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0. Introduction. The almost contact 3 -structure has been defined by Kuo [5, 6], Tachibana [6, 12], Yu [12] and studied by them and Eum [16], Kashiwada [4], Ki [16], Sasaki [10], Yano [16]. Some topics related to almost contact 3 -structures have been considered by Ishihara, Konishi [1, 2, 3] and Tanno [13].

It is well known that the product of a manifold with almost contact 3 -structure and a straight line admits an almost quaternion structure (cf. [5]). Recently, Ako and one of the present authors [14, 15] have proved that, if for an almost quaternion structure $(F, G, H)$ the Nijenhuis tensors $[F, F]$ and $[G, G]$ vanish, then the other Nijenhuis tensors $[H, H],[G, H]$, [ $H, F]$ and $[F, G]$ vanish too (cf. Obata [7]), and that if the Nijenhuis tensor $[F, G]$ vanishes, then the other Nijenhuis tensors $[F, F],[G, G]$, $[H, H],[G, H]$, and $[H, F]$ vanish too. The main purpose of the present paper is to study almost contact 3 -structures in the light of this work.

1. Almost contact 3 -structure. Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional differentiable manifold ${ }^{1)}$ and let $f, U$ and $u$ be a tensor field of type ( 1,1 ), a vector field and a 1 -form in $M$, respectively. If $f, U$ and $u$ satisfy

$$
f^{2}=-I+u \otimes U, \quad f U=0, \quad u \circ f=0, \quad u(U)=1
$$

the 1-form $u \circ f$ being defined by $(u \circ f)(x)=u(f x)^{2}$ and $I$ being the identity tensor field of type $(1,1)$, then the set $(f, U, u)$ is called an almost contact structure (cf. [8, 9, 11]).

Let $f_{1}, f_{2}$ be tensor fields of type $(1,1), U_{1}, U_{2}$ vector fields and $u_{1}$, $u_{2}$ 1-forms in $M$. If ( $f_{1}, U_{1}, u_{1}$ ) and ( $f_{2}, U_{2}, u_{2}$ ) are both almost contact structures and satisfy

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1} f_{2}+f_{2} f_{1}=u_{1} \otimes U_{2}+u_{2} \otimes U_{1}, \quad f_{1} U_{2}+f_{2} U_{1}=0, \\
u_{1} \circ f_{2}+u_{2} \circ f_{1}=0, \quad u_{1}\left(U_{2}\right)=0, \quad u_{2}\left(U_{1}\right)=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

[^0]then the sets $\left(f_{1}, U_{1}, u_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{2}, U_{2}, u_{2}\right)$ are said to define an almost contact 3-structure in $M$.

If $\left(f_{1}, U_{1}, u_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{2}, U_{2}, u_{2}\right)$ define an almost contact 3 -structure, putting

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{3}=f_{1} f_{2}-u_{2} \otimes U_{1}=-f_{2} f_{1}+u_{1} \otimes U_{2} \\
U_{3}=f_{1} U_{2}=-f_{2} U_{1}, \quad u_{3}=u_{1} \circ f_{2}=-u_{2} \circ f_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

we can easily verify that $\left(f_{3}, U_{3}, u_{3}\right)$ defines an almost contact structure. We can also verify

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
f_{1} & =f_{2} f_{3}-u_{3} \otimes U_{2} & f_{2} & =f_{3} f_{1}-u_{1} \otimes U_{3} \\
& =-f_{3} f_{2}+u_{2} \otimes U_{3}, & & =-f_{1} f_{3}+u_{3} \otimes U_{1}, \\
U_{1} & =f_{2} U_{3}=-f_{3} U_{2}, & U_{2} & =f_{3} U_{1}=-f_{1} U_{3}, \\
u_{1} & =u_{2} \circ f_{3}=-u_{3} \circ f_{2}, & u_{2} & =u_{3} \circ f_{1}=-u_{1} \circ f_{3} \\
u_{2}\left(U_{3}\right)=0, & u_{3}\left(U_{2}\right)=0, & u_{3}\left(U_{1}\right)=0, \quad u_{1}\left(U_{3}\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

