

## APPROXIMATION BY OSCILLATING GENERALIZED POLYNOMIALS

R. A. BELL AND S. M. SHAH

(Received July 31, 1973)

**1. Introduction.** Oscillating generalized polynomials extend to generalized polynomials the concept of oscillating polynomials (defined below) which were studied first by Bernstein ([3]; [4]). The subjects of oscillating generalized polynomials (abbreviated hereafter as *OGP's*), and uniform approximations, by polynomials with real coefficients, to real powers of  $x$  are closely related. Indeed if  $r_i$  is a positive real number for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  such that for some integer  $n_0$ ,  $n_0 < r_1 < \dots < r_k < n_0 + 1$ , then  $q(x)$  is the best approximation on  $[0, 1]$  to  $\sum_{i=1}^k x^{r_i}$  by a polynomial of degree  $n$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^k x^{r_i} - q(x)$  is an *OGP*.

In Section 2 we develop the theory of *OGP's*. We prove an existence and uniqueness theorem. Further we derive properties of *OGP's* useful in approximations to real powers of  $x$ . In particular we show that if  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k g_{\alpha_k}(x)$  and  $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n B_k g_{\alpha_k}(x)$  are distinct generalized polynomials (abbreviated hereafter as *GP's*) where  $A_k = B_k$  for at least one  $k$  with  $g_{\alpha_k}$  not a constant function and  $p$  is an *OGP*, then  $\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |q(x)| \equiv \|q\| > \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |p(x)| \equiv \|p\|$ .

In Section 3 we study, by use of the theory of *OGP's*, the uniform approximation in  $[0, 1]$  of real powers of  $x$  by polynomials with real coefficients. Here we derive lower bounds for the best approximation error in  $[0, 1]$  to  $x^\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is a real number lying in  $(0, 1/3)$ , by polynomials of a given degree. Further, we give in Examples 4 and 5 the polynomials which provide the best uniform approximation to  $x^{1/\pi}$  and  $1/2(x^{1/3} + x^{1/2})$ , respectively, by polynomials of degree not exceeding  $n$ .

**2. Oscillating generalized polynomials.** Throughout this paper  $n, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$  will denote integers such that  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_n$ . We now define *OGP's*.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of functions, real valued, non-negative and continuous on  $[0, 1]$  and analytic on  $(0, 1]$ . Further suppose that  $g_\alpha$  is not a constant function if  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $g_0$  is not identically zero and  $g_\alpha(0) = 0$  unless  $g_\alpha$  is a constant. Then  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  is said to have property  $\mathcal{D}$  if and only if the following hold:

(i) For every set of non-zero real numbers  $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n\}$  and for every choice of integers  $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  the number of zeros, counted with due regard to multiplicity in  $(0, 1]$ , of the  $GP \sum_{k=0}^n c_k g_{\alpha_k}$  is at most equal to the number of variations of sign in the sequence  $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ .

(ii) For every set of non-zero real numbers  $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n\}$  and for every choice of integers  $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  the number of zeros (counted with due regard to multiplicity) in  $(0, 1]$  of the  $GP \sum_{k=0}^n c_k g'_{\alpha_k}$  is at most equal to the number of variations of sign in the sequence  $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ . (Here  $g'$  denotes the derivative of  $g$ .)

Clearly, by Descartes rule of signs, the sequence of functions  $\{x^j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  has property  $\mathcal{D}$ . Moreover, by a familiar argument (cf. [7], pp. 118-120) we obtain the following example of a sequence of functions with property  $\mathcal{D}$ .

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let  $\{r_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  denote a sequence of strictly increasing non-negative real numbers with  $r_\alpha > 0$  if  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Define  $g_\alpha(x) = x^{r_\alpha}$ , where for each  $\alpha$  we take the principal branch of  $\log z$  in  $z^{r_\alpha} = \exp(r_\alpha \log z)$ . Then  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  has property  $\mathcal{D}$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. Let  $\{A_0, \dots, A_n\}$  be a set of non-zero real numbers. Then  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k x^{\alpha_k}$  is said to be an oscillating polynomial (OP) if  $|p(x)| = \|p\|$  for  $n+1$  values of  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of functions with property  $\mathcal{D}$ . Suppose that  $\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  is a set of non-zero real numbers. Then  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k g_{\alpha_k}(x)$  is said to be an OGP if and only if  $|p(x)| = \|p\|$  for at least  $n+1$  values of  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

It is easy to verify that the functions given in the following example are OGP's.

