots d \xi_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\xi_{0} \equiv 0$, then $m$ is well defined on the $\sigma$-field $\mathfrak{F}$ generated by the field $\mathfrak{F}_{0}$ which is the union of all the $\sigma$-fields $\mathfrak{F}_{t_{1} \cdots t_{n}}$ and is in fact a probability measure on $\left(C_{w}, \mathfrak{F}\right)$. (See for instance K. Itô [4] and P. Lévy [6].) Let $\mathfrak{F}^{*}$ be the Carathéodory extension of $\mathfrak{F}_{0}$ relative to $m$. Then $\left(C_{w}, \mathfrak{F}^{*}, m\right)$ is a complete probability measure space. We shall refer to $\mathfrak{F}^{*}$-measurability as Wiener measurability, and to $m$ as the generalized Wiener measure corresponding to $b$.

The real valued function $X(t, x)=x(t), x \in C_{w}, t \in[0,1]$ is then a stochastic process with independent increments on the probability space $\left(C_{w}, \mathfrak{F}^{*}, m\right)$. In fact $X(0, x)=0$ for every $x \in C_{w}$, and the increment $X\left(t^{\prime \prime}, x\right)-X\left(t^{\prime}, x\right)$ is distributed according to $N\left(0, b\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-b\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)$, i.e. the probability distribution $\Phi$ of the above increment is a normal distribution with density
function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(\eta)=\frac{1}{\left\{2 \pi\left[b\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-b\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta^{2}}{b\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-b\left(t^{\prime}\right)}\right\}, \quad \eta \in R \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore the space of sample functions $X(\cdot, x), x \in C_{w}$, coincides with the sample space $C_{w}$.

Throughout this paper the topology of $C_{w}$ will be the metric topology defined by the uniform norm $\|\|x\|\|=\sup _{[0,1]}|x(t)|, x \in C_{w}$. In this topology $C_{w}$ is a separable Banach space, an open subset of $C_{w}$ is always $\mathfrak{F}$-measurable and so is every continuous real valued functional $F[x], x \in C_{w}$.

Our main results are the following theorems:
Theorem 1. Consider the probability space $\left(C_{w}, \mathfrak{F}^{*}, m\right.$ ) where $b(t)$ has a positive and continuous derivative $b^{\prime}(t)$ on $[0,1]$. Let $F[y], y \in C_{w}$, be a bounded and continuous real valued functional on $C_{w}$ which vanishes outside of a bounded subset of $C_{w}$. Let $K(t)$ be a continuous real valued function on $[0,1]$ and define a transformation $T$ of $C_{w}$ into $C_{w}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T x)(t)=x(t)+\int_{0}^{t} b^{\prime}(s) K(s) x(s) d s, \quad \text { for } x \in C_{w} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{w}} F[y] m(d y)=\int_{C_{w}} F[T x] J[x] m(d x) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the "Jacobian" J[x] given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[x]=\exp \left\{-\int_{0}^{1} K(t) X(t, x) d X(t, x)\right\} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} b^{\prime}(t) K^{2}(t) x^{2}(t) d t\right\} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral in the first exponential factor is the stochastic integral of the process $K(t) X(t, x)$ with respect to the process $X(t, x)=x(t)$.

THEOREM 2. For the linear operator $T$ defined by (1.4) which maps $C_{w}$ one-to-one onto $C_{w}$ and is continuous with a continuous inverse $T^{-1}$ we have $T^{-1} \Gamma, T \Gamma \in \mathfrak{F}^{*}$ for every $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{F}^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\Gamma)=\int_{T^{-1} \Gamma_{\Gamma}} J[x] m(d x) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover if $F[y], y \in C_{w}$, is a Wiener measurable real valued functional then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma} F[y] m(d y)=\int_{T^{-1} \Gamma} F[T x] J[x] m(d x) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense that the existence of one side implies that of the other and
the equality of the two. Similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
m(T \Gamma) & =\int_{\Gamma} J[x] m(d x)  \tag{1.7'}\\
\int_{T \Gamma} F[y] m(d y) & =\int_{T} F[T x] J[x] m(d x)
\end{align*}
$$

