## ON ARTIN L-FUNCTIONS

## Kôji Uchida

(Received December 10, 1973)

Let k be an algebraic number field of finite degree. Let K be a Galois extension of k of finite degree. Let G be the Galois group of this extension. Let  $\chi$  be a character of G. Then Artin L-function  $L(s,\chi)$  is defined. For some groups G,  $L(s,\chi)$  is known to be an entire function for every non-trivial irreducible character  $\chi$  [2, p. 225]. These cases can be proved through Blichfeldt's theorem [3, p. 348] reducing to abelian cases, i.e., Hecke L-functions. This theorem can be applied for other groups, i.e., for supersolvable groups. A group G is called supersolvable if G has normal subgroups  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $H_r$  such that  $G = H_0 \supset H_1 \supset \cdots \supset H_r = \{e\}$  and every  $H_{i-1}/H_i$  is cyclic [4].

THEOREM 1. If the Galois group G is supersolvable,  $L(s, \chi)$  is entire for every non-trivial irreducible character  $\chi$ .

PROOF.<sup>1)</sup> If G is abelian,  $L(s,\chi)$  is a Hecke L-function which is entire. So we assume that G is not abelian and we will prove by induction on the order of G. Let  $\chi$  be the character of a representation module (G,V). If there exists a non-trivial normal subgroup N which operates trivially on V,  $\chi$  is a character of G/N. As G/N is also supersolvable,  $L(s,\chi)$  is entire by induction. Now we assume that there exists no such normal subgroup. Then G is a subgroup of GL(V). Let G be the center of G. As G/G is also supersolvable, there exists a normal subgroup G of G such that G is cyclic and G and G is abelian because G is in the center of G. Now Blichfeldt's theorem shows that there exists a proper subgroup G of G such that G is easy to see that G is non-trivial and irreducible. As G is also supersolvable, our assertion is proved by induction.

REMARK. Professor M. Ishida kindly suggested this proof when G is nilpotent. We note that every finite nilpotent group is supersolvable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1)</sup> This proof shows that  $L(s, \chi)$  is entire for every  $\chi$  if the Galois group is an M-group. Hence Theorem 1 is a special case of Huppert's Theorem [5, p. 580]. We also note that every M-group is solvable [5, p. 581].

We now give an example of a finite solvable group on which Blichfeldt's theorem cannot be applied. In fact, the following example is of the smallest possible order. Let G be a finite group generated by  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$  and  $\rho$  whose relations are as follows:

$$\sigma^4=
ho^3=1$$
 ,  $\sigma^2= au^2$  ,  $\sigma au\sigma^{-1}= au^{-1}$  ,  $ho\sigma
ho^{-1}= au$  ,  $ho au
ho^{-1}= au\sigma$  .

Then  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  generate the commutator subgroup G' which is isomorphic to the quaternion group. As (G:G')=3, the order of G is 24. Now G can be represented as subgroups of GL(2,C), where C is the complex numbers. In fact, if we put

$$\sigma=egin{pmatrix} i & 0 \ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$
 ,  $au=egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $ho=lphaegin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \ i & i \end{pmatrix}$  ,

we see easily that above relations hold. In the above,  $\alpha$  is one of the following values:

$$lpha=rac{-1+i}{2},\,rac{-1+i}{2}\,\omega \ \ ext{or} \ rac{-1+i}{2}\,\omega^2\,,$$

where  $\omega$  is a primitive cube root of unity. Above representations give three 2-dimensional characters  $\chi_1$ ,  $\chi_2$  and  $\chi_3$  which are different with one another, as the values of the characters at  $\rho$  are different. As G has no subgroup of index 2, any 2-dimensional character cannot be induced from a proper subgroup. Hence Blichfeldt's theorem cannot be applied in this case. We see that G has seven conjugate classes which are represented by 1,  $\sigma^2$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\rho^2$ ,  $\rho\sigma^2$  and  $\rho^2\sigma^2$ . Hence every character of G is determined by values at these elements. We see that

$$\chi_i(1) = 2$$
,  $\chi_i(\sigma^2) = -2$  and  $\chi_i(\sigma) = 0$ 

for every i, and

$$\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(
ho)=\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(
ho^2)=-1$$
 ,  $\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(
ho\sigma^2)=\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(
ho^2\sigma^2)=1$  ,  $\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(
ho)=-\omega$  ,  $\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(
ho^2)=-\omega^2$  ,  $\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(
ho\sigma^2)=\omega$  ,  $\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(
ho^2\sigma^2)=\omega^2$  ,