EXAMPLE 2.5. Let  $\alpha$  be a positive real number. For each non-negative integer  $k$ , define  $g_k(x) = x^{k\alpha}$  where we take the principal branch of  $\log z$  in  $z^{k\alpha} = \exp(k\alpha \log z)$ . Then the following are examples of OGP's:

(i)  $T_{2n}(x^{\alpha/2})$ , a linear combination of the form  $\sum_{k=0}^n c_k x^{k\alpha}$ . (Here and in what follows  $T_n(x)$  denotes Chebyshev polynomial of degree  $n$  [5, pp. 62-63], [6, pp. 30-31].)

(ii)  $T_{2n}(x^\alpha)$ , a linear combination of the form  $\sum_{k=0}^n c_k x^{2k\alpha}$ .

(iii)  $T_{2n+1}(x^\alpha)$ , a linear combination of the form  $\sum_{k=0}^n c_k x^{(2k+1)\alpha}$ .

From Definitions 2.1 and 2.4 the following two properties of OGP's can be easily derived.

THEOREM 2.6. Let  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of functions with property  $\mathcal{D}$ . If  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k g_{\alpha_k}(x)$  is an OGP, then  $|p(x)| = \|p\|$  exactly  $(n+1)$

times in  $[0, 1]$ . In particular, if  $g_{\alpha_0}$  is a constant function, then  $|p(x)| = \|p\|$  at  $0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1$  where  $0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < 1$ . On the other hand, if  $g_{\alpha_0}$  is not constant, then  $|p(x)| = \|p\|$  at  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 1$  where  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < 1$ .

**THEOREM 2.7.** Let  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of functions with property  $\mathcal{D}$ . The GP  $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k g_{\alpha_k}(x)$ , not necessarily an OGP, has at most  $n$  distinct zeros in  $(0, 1]$ . If it has  $n$ , the coefficients alternate in sign.

**THEOREM 2.8.** The coefficients of an OGP  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k g_{\alpha_k}(x)$  alternate in sign.

**PROOF.** Since  $p'(x)$  cannot equal zero in  $(0, 1]$  except at the points where  $|p(x)| = \|p\|$ , it follows that  $p(x)$  has  $n$  distinct zeros in  $(0, 1]$ . By Theorem 2.7, the coefficients of  $p(x)$  alternate in sign.

**COROLLARY 2.9.** Let  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k g_{\alpha_k}(x)$  be an OGP. Then every zero of  $p(x)$  on  $(0, 1]$  is simple.

**PROOF.** This follows immediately if we observe that the zeros of  $p'(x)$  in  $(0, 1]$  are points where  $|p(x)| = \|p\|$ .

We now give the most interesting property of OGP's.

**THEOREM 2.10.** Let  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of functions with property  $\mathcal{D}$  and suppose that  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k g_{\alpha_k}(x)$  is an OGP in  $[0, 1]$ . If  $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n B_k g_{\alpha_k}(x)$  is another GP such that  $A_k = B_k$  for at least one  $k$  where  $g_{\alpha_k}$  is not a constant function then  $\|q\| > \|p\|$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose if possible that  $\|q\| < \|p\|$ . Then by considering separately when  $g_{\alpha_0}$  is a constant function and when it is not, we find that  $p(x) - q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (A_j - B_j) g_{\alpha_j}(x)$  has at least  $n$  zeros in  $(0, 1]$  but  $p - q$  cannot have more than  $(n - 1)$  zeros in  $(0, 1]$ . Hence we have a contradiction and so  $\|q\| \geq \|p\|$ .

Suppose now that  $\|p\| = \|q\|$ . If  $(p - q)(0) \neq 0$  then  $g_{\alpha_0}$  is a constant function and by Theorem 2.6,  $|p(x)| = \|p\|$  at  $x = 0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1$ . With the convention that if  $(p - q)(x)$  has a zero of order at least two at  $x_i$ , we count one zero for the interval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  and another zero for the interval  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ , then we can count at least one zero of  $(p - q)(x)$  for each interval  $[0, x_1], [x_1, x_2], \dots, [x_{n-1}, 1]$ . But property  $\mathcal{D}$  shows that  $(p - q)$  cannot have  $n$  zeros in  $(0, 1]$  and we have a contradiction.