We remark that according to the Volterra integral equation theory (see for instance pp. 145-149, K. Yosida [7])

$$
\|T\| \leqq 1+\| \| b^{\prime}\| \|
$$

and

$$
\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \leqq \exp \left\{\| \| b^{\prime} K\| \|\right\}
$$

The transformation of the standard Wiener measure (i.e. when $b(t)=t$ on [ 0,1 ]) under transformations of the elements of $C_{w}$ by Fredholm integral equations of the second kind has been investigated by R.H. Cameron and W. T. Martin [1]. The results, specialized to transformations by Volterra integral equations of the second kind with kernels depending on one variable only, were applied to evaluate various Wiener integrals by means of SturmLiouville differential equations in [2]. Aside from the fact that the measure is the generalized Wiener measure in our case the proofs of our results are considerably different from those of the theorems in [1]. The proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are given in §3. In § 2 we prove some lemmas for Theorem 1.
2. Lemmas for Theorem 1. Suppose that $b(t)$ has a positive and continuous derivative $b^{\prime}(t)$ on $[0,1]$. For every positive integer $n$ let $t_{i}=$ $i / n, i=0,1,2, \cdots, n$ and let $\tau_{i} \in\left(t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right)$ be such that $b\left(t_{i}\right)-b\left(t_{i-1}\right)=$ $b^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i}\right) / n$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$. With $\tau_{i}$ fixed, let $\beta_{i}=b^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i}\right)$. Similarly for a real valued continuous function $K(t)$ on $[0,1]$ let $K_{i}=K\left(t_{i}\right)$.

Consider the trasformation $T_{n}$ of $C_{w}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{n} x\right)(t)=x(t)+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{[n t]} \beta_{j} K_{j-1} x\left(t_{j-1}\right)+\frac{1}{n} \beta_{[n t]+1} K_{[n t]} x\left(t_{[n t]}\right)(n t-[n t]) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the convention that $\beta_{n+1}=\beta_{n}$. For $t=t_{i}$ we have $[n t]=i=n t$ so that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(T_{n} x\right)\left(t_{i}\right)-x\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta_{j+1} K_{j} x\left(t_{j}\right), \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n  \tag{2.2}\\
\left(T_{n} x\right)\left(t_{0}\right)-x\left(t_{0}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus $\left(T_{n} x\right)(t)-x(t)$ is a polygonal function with $n$ equal steps, $\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right], i=$ $1,2, \cdots, n$, whose values at $t_{i}$ are given by (2.2). The function $\left(T_{n} x\right)(t)$
is a polygonal function with $n$ equal steps if and only if $x(t)$ is.
For later reference we remark at this point that since $x(t), t \in[0,1]$, $x \in C_{w}$ is a stochastic process on the probabity space $\left(C_{w}, \mathfrak{F}^{*}, m\right)$ with $m$ given by ( 1,2 ), we have for every real valued $\mathfrak{B}^{n}$-measurable function $f\left[\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{n}\right]$ on $R^{n}$

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{C_{w}} & f\left[x\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \cdots, x\left(\frac{n}{n}\right)\right] m(d x)  \tag{2.3}\\
= & \frac{1}{\left\{(2 \pi)^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[b\left(t_{i}\right)-b\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(n) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left[\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{n}\right] \\
& \times \exp \left\{-\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\xi_{i}-\xi_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{\beta_{i}}\right\} d \eta_{1} \cdots d \eta_{n}
\end{array}
$$

in the sense that the existence of one side implies that of the other and the equality of the two.

Lemma 1. Let $H\left[\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{n}\right]$ be a real valued, bounded and continuous function on $R^{n}$ and let $G[y], y \in C_{w}$, be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G[y]=H\left[y\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \cdots, y\left(\frac{n}{n}\right)\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\sigma_{w}} G[y] m(d y)= & \int_{C_{w}} G\left[T_{n} x\right] \exp \left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{i-1} x\left(t_{i-1}\right)\left[x\left(t_{i}\right)-x\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right]\right\}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \times \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} K_{i-1}^{2} x^{2}\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right\} m(d x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. According to (2.3),

