# CONTINUOUS W\*-ALGEBRAS ARE NON-NORMAL

## PAUL WILLIG

#### (Received May 15, 1973)

Let A be a W\*-algebra with center Z on separable Hilbert space H, and let B be a W\*-subalgebra of A. B is full in A if it contains Z. B is normal in A if  $B = B^{cc}$ , where  $B^c = B' \cap A$ . Clearly if B is normal in A then B is full in A. We say A is normal if B is normal in A for every full W\*-subalgebra B of A.

Every type I factor is normal [5, Lemma 11.2.2], as is every type I  $W^*$ -algebra [3, p. 287, Exercise 13b]. Every type II factor is non-normal [4, Theorem 3]. The author has recently shown that every type II  $W^*$ algebra is non-normal [8, Theorem 7]. In [1, Theorem 16] A. Connes has announced that every type III factor is non-normal. In proving this, he uses the fact [2, Lemma 1.6.4] that if A is a type III factor it has a proper  $W^*$ -subalgebra P such that  $P^\circ = \mathcal{C}$ , so that  $P^{\circ\circ} = A \neq P$ . In this paper we use this result together with direct integral theory to show that no type III  $W^*$ -algebra, and hence no continuous  $W^*$ -algebra, is normal. We express our gratitude to A. Connes for making his work available to us before publication.

We begin with two lemmas which let us characterize the condition  $P^{\circ} = \mathcal{C}$  in a measurable way in order to apply direct integral theory. If K is a separable Hilbert space and  $\{x_i\}$  is a sequence of vectors dense in the unit ball of K, then  $d(S, T) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i-j} |((S - T)x_j, x_i)|$  defines a metric on B(K) which coincides with the weak operator topology on bounded subsets of B(K) [6, Lemma I.4.8]. For  $S, T \in B(K)$ , we denote ST - TS by [S, T]. We set W(S) = d(S, 0) and W(S, T) = W([S, T]). We let S denote the unit ball of B(K), taken with the strong<sup>\*</sup>- operator topology [6, Definition I.4.10]. For any  $W^*$ -algebra  $A, A_i$  denotes the unit ball in A.

The following simple lemma is essential to our argument.

LEMMA 1. If  $S_n \to S$  strong\*-, then  $W(S_n - S, T) \to 0$  uniformly in T for  $|T| \leq 1$ .

PROOF. Since  $S_n \to S$  strong\*-, it follows that [6, Lemma I.4.11]  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} |(S_n - S)x_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} |(S_n^* - S^*)x_i| \to 0$ . Hence P. WILLIG

$$\begin{split} W(S_n - S, \ T) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i-j} \left| \left( [S_n - S, \ T] x_j, \ x_i \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i-j} (\left| \left( (S_n - S) x_j, \ T^* x_i \right) \right| + \left| (T x_j, \ (S_n^* - S^*) x_i \right) \right|) \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i-j} (\left| \ T^* x_i \right| \left| (S_n - S) x_j \right| + \left| \ T x_j \right| \left| (S_n^* - S^*) x_i \right|) \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i-j} \left| (S_n - S) x_j \right| + \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i-j} \left| (S_n^* - S^*) x_i \right| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \left| (S_n - S) x_j \right| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \left| (S_n^* - S^*) x_i \right| \to 0 \;. \end{split}$$
q.e.d

Now let A be a factor on K, and let P be a W\*-subalgebra of A. For each integer m > 0, let  $A^{(m)} = \{T \in A_1 | W(T - \lambda_k I) \ge 1/m \text{ for each } \lambda_k\}$ , where  $\{\lambda_k\}$  is a dense sequence in  $\mathscr{O}$ . Let  $\{S_j\}$  be a set of generators for P, where  $\{S_j\} \subset P_1$  and  $S_j^* = S_k$  for some k. Finally, let  $\{T_k^{(m)}\}$  be a sequence which is strong\*- dense in  $A^{(m)}$ .

LEMMA 2.  $P^{\circ} = \mathcal{C}$  if and only if for each *m* there is an integer *n* such that (\*)  $\sup_{j} W(T_{k}^{(m)}, S_{j}) \geq 1/n$  for every  $T_{k}^{(m)}$ .

PROOF. If  $P^{\circ} \neq \mathcal{C}$ , there is some *m* and some  $T \in A^{(m)}$  such that  $[T, S_j] = 0$  for every  $S_j$ . Also there is a sequence  $T_{k_r}^{(m)}$  chosen from the  $T_k^{(m)}$  such that  $T_{k_r}^{(m)} \to T^{(m)}$  strong\*-. By Lemma 1,  $W(T_{k_r}^{(m)} - T^{(m)}, S_j) \to 0$  uniformly in  $S_j$ . Thus  $W(T_{k_r}^{(m)}, S_j) = W(T_{k_r}^{(m)} - T^{(m)}, S_j) \to 0$  uniformly in  $S_j$ , whence (\*) does not hold.