Next suppose  $(p - q)(0) = 0$ . If  $p(0) = 0$ , then  $g_{\alpha_0}$  is not a constant function. By an argument similar to the one given just now,  $(p - q)(x)$  has zeros in each of the intervals  $[x_1, x_2], \dots, [x_n, 1]$ , where  $|p(x_i)| = \|p\|$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . But  $(p - q)$  cannot have  $n$  zeros in  $(0, 1]$ . However

if  $p(0) \neq 0$ , then  $g_{\alpha_0}$  is a constant function and  $|p(0)| = \|p\|$ . It follows that  $A_0 = B_0$  as well as  $A_k = B_k$ , and since  $(p - q)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (A_j - B_j)g_{\alpha_j}(x)$ ,  $p - q$  has at most  $(n - 2)$  zeros in  $(0, 1]$ . By the above argument  $(p - q)(x)$  has at least  $(n - 1)$  zeros in  $(0, 1]$  and we have a contradiction. The theorem is proved.

We now state the converse to Theorem 2.10.

**THEOREM 2.11.** *Suppose that  $\{g_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of functions with property  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n A_j g_{\alpha_j}(x)$ ,  $q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n B_j g_{\alpha_j}(x)$  be two GP's with  $A_0, \dots, A_n$  all non-zero and at least one coefficient  $A_k = B_k$ , where  $g_{\alpha_k}$  is not a constant function. If  $\|p\| < \|q\|$  for every such  $q \neq p$ , then  $p$  is an OGP.*

For the proof of this theorem, we require the following

**LEMMA.** *Suppose that  $\{g_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of functions with property  $\mathcal{D}$  and that*

- (i)  $0 \leq x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n \leq 1$ , and
- (ii)  $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n$ , where each  $\alpha_j$  is an integer.
- (iii) *Suppose further that when  $x_1 = 0$ ,  $g_{\alpha_1}$  is a non-zero constant function. Then the determinant*

$$\begin{vmatrix} g_{\alpha_1}(x_1), & \dots, & g_{\alpha_n}(x_1) \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ g_{\alpha_1}(x_n), & \dots, & g_{\alpha_n}(x_n) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

To prove this lemma we have to consider two cases  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_1 > 0$ . We omit the details of the proof.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.11.** Suppose that  $p$  is not an OGP. Let  $S = \{x \in [0, 1] \mid |p(x)| = \|p\|\}$ . Then  $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_h\}$  where  $h < n + 1$  and  $0 \leq x_1 < \dots < x_h \leq 1$ .

Since  $g_{\alpha_0}$  must be a constant if  $x_1 = 0$ , there exists a vector  $(d_0, \dots, d_{k-1}, d_{k+1}, \dots, d_n)$  such that

$$d_0 g_{\alpha_0}(x_i) + \dots + d_{k-1} g_{\alpha_{k-1}}(x_i) + d_{k+1} g_{\alpha_{k+1}}(x_i) + \dots + d_n g_{\alpha_n}(x_i) = p(x_i)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$ . Define  $r(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n d_i g_{\alpha_i}(x)$ , where  $d_k = 0$ , and let  $U$  be an open set containing  $S$  such that  $p(x)$  and  $r(x)$  are of the same sign in  $U$ . Then there exists a real number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon < \|p\|$  and  $\max_{[0,1]-U} |p(x)| = \|p\| - \varepsilon$ .

Select a real number  $\lambda$  so that  $0 < \lambda < (\varepsilon/\|r\|)$ . Then  $\|p - \lambda r\| < \|p\|$ . But  $p(x) - \lambda r(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (A_j - \lambda d_j) g_{\alpha_j}(x)$  satisfies the hypothesis (on  $q$ ) of this theorem and we have reached a contradiction. The proof is complete.

**COROLLARY 2.12.** *Suppose that  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  is a sequence of functions with property  $\mathcal{D}$ . Suppose  $\prod_{k=0}^n t_k \neq 0$  and  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n t_k g_k(x)$  is an OGP with  $\|p\| = 1$ . Then if  $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k g_k(x)$  is a GP with real coefficients, we have*

$$|a_k| \leq \|q\| |t_k| \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

**PROOF.** The result holds for  $k = 0$ , when  $g_0$  is constant, since  $|q(0)| = |a_0 g_0| \leq \|q\| = \|q\| |t_0 g_0|$ . Suppose then  $k \geq 0$  and  $g_k$  is not a constant function. Consider the GP

$$p_1(x) = \frac{a_k}{t_k} \sum_{j=0}^n t_j g_j(x).$$

Since  $p$  is an OGP, we have, by Theorem 2.10, that  $\|q\| > |a_k/t_k|$ .