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{C_{w}} G[y] m(d y)= & \left\{\frac{n^{n}}{(2 \pi)^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}}\right\}^{1 / 2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(n) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H\left[\eta_{1}, \cdots \eta_{n}\right]  \tag{2.6}\\
& \times \exp \left\{-\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\eta_{i}-\eta_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{\beta_{i}}\right\} d \eta_{1} \cdots d \eta_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integrals exist from the boundedness of $H$. Consider the transformation $S_{n}$ of $\xi=\left[\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{n}\right] \in R^{n}$ into $\eta=\left[\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{n}\right] \in R^{n}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=S_{n} \xi ; \quad \eta_{i}=\xi_{i}+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \beta_{j+1} K_{j} \xi_{j}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Jacobian of this transformation is equal to 1. Applying (2.7) to the right side of (2.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{C_{w}} G[y] m(d y)  \tag{2.8}\\
& \qquad=\left\{\frac{n^{n}}{(2 \pi)^{n} \prod_{\imath=1}^{n} \beta_{i}}\right\}^{1 / 2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(n) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H\left[\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{n}+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \beta_{j+1} K_{j} \xi_{j}\right] \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{i-1} \xi_{i-1}\left(\xi_{i}-\xi_{i-1}\right)\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} K_{i-1}^{2} \xi_{i-1}^{2}-\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\xi_{i}-\xi_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{\beta_{i}}\right\} d \xi_{1} \cdots d \xi_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand in the right side of (2.5) we have by (2.4), (2.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left[T_{n} x\right] & =H\left[\left(T_{n} x\right)\left(t_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(T_{n} x\right)\left(t_{n}\right)\right] \\
& =H\left[x\left(t_{1}\right), \cdots, x\left(t_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \beta_{j+1} K_{j} x\left(t_{j}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we apply (2.3) to the right side of (2.5) the result is precisely the right side of (2.8). This proves (2.5).

Lemma 2. Let $X$ be a random variable on a probability space ( $\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, P$ ) which is distributed normally with mean 0 and variance v. Let $Y$ be a random variable on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, P)$ which is measurable with respect to a $\sigma$-field $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{B}$. If the $\sigma$-field $\sigma(X) \subset \mathfrak{B}$ generated by $X$ and the $\sigma$-field $\mathfrak{A}$ are independent then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{\left.\exp \left\{X Y-\frac{1}{2} v Y^{2}\right\} \right\rvert\, \mathfrak{2}\right\}=1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof will appear in [3].
Lemma 3. Let $X(t, x)$ be the stochastic process on the probability space $\left(C_{w}, \mathfrak{F}^{*}, m\right)$ and the domain of definition $D=[0,1]$ defined by $X(t, x)=x(t)$ for $x \in C_{w}$ and $t \in D$. Let $g(t)$ be a real valued function on $D$ and let $f_{n}(t, x)$ be an a stochastic process on $\left(C_{w}, \mathfrak{F}^{*}, m\right)$ and $D$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(t, x)=g\left(\frac{[n t]}{n}\right) X\left(\frac{[n t]}{n}, x\right), \text { for } x \in C_{w} \text { and } t \in D \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the stochastic integral $I\left(f_{n}\right)(t, x)$ of the process $f_{n}(t, x)$ with respect to the Brownian motion process with nonstationary increments $X(t, x)$ stisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left[\exp \left\{I\left(f_{n}\right)\left(\frac{i}{n}, x\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{i / n} f_{n}^{2}(t, x) d b(t)\right\}\right] & =1  \tag{2.11}\\
\text { for } & i=1,2, \cdots, n
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since $f_{n}$ is a stochastic step function

$$
I\left(f_{n}\right)\left(\frac{i}{n}, x\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{i} f_{n}\left(\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\left\{X\left(\frac{j}{n}, x\right)-X\left(\frac{j-1}{n}, x\right)\right\} .
$$

Let

$$
Y_{j}(x)=f_{n}\left(\frac{j-1}{n}, x\right)\left\{X\left(\frac{j}{n}, x\right)-X\left(\frac{j-1}{n}, x\right)\right\}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta_{j}}{n} f_{n}^{2}\left(\frac{j-1}{n}, x\right) .
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{\beta_{j}}{n} f_{n}^{2}\left(\frac{j-1}{n}, x\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{i} f_{n}^{2}\left(\frac{j-1}{n}, x\right)\left\{b\left(t_{j}\right)-b\left(t_{j_{-1}-1}\right)\right\}=\int_{0}^{i / n} f_{n}^{2}(t, x) d b(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
Z_{i}(x)=\exp \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{i} Y_{j}(x)\right\}=\exp \left\{I\left(f_{n}\right)\left(\frac{i}{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{i / n} f_{n}^{2}(t, x) d b(t)\right\} .
$$