Therefore any two of $\left(f_{1}, U_{1}, u_{1}\right),\left(f_{2}, U_{2}, u_{2}\right)$ and $\left(f_{3}, U_{3}, u_{3}\right)$ define essentially the same almost contact 3 -structure. In this sense, we say that such almost contact structures $\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right)(\lambda=1,2,3)$ define in $M$ an almost contact 3 -structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$.
2. Almost quaternion structure. Let there be given, in a manifold $\bar{M}$, three tensor fields $F_{\lambda}(\lambda=1,2,3)^{3)}$ of type $(1,1)$ satisfying

$$
F_{\lambda}^{2}=-I, \quad F_{\lambda} F_{\mu}=-F_{\mu} F_{\lambda}=F_{\nu}
$$

where $(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is an even permutation of $(1,2,3)$. Then the set $\left\{F_{\lambda} ; \lambda=\right.$ $1,2,3\}$ is called an almost quaternion structure in $\bar{M}$, where $\bar{M}$ is necessarily $4 m$-dimensional.

For two tensor fields $P$ and $Q$ of type $(1,1)$ in $\bar{M}$, the Nijenhuis tensor $[P, Q]$ of $P$ and $Q$ is, by definition, a tensor field of type (1,2) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2[P, Q](X, Y)=[P X, Q Y]-P[Q X, Y]-Q[X, P Y]  \tag{2.1}\\
& \quad+[Q X, P Y]-Q[P X, Y]-P[X, Q Y]+(P Q+Q P)[X, Y]
\end{align*}
$$

and hence the Nijenhuis tensor $[P, P]$ of $P$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[P, P](X, Y)=[P X, P Y]-P[P X, Y]-P[X, P Y]+P^{2}[X, Y] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ and $Y$ denote arbitrary vector fields in $\bar{M}$. Ako and one of the present authors [14] (cf. [7]) have proved

Theorem A. If, for an almost quaternion structure $\left\{F_{\lambda} ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$,

[^1]the Nijenhuis tensors $\left[F_{1}, F_{1}\right]$ and $\left[F_{2}, F_{2}\right.$ ] vanish, then the other Nijenhuis tensors $\left[F_{3}, F_{3}\right],\left[F_{2}, F_{3}\right],\left[F_{3}, F_{1}\right]$ and $\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]$ vanish too.

They have also proved in [15]
Theorem B. If, for an almost quaternion structure $\left\{F_{\lambda} ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$, the Nijenhuis tensor $\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]$ vanishes, then the other Nijenhuis tensors [ $\left.F_{1}, F_{1}\right],\left[F_{2}, F_{2}\right],\left[F_{3}, F_{3}\right],\left[F_{2}, F_{3}\right]$ and $\left[F_{3}, F_{1}\right]$ vanish too.
3. Almost contact 3 -structure and almost quaternion structure. Let $M$ be a manifold with almost contact 3 -structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$. We now consider the product space $M \times R$, where $R$ is a straight line. Let $X$ be a vector field in $M \times R$, which is naturally represented by a pair of a vector field $x$ in $M$ and a function $\alpha$ in $M$, i.e., ${ }^{4)}$

$$
X=\binom{x}{\alpha}
$$

We define torsor fields $F_{\lambda}(\lambda=1,2,3)$ of type $(1,1)$ in $M \times R$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\lambda} X=F_{\lambda}\binom{x}{\alpha}=\binom{f_{\lambda} x-\alpha U_{\lambda}}{u_{\lambda}(x)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using (1.1) and (3.1), we see easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\lambda}^{2}=-I, \quad F_{\lambda} F_{\mu}=-F_{\mu} F_{\lambda}=F_{\nu}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ being an even permutation of (1,2,3), which shows that $\left\{F_{\lambda} ; \lambda=\right.$ $1,2,3\}$ defines an almost quaternion structure in $M \times R$. Thus we have (cf. [5, 12])

Lemma 3.1. If $M$ is a manifold with an almost contact 3-structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$, then the product space $M \times R$ admits an almost quaternion structure $\left\{F_{\lambda} ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$ defined by (3.2).