and  $\chi_3 = \overline{\chi}_2$  is the complex conjugate of  $\chi_2$ . Let H be the subgroup of G generated by  $\rho \sigma^2$ . Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be one-dimensional characters of H such that  $\varphi(\rho \sigma^2) = -\omega$  and  $\psi(\rho \sigma^2) = -1$ . Let  $\varphi^a$  and  $\psi^a$  be induced characters of G. As we can take 1,  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\sigma \tau$  as representatives of G/H, we see that

$$arphi^{\scriptscriptstyle G}\!(1)=4$$
 ,  $arphi^{\scriptscriptstyle G}\!(\sigma^{\scriptscriptstyle 2})=-4$  ,  $arphi^{\scriptscriptstyle G}\!(\sigma)=0$ 

and

$$arphi^{g}(\mu)=arphi(\mu) \quad ext{if} \quad \mu\in H-\{1,\,\sigma^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\}$$
 ,

and the same for  $\psi$ .

THEOREM 2. Let K and k be algebraic number fields of finite degrees. We assume that K is a Galois extension of k with Galois group G defined above. Then

$$L(s, \chi_1)^2 = L(s, \varphi)L(s, \overline{\varphi})/L(s, \psi)$$
  
 $L(s, \chi_2)^2 = L(s, \overline{\varphi})L(s, \psi)/L(s, \varphi)$ 

and

$$L(s, \chi_3)^2 = L(s, \varphi)L(s, \psi)/L(s, \overline{\varphi})$$

hold.

PROOF. It is easy to see that

$$egin{aligned} 2\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} &= arphi^{\it a} + ar{arphi}^{\it a} - \psi^{\it a} \ 2\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} &= ar{arphi}^{\it a} + \psi^{\it a} - arphi^{\it a} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$2\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}=arphi^{\scriptscriptstyle G}+\psi^{\scriptscriptstyle G}-ararphi^{\scriptscriptstyle G}$$
 .

This shows above equalities.

REMARK. Let  $\lambda$  be a one-dimensional character of the subgroup generated by  $\sigma$  such that  $\lambda(\sigma) = i$ . Then

$$\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}=arphi^{\scriptscriptstyle G}+ar{arphi}^{\scriptscriptstyle G}-\lambda^{\scriptscriptstyle G}$$
 ,  $\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}=\lambda^{\scriptscriptstyle G}-arphi^{\scriptscriptstyle G}$ 

and

$$\chi_3 = \lambda^G - \bar{\varphi}^G$$

hold.

We now assume that k is the field of the rational numbers. Let F be the intermediate field of K/k corresponding to H. Then  $L(s, \varphi)$ ,  $L(s, \overline{\varphi})$  and  $L(s, \psi)$  are different L-functions corresponding to an abelian extension K/F. Moreover they are multiplicatively independent because  $L(s, \chi_i)$  are multiplicatively independent [1]. If we can show that different L-functions of an abelian extension have independent distributions of zero points,  $L(s, \chi_i)$  have poles by Theorem 2. Following example seems to show that it is not absurd to think so, though Artin's conjecture asserts that  $L(s, \chi_i)$  has no pole.

EXAMPLE. Let F be an algebraic number field of finite degree. Let

78 K. UCHIDA

 $L(s, \varphi)$  and  $L(s, \psi)$  be different Hecke L-functions over F. Let  $F_{\varphi}$  and  $F_{\psi}$  be cyclic extensions of F corresponding to  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , respectively. If the numbers of real places ramified at  $F_{\varphi}$  and  $F_{\psi}$  are the same, and if the conductors of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are the same,  $L(s, \varphi)/L(s, \psi)$  has poles.