From Example 2.5 and Corollary 2.12 we have the following results.

**COROLLARY 2.13.** *If  $\alpha$  is a positive real number and if  $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^{k\alpha}$ , then  $|a_k| \leq \|q\| |t_k|$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  where  $t_k$  is the coefficient of  $x^{k\alpha}$  in  $T_{2n}(x^{\alpha/2})$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.14.** *If  $\alpha$  is a positive real number and if  $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^{(2k+1)\alpha}$ , then  $|a_k| \leq \|q\| |t_k|$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , where  $t_k$  is the coefficient of  $x^{(2k+1)\alpha}$  in  $T_{2n+1}(x^\alpha)$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.15.** *If  $\alpha$  is a positive real number and if  $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^{2k\alpha}$ , then  $|a_k| \leq \|q\| |t_k|$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , where  $t_k$  is the coefficient of  $x^{2k\alpha}$  in  $T_{2n}(x^\alpha)$ .*

We now give an existence and uniqueness theorem for OGP's.

**THEOREM 2.16.** *Let  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of functions with property  $\mathcal{D}$ . Further suppose that for each positive integer  $n$ , there is an OGP  $p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k g_k(x)$  with  $\prod_{k=0}^n A_k \neq 0$ . Then to a given set of integers  $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  there corresponds an OGP  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k g_{\alpha_k}(x)$  with  $\prod_{k=0}^n a_k \neq 0$ , which is unique except for a constant factor.*

**REMARK.** We have mentioned that the product  $\prod_{k=0}^n A_k \neq 0$  to emphasize the precise set of subscripts with respect to which  $p_n$  is an OGP. A similar remark applies to the condition following the definition of  $p$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be the given set of integers, let  $c$  be a non-zero real constant, and let  $k$  be an integer less than or equal to  $n$  such that  $g_{\alpha_k}(x)$  is not a constant function. We will show that there exists a unique OGP  $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j g_{\alpha_j}(x)$  with  $a_k = c$ .

Let  $R^n$  denote Euclidean  $n$ -space and define  $Q: R^n \rightarrow R^1$  so that

$$Q(B) = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |B_0 g_{\alpha_0}(x) + \cdots + B_{k-1} g_{\alpha_{k-1}}(x) + c g_{\alpha_k}(x) + B_{k+1} g_{\alpha_{k+1}}(x) + \cdots + B_n g_{\alpha_n}(x)|$$

for all  $B = (B_0, \dots, B_{k-1}, B_{k+1}, \dots, B_n) \in R^n$ . Then there exists  $C = (C_0, \dots, C_{k-1}, C_{k+1}, \dots, C_n) \in R^n$  such that  $\inf_{B \in R^n} Q(B) = Q(C)$ . Moreover, by an argument, identical to the one employed in the proof of Theorem 2.10, we have that  $C$  is unique and

$$C(x) = \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq k}}^n C_j g_{\alpha_j}(x) + c g_{\alpha_k}(x)$$

is an OGP.

**THEOREM 2.17.** Let  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of functions with property  $\mathcal{D}$  such that if  $\alpha > \beta$  then  $g_\alpha(x) = o(g_\beta(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ . If

$$p(x) = \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq m}}^n A_k g_{\alpha_k}(x) + g_{\alpha_m}(x)$$

and

$$q(x) = \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq m}}^n B_k g_{\beta_k}(x) + g_{\alpha_m}(x)$$