Since the almost quaternion manifold $M \times R$ is $4 m$-dimensional, $M$ with almost contact 3 -structure is $(4 m-1)$-dimensional.
4. Nijenhuis tensors. For two vectors $X$ and $Y$ in $M \times R$ of the form $X=\binom{x}{\alpha}$ and $Y=\binom{y}{\beta}$, where $x$ and $y$ are arbitrary vector fields in $M$ and $\alpha, \beta$ arbitrary functions in $M$, the bracket product of $X$ and $Y$ is a vector field of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X, Y]=\binom{[x, y]}{x \beta-y \alpha} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]If we take account of (1.1), (2.2) and (4.1), we have, for the tensor field $F$ defined by (3.1),

$$
\left[F, F^{\prime}\right](X, Y)=\binom{[f, f](x, y)+(d u)(x, y) U-\alpha\left(\Omega_{U} f\right) y+\beta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{U} f\right) x}{(d u)(f x, y)+(d u)(x, f y)-\alpha\left(\mathfrak{R}_{U} u\right)(y)+\beta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{U} u\right)(x)}
$$

$\AA_{U}$ denoting the Lie derivation with respect to $U$ and $d u$ being defined by $d u(x, y)=x u(y)-y u(x)-u([x, y])$, where we have used the formulas

$$
\left(\mathfrak{R}_{U} u\right)(x)=U u(x)-u([U, x]), \quad\left(\mathfrak{R}_{U} f\right) x=[U, f x]-f[U, x] .
$$

Thus we have $[F, F]=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{cases}{[f, f]+(d u) \otimes U=0,} & \mathfrak{L}_{U} f=0  \tag{4.2}\\ (d u) \pi f=0, & \mathfrak{L}_{U} u=0\end{cases}
$$

where $d u \pi f$ is a 2 -form defined by

$$
((d u) \pi f)(x, y)=(d u)(f x, y)+(d u)(x, f y)
$$

On the other hand, it is well known that the first equation of (4.2) implies all the others (cf. [11]). Thus we have the following well known lemma:

Lemma 4.1. A necessary and sufficient condition that $[F, F]=0$ in $M \times R$, that is, the almost complex structure $F$ is integrable in $M \times R$ is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[f, f]+d u \otimes U=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in $M$.
If the condition (4.3) is satisfied, then the almost contact structure ( $f, U, u$ ) is said to be normal. Thus, taking account of Theorem A and Lemma 4.1, we have

Theorem 4.2. If, for an almost contact 3-structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=\right.$ $1,2,3\}$, any two of almost contact structures $\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right)$ are normal, then the third is so (cf. [5]).

Next, using (1.2), (1.3), (1.4), (2.1), and (4.1), we find for $F_{\lambda}$ defined by (3.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right](X, Y) \\
& \quad=\left(\begin{array}{r}
2\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right](x, y)+d u_{1}(x, y) U_{2}+d u_{2}(x, y) U_{1}-\alpha\left(\mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} f_{2}+\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} f_{1}\right) y \\
+\beta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} f_{2}+\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} f_{1}\right) x \\
\left(d u_{1} \pi f_{2}+d u_{2} \pi f_{1}\right)(x, y)-\alpha\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{U_{1}} u_{2}+\mathfrak{Z}_{U_{2}} u_{1}\right)(y)+\beta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} u_{2}+\mathfrak{Z}_{U_{2}} u_{1}\right)(x)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

Lemma 4.2. A necessary and sufficient condition that $\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]$ vanishes in $M \times R$ is that in $M$

$$
\begin{cases}2\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right]+d u_{1} \otimes U_{2}+d u_{2} \otimes U_{1}=0, & \mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} f_{2}+\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} f_{1}=0  \tag{4.4}\\ \left(d u_{1}\right) \pi f_{2}+\left(d u_{2}\right) \pi f_{1}=0, & \mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} u_{2}+\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} u_{1}=0\end{cases}
$$

We now prove that the first equation of (4.4) implies the last equation. If we put

$$
S(x, y)=2\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right](x, y)+d u_{1}(x, y) U_{2}+d u_{2}(x, y) U_{1}
$$