In terms of $Z_{i}$, (2.11) becomes $E\left(Z_{i}\right)=1$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$.
Let $\mathfrak{\Re}_{i}=\sigma\{X(j / n, \cdot), j=0,1,2, \cdots, i\}$ for $i=0,1,2, \cdots, n$. Then $f_{n}(t, \cdot)$ is $\mathfrak{श i}_{i}$-measurable for $t \in[0,(i+1) / n]$ so that in particular $f_{n}((i-1) / n, \cdot)$ is $\mathfrak{U}_{i-1}$-measurable for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$. The random variable $X(i / n, \cdot)-$ $X((i-1) / n, \cdot)$ is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance $b\left(t_{i}\right)-$ $b\left(t_{i-1}\right)=\beta_{i} / n$. Also the $\sigma$-field $\sigma\{X(i / n, \cdot)\}$ and the $\sigma$-field $\mathscr{I}_{i-1}$ are independent. Thus by Lemma 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\exp \left\{Y_{i}\right\} \mid \mathscr{N}_{i-1}\right]=1 \text { for } i=1,2, \cdots, n . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed to show that $E\left(Z_{i}\right)=1$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$ by induction. First of all, $f_{n}(0, x)=0, Y_{1}(x)=0, Z_{1}(x)=1$ for $x \in C_{w}$ so that $E\left(Z_{1}\right)=1$. Now suppose $E\left(Z_{i}\right)=1$. Then

$$
E\left(Z_{i+1}\right)=E\left[Z_{i} \exp \left\{Y_{i+1}\right\}\right]=E\left[E\left[Z_{i} \exp \left\{Y_{i+1}\right\} \mid \mathscr{E}_{i}\right]\right] .
$$

Since $Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{i}$ are all श. $_{i}$-measurable so is $Z_{i}$ and consequently

$$
E\left[Z_{i} \exp \left\{Y_{i+1}\right\} \mid \mathscr{V}_{i}\right]=Z_{i} E\left[\exp \left\{Y_{i+1}\right\} \mid \mathscr{\mathscr { Z }}_{i}\right]=Z_{i} .
$$

Thus $E\left(Z_{i+1}\right)=E\left(Z_{i}\right)=1$. This completes the proof for $E\left(Z_{i}\right)=1$ by induction.

Let $L_{n}$ be the linear transformation of $C_{w}$ into $C_{w}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(L_{n} x\right)(t)=x\left(t_{i-1}\right)+\frac{x\left(t_{i}\right)-x\left(t_{i-1}\right)}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\left(t-t_{i-1}\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
& \text { for } t \in\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right], x \in C_{w}, \text { and } i=1,2, \cdots, n .
\end{align*}
$$

## Clearly

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left\|L_{n} x\right\|\right\|=\max _{i=1, \cdots, n}\left|x\left(\frac{i}{n}\right)\right| \leqq \mid\|x\| \| \text { and }  \tag{2.14}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left\|L_{n} x-x\right\|\right|=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also for $T$ and $T_{n}$ defined by (1.4) and (2.1) respectively, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow 0}\| \| L_{n} T_{n} x-T x \|=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from

$$
\left\|\left\|L_{n} T_{n} x-T x\right\|\right\| \leqq \mid\left\|L_{n} T_{n} x-L_{n} T x\right\|\|+\| L_{n} T x-T x\| \|
$$

where

$$
\left\|\left\|L_{n} T_{n} x-L_{n} T x\right\|\right\| \leqq\left|\left|T_{n} x-T x\right| \|\right.
$$

by (2.14), $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}| |\left|T_{n} x-T x\|\mid\|=0\right.$ from the uniform continuity of $b^{\prime}(t) K(t)$ on $[0,1]$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}| |\left|L_{n} T x-T x\right|| |=0$ by (2.15).

Lemma 4. Let $X(t, x), g(t)$ and $f_{n}(t, x)$ be as defined in Lemma 3. Then the random variables $Z_{n}(x)$, on $\left(C_{w}, \mathfrak{F}^{*}, m\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}(x)=\exp \left\{I\left(f_{n}\right)(1, x)-\int_{0}^{1} f_{n}^{2}(t, x) d b(t)\right\} \quad n=1,2 \cdots \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

are uniformly integrable on $C_{w}$. If $g(t)$ is bounded on $D$ then for every $B \geqq 0$ the random variables $Y_{n}(x), n=1,2, \cdots$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}(x)=\chi_{[0, B]}\left(\| \| L_{n} x \| \mid\right) \exp \left\{I\left(f_{n}\right)(1, x)\right\} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

are uniformly integrable on $C_{w}$.
Proof. For $\alpha \geqq 0$ let

$$
\Gamma_{\alpha, n}=\left\{x \in C_{w} ; Z_{n}(x)>\alpha\right\}
$$

To show the uniform integrability of $Z_{n}, n=1,2, \cdots$, we show that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $A \geqq 0$ such that

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{A, n}} Z_{n}(x) m(d x)<\varepsilon, \text { for } n=1,2, \cdots
$$

For each $n$ define a function $I_{n}(\alpha, x)$ on $[0, \infty) \times C_{w}$ by

$$
I_{n}(\alpha, x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { when } \quad \alpha<Z_{n}(x) \\
0 & \text { when } & \alpha \geqq Z_{n}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $Z_{n}(x)=\int_{[0, \infty)} I_{n}(\alpha, x) d \alpha$ for every $x \in C_{w}$. Thus for an arbitrary $A \geqq 0$, by Tonelli's Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma_{A, n}} Z_{n}(x) m(d x) & =\int_{[0, \infty)}\left\{\int_{\Gamma_{A, n}} I_{n}(\alpha, x) m(d x)\right\} d \alpha \\
& =\int_{[0, \infty)} m\left(\left\{x ; Z_{n}(x)>A\right\} \cap\left\{x ; Z_{n}(x)>\alpha\right\}\right) d \alpha \\
& =\int_{[0, A]} m\left(\left\{x ; Z_{n}(x)>A\right\}\right) d \alpha+\int_{(A, \infty)} m\left(\left\{x ; Z_{n}(x)>\alpha\right\}\right) d \alpha \\
& =A m\left(\Gamma_{A, n}\right)+\int_{(A, \infty)} m\left(\Gamma_{\alpha, n}\right) d \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for $\alpha>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
m\left(\Gamma_{\alpha, n}\right) & \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} \int_{C_{w}} Z_{n}^{2}(x) m(d x) \\
& =\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} \int_{C_{w}} \exp \left\{2\left[I\left(f_{n}\right)(1, x)-\int_{0}^{1} f_{n}^{2}(t, x) d b(t)\right]\right\} m(d x)=\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since the last integral is equal to 1 according to Lemma 3 applied to $2 f_{n}$. Then for $A>2 / \varepsilon$

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{A, n}} Z_{n}(x) m(d x) \leqq A \frac{1}{A^{2}}+\int_{(A, \infty)} \frac{1}{A^{2}} d \alpha=\frac{2}{A}<\varepsilon \quad \text { for } \quad n=1,2, \cdots
$$

proving the uniform integrability of $Z_{n}, n=1,2, \cdots$.
Finally consider the case where $g(t)$ is bounded on $D$. Now

$$
\max _{t \in D}\left|X\left(\frac{[n t]}{n}\right)\right|=\left\|\left|\left|L_{n} x \|\right|\right.\right.
$$

Thus $x \in C_{w},\left|\left|\left|L_{n} x\right| \|\right| \leqq B\right.$ and $B \geqq 0$ imply $| f_{n}(t, x)|\leqq|||g||| B$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f_{n}^{2}(t, x) d b(t) \leqq\|\mid g\|^{2} B^{2}[b(1)-b(0)] .
$$

Then with

$$
\gamma=\exp \left\{\| \| g \|^{2} B^{2}[b(1)-b(0)]\right\}
$$

we have

$$
Y_{n}(x)=\chi_{[0, B]}\left(| |\left|L_{n} x \|\right| Z_{n}(x) \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{1} f_{n}^{2}(t, x) d b(t)\right\} \leqq \gamma Z_{n}(x)\right.
$$

Therefore when $\alpha \geqq \gamma A$

$$
\int_{\left\{x: Y_{n}(x)>\alpha\right\}} Y_{n}(x) m(d x) \leqq \gamma \int_{\left\{x ; Z_{n}(x)>\alpha / \gamma\right\}} Z_{n}(x) m(d x)<\gamma \varepsilon \quad \text { for } \quad n=1,2, \cdots
$$

proving the uniform integrability of $Y_{n}, n=1,2, \cdots$.
Lemma 5. If $x \in C_{w}$ and for some $M \geqq 0$

$$
\left\|\left|\left|L_{n} x\right| \|>M \exp \left\{\|\mid\| b^{\prime} K\| \|\right.\right.\right.
$$

then

$$
\left\|\left\|L_{n} T_{n} x\right\|>M\right.
$$

Proof. As in the Volterra integral equation theory one can show that $T_{n}$ defined by (2.1) transforms $C_{w}$ one-to-one onto $C_{w}, T_{n}$ and $T_{n}^{-1}$ are bounded linear operators and

$$
\left\|T_{n}^{-1}\right\| \leqq \exp \left\{\left\|b^{\prime} K\right\| \|\right\}
$$

Now for an arbitrary $x \in C_{w}$ which satisfies $\||x|\|>M \exp \left\{\mid\left\|b^{\prime} K\right\| \|\right.$ for some $M \geqq 0$ we have $\|\|x\|>M\| T_{n}^{-1} \|$. Then $\left\|\mid T_{n} x\right\|>M$ for otherwise we would have $\left|\left|\left|T_{n} x\right|\right|\right| \leqq M$ and consequently

$$
M\left\|T_{n}^{-1}\right\|<\| \| x\left\|\left|=\left|\left\|T_{n}^{-1} T_{n} x\right\|\|\leqq\| T_{n}^{-1}\| \|\left\|T_{n} x\right\|\right| \leqq\left\|T_{n}^{-1}\right\| M\right.\right.
$$

a contradiction. Since the above $x \in C_{w}$ is arbitrary, in particular $\left\|\mid L_{n} x\right\|>$ $M \exp \left\{\left|\left\|b^{\prime} K\right\|\right| \mid\right\}$ implies $\left|\left|\mid T_{n} L_{n} x \|>M\right.\right.$. But by (2.1) and (2.13), $T_{n} L_{n} x=$ $L_{n} T_{n} x$. Thus $\left\|\left\|L_{n} T_{n} x\right\|>M\right.$.
3. Proof of Theorem 1. From the natural one-to-one correspondence between the polygonal functions on $[0,1]$ which have $n$ equal steps and vanish at $t=0$ and the elements of $R^{n}$ there exists for the real valued functional $F[y], y \in C_{w}$, a real valued function $H\left[\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{n}\right]$ on $R^{n}$ such that $F\left[L_{n} y\right]=H[y(1 / n), \cdots, y(n / n)] \equiv G[y]$ for $y \in C_{w}$. The boundedness and continuity of $F$ on $C_{w}$ imply the same for $H$ on $R^{n}$ with respect to the uniform topology of $R^{n}$. Now for $T_{n}$ defined by (2.1) we have

$$
G\left[T_{n} x\right]=H\left[\left(T_{n} x\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \cdots,\left(T_{n} x\right)\left(\frac{n}{n}\right)\right]=F\left[L_{n} T_{n} x\right], \quad \text { for } \quad x \in C_{w}
$$