are both OGP's in  $[0, 1]$  where  $0 \leq \alpha_0 < \beta_0 < \cdots < \beta_{i-1} < \alpha_m < \beta_{i+1} < \alpha_{i+1} < \cdots < \beta_n < \alpha_n$  then  $\|p\| > \|q\|$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\|p\| \leq \|q\|$ . Since  $g_{\beta_0}(x)$  is not a constant function we have, by Theorem 2.6,  $|q(x)| = \|q\|$  at  $x_1, \dots, x_n, 1$  where  $0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n < 1$ . Since  $p$  and  $q$  are both OGP's, by property  $\mathcal{D}$  and Theorem 2.8, we have that  $(q - p)$  has at most  $n$  zeros in  $(0, 1]$ . It follows by an easy argument that  $(q - p)(x) \neq 0$  in  $(0, x_1]$ . As  $x \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$(q - p)(x) = g_{\alpha_0}(x) \left\{ -A_0 + \frac{o(g_{\alpha_0}(x))}{g_{\alpha_0}(x)} \right\}$$

and so  $(q - p)$  takes the sign of  $-A_0$  in  $(0, x_1]$ . But

$$q(x) = g_{\beta_0}(x) \left\{ B_0 + \frac{o(g_{\beta_0}(x))}{g_{\beta_0}(x)} \right\} \quad (x \rightarrow 0)$$

and so  $q$  and hence  $q - p$  takes the sign of  $B_0$  in  $(0, x_1]$ . Since  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are of the same sign, we have a contradiction, and the theorem is proved.

Finally we note the following special case of Theorem 2.17.

**THEOREM 2.18.** Let  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of functions with property  $\mathcal{D}$  such that if  $\alpha > \beta$ ,  $g_\alpha(x) = o(g_\beta(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ . If  $p(x) = g_{\alpha_0}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n A_k g_{\alpha_k}(x)$  and  $q(x) = g_{\alpha_0}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n B_k g_{\beta_k}(x)$  are both OGP's with  $0 \leq \alpha_0 < \beta_1 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_n$ , where  $g_{\alpha_0}(x)$  is not a constant function, then  $\|q\| < \|p\|$ .

**COROLLARY 2.19.** *If  $p(x) = x + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x^{r_k}$  is an OGP with  $r_i \in (2i - 1, 2i + 1)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then  $\|p\| < 1/(2n + 1)$  for  $n \geq 1$ .*

**PROOF.** By Example 2.5,  $T_{2n+1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k x^{2k+1}$  is an OGP with  $\|T_{2n+1}\| = 1$  and  $|A_1| = 2n + 1$ . Since  $0 < 1 < r_1 < 3 < r_2 < \dots < 2n - 1 < r_n < 2n + 1$ , by Theorem 2.18, we have  $\|p\| < 1/(2n + 1)$ .

**3. Approximation to Real Powers of  $x$ .** For a given set  $\{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$  of positive non-integral real numbers and for each positive integer  $n$ , define

$$E_n(\sum_{i=1}^k x^{r_i}) = \min_{c_\lambda} \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \left| \sum_{\lambda=0}^n c_\lambda x^\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^k x^{r_i} \right|.$$

Here  $c_i$  are all real numbers.

We now relate the study of OGP's to the discussion of  $E_n(\sum_{i=1}^n x^{r_i})$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  be real numbers with  $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_k$ . Suppose there exists an integer  $n_0$  such that  $n_0 < r_1 < \dots < r_k < n_0 + 1$ . Define for each non-negative integer  $\alpha$*

$$g_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} x^\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \leq n_0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^k x^{r_i} & \text{if } \alpha = n_0 + 1, \\ x^{\alpha-1} & \text{if } \alpha \geq n_0 + 2. \end{cases}$$

*Then to a given set of integers  $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  there corresponds an OGP  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k g_{\alpha_k}(x)$  with  $\prod_{k=0}^n a_k \neq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** By comparison with Example 2.2, it is easily verified that  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  has property  $\mathcal{D}$ . We now use Theorem 2.16. Let  $n$  be a positive integer. If  $n \leq n_0$ , then, as noted in Example 2.5,  $T_{2n}(\sqrt{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k g_k(x)$  is an OGP with  $\prod_{k=0}^n A_k \neq 0$ . If  $n \geq n_0 + 1$ , since  $\{1, x, \dots, x^n\}$  satisfies the Haar condition, there exists a unique polynomial  $q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i$  of best approximation to  $g_{n_0+1}(x)$  (see [5, p. 81]). Again since  $\{1, x, \dots, x^n\}$  satisfies the Haar condition, there exist at least  $n + 1$  points  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  with  $0 \leq x_0 < \dots < x_n \leq 1$  with  $q(x_i) - g_{n_0+1}(x_i) = \pm \|q - g_{n_0+1}\|$ . Hence the GP  $p_n(x) = q(x) - g_{n_0+1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k g_k(x)$  is an OGP. By property  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\prod_{k=0}^n A_k \neq 0$ . Theorem 2.16 enables us now to complete the proof.