If  $P^{\circ} = \mathcal{C}$  and (\*) does not hold, there is an integer *m* and a sequence  $T_{k_r}^{(m)}$  chosen from the  $T_k^{(m)}$  such that  $\sup_j W(T_{k_r}^{(m)}, S_j) \to 0$ . In particular,  $W(T_{k_r}^{(m)}, S_j) \to 0$  for each  $S_j$ . We may assume (by the weak compactness of the unit ball in B(K)) that  $T_{k_r}^{(m)} \to T$  weakly, with  $T \in A^{(m)}$  ( $A^{(m)}$  is the intersection of weakly closed sets and hence is weakly closed). Clearly  $[T, S_j] = 0$  for all  $S_j$ . Hence  $T \in P^{\circ}$  and  $P^{\circ} \neq \mathcal{C}$ . Thus if  $P^{\circ} = \mathcal{C}$ , (\*) must hold.

We now recall some needed facts about direct integral theory (see [6] and [7] for details). If A on H has direct integral decomposition into factors given by

$$A = \int_{A} \bigoplus A(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$$

with K the underlying separable Hilbert space of H there is a sequence of operators  $B_n$  in  $A_1$  such that  $\{B_n(\lambda)\}$  is strong<sup>\*</sup>- dense in  $A(\lambda)_1$   $\mu$ -a.e. and such that the  $B_n(\lambda)$  are strong<sup>\*</sup>- continuous in  $\lambda$  [7, Lemma 1.5].

484

Also, by a standard construction technique, we can find for each m a sequence  $\{T_k^{(m)}\} \in A_1$  such that  $\{T_k^{(m)}(\lambda)\}$  is strong\*- dense in  $A(\lambda)^{(m)}$   $\mu$ -a.e. and such that  $T_k^{(m)}(\lambda)$  is strong\*- continuous in  $\lambda$  for all m and k  $\mu$ -a.e. (see [7, Lemma 3.5] for a similar construction).

LEMMA 3. Let  $A = \int_{A} \bigoplus A(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$  be a W\*-algebra such that for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $\lambda A(\lambda)$  has a proper W\*-subalgebra  $P(\lambda)$  such that  $P(\lambda)^{\circ} = \mathcal{C}$ . Then A is non-normal.

PROOF. Let  $S_{\infty}$  denote the Cartesian product of a countable number of copies of S. Consider the set of  $[\lambda, S_j, R]$  contained in  $\Lambda \times S_{\infty} \times S$ defined by the following conditions.

(i)  $S_j \in A(\lambda)$  for each j.

(ii)  $S_{2(j+1)} = S_{2j+1}^*$  for each *j*.

(iii) For every *m* there is an *n* such that  $\sup_{j} W(T_{k}^{(m)}(\lambda), S_{j}) \geq 1/n$  for every *k*.

(iv)  $[S_j, R] = 0$  for every j.

(v) For some r,  $[B_r(\lambda), R] \neq 0$ .

By Lemma 2 conditions (i) through (iii) guarantee that the  $W^*$ -subalgebra  $P(\lambda)$  generated by the  $S_j$  satisfies  $P(\lambda)^c = \mathcal{C}$ . Conditions (iv), (v) show that  $P(\lambda) \neq A(\lambda)$ . Clearly these countably many conditions define a Borel subset of  $\Lambda \times S_{\infty} \times S$  whose projection on  $\Lambda$  differs from  $\Lambda$  by a  $\mu$ -null set because of our hypothesis concerning the  $A(\lambda)$ . Hence we may construct [6, Lemma I.4.7]  $\mu$ -measurable functions  $S_j(\lambda)$  and  $R(\lambda)$  such that  $[\lambda, S_j(\lambda), R(\lambda)]$  satisfy conditions (i) through (v)  $\mu$ -a.e.. Let P be the  $W^*$ subalgebra of A generated by the operators  $S_j = \int_A \bigoplus S_j(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda)$  and by Z. Clearly  $P = \int_A \bigoplus P(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda)$ , and  $P^{ce} = \int_A \bigoplus A(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda) = A$ , but  $P \neq A$ , since  $R \in P'$  but  $R \notin A'$ , where  $R = \int_A \bigoplus R(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda)$ . Since P contains Zby construction, A is non-normal.

COROLLARY 4. Every type III W\*-algebra A is non-normal.

PROOF. If A is type III, then  $A(\lambda)$  is type III  $\mu$ -a.e., and by the result of Connes [2, Lemma 1.6.4]  $A(\lambda)$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3  $\mu$ -a.e.. q.e.d.

THEOREM 4. A  $W^*$ -algebra A on H is normal if and only if it is of type I.

**PROOF.**  $A = A_{I} \bigoplus A_{II} \bigoplus A_{III}$ , where  $A_{I}$  is of type I, etc.. The result follows from [3, p. 287, Exercise 13b], [8, Theorem 7], and Corollary 4. q.e.d.

## P. WILLIG

### References

- A. CONNES, Une classification des facteurs de type III, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 275 (1972), 523-525.
- [2] A. CONNES, Une classification des facteurs de type III, to appear.
- [3] J. DIXMIER, Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien, second edition, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [4] B. FUGLEDE AND R. KADISON, On a conjecture of Murray and von Neumann, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 37 (1951), 420-425.
- [5] F. MURRAY AND J. VON NEUMANN, On rings of operators, Ann. of Math., 37 (1936), 116-229.
- [6] J. SCHWARTZ, W\*-algebras, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967.
- [7] P. WILLIG, Trace norms, global properties, and direct integral decompositions of W\*algebras, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 22 (1969), 839-862.
- [8] P. WILLIG, Type II W\*-algebras are not normal, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 40 (1973), 115-119.

STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY HOBOKEN, NEW JERSEY 07030