Proof. Let

$$arPhi(s,\,arphi)=A(\mathfrak{f}_arphi)^sarGamma\Big(rac{s+1}{2}\Big)^{
u}arGamma\Big(rac{s}{2}\Big)^{r_1-
u}arGamma(s)^{r_2}L(s,\,arphi)$$

as usual, where  $\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi}$  is the conductor of  $\varphi$  and  $\nu$  is the number of real places ramified at  $F_{\varphi}/F$ . Above assumptions show that  $L(s, \varphi)/L(s, \psi) = \Phi(s, \varphi)/\Phi(s, \psi)$ . First we consider the case  $L(s, \psi) = L(s, \overline{\varphi})$ . If  $L(s, \varphi)/L(s, \overline{\varphi})$  has a zero point  $\rho$ ,  $\overline{\rho}$  is a pole of this function. Hence if  $L(s, \varphi)/L(s, \overline{\varphi})$  has no pole, the zero points of  $L(s, \varphi)$  and  $L(s, \overline{\varphi})$  are the same counting the multiplicities. It is known [6] that

$$\Phi(s,\varphi) = ae^{bs} \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{s/\rho}$$

for constants a and b depending on  $\varphi$ , where  $\rho$  runs over the zeros of  $L(s, \varphi)$  such that  $0 < \Re \rho < 1$ . Therefore

$$L(s, \varphi)/L(s, \overline{\varphi}) = \Phi(s, \varphi)/\Phi(s, \overline{\varphi}) = ae^{bs}/\overline{a}e^{\overline{b}s}$$
.

Let  $a_1=a/\bar{a}$  and let  $b_1=b-\bar{b}$ . Let  $s=re^{i\theta}$  for some  $\theta$  such that  $-\pi/2<\theta<\pi/2$ . The left hand side of the above equation goes to 1 when r goes to infinity. If  $b_1\neq 0$ , the right hand side goes to zero or to infinity for suitable  $\theta$ . Hence it must be  $b_1=0$ . Then  $a_1$  must be 1, as the left hand side goes to 1 when r goes to infinity. This shows  $L(s,\varphi)=L(s,\bar{\varphi})$  which is a contradiction. Now let  $L(s,\psi)\neq L(s,\bar{\varphi})$ . We put  $M(s)=L(s,\varphi)L(s,\bar{\varphi})/L(s,\psi)L(s,\bar{\psi})$ . If M(s) has a pole  $\rho$ ,  $\rho$  or  $\bar{\rho}$  is a pole of  $L(s,\varphi)/L(s,\psi)$ . We assume that M(s) has no pole. Now

$$egin{aligned} arPhi(s,\,arphi)arPhi(s,\,ar{arphi}) &= aar{a}e^{(b+ar{b})s}\prod_{
ho}\Big(1-rac{s}{
ho}\Big)\Big(1-rac{s}{ar{
ho}}\Big)\,e^{(1/
ho+1/ar{
ho})\,s} \ &= aar{a}e^{(b+ar{b})s}\prod_{
ho}\Big(1-rac{s}{
ho}\Big)\Big(1-rac{s}{ar{
ho}}\Big)\prod_{
ho}e^{(1/
ho+1/ar{
ho})\,s} \ &= aar{a}\prod_{
ho}\Big(1-rac{s}{
ho}\Big)\Big(1-rac{s}{ar{
ho}}\Big)\exp\left\{s\Big(b+ar{b}+\sum_{
ho}\Big(rac{1}{
ho}+rac{1}{ar{
ho}}\Big)\Big)
ight\}\,, \end{aligned}$$

as the products converge absolutely. Now Landau shows [6]

$$b\,+\,ar{b}\,+\,\sum\limits_{
ho}\left(rac{1}{
ho}\,+\,rac{1}{ar{
ho}}
ight)=0$$
 .

Hence

$$\Phi(s,\,\varphi)\Phi(s,\,\overline{\varphi}) = a\overline{a}\,\prod_{\rho}\Big(1-\frac{s}{\overline{\rho}}\Big)\Big(1-\frac{s}{\overline{\overline{\rho}}}\Big).$$

As we assume that  $M(s) = \Phi(s, \varphi)\Phi(s, \overline{\varphi})/\Phi(s, \psi)\Phi(s, \overline{\psi})$  has no pole, it must be

$$M(s) = c \prod_{\rho}' \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) \left(1 - \frac{s}{\overline{\rho}}\right)$$

for some constant c, where  $\Pi'$  means the product over the zero points of M(s). As  $0 < \Re \rho < 1$ ,

