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The Hodge-de Rham theorem [3] for oriented, compact, Riemannian manifolds says that the classical cohomology groups with real coefficients can be calculated from a knowledge of the linearly independent harmonic differential forms on the manifold. Specifically, let $\mathscr{H}^{p}(M)$ denote the space of harmonic $p$-forms on the compact, oriented Riemannian manifold $M$, and let $H^{p}(M, R)$ denote the $p$-th Čech cohomology group with real coefficients. Let $H_{d}^{p}(M, R)$ be the de Rham cohomology space; i.e., the quotient vector space,

$$
H_{d}^{p}(M, R)=\left\{\operatorname{Ker} d: \Lambda^{p} \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+1}\right\} /\left\{\operatorname{Im} d: \Lambda^{p-1} \rightarrow \Lambda^{p}\right\}
$$

Theorem (Hodge-de Rham).
(a) The dimension of $\mathscr{H}^{p}(M)$ is finite, and,
(b) $H^{p}(M, R) \cong \mathscr{H}^{p}(M) \cong H_{d}^{p}(M, R)$.

On our compact $M$, it is easy to show that a harmonic form is in the kernels of both the differential operator $d$ and the codifferential operator $\delta$, simultaneously. Therefore,

$$
\mathscr{H}^{p}(M)=\left\{\operatorname{Ker} d: \Lambda^{p} \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+1}\right\} \cap\left\{\operatorname{Ker} \delta: \Lambda^{p} \rightarrow \Lambda^{p-1}\right\}
$$

and, since we know that any manifold map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ onto another compact, oriented, Riemannian manifold, $N$, commutes with $d$ on the $p$-forms of $N\left(\varphi^{*} d_{N}=d_{M} \varphi^{*}\right)$, it is natural to ask which manifold maps will commute with the codifferential. The hope is that we may find a way to transfer information about $\mathscr{H}^{p}(N)$ over to $\mathscr{H}^{p}(M)$ via $\varphi^{*}$, and, thereby, relate their cohomology groups.

We report here the complete classification of all $C^{2}$ manifold mappings $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ between compact, connected, oriented, Riemannian manifolds which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{*} \delta_{N}=\delta_{M} \varphi^{*} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on all of the $p$-forms of $N$ for a fixed $p \geqq 1$. In the case of 1 -forms, we find equation (1) to be solved by a rather general class of mappings-
smooth, Riemannian submersions with minimal fibres. For $p \geqq 2$, only a restricted class of mappings-the totally geodesic Riemannian submersionswill solve (1).

The hopes for a new relation between the cohomology groups of $M$ and $N$ are partially realized. Specifically, we find the following inequality on the first Betti numbers of the two manifolds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}(N) \leqq b_{1}(M) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p \geqq 2$, it has been known for some time that $b_{p}(N) \leqq b_{p}(M)$ for totally geodesic fibre bundle mappings. The main result, then, for $p \geqq 2$, is the total classification of the $\delta$-commuting manifold maps.

In [4], Lichnerowicz reported several theorems on Riemannian locally trivial fibre spaces with minimal fibres. In particular, he found additional conditions which forced these mappings to commute with the codifferential, $\delta$, on the $p$-forms of $N$ for all degrees, simultaneously. Our results do not agree with those of Lichnerowicz, for $p \geqq 2$. For $p=1$, they are independent of his results.

The Betti number inequality (2) has been announced previously [8].

1. Differential operators on tensor-valued forms. We follow the general outlines of Eells and Sampson [1] and Lichnerowicz [5]. The base space will always be a compact, connected, oriented, smooth, real Riemannian manifold of dimension $m$. If $\Lambda^{p}(M) \rightarrow M$ is the bundle of scalar $p$-forms of $M$ and $E \rightarrow M$ is an arbitrary Riemannian vector bundle over $M$ with group $G$ and fibre dimension $n$, then the smooth sections of the tensor bundle $\Lambda^{p}(M) \otimes E \rightarrow M$ are called vector-valued $p$-forms on $M$ with values in $E$.

If we replace the vector bundle $E$ in the above construction by a mixed tensor bundle determined by $E$, say

we call the smooth sections of the bundle

the tensor-valued p-forms of type $(r, s)$ on $M$ with values in $E_{s}^{r}$. The set of such tensor-valued $p$-forms is denoted $A_{r, s}^{p}(M, E)$. We abbreviate the
notation in the case of vector-valued forms to $A^{p}(M, E)$.
In a similar fashion, using the bundle $T^{p}(M) \rightarrow M$ of covariant $p$ tensors over $M$ in place of the bundle $\Lambda^{p}(M) \rightarrow M$, we create the smooth sections of

which are called the tensor-valued covariant p-tensors of type $(r, s)$ on $M$ with values in $E_{s}^{r}$. We denote the vector space of such $p$-tensors by $T_{r, s}^{p}(M, E)$, and, as before, when $s$ is 0 and $r$ is 1 , we denote the space of vector-valued covariant p-tensors with values in $E$ by $T^{p}(M, E)$. Obviously, $A_{r, s}^{p}(M, E)$ is a vector subspace of $T_{r, s}^{p}(M, E)$.

Let $U$ be a coordinate neighborhood in a locally finite open covering of $M$. Locally, in $U$, a covariant $p$-tensor $\Psi$ of type ( $r, s$ ) may be expressed as a tensor field of type ( $r, s$ ) with covariant $p$-tensors as coefficients:

$$
\Psi_{U}=\left\{\psi_{b_{1} \cdots b_{s}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{r}}\right\}=\left\{\psi_{b_{1} \cdots b_{s}, k_{1} \cdots k_{p}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{p}}\right\}
$$

with $a_{i}, b_{i}=1, \cdots, n$ and $k_{j}=1, \cdots, m$.
At this point, we adopt the convention that the indices $\{a, b, c, e\}$ run from 1 to $n$, while the indices $\{i, j, k, l\}$ vary from 1 to $m$.

Let $\left\{g^{i j}\right\}$ denote the inverse of the Riemannian structure matrix on the neighborhood $U$, and let $\left\{h_{a b}\right\}$ be the Riemannian structure matrix on the fibres of $E$ over $U$. We shall study extensively the vector space $T_{r, 0}^{p}(M, E)$, and, therefore, we introduce a local scalar product there to facilitate calculations.