so that according to Lemma 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{w}} F\left[L_{n} y\right] m(d y)=\int_{C_{w}} F\left[L_{n} T_{n} x\right] J_{n}[x] m(d x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{n}[x]= & \exp \left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{i-1} x\left(t_{i-1}\right)\left[x\left(t_{i}\right)-x\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right]\right\}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \times \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} K_{i-1}^{2} x^{2}\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain (1.5) by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.1). This is done as follows.
On the left side of (3.1) since $F$ is bounded on $C_{w}$, by applying the Bounded Convergence Theorem and then by (2.15) and the continuity of $F$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_{w}} F\left[L_{n} y\right] m(d y)=\int_{C_{w}} F[y] m(d y) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the right side of (3.1) let $M \geqq 0$ be such that $F[x]=0$ for $\|x\| \| \geqq M$. By Lemma 5, $\left|\left\|L_{n} x\right\|\right| \| B$ with $B=M \exp \left\{\left\|| | b^{\prime} K \mid\right\|\right\}$ implies $\left|\left|\left|L_{n} T_{n} x \|| |\right.\right.\right.$ $M$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{w}} F\left[L_{n} T_{n} x\right] J_{n}[x] m(d x)=\int_{C_{w}} \chi_{[0, B]}\left(\left|\left\|L_{n} x\right\|\right| \mid\right) F\left[L_{n} T_{n} x\right] J_{n}[x] m(d x) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4 the functionals on $C_{w}$

$$
\chi_{[0, B]}\left(| |\left|L_{n} x\right|| |\right) \exp \left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{i-1} x\left(t_{i-1}\right)\left[x\left(t_{i}\right)-x\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right]\right\}, n=1,2, \cdots
$$

are uniformly integrable on $C_{w}$. Then since $F$ is bounded on $C_{w}$ and

$$
\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} K_{\imath-1}^{2} x^{2}\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right\} \leqq 1 \quad \text { for } \quad x \in C_{w}, n=1,2, \cdots,
$$

the functionals on $C_{w}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{[0, B]}\left(| |\left|L_{n} x\right|| |\right) F\left[L_{n} T_{n} x\right] J_{n}[x], \quad n=1,2, \cdots \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are uniformly integrable on $C_{w}$.
According to (2.16) and the continuity of $F$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left[L_{n} T_{n} x\right]=F[T x] \quad x \in C_{w}
$$

Also

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} K_{i-1}^{2} x^{2}\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right\}=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} b^{\prime}(t) K^{2}(t) x^{2}(t) d t\right\} \quad x \in C_{w}
$$

Let $f_{n}(t, x)=K([n t] / n) X([n t] / n), n=1,2, \cdots$, and $f(t, x)=K(t) X(t, x)$, for $x \in C_{w}, t \in[0,1]$. For each $x \in C_{w}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(t, x)=f(t, x)$ uniformly on [0, 1] so that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left[f_{n}(t, x)-f(t, x)\right]^{2} d b(t)=0
$$

and this implies (see for instance pp. 185-186, Itô [5]) that $I\left(f_{n}\right)(1, x)$ converges to $I(f)(1, x)$ in the $m$ measure. Thus the sequence of functionals on $C_{w}$ given by (3.5) converges in $m$ measure to

$$
\chi_{[0, B]}(| ||x|| |) F[T x] \exp \{-I(f)(1, x)\} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} b^{\prime}(t) K^{2}(t) x^{2}(t) d t\right\} .
$$

Since the functionals given by (3.5) are integrable and uniformly integrable on $C_{w}$ the above convergence in measure justifies passing to the limit under the integral in (3.4) and have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_{w}} F\left[L_{n} T_{n} x\right] J_{n}[x] m(x)  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\int_{C_{w}} \chi_{[0, B]}(|\|x\||| | F[T x] \exp \{-I(f)(1, x)\} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} b^{\prime}(t) K^{2}(t) x^{2}(t) d t\right\} m(d x)
\end{align*}
$$

Now $|||x|||>B$ implies $\left|\mid L_{n} x \|>B\right.$ for sufficiently large $n$. For such $n\left|\left|\left|T_{n} x\left\|\left|\geqq \max _{i=1, \ldots, n}\right|\left(T_{n} x\right)(i / n)\left|=\left|\left|\left|L_{n} T_{n} x\|\mid\| M\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$ by Lemma 5. Thus for $|||x|||>B$ we have $||T x| \| \geqq M$ and consequently $F[T x]=0$. Therefore in the integrand on the right side of (3.6) we may drop the factor $\chi_{[0, B]}(| ||x|| |)$ without disturbing the equality of the two sides. Now (3.1), (3.3) and (3.6) give (1.5).

The proof of Theorem 2 is omitted since it is the same as the proof of Theorem 1 given in [1].
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