$$\Big(1-rac{s}{
ho}\Big)\Big(1-rac{s}{ar
ho}\Big)>1$$

for real s>2, and  $(1-s/\rho)(1-s/\bar{\rho})$  goes to infinity as s goes to infinity. Hence if M(s) has at least one zero point, the absolute value of the right hand side goes to infinity, which is a contradiction because the left hand side goes to 1. Hence it must be M(s)=1. If  $L(s,\varphi)/L(s,\psi)$  has a zero point  $\rho$ , it is a pole of  $L(s,\bar{\varphi})/L(s,\bar{\psi})$ . Then  $\bar{\rho}$  is a pole of  $L(s,\varphi)/L(s,\psi)$  which contradicts to our assumption. Hence the zero points of  $L(s,\varphi)$  must coincide to those of  $L(s,\psi)$ . Then it must be

$$L(s,\varphi)/L(s,\psi)=\Phi(s,\varphi)/\Phi(s,\psi)=a_1e^{b_1s}/a_2e^{b_2s}$$

for some constants  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ . But this shows  $L(s, \varphi) = L(s, \psi)$  as in the case  $\psi = \overline{\varphi}$ .

Above theorem shows that it is difficult to know whether  $L(s, \chi)$  is entire or not, even if the Galois group is solvable. Following theorem is in contrast with this.

THEOREM 3. Let k be an algebraic number field of finite degree. Let F be an algebraic extension of k of finite degree. Let K be the normal closure of this extension, i.e., the smallest Galois extension of k containing F. If the Galois group G = G(K/k) is solvable,  $\zeta_F(s)/\zeta_k(s)$  is an entire function.

PROOF. If there exists an intermediate field E of F/k, entireness of  $\zeta_F(s)/\zeta_k(s)$  follows from entireness of  $\zeta_F(s)/\zeta_E(s)$  and  $\zeta_E(s)/\zeta_k(s)$ . So we may assume that F/k has no intermediate field. Let H be the subgroup of G corresponding to F. H contains no non-trivial normal subgroup of G because K is the normal closure of F/k. Hence G can be considered as a permutation group of G and as G is a primitive permutation group of G [5, p. 147]. As G is solvable, Galois' theorem

80 K. UCHIDA

[5, p. 159] shows that there exists an abelian normal subgroup N such that

$$G = HN$$
 and  $H \cap N = (e)$ .

Let  $\chi_0$  and  $\varphi_0$  be trivial characters of G and H, respectively. If we put

$$\varphi_0^G = \chi_0 + \chi_1 + \cdots + \chi_r$$
 ,

 $\chi_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , are non-trivial. As  $\zeta_F(s)/\zeta_k(s) = L(s, \varphi_0^g)/L(s, \chi_0) = \prod_{i=1}^r L(s, \chi_i)$ , it suffices to show that every  $L(s, \chi_i)$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , is entire. First we show that every  $\chi_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , is non-trivial over N. Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character of G which is trivial over N. Then  $\chi$  can be considered as an irreducible character of G/N. As  $H \cong G/N$ ,  $\chi \mid H$  is irreducible, where  $\chi \mid H$  means the restriction of  $\chi$  to H. As

$$(arphi_0^G,\,\chi)_G=(arphi_0,\,\chi\,|\,H)_H$$
 ,

 $\chi$  appears as a component of  $\varphi_0^G$  if and only if  $\chi \mid H = \varphi_0$ . But  $\chi \mid H = \varphi_0$  means  $\chi = \chi_0$ . Now let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character of G which is non-trivial over N. Let (G, V) be a representation module of G whose character is equal to  $\chi$ . Then there exists a non-trivial irreducible character  $\lambda$  of N which appears as a component of  $\chi \mid N$ . That is, the subspace W of V defined by

$$W = \{ w \in V \mid nw = \lambda(n)w \text{ for every } n \in N \}$$

is not trivial. Let  $G_1$  be the subgroup of G which consists of the elements  $g_1$  of G such that  $g_1W=W$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the character of the representation module  $(G_1, W)$ . Then  $\varphi$  is irreducible and it is known [3, § 50] that  $\chi = \varphi^G$ . Let  $N_1$  be the kernel of  $\lambda$ . Then  $G_1$  is contained in the normalizer  $N_G(N_1)$  of  $N_1$  in G. It holds that