then, computing $S\left(x, U_{\lambda}\right)$, we obtain
(4.5) $\quad S\left(x, U_{1}\right)=\left(\Omega_{U_{3}} f_{1}\right) x+f_{1}\left(\Omega_{U_{1}} f_{2}\right) x+f_{2}\left(\Omega_{U_{1}} f_{1}\right) x-\left(\Omega_{U_{1}} u_{1}\right)(x) U_{2}-\left(\Omega_{U_{1}} u_{2}\right)(x) U_{1}$,
(4.6) $S\left(x, U_{2}\right)=-\left(\Omega_{U_{3}} f_{2}\right) x+f_{1}\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} f_{2}\right) x+f_{2}\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} f_{1}\right) x-\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} u_{1}\right)(x) U_{2}-\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} u_{2}\right)(x) U_{1}$,
(4.7) $S\left(x, U_{3}\right)=f_{2}\left(\Omega_{U_{3}} f_{1}\right) x+f_{1}\left(\Omega_{U_{3}} f_{2}\right) x-\left(\Omega_{U_{1}} f_{1}\right) x+\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} f_{2}\right) x+d u_{1}\left(x, U_{3}\right) U_{2}$

$$
+d u_{2}\left(x, U_{3}\right) U_{1}
$$

Thus, if $S(x, y)=0$, using (4.5)-(4.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & f_{2}\left(S\left(x, U_{1}\right)\right)-f_{1}\left(S\left(x, U_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & S\left(x, U_{3}\right)-d u_{1}\left(x, U_{3}\right) U_{2}-d u_{2}\left(x, U_{3}\right) U_{1}+f_{2} f_{1}\left\{\left(\Omega_{U_{1}} f_{2}\right) x+\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} f_{1}\right) x\right\} \\
& -\left\{u_{1}\left(\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} f_{2}\right) x\right)+u_{2}\left(\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} f_{1}\right) x\right)\right\} U_{1}-\left\{u_{1}\left(\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} f_{1}\right) x\right)-u_{2}\left(\left(\Omega_{U_{1}} f_{1}\right) x\right)\right\} U_{2} \\
& +\left\{\left(\Omega_{U_{1}} u_{2}\right)(x)+\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} u_{1}\right)(x)\right\} U_{3} \\
= & f_{2} f_{1}\left\{\left(\Omega_{U_{1}} f_{2}\right) x+\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} f_{1}\right) x\right\}+\left\{\left(\Omega_{U_{1}} u_{2}\right)(x)+\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} u_{1}\right)(x)\right\} U_{3} \\
& -\left\{u_{1}\left(\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} f_{2}\right) x\right)+u_{2}\left(\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} f_{1}\right) x\right)-\left(\Omega_{U_{3}} u_{2}\right)(x)\right\} U_{1} \\
& -\left\{u_{1}\left(\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} f_{1}\right) x\right)-u_{2}\left(\left(\Omega_{U_{1}} f_{1}\right) x\right)-\left(\Omega_{U_{3}} u_{1}\right)(x)\right\} U_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, using $u_{3} \circ\left(f_{2} f_{1}\right)=-u_{1} \circ f_{1}=0, u_{3}\left(U_{1}\right)=0, u_{3}\left(U_{2}\right)=0$, and $u_{3}\left(U_{3}\right)=1$, we obtain

$$
\mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} u_{2}+\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} u_{1}=0
$$

Thus we have
Lemma 4.3. The first equation of (4.4) implies the last equation.
From Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we have
Theorem 4.4. Let $M$ admit an almost contact 3-structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right)\right.$; $\lambda=1,2,3\} . A$ necessary and sufficient condition that $\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]$ vanishes in $M \times R$ is that in $M$

$$
\begin{gather*}
2\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right]+d u_{1} \otimes U_{2}+d u_{2} \otimes U_{1}=0  \tag{4.8}\\
\mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} f_{2}+\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} f_{1}=0, \quad d u_{1} \pi f_{2}+d u_{2} \pi f_{1}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking account of Theorems B and 4.4, we have

Theorem 4.5. A necessary and sufficient condition that, for an almost contact 3 -structure $\left\{\left(f_{2}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$, the almost contact structures $\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right)$ are all normal is that the condition (4.8) is valid.
5. A special case. In this section, we assume that the almost contact 3 -structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{2}, u_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{gather*}
2\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right]+d u_{1} \otimes U_{2}+d u_{2} \otimes U_{1}=0  \tag{5.1}\\
\mathcal{\Omega}_{U_{1}} f_{2}=2 f_{3}, \quad \AA_{U_{2}} f_{1}=-2 f_{3}, \quad d u_{1} \pi f_{2}+d u_{2} \pi f_{1}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, by Theorem 4.4, all of the almost contact structures $\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right)$ are normal.

Forming $\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} f_{1}\right) U_{1}=-2 f_{3} U_{1}$, we find by means of (1.1) and (1.3)

$$
-f_{1} \mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} U_{1}=-2 U_{2}, \quad \text { i.e. } \quad f_{1}\left[U_{1}, U_{2}\right]=-2 U_{2}
$$

from which, applying $f_{1}$,

$$
-\left[U_{1}, U_{2}\right]+u_{1}\left(\left[U_{1}, U_{2}\right]\right) U_{1}=-2 U_{3} .
$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 4.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}\left(\left[U_{1}, U_{2}\right]\right) & =-u_{1}\left(\Omega_{U_{2}} U_{1}\right)=\left(\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} u_{1}\right)\left(U_{1}\right) \\
& =-\left(\mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} u_{2}\right)\left(U_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} u_{2}\left(U_{1}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[U_{1}, U_{2}\right]=2 U_{3} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Forming next $\left(\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} f_{1}\right) U_{2}=-2 f_{3} U_{2}$, we have

$$
\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}}\left(f_{1} U_{2}\right)=2 U_{1}, \quad \text { i.e., } \quad \mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} U_{3}=2 U_{1}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[U_{2}, U_{3}\right]=2 U_{1} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, forming $\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{U_{1}} f_{2}\right) U_{1}=2 f_{3} U_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[U_{3}, U_{1}\right]=2 U_{2} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, summing up (5.2), (5.3), and (5.4), we have
THEOREM 5.1. If, for an almost contact 3 -structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=\right.$ $1,2,3\}$, the condition (5.1) is satisfied, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[U_{\lambda}, U_{\mu}\right]=2 U_{\nu} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is an even permutation of $(1,2,3)$.
Now, forming $u_{1} \circ\left(\Omega_{U_{1}} f_{2}\right)=2 u_{1} \circ f_{3}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}}\left(u_{1} \circ f_{2}\right)=2 u_{1} \circ f_{3}, \quad \text { i.e., } \quad \mathbb{L}_{U_{1}} u_{3}=-2 u_{2} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consequently, by means of Lemma 4.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}_{U_{3}} u_{1}=2 u_{2} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we find also

$$
\mathfrak{Z}_{U_{1}} u_{2}=-\mathfrak{Z}_{U_{2}} u_{1}=2 u_{3}
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{Z}_{U_{2}} u_{3}=-\mathfrak{R}_{U_{3}} u_{2}=2 u_{1}
$$

That is, we have
TheOrem 5.2. If, for an almost contact 3 -structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=\right.$ $1,2,3\}$, the condition (5.1) is satisfied, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{L}_{U_{2}} u_{\mu}=-\mathfrak{I}_{U_{\mu}} u_{\lambda}=2 u_{\nu} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is an even permutation of $(1,2,3)$.
Since we have assumed (5.1), we have, from (4.5),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{U_{3}} f_{1}\right)+f_{1}\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{U_{1}} f_{2}\right) x+f_{2}\left(\mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} f_{1}\right) x-\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{U_{1}} u_{1}\right)(x) U_{2}-\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{U_{1}} u_{2}\right)(x) U_{1}=0 \\
\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{U_{3}} f_{1}\right) x+2 f_{1} f_{3} x-2 u_{3}(x) U_{1}=0 \\
\mathfrak{L}_{U_{3}} f_{1}=2 f_{2} \tag{5.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, from Theorems 4.5 and $B$, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{Z}_{U_{1}} f_{3}=-2 f_{2} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathfrak{R}_{U_{3}} f_{2}=\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} f_{3}=2 f_{1} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have
Theorem 5.3. If, for an almost contact 3-structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=\right.$ $1,2,3\}$, the condition (5.1) is satisfied, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{B}_{U_{\lambda}} f_{\mu}=-\Omega_{U_{\mu}} f_{\lambda}=2 f_{\nu} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is an even permutation of $(1,2,3)$.
6. Contact 3 -structure. Let $(M, \gamma)$ be a Riemannian manifold with metric tensor $\gamma$ and let $(f, U, u)$ be an almost contact structure in $M$. When the conditions

$$
\gamma(x, y)=\gamma(f x, f y)+u(x) u(y), \quad u(x)=\gamma(U, x)
$$

are satisfied, $\gamma$ is said to be a metric associated with $(f, U)$ and $(f, U)$ is called an almost contact metric structure in (M, $(M)$. If, for an almost contact metric structure $(f, U)$ in ( $M, \gamma$ ), we put

$$
\Phi(x, y)=\gamma(f x, y),
$$

then $\Phi$ is a skew-symmetric tensor field of type $(0,2)$, i.e., a 2 -form. When the condition $\Phi=(1 / 2) d u$ is satisfied, $(f, U)$ is called a contact structure in $(M, \gamma)$. If, for a contact structure $(f, U), U$ is a Killing vector, then it is called a $K$-contact structure in $(M, \gamma)$. For a $K$-contact structure, we have $f=\nabla U$, where $\nabla$ denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection of ( $M, \gamma$ ) (cf. [9]).

When, for an almost contact 3 -structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$ in $(M, \gamma)$, each of $\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right)$ is a contact structure (resp. a $K$-contact structure), the set $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$ is called a contact 3 -structure (resp. a $K$-contact 3 -structure) in ( $M, \gamma$ ).

Let $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$ be a contact 3 -structure, then we have, from the definition,

$$
d u_{\lambda}(x, y)=2 \gamma\left(f_{\lambda} x, y\right)
$$

from which, we obtain

$$
d u_{\lambda} \pi f+d u_{\mu} \pi f_{\lambda}=0, \quad(\lambda \neq \mu)
$$

Thus, for a contact 3 -structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$, the condition (4.8) is equivalent to

$$
2\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right]+d u_{1} \otimes U_{2}+d u_{2} \otimes U_{1}=0, \quad \mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} f_{2}+\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} f_{1}=0
$$

Therefore, from Theorem 4.6, we have
Theorem 6.1. A necessary and sufficient condition that, for contact 3 -structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$ in a Riemannian manifold, each of $\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}\right)$ is normal is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right]+d u_{1} \otimes U_{2}+d u_{2} \otimes U_{1}=0, \quad \mathfrak{B}_{U_{1}} f_{2}+\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} f_{1}=0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$ be a $K$-contact 3 -structure in $(M, \gamma)$. Then we have $f_{\lambda}=\nabla U_{\lambda}$ and hence, from (1.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[U_{\lambda}, U_{\mu}\right]=2 U_{,}, \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ being an even permutation of (1,2,3). On the other hand, since $U_{\lambda}$ are all Killing vectors, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} \nabla=\nabla \mathfrak{R}_{U_{2}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, taking account of (6.2) and (6.3), we have

$$
2 f_{3}=2 \nabla U_{3}=\nabla \mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} U_{2}=\mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} \nabla U_{2}=\mathfrak{R}_{U_{1}} f_{2}
$$

and similarly

$$
2 f_{3}=-\mathfrak{K}_{U_{2}} f_{1}
$$

Consequently, for a $K$-contact 3 -structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$ in $(M, \gamma)$, (5.1) is equivalent to

$$
2\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right]+d u_{1} \otimes U_{2}+d u_{2} \otimes U_{1}=0
$$

Therefore we have
Theorem 6.2. A necessary and sufficient condition that, for a $K$ contact 3 -structure $\left\{\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}\right) ; \lambda=1,2,3\right\}$ in a Riemannian manifold, each of $\left(f_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}\right)$ is normal is that

$$
2\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right]+d u_{1} \otimes U_{2}+d u_{2} \otimes U_{1}=0
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1)}$ Manifolds, vector fields, tensor fields and other geometric objects we discuss are assumed to be differentiable and of class $C^{\infty}$.
    ${ }^{2)}$ Here and in the sequel, $x, y$ and $z$ denote arbitrary vector fields in the manifold $M$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3)}$ In the sequel, Greek indices $\lambda, \mu$, $\nu$ run over the range $\{1,2,3\}$.

[^2]:    4) In the sequel, $X, . Y$, and $Z$ denote arbitrary vector fields of this type in $M \times R$, i.e., $X=\binom{x}{\alpha}, Y=\binom{y}{\beta}, Z=\binom{z}{\gamma}, x, y, z$ being vector fields and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ functions in $M$.