Note that if the set of real numbers  $\{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$  is given satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, then there exists an OGP  $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i + \sum_{\lambda=1}^k x^{r_\lambda}$ . By Theorem 2.10,  $E_n(\sum_{i=1}^k x^{r_i}) = \|p\|$ . On the other hand, if we are given  $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i + \sum_{\lambda=1}^k x^{r_\lambda}$  such that  $E_n(\sum_{i=1}^k x^{r_i}) = \|p\|$ , then by Theorem 2.11, we have that  $p$  is an OGP.

We now give a lower bound for  $E_n(x^r)$  where  $r \leq 1/3$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *If  $r \in (0, 1/3)$ , then for each integer  $n \geq 2$ , we have*

$$nE_n(x^r) > r/2.$$

PROOF. Write  $E'_n(x^r) = \min_{c_i} \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |x^r - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x^i|$ . By Theorem 3.1, there exist OGP's  $q(x) = x^r + \sum_{k=1}^n B_k x^k$  and  $p(x) = x^r + \sum_{k=0}^n A_k x^k$  such that  $E'_n(x^r) = \|q\|$  and  $E_n(x^r) = \|p\|$ . By Theorem 2.10,  $E'_n(x^r) < \|p - A_0\| \leq \|p\| + |A_0| = 2E_n(x^r)$ . So we only need to show that  $E'_n(x^r) > r/n$ .

Take  $\alpha_1 = 3$  and for each integer  $\lambda = 2, \dots, n$ , let  $\alpha_\lambda$  be an odd integer such that  $\lambda - 1 < \alpha_\lambda r < \lambda$ . This choice is always possible. Let  $C(x) = x^r + c_1 x^{3r} + c_2 x^{\alpha_2 r} + \dots + c_n x^{\alpha_n r}$  be an OGP. Since  $0 < r < 3r < 1 < \alpha_2 r < 2 < \dots < n - 1 < \alpha_n r < n$ , by Theorem 2.18, we have  $\|q\| > \|C\|$ . But  $T_{\alpha_n}(x^r)$  is an OGP with coefficient of  $x^r$  equal to  $\pm \alpha_n$ , so that by Theorem 2.10,

$$\|C\| > \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \left| \frac{T_{\alpha_n}(x^r)}{\alpha_n} \right| = \frac{1}{\alpha_n}.$$

Hence  $E'_n(x^r) = \|q\| > 1/\alpha_n > r/n$ .

REMARK. It is shown in [2] that  $E_n(x^{1/3}) > 1/6(3n - 1)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

We now give examples of OGP's

1. Let  $h$  and  $k$  be positive real numbers with  $h < k$ . Then  $p(x) = \alpha_1 x^h + \alpha_2 x^k$  is an OGP with  $\lambda^{k/(k-h)}/(h - \lambda) = k^{k/(k-h)}/(k - h)$  where  $\lambda = h\{1 - (1/\alpha_2)\|p\|\}$ .

If we take here  $h = 1$ ,  $k = 3$  then  $\lambda = 3/4$  and  $p(x) = \|p\| T_3(x)$ .

2. Let  $h$  and  $k$  be positive real numbers with  $h < k$ . Then

$$p(x) = a_0 + 2a_0 \left( \frac{k}{h-k} \right) \left( \frac{k}{h} \right)^{h/(k-h)} (x^h - x^k)$$

is an OGP. (See [2].)

If we take  $k = 2h$ , we get  $p(x) = a_0 T_4(x^{h/2})$ .

3. Let  $h$  be a positive real number. Then  $p(x) = 1 + \alpha_1 x^h + \alpha_2 x^{2h} + \alpha_3 x^{4h}$  is an OGP, where (See [2].)