$$\lambda(n)g_1w = ng_1w = g_1(g_1^{-1}ng_1)w = \lambda(g_1^{-1}ng_1)g_1w$$

for any  $n \in N$ ,  $g_1 \in G_1$  and  $w \in W$ . This shows that  $G_1/N_1$  is contained in the centralizer of  $N/N_1$  in  $N_G(N_1)/N_1$ . It is also easy to show that every element in the centralizer of  $N/N_1$  is contained in  $G_1/N_1$ . That is,  $G_1/N_1$  is the centralizer of  $N/N_1$  in  $N_G(N_1)/N_1$ . Especially,  $G_1$  depends only on  $\lambda$ , not on  $\chi$ . As  $G_1$  contains N, there exists a subgroup  $H_1$  of H such that  $G_1 = H_1N$ . Hence  $G_1/N_1$  is isomorphic to a direct product of  $H_1$  and  $N/N_1$ , because  $N/N_1$  is in the center of  $G_1/N_1$ . Let  $\psi_0, \psi_1, \cdots, \psi_s$  be the irreducible characters of  $H_1$ , where  $\psi_0$  is a trivial character. Let  $\psi_i \otimes \lambda$  be a character of  $G_1$  defined by  $\psi_i \otimes \lambda(h_1n) = \psi_i(h_1)\lambda(n)$  for every  $h_1 \in H$  and  $n \in N$ . Then it is a character of  $G_1/N_1$ , and it is irreducible because  $G_1/N_1 \cong H_1 \times N/N_1$ . And  $\varphi$  defined above is one of the  $\psi_i \otimes \lambda$ . As  $(\psi_i \otimes \lambda)^G | N$  contains  $\lambda$  as an irreducible component, above

argument shows  $(\psi_i \otimes \lambda)^G$  is an irreducible character of G. It holds that

$$\varphi_0^G(n) = 0$$
 if  $n \in N - (e)$ 

and

$$\varphi_0^G(e) = (N:1)$$
.

Hence

$$(\varphi_0^G, \lambda^G)_G = (\varphi_0^G | N, \lambda)_N = 1$$
.

Therefore there exists only one component of  $\lambda^{\sigma}$  which appears as a component of  $\mathcal{P}_0^{\sigma}$ . It is easy to see that  $\lambda^{\sigma_1} = \psi_{\text{reg}} \otimes \lambda$ , where  $\psi_{\text{reg}}$  is the character of the regular representation of  $H_1$ . Then there exist only one  $(\psi_i \otimes \lambda)^{\sigma}$  which appears in  $\mathcal{P}_0^{\sigma}$ . Let  $h_i \in H$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , be the representatives of  $G/G_1$ . Then it holds for any  $h \in H$  that

$$(\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \otimes \lambda)^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(h) = \sum \psi_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \otimes \lambda(h_i^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}hh_i)$$

= the number of  $h_i$  such that  $h_i^{-1}hh_i \in H_1$ .

Hence every  $(\psi_0 \otimes \lambda)^{d}(h) \geq 0$  and  $(\psi_0 \otimes \lambda)^{d}(e) = (H: H_1) > 0$ . It then holds

$$egin{aligned} (arphi_0^{\scriptscriptstyle G},\, (\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} igotimes \lambda)^{\scriptscriptstyle G})_{\scriptscriptstyle G} &= (arphi_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\, (\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} igotimes \lambda)^{\scriptscriptstyle G} \,|\, H)_{\scriptscriptstyle H} \ &= (H\!\!:1)^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} \sum\limits_{h \,\in\, H} \, (\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} igotimes \lambda)^{\scriptscriptstyle G} (h) > 0 \;. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(\psi_0 \otimes \lambda)^g$  is the component of  $\lambda^g$  which appears in  $\varphi_0^g$ . We have shown that every component of  $\varphi_0^g - \chi_0$  is of the form  $(\psi_0 \otimes \lambda)^g$ . As  $\psi_0 \otimes \lambda$  is a non-trivial one-dimensional character of  $G_1$ ,  $L(s, \psi_0 \otimes \lambda)$  is a Hecke L-function which is entire. This shows that  $\zeta_F(s)/\zeta_k(s)$  is entire.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. ARTIN, Über eine neue Art von L-Reihen, Abh. Hamburg, 3 (1923).
- [2] J. W. S. CASSELS AND A. FRÖHLICH, Algebraic number theory, Chapter 8, Academic Press.
- [3] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, Interscience Publishers.
- [4] M. HALL, The theory of groups, MacMillan.
- [5] B. HUPPERT, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer.
- [6] E. LANDAU, Zur Theorie der Heckeschen Zetafunktionen, welche komplexen Charakteren entsprechen, Math. Z., 4 (1919).

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE.

Tôhoku University,

SENDAI, JAPAN.