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1. The purpose of this paper is to improve on the $n$-dimensional extension of the big Picard's theorem given by H. Wu in his paper [7]. We shall prove the following Theorems A and B.

Theorem A. Let $M$ be a complex manifold, $S$ a regular thin analytic subset of $M$ and $f$ a holomorphic map of $M-S$ into the $N$-dimensional complex projective space $P_{N}(C)$. If $f$ is of rank $r$ somewhere and if $f(M-S)$ omits $2 N-r+2$ hyperplanes in general position, then $f$ can be extended to a holomorphic map of $M$ into $P_{N}(C)$, where the rank of $f$ at a point $x \in M-S$ means the rank of the Jacobian matrix of $f$ at $x$.

This is a generalization of Theorem 5.1 in [3]. Indeed, putting $r=1$ in Theorem A, we see that every non-constant holomorphic map of $M-S$ into $P_{N}(C)$ excluding $2 N+1$ hyperplanes in general position can be holomorphically extended to $M$.

Theorem B. Let $f$ be a holomorphic map of the $n$-dimensional complex euclidean space $C^{n}$ into $P_{N}(C)$ excluding $h$ hyperplanes in general position $(h \geqq N+1)$. Then $f\left(C^{n}\right)$ is included in a linear subvariety of dimension $[N /(h-N)]$ in $P_{N}(C)$, where $[N /(h-N)]$ denotes the largest integer which does not exceed $N /(h-N)$.

Consider the special case $h=2 N+1$. If $f\left(C^{n}\right)$ omits $2 N+1$ hyperplanes in general position; then $f$ reduces to a constant (c.f., [2], Theorem IV). This is an improvement of the result of H . Wu in [7]. Moreover, Theorem B implies that the image of any non-degenerate holomorphic map $f$ of $C^{N}$ into $P_{N}(C)$ cannot omit $N+2$ hyperplanes in general position, because $f\left(C^{N}\right)$ includes a non-empty open subset of $P_{N}(C)$ which is of dimension $N(>N /((N+2)-N)=N / 2)$. This gives an affirmative answer to the conjecture of $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{Wu}$ in [7].
2. The proofs of Theorems A and B are based on the following

THEOREM 1. Let $f_{0}(z), f_{1}(z), \cdots, f_{N+1}(z)$ be $N+2$ nowhere zero holomorphic functions on $\left\{r_{0}<|z|<\infty\right\}$ in $C^{1}$, where $r_{0}$ is a non-negative real number. If $\sum_{i=0}^{N+1} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$, then there is a partition of indices $I=$
$\{0,1, \cdots, N+1\}=I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{k}\left(I_{l} \cap I_{m}=\varnothing, l \neq m\right)$ with the property that for each $l(1 \leqq l \leqq k)$ (i) $\sum_{i \in I_{l}} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$ and (ii) any $f_{i} f_{j}^{-1}\left(i, j \in I_{l}\right)$ can be meromorphically extended to $\left\{r_{0}<|z| \leqq \infty\right\}$.

It was firstly stated by H. Cartan in [1] that Theorem 1 is shown by the same argument as in the proof of the classical theorem of E. Borel (c.f., for example, Proposition 5.15 in [8]) given by R. Nevanlinna in [5]. But, the H. Cartan's statements seem to be incomplete, so we describe here the outline of the proof. E. Borel's theorem asserts that for any nowhere zero holomorphic functions $f_{i}(z)(0 \leqq i \leqq N+1)$ on $C^{1}$ with $\sum_{i=0}^{N+1} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$ there is a (non-trivial) linear relation over $C$ among any $N+1$ of them, where $N \geqq 1$. To prove this, R. Nevanlinna showed that, if there is no linear relation among the functions $f_{1} f_{0}^{-1}, \cdots, f_{N+1} f_{0}^{-1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varliminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T\left(r, f_{i} f_{0}^{-1}\right)}{\log r}<\infty \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $i(1 \leqq i \leqq N+1)$, where $T\left(r, f_{i} f_{0}^{-1}\right)$ denotes the Nevanlinna's characteristic function of $f_{i} f_{0}^{-1}$. According to this fact, he concluded that any $f_{i} f_{0}^{-1}(1 \leqq i \leqq N+1)$ reduces to a constant, which contradicts the assumption. The arguments used there can be also applied to nowhere zero holomorphic functions $f_{i}(z)(0 \leqq i \leqq N+1)$ on $\left\{r_{0}<|z|<\infty\right\}$ with $\sum_{i=0}^{N+1} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$ and we can conclude the inequality (I) under the assumption that $f_{i} f_{0}^{-1}(1 \leqq i \leqq N+1)$ are linearly independent. Thus, it is not difficult to show that each $f_{i} f_{0}^{-1}$ has a meromorphic extension to $\left\{r_{0}<\right.$ $|z| \leqq \infty\}$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, it suffices to take the partition $I=I_{I} \cup \cdots \cup I_{k}$ such that for each $I_{l}$ (i) $f_{i} f_{j}^{-1}\left(i, j \in I_{l}\right)$ can be meromorphically extended to a neighborhood of $\infty$ and (ii) $f_{i} f_{j}^{-1}\left(i \in I_{l}, j \in I_{m}, l \neq m\right)$ has an essential singularity at $\infty$. We may assume that $I_{1}=\left\{0,1, \cdots, i_{1}\right\}$, $I_{2}=\left\{i_{1}+1, i_{1}+2, \cdots, i_{2}\right\}, \cdots, I_{k}=\left\{i_{k-1}+1, i_{k-1}+2, \cdots, i_{k}\right\}$, where $i_{k}=$ $N+1$. Then we have $\sum_{l=1}^{k} g_{l}(z) f_{i_{l}}(z) \equiv 0$ with the functions $g_{l}=\sum_{i \in I_{l}} f_{i} f_{i_{l}}^{-1}$. Since each $g_{l}$ has no essential singularity at $\infty$, each $\Phi_{l}(z)=g_{l}(z) f_{i_{l}}(z)$ is an identically vanishing or nowhere zero holomorphic functions on $\left\{r_{0}^{\prime}<\right.$ $|\boldsymbol{z}|<\infty\}$ for a suitable $r_{0}^{\prime}\left(r_{0} \leqq r_{0}^{\prime}<\infty\right)$. Assume that $\Phi_{l} \not \equiv 0$ for some $l$. Changing indices, we may assume that $\Phi_{l} \not \equiv 0$ if $1 \leqq l \leqq k^{\prime}$ and $\Phi_{l} \equiv 0$ if $k^{\prime}+1 \leqq l \leqq k$. Obviously, each $\Phi_{l} \Phi_{m}^{-1}\left(1 \leqq l<m \leqq k^{\prime}\right)$ has an essential singularity at $\infty$. By the above argument, there is a linear relation among any $k^{\prime}-1$ of $\Phi_{l}\left(1 \leqq l \leqq k^{\prime}\right)$. Applying this repeatedly to obtained linear relations, we obtain an absurd conclusion $\Phi_{1} \equiv 0$. Thus we have Theorem 1.
3. Now, we generalize Theorem 1 to the case of holomorphic functions of several variables.

THEOREM 2. Let $f_{0}(z), f_{1}(z), \cdots, f_{N+1}(z)$ be nowhere zero holomorphic functions on the domain $D_{0}$ obtained from the unit polydisc $D=\left\{\left|z_{i}\right|<1\right.$, $1 \leqq i \leqq n\}$ by deleting the set $S=\left\{z_{1}=0\right\} \cap D$ in $C^{n}$ and suppose that $\sum_{i=0}^{N+1} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$ in $D_{0}$. Then, there is a partition of indices $I=I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup$ $I_{k}\left(I_{l} \cap I_{m}=\varnothing, l \neq m\right)$ with the property that for each $l$ (i) $\sum_{i \in I_{l}} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$ and (ii) $f_{i} f_{i_{l}}^{-1}\left(i \in I_{l}\right)$ has a holomorphic extension to $D$ with a suitable $i_{l} \in I_{l}$.

Proof. In virtue of Theorem 1, we may assume $n \geqq 2$. The set $I$ of indices is divided into subclasses $I_{l}(1 \leqq l \leqq k)$ such that in $D_{0} \sum_{i \in I_{l}} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$ and $\sum_{i \in I^{\prime}} f_{i}(z) \not \equiv 0$ for any proper subset $I^{\prime}$ of $I_{l}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $k=1$, i.e., $\sum_{i \in I^{\prime}} f_{i}(z) \not \equiv 0$ for any $I^{\prime} \varsubsetneqq I$. For each $I^{\prime} \subsetneq I$, we consider the set

$$
V_{I^{\prime}}=\left\{z^{\prime} \in D^{\prime} ; \sum_{i \in I^{\prime}} f_{i}\left(z_{1}, z^{\prime}\right) \equiv 0 \text { as a function of } z_{1}\right\},
$$

where $D^{\prime}=\left\{z^{\prime}=\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) ;\left|z_{i}\right|<1,2 \leqq i \leqq n\right\}$. By the assumption, each $V_{I^{\prime}}$ and so the union $V$ of all $V_{I^{\prime}}\left(I^{\prime} \subsetneq I\right)$ are thin analytic subsets of $D^{\prime}$. Take an arbitrary $z^{\prime} \in D^{\prime}-V$. As a function of $z_{1}, \sum_{i \in I^{\prime}} f_{i}\left(z_{1}, z^{\prime}\right) \not \equiv 0$ for any $I^{\prime} \subsetneq I$. By Theorem 1, each holomorphic function

$$
g_{i j}\left(z_{1}, z^{\prime}\right)=f_{i}\left(z_{1}, z^{\prime}\right) f_{j}\left(z_{1}, z^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \quad(i, j \in I)
$$

can be meromorphically extended to the unit disc $\left\{\left|z_{1}\right|<1\right\}$ as a function of $z_{1}$. As is easily seen by the theorem of Rouché, the order $m_{i j}$ of zero of each meromorphic function $g_{i j}\left(z_{1}, z^{\prime}\right)$ at $z_{1}=0$ is a constant which is independent of each $z^{\prime} \in D^{\prime}-V$. This means that $h_{i j}\left(z_{1}, z^{\prime}\right)=z_{1}^{-m_{i j}} g_{i j}\left(z_{1}, z^{\prime}\right)$ is a nowhere zero holomorphic function of $z_{1}$ on $\left\{\left|z_{1}\right|<1\right\}$ for each fixed $z^{\prime} \in D^{\prime}-V$. It is easily shown by the Cauchy integral formula that $h_{i j}$ is holomorphic on $(D-S) \cap\left\{\left|z_{1}\right|<1, z^{\prime} \in D^{\prime}-V\right\}$. Moreover, since codim $\left(\left\{z_{1}=0\right\} \times V\right) \geqq 2$ in $D$, each $h_{i j}$ has a nowhere zero holomorphic extension to $D$ by Riemann's theorem on removable singularities. If $m_{i_{0} 1}=\min \left(m_{11}\right.$, $\left.m_{21}, \cdots, m_{N_{1}}\right)$, each $f_{i} f_{i_{0}}^{-1}(i \in I)$ is obviously holomorphically extended to $D$.

Corollary 3. Let $f_{0}(z), f_{1}(z), \cdots, f_{N+1}(z)$ be nowhere zero holomorphic functions on $C^{n}$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{N+1} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$. Then there is a partition of indices $I=I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{k}\left(I_{l} \cap I_{m}=\varnothing, l \neq m\right)$ such that for each $l$ (i) $\sum_{i \in I_{l}} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$ and (ii) any $f_{i} f_{j}^{-1}\left(i, j \in I_{l}\right)$ reduces to a constant.

Proof. We may assume that $\sum_{i \in I} f_{i}(z) \not \equiv 0$ for any $I^{\prime} \sqsubseteq I$. Applying Theorem 2 to the holomorphic functions $g_{i}\left(z_{1}, z^{\prime}\right)=f_{i}\left(1 / z_{1}, z^{\prime}\right)$ on $\left\{0<\left|z_{1}\right|<\right.$ $\infty\} \times C^{n-1}, g_{i} g_{i_{0}}^{-1}$ is bounded holomorphic on $C^{1}$ for a suitable $i_{0} \in I$ and for any fixed $z^{\prime}$ in $C^{n-1}$. Therefore, each $f_{i} f_{j}^{-1}$ is a constant function of $z_{1}$.

The similar assertions are valid for the other coordinates. Thus we have Corollary 3.
4. Consider the unit polydisc $D$ and the subset $S=\left\{z_{1}=0\right\} \cap D$ of $D$. For non-zero holomorphic functions $f_{0}(z), f_{1}(z), \cdots, f_{N}(z)$ on $D-S$, we have the uniquely determined partition of indices $J=\{0,1, \cdots, N\}=$ $J_{1} \cup \cdots \cup J_{p}$ with the following property:
$\left({ }^{*}\right)$ Each $f_{i} f_{\rho}^{-1}$ has a meromorphic extension to $D$ if $i, j \in J_{q}(1 \leqq q \leqq p)$ and has essential singularities on $S$ if $i \in J_{q}, j \in J_{q^{\prime}}\left(q \neq q^{\prime}\right)$.

Lemma 4. In the above situation, if $f_{i}(z) \neq 0 \quad(0 \leqq i \leqq N)$ and if $\sum_{i=0}^{N} f_{i}(z) \neq 0$ everywhere on $D-S$, then $\sum_{i \in J_{q}} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$ for any $q(1 \leqq q \leqq p)$ except exactly one index $q_{0}$.

Proof. Put $f_{N+1}=-\left(f_{0}+f_{1}+\cdots+f_{N}\right)$, which vanishes nowhere on $D-S$. Applying Theorem 2 to the identity $\sum_{i=0}^{N+1} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$, we have a partition of indices $\{0,1, \cdots, N+1\}=I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{k}$ such that $\sum_{i \in I_{l}} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$ and $f_{i} f_{j}^{-1}$ is meromorphic on $D$ for each $i, j \in I_{l}(1 \leqq l \leqq k)$. It may be assumed that $N+1 \in I_{k}$. Then, by the property of $J_{q}$, we have $I_{l} \subset J_{q}$ whenever $I_{l} \cap J_{q} \neq \varnothing$ and $1 \leqq l \leqq k-1$. Moreover, we can take the index $q_{0}$ with $I_{k}-\{N+1\} \subset J_{q_{0}}$. As is easily seen, $\sum_{i \in J_{q}} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$ for any $q \neq q_{0}$ and $\sum_{i \in J_{q_{0}}} f_{i}(z) \not \equiv 0$.

Let $f_{0}(z), f_{1}(z), \cdots, f_{N}(z)$ be non-zero holomorphic functions on $C^{n}$. In this case, we consider the partition of indices $J=J_{1} \cup \cdots \cup J_{p}$ with the following property:
(**) Each $f_{i} f_{j}^{-1}$ is a constant function if $i, j \in J_{q}$ and does not reduce to a constant if $i \in J_{q}, j \in J_{q^{\prime}}\left(q \neq q^{\prime}\right)$.

By the similar argument to that of the proof of Lemma 4, and by using Corollary 3 instead of Theorem 2, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5. In the above situation, if $f_{i}(z) \neq 0 \quad(0 \leqq i \leqq N)$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{N} f_{i}(z) \neq 0$ everywhere on $C^{n}$, then $\sum_{i \in J_{q}} f_{i}(z) \equiv 0$ for any $q$ except exactly one index $q_{0}$.
5. Now, we start to prove Theorem $A$. The argument we use is essentially the same as in the proof of Theorem IV and Theorem V in [2]. Since our problem is of local character, it may be assumed that $M=D=\left\{\left|z_{i}\right|<1,1 \leqq i \leqq n\right\}$ and $S=\left\{z_{1}=0\right\} \cap D$ in $C^{n}$. We can choose a system of homogeneous coordinates $w_{0}: w_{1}: \cdots: w_{N}$ in $P_{N}(C)$ such that the omitted hyperplanes $H_{0}, H_{1}, \cdots, H_{h-1}(h=2 N-r+2)$ can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{i}: w_{i}=0, \quad(0 \leqq i \leqq N), \\
& H_{N+s}: \alpha_{s}^{0} w_{0}+\alpha_{s}^{1} w_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{s}^{N} w_{N}=0, \quad(1 \leqq s \leqq t=h-N-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where any minor of degree $\leqq \min (t, N+1)$ of the matrix $\left(\alpha_{s}^{i}\right)(1 \leqq s \leqq t$, $0 \leqq i \leqq N$ ) does not vanish. Then, the well-defined holomorphic functions $f_{i}=\left(w_{i} \circ f\right)\left(w_{0} \circ f\right)^{-1}(0 \leqq i \leqq N)$ vanish nowhere and

$$
\alpha_{s}^{0} f_{0}+\alpha_{s}^{1} f_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{s}^{N} f_{N} \neq 0 \quad(1 \leqq s \leqq t)
$$

everywhere on $D-S$. Consider the partition of indices $J=\{0,1, \cdots, N\}=$ $J_{1} \cup \cdots \cup J_{p}$ with the property $\left(^{*}\right)$ in $\S 4$ for the holomorphic functions $f_{i}(0 \leqq i \leqq N)$. It suffices to show that $p=1$. Indeed, in this case, each $f_{i} f_{i_{0}}^{-1}(i \in J)$ is holomorphic on $D$ for a suitable $i_{0} \in J$. This shows that $f$ has a holomorphic extension to $D$.

Assume that $p \geqq 2$. Since $\alpha_{s}^{i} \neq 0$ for any $s$ and $i$, each partition of indices with the property ( ${ }^{*}$ ) in $\S 4$ for the functions $\alpha_{s}^{9} f_{0}, \alpha_{s}^{1} f_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{s}^{N} f_{N}$ is given by the above partition $J=J_{1} \cup \cdots \cup J_{p}$. By Lemma 4, for each $s(1 \leqq s \leqq t)$, there is the uniquely determined $q(s)(1 \leqq q(s) \leqq p)$ such that $\sum_{i \in J_{q(s)}} \alpha_{s}^{i} f_{i}(z) \not \equiv 0$. We put $m_{q}=\#\{s ; q(s)=q, 1 \leqq s \leqq t\}$, where $\# A$ denotes the number of elements in a set $A$. Obviously, $t=m_{1}+m_{2}+\cdots+m_{p}$ and $K_{q}=\left\{s ; \sum_{i \in J_{q}} \alpha_{s}^{i} f_{i} \equiv 0,1 \leqq s \leqq t\right\}$ consists of $t-m_{q}$ elements. The image of the map $\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in J_{q}}$ of $D-S$ into $C^{N_{q}}\left(N_{q}=\# J_{q}\right)$ is included in a linear variety $L=\left\{\sum_{i \in J_{q}} \alpha_{s}^{i} w_{i}=0, s \in K_{q}\right\}$ in $C^{N_{q}}$ which is of dimension $N_{q}-$ $\left(t-m_{q}\right)$, because the rank of $\left(\alpha_{s}^{i}\right)\left(s \in K_{q}, i \in J_{q}\right)$ is equal to $\min \left(N_{q}, t-m_{q}\right)$, where $L \neq(0)$. So we see $t-m_{q} \leqq N_{q}-1$. Therefore, by the assumption $p \geqq 2$ the image of the $\operatorname{map}\left(f_{0}, f_{1}, \cdots, f_{N}\right)$ of $D-S$ into $C^{N+1}$ is included in a linear subvariety of dimension

$$
\sum_{q=1}^{p} N_{q}-\left(t-m_{q}\right)=N+1-p t+t=N+1-(p-1) t \leqq N+1-t
$$

Thus, $f(D-S)$ is included in a subvariety of dimension $\leqq(N+1-t)-$ $1=N-t=N-(N-r+1)=r-1$ in $P_{N}(C)$. On the other hand, since $f$ is of rank $r$ somewhere, $f(D-S)$ includes an $r$-dimensional set in $P_{N}(C)$. This is a contradiction. The proof of Theorem A is complete.
6. In Theorem A, we cannot omit the assumption of the regularity of a thin analytic set $S$ in $M$ (c.f., [3], §4). For an arbitrary thin analytic set $S$, we can prove

ThEOREM 6. Under the same condition as in Theorem $A$, if the assumption on the regularity of $S$ is omitted, then $f$ can be extended to a meromorphic map of $M$ into $P_{N}(C)$, i.e., the closure of the graph $G_{f}=$ $\{(z, f(z)): z \in M-S\}$ of $f$ is an analytic subset of $M \times P_{N}(C)$.

Proof. Take a system of homogeneous coordinates $w_{0}: w_{1}: \cdots: w_{N}$ such that $f(M-S) \cap\left\{w_{0}=0\right\}=\varnothing$ and put $f_{i}=\left(w_{i} \circ f\right) \cdot\left(w_{0} \circ f\right)^{-1}(1 \leqq i \leqq N)$. By Theorem A, each $f_{i}$ can be meromorphically extended to a neighborhood of the set $S_{\text {reg }}$ of all regularities of $S$. On the other hand, since $S-S_{\text {reg }}$ is an analytic subset of codimension $\geqq 2$ in $M$, each $f_{i}$ has a meromorphic extension to the whole space $M$. This leads to Theorem 6.

Corollary 7. Let $M$ be a complex manifold, $S$ a thin analytic subset of $M$ and $f$ a holomorphic map of $M-S$ into $P_{N}(C)$ which is of rank $r$ somewhere. If there are hyperplanes $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{h}(h=2 N-r+2)$ in general position such that each $\overline{f^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right)}$ is a thin analytic subset of $M$, then $f$ has a meromorphic extension to $M$.

Proof. Put $S^{\prime}=S \cup\left(\cup_{i=1}^{h} \overline{f^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right)}\right)$. Then $f^{\prime}=f \mid M-S^{\prime}$ has the image in $P_{N}(C)-\cup_{i=1}^{h} H_{i}$, so has a meromorphic extension to $M$ by Theorem 6. This gives Corollary 7.
7. It remains to prove Theorem B. We shall show this by some simple modifications of the proof of Theorem A (c.f., [2]). We use the same notations as in §5. Choosing a suitable system of homogeneous coordinates $w_{0}: w_{1}: \cdots: w_{N}$ in $P_{N}(C)$, we have nowhere zero holomorphic functions $f_{i}(z)=\left(w_{i} \circ f\right) \cdot\left(w_{0} \circ f\right)^{-1}(0 \leqq i \leqq N)$ on $C^{n}$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha_{s}^{i} f_{i}(z) \neq 0$ everywhere for any $s\left(1 \leqq s \leqq t\right.$, where any minor of the matrix $\left(\alpha_{s}^{i}\right)$ does not vanish. Consider the partition $J=\{0,1, \cdots, N\}=J_{1} \cup \cdots \cup J_{p}$ with the property ( ${ }^{* *}$ ) in §4. Using Lemma 5 , for each $s(1 \leqq s \leqq t)$ we have just only one $q(s)(1 \leqq q(s) \leqq p)$ such that $\sum_{i \in J_{q}} \alpha_{s}^{i} f_{i} \not \equiv 0$. Then, putting $m_{q}=$ $\#\{s ; q(s)=q\}$ and $N_{q}=\# J_{q}(1 \leqq q \leqq p)$, we have $t=\sum_{q} m_{q}$ and $t-m_{q} \leqq$ $N_{q}-1$. It follows that

$$
\sum_{q}\left(t-m_{q}\right)=p t-t \leqq \sum_{q}\left(N_{q}-1\right)=N+1-p
$$

so $p \leqq(N+t+1) /(t+1)$. On the other hand, since $f_{i} f_{j}^{-1}\left(i, j \in J_{q}\right)$ is a constant function, the image of the map $\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in J_{q}}$ of $C^{n}$ into $C^{N_{q}}$ is included in a subvariety of dimension one. Therefore, $f\left(C^{n}\right)\left(\subset P_{N}(C)\right)$ is a subset of a linear subvariety of dimension

$$
p-1 \leqq \frac{N+t+1}{t+1}-1=\frac{N}{h-N}
$$

Thus we have Theorem B.
8. Finally, we note that, in the conclusion of Theorem B, we cannot replace the number $n_{0}=[N /(h-N)]$ by smaller ones. Indeed, for an arbitrarily given $h$ hyperplanes $H_{0}, H_{1}, \cdots, H_{h-1}(N+1 \leqq h \leqq 2 N)$ in general position in $P_{N}(C)$, we can construct a holomorphic map $f$ of $C^{n_{0}}$ into $P_{N}(C)-$
$\bigcup_{i=0}^{h-1} H_{i}$ such that $f$ is of rank $n_{0}$ everywhere. As in $\S 5$, for a suitable system of homogeneous coordinates $w_{0}: \cdots: w_{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{i}: w_{i}=0 \quad(0 \leqq i \leqq N) \\
& H_{N+s}: \alpha_{s}^{0} w_{0}+\alpha_{s}^{1} w_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{s}^{N} w_{N}=0 \quad(1 \leqq s \leqq t=h-N-1),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we may assume $\alpha_{s}^{0}=1(1 \leqq s \leqq t)$. Consider $n_{0}$ systems of $t$ linear equations in $t+1(=u)$ unknowns:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1}: & \alpha_{s}^{1} w_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{s}^{u} w_{u}=0,(1 \leqq s \leqq t), \\
\sum_{2} & \alpha_{s}^{u+1} w_{u+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{s}^{2 u} w_{2 u}=0,(1 \leqq s \leqq t) \\
& \quad \cdots \cdots \\
\sum_{n_{0}}: & \alpha_{s}^{\left(n_{0}-1\right) u+1} w_{\left(n_{j}-1\right) u+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{s}^{n_{0} u} w_{n_{i} u}=0, \quad(1 \leqq s \leqq t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, each system $\sum_{\nu}\left(1 \leqq \nu \leqq n_{0}\right)$ has a non-zero vector ( $a_{(\nu-1) u+1}, \cdots, a_{\nu u}$ ) as a solution. Then, any $a_{i}\left(1 \leqq i \leqq n_{0} u\right)$ is not equal to zero because any minor of degree $t$ of the matrix $\left(\alpha_{s}^{i}\right)(1 \leqq s \leqq t, 1 \leqq i \leqq N)$ does not vanish. If $n_{0} u<N$, we take furthermore non-zero real numbers $a_{n_{0} u+1}, \cdots, a_{N}$ such that

$$
\alpha_{s}^{n_{0} u+1} a_{n_{0} u+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{s}^{v} a_{N}+1 \neq 0
$$

for any $s(1 \leqq s \leqq t)$. Now, we put $f_{0}\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n_{0}}\right) \equiv 1$,

$$
f_{i}\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n_{j}}\right)= \begin{cases}a_{i} e^{z_{1}}, & 1 \leqq i \leqq u \\ a_{i} e^{z_{2}}, & u+1 \leqq i \leqq 2 u \\ \cdots \cdots, & \\ a_{i} e^{z_{n_{0}}}, & \left(n_{0}-1\right) u+1 \leqq i \leqq n_{0} u\end{cases}
$$

and $f_{i}\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n_{0}}\right) \equiv a_{i}, n_{0} u+1 \leqq i \leqq N$, if $n_{0} u<N$. Then the map $f=$ $f_{0}: f_{1}: \cdots: f_{N}$ of $C^{n_{0}}$ into $P_{N}(C)$ has the image in $P_{N}(C)-\bigcup_{i=0}^{h-1} H_{i}$ and is of rank $n_{0}$ everywhere.

In particular, considering the case $h=2 N$, we see that there is a non-constant holomorphic map of $C$ into $P_{N}(C)$ excluding $2 N$ arbitrarily pre-assigned hyperplanes in general position. This gives another proof of Theorem 6 in [4] (c.f., W. Stoll, [6]).

AdDENDUM. After submitting the manuscript to the editor, the author received from Dr. M. L. Green the preprint entitled "Holomorphic maps into the complex projective space omitting hyperplanes" to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.. One of his results is the same as our Theorem B and he informed us in his letter that a result quite similar to our Theorem A has also been obtained.

## H. FUJIMOTO
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