For $\omega, \eta \in T_{r, 0}^{p}(M, E)$ and $x \in U$, define

$$
\langle\omega, \eta\rangle_{x}=\frac{1}{p!} \omega_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{r}}(x) \eta_{j_{1} \cdots j_{p}}^{b_{1} \cdots b_{r}}(x) g^{i_{1} j_{1}}(x) \cdots g^{i_{p} j_{p}}(x) h_{a_{1} b_{1}}(x) \cdots h_{a_{r} b_{r}}(x) .
$$

Since, in this report, all manifolds are compact and oriented, it is meaningful to define the global scalar product of the two tensor-valued covariant $p$-tensors of type $(r, 0)$ to be

$$
(\omega, \eta)=\int_{M}\langle\omega, \eta\rangle
$$

By means of the connections on $E$ and on $M$, we now construct a connection by which we can differentiate our tensor-valued $p$-tensors. Suppose on the coordinate neighborhood $U$ on $M$, the vector bundle $E \rightarrow$ $M$, has a locally defined connection form $\pi=\pi_{U}=\left\{\pi_{b}^{a}\right\}$. Then $\pi_{U}$ is a
matrix of differential 1-forms in the local coordinate neighborhood $U$, and $\pi$ satisfies the overlap transformation condition:

$$
\pi_{b}^{a}=\xi_{c}^{a}\left\{\xi^{-1}\right\}_{b} \pi_{e}^{c}+\xi_{e}^{a} d\left\{\xi^{-1}\right\}_{b}^{e},
$$

with $\xi \in G$, the structural group of the Riemannian vector bundle $E$.
The curvature of the connection $\pi$ is defined to be

$$
\Omega=d \pi+\pi \wedge \pi
$$

so that, locally in $U$,

$$
\Omega_{b}^{a}=d\left(\pi_{b}^{a}\right)+\pi_{c}^{a} \wedge \pi_{b}^{c},
$$

with

$$
\pi_{b}^{a}=\pi_{b, k}^{a} d x^{k}
$$

Let $\nabla$ be the usual torsion-free covariant differentiation operator for the Riemannian connection defined on the manifold $M$. If $\alpha \in A^{p}(M, E)$, we define

$$
\tilde{\nabla} \alpha=\nabla \alpha+\pi \otimes \alpha \quad \text { on } U
$$

That is,

$$
(\tilde{\nabla} \alpha)^{b}=V\left(\alpha^{b}\right)+\pi_{c}^{b} \otimes \alpha^{c} \quad \text { on } U
$$

Clearly, $\tilde{\nabla} \alpha \in T^{p+1}(M, E)$, and $\tilde{V}$ transforms correctly on the overlap of coordinate neighborhoods.

Suppose that $\alpha \in A_{r, 0}^{p}(M, E)$ is a tensor-valued $p$-form of type $(r, 0)$. On the coordinate neighborhood $U$, we set,

$$
(\tilde{\Gamma} \alpha)^{b_{1} \cdots b_{r}}=\nabla\left(\alpha^{b_{1} \cdots b_{r}}\right)+\sum_{\sigma=1}^{r} \pi_{c}^{b_{\sigma}} \otimes \alpha^{b_{1} \cdots b_{\sigma-1} b_{o+1} \cdots b_{r}} .
$$

Then $\tilde{\nabla} \alpha$ is a tensor-valued covariant $(p+1)$-tensor of type $(r, 0)$. $\quad \tilde{\nabla}$ may also be extended to tensor-valued forms of type ( $r, s$ ) or to tensor-valued tensors of type ( $r, s$ ), but, as we shall not need it, we leave it for the reader.

Let $\alpha \in A^{p}(M, E)$. The exterior derivative of the vector-valued p-form $\alpha$ is locally defined in $U$ to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{d} \alpha & =\operatorname{Alt}(\tilde{\nabla} \alpha) \\
& =d \alpha+\pi \wedge \alpha,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d$ is the ordinary exterior differentiation of scalar $p$-forms on the base manifold $M$. Thus, locally in $U$,

$$
(\widetilde{d} \alpha)^{b}=d\left(\alpha^{b}\right)+\pi_{c}^{b} \wedge \alpha^{c}
$$

Clearly, $\tilde{d}: A^{p}(M, E) \rightarrow A^{p+1}(M, E)$.
Proposition 1.1. In general, $\tilde{d} \widetilde{d} \neq 0$. In fact, for $\alpha \in A^{p}(M, E)$,

$$
\widetilde{d} \widetilde{d} \alpha=\Omega \wedge \alpha
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{d} \widetilde{d} \alpha & =\tilde{d}(d \alpha+\pi \wedge \alpha) \\
& =-\pi \wedge d \alpha+\pi \wedge d \alpha+(d \pi+\pi \wedge \pi) \wedge \alpha \\
& =\Omega \wedge \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

Corresponding to the formal adjoint, $\delta$, of the exterior differentiation operator $d$, on $M$, we define the codifferential of a vector-valued $p$-form. Suppose, locally in $U$, that the $b$-th component of the form $\alpha \in A^{p}(M, E)$ is expressed as

$$
\alpha^{b}=\left(\alpha_{j_{1} \ldots j_{p}}^{b}\right) d x^{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{j_{p}} .
$$

Then,

$$
(\tilde{\delta} \alpha)_{j_{2} \cdots j_{p}}^{b}=-g^{j j \tilde{k}_{j}}\left(\alpha_{k j_{2} \cdots j_{p}}^{b}\right) .
$$

It is clear that $\tilde{\delta}: A^{p}(M, E) \rightarrow A^{p-1}(M, E)$.
Proposition 1.2. For every $\alpha \in A^{p}(M, E)$ and $\beta \in A^{p+1}(M, E)$,

$$
(\tilde{d} \alpha, \beta)=(\alpha, \tilde{\delta} \beta) .
$$

Proof. [5].
We define the generalized Laplacian operator on vector-valued $p$-forms to be

$$
\widetilde{\Delta}=-(\tilde{d} \tilde{\delta}+\tilde{\delta} \widetilde{d}) .
$$

It is straightforward that $\tilde{\Delta}$ is linear and preserves the degree of vectorvalued forms. In the same manner as with regular $p$-forms, a vectorvalued $p$-form $\alpha \in A^{p}(M, E)$ which satisfies $\widetilde{\Delta} \alpha=0$ is said to be harmonic. It can be shown, in the standard manner using the global scalar product on the compact manifold, $M$, that $\widetilde{\Delta} \alpha=0$ if and only if both $\widetilde{d} \alpha=0$ and $\tilde{\delta} \alpha=0$.

For tensor-valued $p$-forms of type ( $r, 0$ ), we define the differential, codifferential, and Laplacian as before. Specifically, if $\alpha \in A_{r, 0}^{p}(M, E)$, we have, locally in $U$,

$$
(\widetilde{d} \alpha)^{b_{1} \cdots b_{r}}=d\left(\alpha^{b_{1} \cdots b_{r}}\right)+\sum_{\sigma=1}^{r} \pi^{b_{0}} \wedge \alpha^{b_{1} \cdots b_{o-1} b_{0} b_{0+1} \cdots b_{r}},
$$

and

$$
(\tilde{\delta} \alpha)_{j_{2}}^{b_{2} \cdots b_{p} \cdots j_{p}}=-g^{i k \tilde{V}_{k}}\left(\alpha_{i j_{2} \cdots j_{p}}^{b_{1} \cdots b_{r}}\right),
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\Delta}=-(\tilde{d} \tilde{\delta}+\tilde{\delta} \tilde{d})
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{d}: A_{r, 0}^{p}(M, E) \rightarrow A_{r, 0}^{p+1}(M, E), \\
& \tilde{\delta}: A_{r, 0}^{p}(M, E) \rightarrow A_{r, 0}^{p-1}(M, E),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\Delta}: A_{r, 0}^{p}(M, E) \rightarrow A_{r, 0}^{p}(M, E)
$$

are all linear operators on tensor-valued p-forms. As before, $\tilde{\delta}$ is the formal adjoint of $\tilde{d}$ with respect to the global scalar product.

We now wish to apply this construction to the situation at hand. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be a $C^{2}$ manifold map between two compact, oriented, smooth Riemannian manifolds of dimension $m$ and $n$, respectively. The tangent bundle of $N$ is $T(N) \rightarrow N$ and we form, in the standard manner, the pullback bundle $\varphi^{-1} T(N) \rightarrow M$. Let $U$ be a coordinate neighborhood of $M$ with the corresponding local basis $\left\{d x^{i}\right\}$ for the smooth 1-forms there. We denote the Riemannian structure tensor of $M$ locally by $\left\{g_{i j}\right\}$. Letting $\left\{d y^{a}\right\}$ be a local basis in $\varphi(U) \cong N$, compatible with the $\left\{d x^{i}\right\}$, we may locally express the Riemannian tensor on $N$, in $\varphi(U)$, as

$$
\overline{d s^{2}}=h_{a b} d y^{a} \otimes d y^{b}
$$

In general, a superior bar will refer to tensors, functions, etc., associated to the target manifold, $N$. Thus, $\bar{V}$ will denote the Riemannian covariant differentiation operator on tensor fields of $N$, and $\left\{\bar{\Gamma}_{b c}^{a}\right\}$ will denote the corresponding Christoffel symbols. Then, locally in $U$,

$$
\pi_{b, j}^{a}=\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{b c}^{a} \circ \varphi\right)\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{c}}{\partial x_{j}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{b, i j}^{z}=\left(\bar{R}_{b c e}^{a} \circ \varphi\right)\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{c}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{e}}{\partial x_{j}}\right\} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We infer from equation (3), that $\tilde{d} \widetilde{d}=0$, for this particular connection which we have constructed, when and only when the Riemannian connection of $N$ is flat.

The differential $\varphi_{*, x}: T_{x}(M) \rightarrow T_{\varphi(x)}(N)$ induces, in an obvious way, a vector-valued 1 -form with values in $\varphi^{-1}(T(N))$ which we denote by $\varphi_{*}$. Since we shall be particularly concerned with a study of $\varphi_{*}$, we abbreviate
the notation for $A^{1}\left(M, \varphi^{-1} T(N)\right)$ to $A^{1}(M, \varphi)$ for convenience. Locally in $U$, we have the explicit expression for $\varphi_{*}$ as

$$
\left(\varphi_{*}\right)^{a}=\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{a}}{\partial x_{i}}\right\} d x^{i}
$$

Proposition 1.3.
(a) Locally, in $U$,
(i) $\left(\tilde{V} \varphi_{*}\right)_{i j}^{a}=\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi^{a}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+\Gamma_{i j}^{k}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{a}}{\partial x_{k}}\right\}-\bar{\Gamma}_{b c}^{a}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{b}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{c}}{\partial x_{j}}\right\}$
i) $\left(\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*}\right)^{a}=-g^{i j}\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi^{a}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right\}-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} g^{i j}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{a}}{\partial x_{k}}\right\}+g^{i j} \bar{\Gamma}_{b c}^{a}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{b}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{c}}{\partial x_{j}}\right\}$.
(b) $\tilde{d} \varphi_{*}=0$.

Proof. Assertion (a) (i) follows from the definitions, and (a) (ii) is immediate from

$$
\left(\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*}\right)^{a}=-g^{i j} \tilde{V}_{i}\left(\varphi_{*}\right)_{j}^{a} .
$$

Since $\tilde{d}=$ Alt $\tilde{V}$, we see that

$$
\left(d \varphi_{*}\right)^{a}=\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi^{a}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j}+\Gamma_{i j}^{k}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{a}}{\partial x_{k}}\right\} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j}-\bar{\Gamma}_{b c}^{a}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{b}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{c}}{\partial x_{j}}\right\} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j}
$$

But every term on the right is symmetric in $i$ and $j$. Therefore, $\tilde{d} \varphi_{*}=0$.
The fundamental form, $\beta(\varphi)$, of the mapping $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is the vectorvalued 2 -tensor $\tilde{\nabla} \varphi_{*}$ [7]. The justification for this name is the fact that, when $\varphi$ is an isometric immersion, $\tilde{\nabla} \varphi_{*}$ is exactly the second fundamental form of the immersion. Based on this fact, the mapping $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is said to be totally geodesic if $\beta(\varphi)=0$, and to be a harmonic mapping if $\widetilde{\Delta} \varphi_{*}=0$. It is easy to see that part (b) of Proposition 1.3 implies that $\varphi$ is a harmonic mapping if and only if $\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*}=0$. Since $\tilde{\delta}=-\operatorname{Trace} \tilde{V}$, totally geodesic must imply harmonicity.

To each mapping $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ we associate a canonical tensor-valued $p$-form of type $(p, 0)$ given by

$$
\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}=\varphi_{*} \wedge \varphi_{*} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{*} ; \quad(p-\text { times })
$$

Thus, locally, in a coordinate neighborhood $U$,

$$
\left(\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}\right)_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{p}}=\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{i_{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}
$$

Since we shall study several important properties of $\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}$, we shorten the notation of $A_{p, 0}^{p}\left(M, \varphi^{-1} T(N)\right)$ to $A_{p}^{p}(M, \varphi)$ in the remainder. The basic local expressions for the covariant differential, $\tilde{V} \wedge^{p} \varphi_{*} \in T_{p}^{p+1}(M, \varphi)$, and
for the codifferential, $\tilde{\delta} \wedge^{p} \varphi_{*} \in A_{p}^{p-1}(M, \varphi)$, of $\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}$ are contained in:
Proposition 1.4. Let $U$ be a coordinate neighborhood of $M$. Then,
(a) $\tilde{V}_{k}\left(\left(\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}\right)_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}^{a_{1} \ldots a_{p}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{i_{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{r=1}^{p} \Gamma_{k i_{r}}^{j}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{i_{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{a} r}{\partial x_{j}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\} \\
& -\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{c}}{\partial x_{k}}\right\} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \bar{\Gamma}_{b c}^{a_{r}}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{i_{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{b}}{\partial x_{i_{r}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) $\tilde{\delta}\left(\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}\right)_{i_{2} \cdots i_{p}}^{a_{1} a_{p}}=-g^{j k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}$

$$
-g^{j k} \Gamma_{k_{j}}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}
$$

$$
-g^{j k} \sum_{r=2}^{p} \Gamma_{k i_{r}}^{1}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{r}}}{\partial x_{1}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}
$$

$$
+g^{j k} \frac{\partial \varphi^{c}}{\partial x_{k}} \bar{\Gamma}_{b c}^{a_{1}}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{b}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}
$$

$$
+g^{j k} \frac{\partial \varphi^{c}}{\partial x_{k}} \sum_{r=2}^{p} \bar{\Gamma}_{b c}^{a_{r}}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{b}}{\partial x_{i_{r}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \varphi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\} .
$$

(c) $\tilde{d}\left(\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}\right)=0$.

Proof. (a) and (b) are direct calculations from the definitions. Assertion (c) follows from the same symmetry argument used in the proof of Proposition 1.3.

Proposition 1.4 (c) implies that $\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}$ is a harmonic tensor-valued $p$ form if and only if $\tilde{\delta}\left(\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}\right)=0$. When $p=1$, we saw that totally geodesic mappings were necessarily harmonic mappings. However, any minimal non-totally geodesic immersion is a harmonic mapping without having a zero fundamental form. The same cannot be said for the situation with $\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}$; for, as we shall see later, $\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}$ is harmonic as a tensor-valued $p$-form for $p \geqq 2$ if and only if $\varphi$ is a totally geodesic mapping.

We wish now to introduce the mixed trace form of $\tilde{\nabla} \varphi_{*}$ with $\varphi_{*}$ itself. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ continue to be a $C^{2}$ mapping and define $\Phi \in A_{2}^{1}(M, \varphi)$ locally in a coordinate neighborhood $U$, by

$$
\Phi_{i}^{a b}=-g^{j k}\left(\varphi_{*}\right)_{j}^{b}\left(\tilde{\nabla} \varphi_{*}\right)_{k i}^{a}
$$

2. Riemannian submersions. In our descriptions of the various properties of Riemannian submersions, we observe the notations of O'Neill [6]
and Vilms [7]. A mapping $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is a Riemannian submersion if:
(a) $\varphi$ has maximal rank, and
(b) $\varphi_{*}$, restricted to $\left\{\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{*}\right\}^{\perp}$, is a linear isometry.

The submanifolds, $\varphi^{-1}(y), y \in N$, are called the fibres of $\varphi$. Since we have assumed $M$ to be compact, and since it is well-known that the fibres of $\varphi$ are closed, regularly imbedded submanifolds of $M$, they, too, are compact. Thus, $\varphi$ is a compact, locally trivial, Riemannian fibre space. Those vectors which are in $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{*}$ are called vertical, while those orthogonal to the fibres are called horizontal. In this manner, $\varphi$ induces an orthogonal decomposition of the tangent bundle of $M$, which we denote: $T(M)=$ $V \oplus H$. The orthogonal projection maps are written $\mathscr{V}: T(M) \rightarrow V$ and $\mathscr{H}: T(M) \rightarrow H$. The fact that the vertical distribution is integrable is a consequence of the fact that the fibres are closed submanifolds. In general, $H$ is not integrable.

Important examples of Riemannian submersions (without necessarily requiring $M$ to be compact) are: $S^{2 n+1} \rightarrow P_{n}(C)$; $S^{4 n+3} \rightarrow P_{n}(Q) ; M \times N \rightarrow N$; the tangent bundle of $N$; the orthonormal frame bundle of $N$; Riemannian covering maps; the Hopf mappings, $S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$ and $S^{15} \rightarrow S^{8}$; and reductive homogeneous coset spaces, $G \rightarrow G / H$.

O'Neill [6] defined two tensors, $T$ and $A$, which essentially characterize Riemannian submersions. The second fundamental form of the fibres induces a skew-symmetric tensor $T$ on the vector fields of $M$ via

$$
T_{E} F=\mathscr{H} \nabla_{\mathscr{V} E}(\mathscr{V} F)+\mathscr{V} \nabla_{\mathscr{V} E}(\mathscr{H} F)
$$

for $E, F \in \mathscr{D}(M)$, the Lie algebra of vector fields on $M$. In addition, O'Neill constructed the dual tensor $A$ via

$$
A_{E} F=\mathscr{V} \nabla_{\mathscr{H} E}(\mathscr{H} F)+\mathscr{H} \nabla_{\mathscr{H} E}(\mathscr{V} F) .
$$

$A$, too, is a skew-symmetric tensor, and both $A$ and $T$ reverse the distributions, $V$ and $H$.

The main interpretation of the tensor $T$ results from its origins. That is, for $V$ and $W$, vertical vector fields, the horizontal vector $T_{V} W$ is identical with the values of the second fundamental form of the fibre submanifolds acting on the vectors fields $V$ and $W$, which are tangent to the fibres. The dual tensor, $A$, has an interpretation on $H \times H$ as the horizontal integrability tensor, since a routine calculation shows that when $X$ and $Y$ are horizontal vector fields, then

$$
A_{X} Y=\frac{1}{2} \mathscr{V}[X, Y]
$$

Recall, from the previous section, that the fundamental form, $\beta(\mathcal{P})$,
of a mapping $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is the symmetric, vector-valued 2 -tensor, $\tilde{\nabla} \varphi_{*}$. When $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is a Riemannian submersion, $\beta(\varphi)$ has a particularly straightforward interpretation.

Lemma 2.1 [7]. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be a Riemannian submersion. Then, for $E, F \in \mathscr{D}(M)$,
(a) $\left.\beta(\varphi)\right|_{H \times H}=0$.
(b) $\left\{\left(\left.\mathscr{\varphi}_{*}\right|_{H}\right)^{-1} \beta(\mathscr{\varphi})\right\}(\mathscr{Y} E, \mathscr{V} F)=-T_{\mathscr{V} F}(\mathscr{Y} E)$.
(c) $\left\{\left(\left.\varphi_{*}\right|_{H}\right)^{-1} \beta(\varphi)\right\}(\mathscr{V} E, \mathscr{H} F)=-A_{\mathscr{C} F}(\mathscr{V} E)$.

Proposition 2.2.
(a) $\left.\beta(\varphi)\right|_{V \times V}=0$ if and only if the fibres of $\varphi$ are totally geodesic.
(b) $\left.\beta(\varphi)\right|_{V \times H}=0$ if and only if the horizontal distribution is integrable.
(c) $\operatorname{Tr} \beta(\varphi)=\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*}=0$ if and only if the fibres of $\varphi$ are minimal.

Corollary. A Riemannian submersion is a totally geodesic mapping if and only if the fibres are totally geodesic and the horizontal distribution is integrable.

We now recall a basic theorem of Hermann which Vilms used to characterize totally geodesic Riemannian submersions. In the next three theorems, we assume that the manifold $M$ is complete and connected.

Theorem 2.3 (Hermann). Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be a Riemannian submersion. If the fibres of $\varphi$ are totally geodesic, then $\varphi$ is a fibre bundle with connection and with structure group, the Lie group of isometries of a fibre.

Proof. [2].
Theorem 2.4 (Vilms). A totally geodesic Riemannian submersion, which is not a covering map, is a fibre bundle with flat connection.

Proof. [7].
Theorem 2.5 (Vilms). If $M$ is simply connected and $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is a totally geodesic Riemannian submersion, then $M$ is a Riemannian product manifold and $\varphi$ is a product projection mapping.

Proof. [7].
Now that we have a characterization of totally geodesic Riemannian submersions, we seek such a global characterization for those Riemannian submersions which have minimal fibres. According to Proposition 2.2 (c), the fibres of a Riemannian submersion $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ are minimal if and only
if $\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*}=0$. But in the remarks following Proposition 1.3, we saw that $\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*}=0$ if and only if $\varphi$ is a harmonic mapping. Therefore,

Theorem 2.6. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be a Riemannian submersion. Then the fibres are minimal if and only if $\varphi$ is a harmonic mapping.

We remark that Theorem 2.6 was proven in [1] from local considerations.
3. $\delta$-commuting maps. We now have the machinery to classify those maps which solve the equation

$$
\phi^{*} \delta_{N} \alpha=\delta_{M} \phi^{*} \alpha
$$

on all $p$-forms $\alpha$ of $N$. Before proving the main theorem however, we collect a few minor properties of such $\delta$-commuting maps.

Proposition 3.1.
(a) If $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ is a constant mapping, then $\delta_{M} \phi^{*} \alpha=\phi^{*} \delta_{N} \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda^{p}(N)$ and for all $p=1,2, \cdots, \operatorname{dim} N$.
(b) If $\phi: M \rightarrow N$, commutes with $\delta$ on $p$-forms for a fixed $p$ and $\psi: M \rightarrow N_{2}$ is constant, then the map $\xi: M \rightarrow N_{1} \times N_{2}$ via $\xi(x)=(\phi(x), \psi(x))$ commutes with $\delta$ on p-forms.
(c) If $\phi: M \rightarrow N_{1}$ commutes with $\delta$ on $p$-forms and $\psi: N_{1} \rightarrow N_{2}$ commutes with $\delta$ on $p$-forms, then $\psi \circ \phi: M \rightarrow N_{2}$ commutes with $\delta$.

Proof. (b) and (c) are immediate. For (a), simply note that both sides of the equation are zero.

We are able to give a global characterization of $C^{2}$ manifold maps which commute with the codifferential operator on $p$-forms in terms of tensor-valued differential forms. For this discussion, we fix the integer $p$, $1 \leqq p \leqq \min \{m, n\}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ be a surjective $C^{2}$ manifold mapping, then $\phi^{*} \delta_{N} \alpha=\delta_{M} \phi^{*} \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda^{p}(N)$ if and only if $\phi$ is a Riemannian submersion and

$$
\tilde{\delta}\left(\wedge^{p} \phi_{*}\right)=0 .
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha$ be an arbitrary $p$-form on $N$ and $\phi$ as in the statement of the theorem. Let $x \in M$. We take sufficiently small coordinate charts $U$ about $x$ and $V$ about $\phi(x)$ letting $\left\{d y^{1}, \cdots, d y^{n}\right\}$ be local coordinates about $\phi(x)$ compatible with the local coordinates $\left\{d x^{1}, \cdots, d x^{m}\right\}$ about $x$. Locally, in $V$, we may express $\alpha$ as:

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{p!} b_{a_{1} \cdots a_{p}} d y^{a_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d y^{a_{p}}
$$

and $\phi^{*} \alpha$ locally in $U$ as:

$$
\phi^{*} \alpha=\frac{1}{p!}\left\{\left(b_{a_{1} \cdots a_{p}} \circ \phi\right) \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{i_{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\} d x^{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{i_{p}} .
$$

We locally calculate the $p-1$ forms $\delta_{M H} \phi^{*} \alpha$ and $\phi^{*} \delta_{N} \alpha$.
([4) $\quad\left(\delta_{M} \phi^{*} \alpha\right)_{i_{2} \cdots i_{p}}=-g^{j k} \nabla_{k}\left\{\left(b_{a_{1} \cdots a_{p}} \circ \phi\right)\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & -g^{j k}\left\{\frac{\partial\left(b_{a_{1} \cdots a_{p}}\right)}{\partial y_{c}} \circ \phi\right\}\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{c}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\} \\
& -g^{j k}\left\{\left(b_{a_{1} \cdots a_{p}} \circ \phi\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}\right\} \\
& +g^{j k} \Gamma_{j k}^{l}\left(b_{a_{1} \cdots a_{p}} \circ \phi\right)\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\} \\
& +g^{j k}\left(b_{a_{1} \cdots a_{p}} \circ \phi\right)\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a_{1}}}{\partial x_{j}}\right\}\left\{\sum_{\sigma=2}^{p}\left\{\Gamma_{i_{o} k}^{l} \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{o}}}{\partial x_{j}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, in $V$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\delta_{N} \alpha\right)_{a_{2} \cdots a_{p}}= & -h^{a c} \bar{V}_{d}\left(b_{a a_{2} \cdots a_{p}}\right) \\
= & -h^{a c}\left\{\frac{\partial\left(b_{a a_{2} \cdots a_{p}}\right)}{\partial y_{c}}\right\}+h^{a c} \bar{\Gamma}_{a c}^{e}\left(b_{e a_{2} \cdots a_{p}}\right) \\
& +h^{a c} \sum_{\sigma=2}^{p}\left\{\bar{\Gamma}_{a_{\alpha} c}^{e}\left(b_{a a_{2} \cdots a_{o-1} e a_{o+1} \cdots a_{p}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, in $U$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\phi^{*} \delta_{N} \alpha\right)_{i_{2} \cdots i_{p}}= & -\left(h^{a c} \circ \phi\right)\left\{\frac{\partial\left(b_{a a_{2} \cdots a_{p}}\right)}{\partial y_{c}}\right\}\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}  \tag{5}\\
+ & \left(h^{a c} \circ \phi\right)\left(b_{e a_{2} \cdots a_{p}} \circ \phi\right)\left\{\bar{\Gamma}_{a c}^{e} \circ \phi\right\}\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a} p}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\} \\
+ & \left(h^{a c} \circ \phi\right)\left\{\sum _ { \sigma = 2 } ^ { p } \left\{\left(b_{a a_{2} \cdots a_{o-1} e_{a+1} \cdots a_{p}} \circ \phi\right)\left\{\bar{\Gamma}_{a_{\alpha}{ }^{\circ}}^{e} \circ \phi\right\}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\cdots\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}\right\}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the form $\alpha$ is completely arbitrary, we may compare like expressions in the equation
(4) $=(5) \quad \phi^{*} \delta_{N} \alpha=\delta_{M} \phi^{*} \alpha$
which contain the term $\partial\left(b_{a a_{2} \cdots a_{p}}\right) / \partial y_{c}$.

This action yields

$$
\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdots \frac{\partial \dot{\phi}^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}\left(h^{a c} \circ \phi\right)=\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}\left(g^{j k} \frac{\partial \phi^{c}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial \dot{\phi}^{a}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)
$$

for all $a=1, \cdots, n$ and all $c=1, \cdots, n$.
Now $\phi$ is surjective, so some $\partial \phi^{a} / \partial x_{i_{l}} \neq 0$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{a c} \circ \phi=g^{j k} \frac{\partial \phi^{c}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial \phi^{a}}{\partial x_{j}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, c=1, \cdots, n$.
For the surjective map $\phi: M \rightarrow N$, (6) is exactly the defining equation for a Riemannian submersion.

Comparison of like expressions which contain the term $\left(b_{a a_{2} \cdots a_{p}} \circ \phi\right)$ in equations (4) and (5) yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(h^{d c} \circ \phi\right) & \left\{\bar{\Gamma}_{d c}^{a} \circ \phi\right\}\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \dot{\phi}^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}  \tag{7}\\
& +\left(h^{a c} \circ \phi\right)\left\{\sum_{\sigma=2}^{p}\left\{\left\{\bar{\Gamma}_{a_{o}}^{a_{o}} \circ \phi\right\}\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a} p}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}\right\}\right\} \\
= & -g^{j k}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}\right\} \\
& +g^{j k} \Gamma_{j k}^{l}\left\{\frac{\partial \phi^{a}}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{2}}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\} \\
& +g^{j k}\left\{\frac{\partial \dot{\phi}^{a}}{\partial x}\right\}\left\{\sum_{\sigma=2}^{p}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{i_{o} k}^{l}\right)\left\{\frac{\partial \dot{\phi}_{2} a_{2}}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{\sigma}}}{\partial x_{l}} \cdots \frac{\partial \phi^{a_{p}}}{\partial x_{i_{p}}}\right\}\right\}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution of equation (6) into (7) then gives:

$$
\tilde{\delta}\left(\wedge^{p} \phi_{*}\right)_{i_{2} \cdots i_{p}}^{a a_{2} \cdots a_{p}}=0
$$

for all $a, a_{j}=1, \cdots, n$ and all $i_{k}=1, \cdots, m$.
When Theorem 3.2 is specialized to the $p=1$ case, we find the stronger result:

Theorem 3.3. A $C^{2}$ manifold mapping $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ commutes with the codifferential $\delta$ on the 1-forms of $N$ if and only if $\varphi$ is a locally trivial Riemannian fibre space with minimal fibres.

Proof. Theorem 3.2 implies that $\varphi$ can only be a Riemannian submersion with $\tilde{\delta}\left(\wedge^{1} \varphi_{*}\right)=0$. As remarked earlier, $\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*}=0$ is equivalent to $\tilde{\Delta} \varphi_{*}=0$; i.e., $\varphi$ is a harmonic Riemannian submersion. Now Theorem 2.6 applies.

For the $p \geqq 2$ cases, the possibilities for a manifold mapping $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$
commuting with the codifferential, $\delta$, are severely limited. We begin our analysis with several technical lemmas.

Lemma 3.4.
(a) $\tilde{\delta}\left(\wedge^{2} \varphi_{*}\right)=\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*} \wedge \varphi_{*}+\Phi$
(b) $\tilde{\delta}\left(\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}\right)=\left\{\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*} \wedge \varphi_{*}+(p-1) \Phi\right\} \wedge\left\{\wedge^{p-2} \varphi_{*}\right)$ for $p \geqq 3$.

Proof. A routine calculation.
Lemma 3.5. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be a Riemannian submersion. Then the tensor $\Phi$ is 0 if and only if $\varphi$ is a totally geodesic mapping.

Proof. We calculate the squared norm of $\Phi$ in $A_{2}^{1}(M, \varphi)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi\|^{2} & =(\Phi, \Phi) \\
& =\int_{M} g^{j k} g^{r s} g^{i t} h_{a c} h_{b e}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{k} \varphi_{*}\right)_{i}^{a}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{s} \varphi_{*}\right)_{t}^{c} \frac{\partial \varphi^{b}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{e}}{\partial x_{r}} \\
& =\int_{M} g^{j k} g^{r s} g^{i t} g_{r j} h_{a c}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{k} \varphi_{*}\right)_{i}^{a}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{s} \varphi_{*}\right)_{t}^{c} \\
& =\int_{M} g^{k s} g^{i t} h_{a c}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{k} \varphi_{*}\right)_{i}^{a}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{s} \varphi_{*}\right)_{t}^{c} \\
& =\left\|\tilde{\nabla} \varphi_{*}\right\|^{2} \text { in } A_{1}^{2}(M, \varphi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\|\Phi\|=\left\|\tilde{\nabla} \varphi_{*}\right\|$ and the lemma follows.
Lemma 3.6. Let $k$ be a positive integer and $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be a Riemannian submersion. Then the vector-valued 1-form of type $(2,0)$ given by

$$
k \Phi+\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*} \wedge \varphi_{*}
$$

is identically zero if and only if $\varphi$ is a totally geodesic mapping.
Proof. It suffices to show that

$$
\left\|\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*}\right\|^{2}=\left(\Phi, \tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*} \wedge \varphi_{*}\right) .
$$

For then,

$$
\left\|k \Phi+\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*} \wedge \varphi_{*}\right\|^{2}=k^{2}\|\Phi\|^{2}+2 k\left\|\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*} \wedge \varphi_{*}\right\|^{2}
$$

and the nullity of $\|\Phi\|$ implies that of the other two norms on the right hand side. We proceed

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Phi, \tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*} \wedge \varphi_{*}\right) & =\int_{M} g^{i t} h_{a c} h_{b e} \frac{\partial \varphi^{b}}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{k} \varphi_{*}\right)_{i}^{a} g^{j k} g^{r s}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{r} \varphi_{*}\right)_{s}^{c} \frac{\partial \varphi^{e}}{\partial x_{t}} \\
& =\int_{M} g^{i t} g^{j k} g^{r s} g_{j t} h_{a c}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{k} \varphi_{*}\right)_{i}^{a}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{r} \varphi_{*}\right)_{s}^{c} \\
& =\int_{M} h_{a c}\left(\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*}\right)^{a}\left(\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*}\right)^{c} \\
& =\left\|\tilde{\delta} \varphi_{*}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.7. For any $p \geqq 2, \varphi: M \rightarrow N$ commutes with $\delta$ on the $p$ forms of $N$ if and only if $\varphi$ is a totally geodesic Riemannian submersion.

Proof. First notice that because $\varphi$ has maximal rank, $\wedge^{p} \varphi_{*}$ is never zero. The theorem then follows immediately from Theorem 3.2, and from Lemmas 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6.

Corollary 1. The only $C^{2}$ mappings $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ commuting with $\delta$ on the $p$-forms of $N$ for $p \geqq 2$, are the fibre bundle maps with flat connection.

Proof. See Theorem 2.4.
Corollary 2. Suppose that $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ commutes with $\delta$ on the $p$ forms of $N$ for $p \geqq 2$ with $M$, simply connected. Then $M$ is a Riemannian product manifold and $\varphi$ is a Riemannian product projection mapping.

Proof. See Theorem 2.5.
Corollary 3. If $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ commutes with $\delta$ on the p-forms of $N$ for $p \geqq 2$, then it also commutes with $\delta$ on the 1-forms of $N$.

Proof. Totally geodesic implies harmonic.
Since any $C^{2}$ harmonic mapping is smooth $\left(C^{\infty}\right)$, by virtue of being the local solution to an elliptic equation [1], we obtain a general smoothness theorem for $\delta$-commuting maps.

THEOREM 3.8. If $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is any $C^{2}$ manifold map commuting with the codifferential $\delta$ on the $p$-forms of $N$ for any fixed $p \geqq 1$, then $\rho$ is $C^{\infty}$.
4. Examples. As we have seen, when $M$ is simply connected, the only $C^{2}$ manifold mappings commuting with the codifferential, $\delta$, on the $p$-forms of $N$ for $p \geqq 2$ are the product projection mappings. However, the $p=1$ case is much richer. In fact, the following three mappings commute with $\delta$ on 1 -forms, but do not commute on higher degree forms.
(a) $\varphi: S^{2 n+1} \rightarrow P_{n}(C)$; the classical fibre bundle map over complex projective $n$-space.
(b) $\varphi: S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$; the classical Hopf mapping.
(c) $\varphi: G \rightarrow G / H$; the canonical fibre bundle map with $G$, a compact Lie group; $H$, a closed subgroup of $G$; and $G / H$, an oriented homogeneous coset space.

We now examine other non-projection $\delta$-commuting mappings.
Theorem 4.1. If $\operatorname{dim} M=\operatorname{dim} N$, then the $C^{2}$ manifold mappings which commute with the codifferential on forms of any degree are exactly the Riemannian covering mappings.

Proof. Riemannian covering mappings, being local isometries, are obviously totally geodesic Riemannian submersions. Corollary 3 to Theorem 3.5 then applies. Conversely, it is easy to see that the only locally trivial Riemannian fibre spaces with $\operatorname{dim} M=\operatorname{dim} N$ are the Riemannian covering maps. For a proof of this fact, see [9].

Theorem 4.2. If $\operatorname{dim} M=\operatorname{dim} N+1$, then a $C^{2}$ manifold map $\varphi: M \rightarrow$ $N$ commuting with the codifferential on the 1-forms of $N$ is a smooth Riemannian fibre bundle mapping with Lie structural group, $G=I\left(F_{y}\right)$, the Lie group of isometries of a fibre.

Proof. In this case, the dimension of the fibre submanifolds is 1 , where the concepts of minimal and totally geodesic coincide. Therefore, $\varphi$ is a locally trivial Riemannian fibre space with totally geodesic fibres. The theorem of Hermann (Theorem 2.3) then gives the statement of this theorem. Smoothness follows from Theorem 3.8.

Previously, the author [9] characterized all $C^{3}$ manifold maps which commute with the Laplacian, $\Delta$, on 0 -forms (functions) using much the same methods as in this report. The Laplacian commuters were shown to be exactly the smooth harmonic Riemannian submersions; that is, those Riemannian submersions with minimal fibres. From what we have shown in Section 3, then, if $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ commutes with $\delta$ on 1 -forms, it must commute with $\Delta$ on 0 -forms, and conversely. A simple calculation also shows that if $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ commutes with $\delta$ on $p$-forms, for $p \geqq 2$, then $\varphi$ commutes with the Laplacian $\Delta$ on $p$-forms for all $p$. It is not known what relation exists between manifold maps which commute with the Laplacian on 1 -forms and the $\delta$-commuting mappings.

It is well-known [6] that Riemannian submersions are sectional curvature increasing on horizontal tangent planes. That is, suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are horizontal vector fields on $M$ determined by the Riemannian submersion $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$, and that $X_{*}$ and $Y_{*}$ are the corresponding $\varphi$-related vector fields on $N$. Then the Riemannian sectional curvatures of the two manifolds satisfy:

$$
\left\{\left(\bar{K}_{X_{+Y} *}\right) \circ \varphi\right\} \geqq K_{X Y} .
$$

In particular, we conclude:
Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $M$ and $N$ are spaces of constant sectional curvature $K$ and $\bar{K}$, respectively. In order that a $\delta$-commuting map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ exist for any form degree, $p \geqq 1$, it is necessary that

$$
\bar{K} \geqq K
$$

In addition, we know that totally geodesic Riemannian submersions are sectional curvature preserving on horizontal 2-planes. This property is therefore a necessary condition for the existence of a $\delta$-commuting mapping on forms of degree greater than 1.

Utilizing results of [1] on the non-existence of harmonic mappings, we may also rule out certain manifold pairs ( $M, N$ ) from our search for $\delta$-commuting mappings on 1 -forms.

## Theorem 4.4.

(a) Suppose that the Ricci tensor of the manifold $M$ is everywhere positive semi-definite and that there exists at least one point $x \in M$ such that $\left[R_{i j}(x)\right]$ is positive definite. Moreover, suppose that the Riemannian curvature of $N$ is non-positive. Then there can not be any $C^{2}$ maps $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ which commute with $\delta$ on 1-forms.
(b) Suppose $M$ has positive semi-definite Ricci tensor and that the dimension of $N$ is greater than 1. Then if $N$ has everywhere negative Riemannian curvature, there can be no $C^{2}$ manifold maps commuting with $\delta$ on forms of any degree.
5. Cohomology. In [9], we related the manifold maps $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ which commute with the Laplacian operator on the $p$-forms of $N$ with the $p$-th Betti numbers of the two manifolds, $M$ and $N$. As we remarked after Theorem 4.2, if a $C^{2}$ manifold map commutes with $\delta$ on 1 -forms, it does not necessarily commute with $\Delta$ on 1-forms. However, a similar Betti number result obtains. We remark that the following theorem is trivial when $p \geqq 2$, because of the total geodesic mapping properties, but we choose to include this case to preserve the generality of the proof.

Theorem 5.1. Fix the positive integer p. Suppose that there exists a $C^{2}$ mapping $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ which commutes with the codifferential, $\delta$, on the $p$-forms of $N$. Then,

$$
b_{p}(N) \leqq b_{p}(M)
$$

Proof. As usual, let $\mathscr{H}^{p}(N)$ denote the real vector space of harmonic $p$-forms on $N$. Take $\alpha \in \mathscr{H}^{p}(N)$. When $N$ is compact, it is well-known that $\alpha$ is harmonic if and only if both $d \alpha=0$ and $\delta \alpha=0$. Since the pullback map $\varphi^{*}$ commutes with the $d$ operator for any map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ and for any form degree $p$, we conclude that $d_{M} \varphi^{*} \alpha=0$. Since $\varphi^{*}$ commutes with the codifferential $\delta, \delta_{M} \varphi^{*} \alpha=0$, and $\varphi^{*} \alpha$ is a harmonic $p$-form on $M$. The linearity of $\varphi^{*}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left\{\varphi^{*} \mathscr{H}^{p}(N)\right\} \leqq \operatorname{dim}\left\{\mathscr{H}^{p}(M)\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is a Riemannian submersion, the induced mapping
$\varphi^{*}: \mathscr{H}^{p}(N) \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{p}(M)$ is a linear isometry, and, therefore, has a trivial kernel. We see, then, that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left\{\varphi^{*} \mathscr{C}^{p}(N)\right\}=\operatorname{dim}\left\{\mathscr{H}^{p}(N)\right\} .
$$

Combining equations (8) and (9) with Hodge's theorem yields

$$
b_{p}(N)=\operatorname{dim}\left\{\mathscr{\mathscr { C }}^{p}(N)\right\} \leqq \operatorname{dim}\left\{\mathscr{C}^{p}(M)\right\}=b_{p}(M) .
$$

Corollary 1. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be a locally trivial $C^{2}$ Riemannian fibre space mapping with both $M$ and $N$ compact, connected, oriented Riemannian manifolds and with the fibres of $\varphi$ minimally immersed in M. Then,

$$
b_{1}(N) \leqq b_{1}(M)
$$

Corollary 2. Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be a compact principal fibre bundle over $M$, a compact, oriented manifold with compact Lie structural group, $G$. Then,

$$
b_{1}(M) \leqq b_{1}(P)
$$

Proof. The fibres of $\pi$, being totally geodesic [6], are minimal.
Particular cases of Corollary 2 include the bundle of orthonormal frames over $M$, compact covering spaces, and homogeneous coset spaces $G / H$ arising from a compact Lie group $G$.

## References

[1] J. Eells, Jr. and J. H. Sampson, Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds, Amer. J. of Math., 86 (1964), 109-160.
[2] R. Hermann, A sufficient condition that a mapping of Riemannian manifolds be a fibre bundle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 11 (1960), 236-242.
[3] W. V. D. Hodge, The Theory and Applications of Harmonic Integrals, University Press, Cambridge, 1952.
[4] A. Lichnerowicz, Quelques théorèmes de géométrie différentielle globale, Comm. Math. Helv., 22 (1949), 271-301.
[5] A. Lichnerowicz, Applications Harmoniques et Variétés Kähleriennes, Sympos. Math. (INDAM, Rome, 1968/69), Vol. 3, Academic Press, London, pp. 341-402.
[6] B. O'Neill, The fundamental equations of a submersion, Michigan Math. J., 13 (1966), 459-469.
[7] J. Vilms, Totally geodesic maps, J. Differential Geometry, 4 (1970), 73-79.
[8] B. Watson, The first Betti numbers of certain locally trivial fibre spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 78 (1972), 392-393.
[9] B. Watson, Manifold maps commuting with the Laplacian, J. Differential Geometry, 8 (1973), 89-98.