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{-4(1+y)^2}{y(1+2y)}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{2(3y^2+2y+1)}{y^2(2y+1)}, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{-2}{y^2(2y+1)},$$

and

$$y = \frac{1}{9} (2\sqrt{3} - 3 + \sqrt{6}(\sqrt{3} - 1)^{1/2}).$$

4. The following examples of OGP's were obtained on the computer by a method similar to the one described in [1]. Let

$$E_n \equiv E_n(x^{1/\pi}) = \min_c \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \left| x^{1/\pi} - \left( \sum_{k=0}^n c_k x^k \right) \right|.$$

We list below *OGP*'s and  $E_n$ 's corresponding to  $n = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ .

$$n = 1, E_1 = 0.19972$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 5.007064x^{1/\pi} + 5.007064x.$$

$$n = 2, E_2 = 0.13409$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 7.457358x^{1/\pi} + 18.203350x - 12.746000x^2.$$

$$n = 3, E_3 = 0.10460$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 9.559751x^{1/\pi} + 40.04487x - 74.21441x^2 \\ + 43.72927x^3.$$

$$n = 4, E_4 = 0.087416$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 11.4396x^{1/\pi} + 70.5913x - 244.568x^2 \\ + 345.287x^3 - 161.870x^4.$$

$$n = 5, E_5 = 0.075972$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 13.1627x^{1/\pi} + 109.852x - 608.063x^2 \\ + 1501.12x^3 - 1607.66x^4 + 617.917x^5.$$

$$n = 6, E_6 = 0.067707$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 14.7695x^{1/\pi} + 157.840x - 1273.10x^2 \\ + 4786.99x^3 - 8648.85x^4 + 7385.69x^5 \\ - 2395.80x^6.$$

$$n = 7, E_7 = 0.061418$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 16.2818x^{1/\pi} + 214.550x - 2372.75x^2 \\ + 12546.2x^3 - 33583.3x^4 + 47322.1x^5 \\ - 33494.0x^6 + 9383.42x^7.$$

5. Write

$$t \equiv \frac{1}{2}(x^{1/3} + x^{1/2}),$$

$$E_n = E_n(t) = \min_c \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |t - \sum_{k=0}^n c_k x^k|.$$

The *OGP*'s and  $E_n$ 's corresponding to  $n = 1, 2, \dots, 7$  are as follows.

$$n = 1, E_1 = 0.15818$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 6.32153t + 6.32153x.$$

$$n = 2, E_2 = 0.096893$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 10.32060t + 22.30406x - 13.98345x^2.$$

$$n = 3, E_3 = 0.071621$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 13.96234t + 48.33399x - 80.66461x^2 + 46.29292x^3.$$

$$n = 4, E_4 = 0.057675$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 17.33824t + 84.37561x - 264.4150x^2 + 363.9411x^3 \\ - 168.5634x^4.$$

$$n = 5, E_5 = 0.048751$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 20.51203t + 130.3663x - 655.0507x^2 + 1577.841x^3 \\ - 1670.111x^4 + 637.4665x^5.$$

$$n = 6, E_6 = 0.042501$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 23.52862t + 186.2697x - 1367.813x^2 + 5021.601x^3 \\ - 8969.480x^4 + 7607.542x^5 - 2456.596x^6.$$

$$n = 7, E_7 = 0.037858$$

$$p(x) = 1 - 26.41432t + 252.0125x - 2543.215x^2 + 13136.03x^3 \\ - 34767.89x^4 + 48662.42x^5 - 34287.53x^6 + 9574.613x^7.$$

The authors want to express their thanks to Mr. W. L. Mahaffey and Professor L. E. Adelson for help in machine calculations in Examples 4 and 5.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. BELL AND S. M. SHAH, Oscillating polynomials and approximations to  $|x|$ , The Publications of the Ramanujan Institute, 1 (1969), 167-177.
- [2] R. A. BELL AND S. M. SHAH, Oscillating polynomials and approximations to fractional powers of  $x$ , J. of Approximation Theory, 1 (1968), 269-274.
- [3] S. BERNSTEIN, Sur la meilleure approximation de  $|x|$  par des polynomes de degrés donnes, Acta Math. 37 (1913), 1-57.
- [4] J. C. BURKILL, Lectures on approximation by polynomials, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1959.
- [5] E. W. CHENEY, Introduction to Approximation Theory, McGraw Hill, New York, 1966.
- [6] G. G. LORENTZ, Approximation of Functions, Athena Series, Holt Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.
- [7] J. V. USPENSKY, Theory of Equations, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1948.

OFFICE of RESEARCH AND STATISTICS,  
SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION  
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND.  
AND  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY.