Tôhoku Math. Journ. 29 (1977), 1-8.

# ON THE ISOMETRIC STRUCTURE OF RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS OF NON-NEGATIVE RICCI CURVATURE CONTAINING A COMPACT HYPERSURFACE WITHOUT FOCAL POINT

## Κιγοτακα Ιι

(Received July 2, 1974)

1. Introduction. In their paper [2], J. Cheeger and D. Gromoll proved the following:

THEOREM (Cheeger-Gromoll). Let M be a connected, complete and non-compact Riemannian manifold of non-negative Ricci curvature. If M contains a line, then M is isometric to the Riemannian product  $N \times \mathbf{R}$ , where N is a totally geodesic hypersurface in M.

Recall that a line is a normal geodesic  $l: (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow M$ , any segment of which is minimal.

The above theorem says that the existence of suitable geometric objects in M determines the isometric structure of M. In the present paper, we shall consider the case where M contains a compact hypersurface without focal point. Our results are the following:

THEOREM A. Let M be a connected, complete and non-compact Riemannian manifold of non-negative Ricci curvature. If M contains a compact hypersurface N without focal point, then N is totally geodesic, and M is isometric to a flat line bundle on N or on  $N/Z_2$ .

THEOREM B. Let M be a connected, compact Riemannian manifold of non-negative Ricci curvature. If M contains a compact hypersurface N without focal point, then N is totally geodesic, and M is isometric to a Riemannian manifold  $\perp_{[0,r]}N/i$ .

The Riemannian manifold  $\perp_{[0,r]}N/i$  is defined as follows: For r>0, let  $\perp_{[0,r]}N$  be a flat line bundle on N with fibre [-r, r]. Let  $i: \perp_r N \rightarrow \perp_r N$ be a fixed-point free isometric involution on the boundary  $\perp_r N$  of  $\perp_{[0,r]}N$ . Then identifying the boundary points u and i(u), we obtain the Riemannian manifold  $\perp_{[0,r]}N/i$ .

2. Preliminaries. Let M be an n-dimensional connected and complete Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric  $\langle , \rangle$  and Levi-Civita

connection V. For  $p \in M$ , let  $M_p$  be the tangent space to M. Let  $R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z - [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z$  be the Riemannian curvature tensor. For  $u, v \in M_{v}$ , let K(u, v) be the sectional curvature of the plane spanned by u and v. If u and v are mutually orthogonal unit vectors, recall that  $K(u, v) = \langle R(u, v)u, v \rangle$ . For a unit vector  $u \in M_p$ ,  $\operatorname{Ric}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} K(u, e_k)$ is the Ricci curvature of M with respect to u, where  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, u$  is an orthonormal basis of  $M_{\nu}$ . Let N be a connected and complete hypersurface in *M*. Let  $\nu: \perp N \rightarrow N$  and  $\nu: \perp_1 N \rightarrow N$  be the flat normal bundle and the unit normal bundle on N respectively. For  $u \in \bot_1 N$ ,  $p = \nu(u)$ , let  $S_u: N_p \times N_p \rightarrow R$  be the second fundamental form of N with respect to u.  $S_u(X, Y) = -\langle u, V_X Y \rangle$  for tangent vector fields X and Y on N. The mean curvature of N with respect to u is given by  $m(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} S_u(e_k, e_k)$ , where  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $N_p$ . Let exp:  $TM \rightarrow M$  be the exponential map. Let  $\exp_N: \bot N \rightarrow M$  and  $\exp_N: \bot_1 N \rightarrow M$  be the restrictions of exp on  $\perp N$  and on  $\perp N$  respectively. A geodesic c is called normal if its tangent vector  $\dot{c}$  is of unit length. For  $u \in \perp_1 N$ , the map  $c: [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$  defined by  $c(t) = \exp_{N}(tu)$  is a normal geodesic starting from N and perpendicular to N at t = 0. A cut point  $c(\tau)$  of N along c is a point such that the restriction  $c \mid [0, \tau]$  is a minimal geodesic from N to  $c(\tau)$ , but  $c \mid [0, \tau']$  is not for any  $\tau' > \tau$ . The cut locus C(N) of N is the set of cut points of N along all geodesics starting from N and perpendicular to N. C(N) is a closed set in M. A Jacobi field  $J: [0, \infty) \rightarrow TM$ along c is said to be transversal to N at t = 0 if it satisfies

(i) J is perpendicular to c,

(ii)  $\langle \mathcal{V}_u J(0), v \rangle = -S_u(J(0), v)$  for any  $v \in N_p$ ,

where  $u = \dot{c}(0)$ . A deformation  $\mathscr{V}: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, \infty) \to M$  of c is said to be transversal to N at t=0 if it satisfies

(i)  $\mathscr{V}(0, t) = c(t)$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,

(ii) the curve  $t \mapsto \mathscr{V}(s, t)$  is a normal geodesic that is perpendicular to N at t = 0, for each  $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ .

It is well-known that the Jacobi field associated to a transversal deformation is transversal. Conversely, any transversal Jacobi field is associated to at least one transversal deformation. Actually, for a transversal Jacobi field J, let  $u: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to \perp_1 N$  be a map such that  $u(0) = \dot{c}(0)$ , and the tangent vector to the curve  $s \mapsto \nu \circ u(s)$  at s = 0 is J(0). Then the map  $\mathscr{V}: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, \infty) \to M$  defined by  $\mathscr{V}(s, t) = \exp_N(tu(s))$  is a transversal deformation, and the Jacobi field associated to  $\mathscr{V}$  coincides with J. See Hermann [3] or Bishop-Crittenden [1]. A focal point  $c(\tau)$  of N along c is a point such that  $\exp_N$  is singular at  $\tau \dot{c}(0) \in \bot N$ .  $c(\tau)$  is a focal point of N along c if and only if there exists a Jacobi field J along c that is transversal to N at t=0,  $J(0) \neq 0$  and  $J(\tau) = 0$ . The focal locus F(N) of N is the set of focal points of N along all geodesics starting from N and perpendicular to N. For fixed  $\tau > 0$ , a map  $\mathscr{W}: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, \tau] \to M$  will be called a proper deformation of  $c \mid [0, \tau]$  between N and  $c(\tau)$  if it satisfies

(i)  $\mathscr{W}(0, t) = c(t)$  for  $t \in [0, \tau]$ ,

(ii)  $\mathscr{W}(s, 0) \in N$  for  $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ ,

(iii)  $\mathscr{W}(s, \tau) = c(\tau)$  for  $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ ,

(iv) the tangent vector X(t) to the curve  $s \mapsto \mathscr{W}(s, t)$  at s=0 is perpendicular to c, for each  $t \in [0, \tau]$ .

A vector field  $X: [0, \tau] \to TM$  along  $c | [0, \tau]$  will be called a proper infinitesimal deformation of  $c | [0, \tau]$  between N and  $c(\tau)$  if it satisfies

(i)  $X(\tau) = 0$ ,

(ii) X(t) is perpendicular to c for  $t \in [0, \tau]$ .

For any such X, there exists a proper deformation  $\mathscr{W}$  of  $c \mid [0, \tau]$  between N and  $c(\tau)$  such that the associated vector field coincides with X. Let L(s) denote the length of the curve  $t \mapsto \mathscr{W}(s, t)$ . Then  $L: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}$  is smooth in a neighbourhood of 0, and

$$rac{d^2L(0)}{ds^2}=\int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\scriptscriptstyle au}(\langle X',\,X'
angle-\langle R(X,\,\dot{c})X,\,\dot{c}
angle)dt+S_u(X(0),\,X(0))\;,$$

where X' denotes the covariant derivative of X along c, and  $u = \dot{c}(0)$ . Let I(X) denote the right hand side of the above formula.

BASIC LEMMA. If N has no focal point along  $c \mid [0, \tau]$ . Then

 $I(X) \geqq 0$  ,

for any proper infinitesimal deformation X of  $c | [0, \tau]$  between N and  $c(\tau)$ , moreover equality occurs if and only if  $X \equiv 0$ .

For the proof, see Bishop-Crittenden [1].

Let  $\rho: M \times M \to R$  denote the distance function on M. The distance function  $\rho_N: M \to R$  from N is given by  $\rho_N(p) = \inf \{\rho(p, q) | q \in N\}$ .  $\rho_N$  is continuous on M, and smooth on M - N - C(N). If  $c([0, \tau]) \cap C(N) = \bigotimes$ for some  $\tau > 0$ , then  $c \mid (0, \tau]$  is an integral curve of the gradient vector field grad  $\rho_N$  of  $\rho_N$ .  $\rho_N(c(t)) = t$  for  $t \in [0, \tau]$ . Since grad  $\rho_N(c(\tau)) \neq 0$ ,  $N' = \rho_N^{-1}(\{\tau\}) \cap U$  is a piece of hypersurface in M, where U is a small neighbourhood of  $c(\tau)$  in M. c is perpendicular to N' at  $t = \tau$ . Moreover, for any  $u' \in \perp_1 N$  which is sufficiently close to  $\dot{c}(0)$ , the geodesic  $c': [0, \infty) \to M$  defined by  $c'(t) = \exp_N(tu')$  is perpendicular to N' at  $t = \tau$ .

3. The isometric structure of M. From now on, we shall assume that M is of non-negative Ricci curvature, and N is a connected and

K. II

compact hypersurface in M, which has no focal point, that is,  $F(N) = \emptyset$ .

LEMMA 1. N is a minimal hypersurface.

PROOF. For any  $u \in \perp_1 N$ , we shall prove that the mean curvature m(u) of N with respect to u vanishes. Define  $c: [0, \infty) \to M$  by  $c(t) = \exp_N(tu)$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$ ,  $\dot{c}$  be parallel orthonormal vector fields along c. Fix any  $\tau > 0$ , and define proper infinitesimal deformations  $X_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , of  $c \mid [0, \tau]$  between N and  $c(\tau)$  by  $X_k(t) = ((\tau - t)/\tau)e_k(t)$ . Since N has no focal point along c, we have, by Basic Lemma in §2,

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} I(X_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_0^\tau (\langle X_k', X_k' \rangle - \langle R(X_k, \dot{c}) X_k, \dot{c} \rangle) dt + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} S_u(X_k(0), X_k(0)) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{\tau} - \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{\tau-t}{\tau}\right)^2 \operatorname{Ric} (\dot{c}(t)) dt + m(u) \\ &\leq \frac{n-1}{\tau} + m(u) \;. \end{split}$$

Letting  $\tau \to \infty$ , we have  $m(u) \ge 0$ . Similarly we have  $0 \le m(-u) = -m(u)$ , and the lemma follows.

Fix  $p \in M - N - C(N)$ , and choose a small neighbourhood U of p in M - N - C(N). Then  $N' = \rho_N^{-1}(\{\tau\}) \cap U$  is a piece of hypersurface through p, where  $\tau = \rho_N(p)$ .

### LEMMA 2. N' is a piece of minimal hypersurface.

PROOF. Let  $c: (-\infty, \infty) \to M$  be a normal geodesic which is perpendicular to N at t=0, and  $c \mid [0, \tau]$  is a minimal geodesic from N to  $p = c(\tau)$ . Then c is perpendicular to N' at  $t=\tau$ . It is sufficient to prove that the mean curvature of N' with respect to  $\dot{c}(\tau)$  vanishes. Let  $c_+ = c \mid [0, \infty)$ , and  $c_-: [0, \infty) \to M$ ;  $c_-(t) = c(-t)$ . For each  $v \in N_{c(0)}$ ,  $v \neq 0$ , let  $J_+$  and  $J_-$  be the Jacobi fields along  $c_+$  and  $c_-$  respectively that are transversal to N at t=0, and  $J_+(0) = J_-(0) = v$ . Since N has no focal point along  $c_+$  and  $c_-$ ,  $J_+$  and  $J_-$  do not vanish everywhere. Define  $J: (-\infty, \infty) \to TM$  by  $J(t) = J_+(t)$  for  $t \geq 0$ , and  $J(t) = J_-(-t)$  for t < 0. Then J is a smooth Jacobi fields  $J_1: [0, \infty) \to TM$ ;  $J_1(t) = J(t + \tau)$  and  $J_2: [0, \infty) \to TM$ ;  $J_2(t) = J(-t+\tau)$  are transversal to N' at t=0. It follows easily that N' has no focal point along c. Then, by Lemma 1, the mean curvature of N' with respect to  $\dot{c}(\tau)$  vanishes.

### LEMMA 3. $\rho_N$ is harmonic in M-N-C(N).

PROOF. Let p, U and N' be as above. Let  $E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}$ ,  $E_n = \operatorname{grad}(\rho_N | U)$  be orthonormal vector fields in U. Then the restrictions  $E_k | N', k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , are tangent to N', and  $E_n | N'$  is perpendicular to N'. The integral curves of  $E_n$  are geodesics,  $V_{E_n} E_n = 0$ . Hence we have,

$$egin{aligned} & \Delta 
ho_N(p) = -\sum\limits_{k=1}^n \langle \mathcal{V}_{E_k} E_n, E_k 
angle |_p \ & = -\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n-1} \langle E_n, \mathcal{V}_{E_k} E_k 
angle |_p \ & = m(E_n(p)) \ & = 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2, where  $m(E_n(p))$  is the mean curvature of N' with respect to  $E_n(p)$ .

The following lemma is due to Cheeger-Gromoll [2].

LEMMA 4. grad  $\rho_N$  is parallel in M - N - C(N).

PROOF. Let p and U be as above. Let  $E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}, E_n = \operatorname{grad}(\rho_N | U)$  be orthonormal vector fields in U which are parallel along the integral curves of  $E_n$ . Then in U,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ric} \left( E_{n} \right) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left\langle R(E_{n}, E_{k}) E_{n}, E_{k} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left\langle \mathcal{V}_{[E_{n}, E_{k}]} E_{n} - \mathcal{V}_{E_{n}} \mathcal{V}_{E_{k}} E_{n} + \mathcal{V}_{E_{k}} \mathcal{V}_{E_{n}} E_{n}, E_{k} \right\rangle \\ &= -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \left\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{V}_{E_{k}} E_{n}} E_{n}, E_{k} \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathcal{V}_{E_{n}} \mathcal{V}_{E_{k}} E_{n}, E_{k} \right\rangle \right) \\ &= -\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left\langle \mathcal{V}_{E_{j}} E_{n}, E_{k} \right\rangle \langle \mathcal{V}_{E_{k}} E_{n}, E_{j} \rangle - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E_{n} \langle \mathcal{V}_{E_{k}} E_{n}, E_{k} \rangle \\ &= - \left\langle \mathcal{V} E_{n}, \mathcal{V} E_{n} \right\rangle + E_{n} (\mathcal{A} \rho_{N}) \\ &= - \left\langle \mathcal{V} E_{n}, \mathcal{V} E_{n} \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3, where  $\nabla E_n$  is the covariant differential of  $E_n$ . Since we have assumed that the Ricci curvature of M is non-negative, it follows that  $\nabla E_n = 0$ .

Let V' be a small neighbourhood of p in N', and  $V' \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  be the Riemannian product of V' and  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ , for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, by Lemma 4, the map  $t': V' \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to M - N - C(N); t'(q, t) = \exp(tE_*(q))$  is an isometric imbedding. See Kobayashi-Nomizu [4]. For fixed  $q \in V', t \mapsto t'$ (q, t) is an integral curve of  $E_*$ . For fixed  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon), t'(V' \times \{t\})$  coincides with  $\rho_N^{-1}(\{\tau + t\}) \cap t'(V' \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))$ , where  $\tau = \rho_N(p)$ . Similarly, for  $p \in N$ , let V be a small neighbourhood of p in N, and  $V \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  be the Riemannian product. Let  $X_v$  be a unit normal vector field on V. Then the map  $\iota: V \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to M - C(N); \ \iota(q, t) = \exp_N(tX_v(q))$  is an isometric imbedding. For fixed  $q \in V$ ,  $t \mapsto \iota(q, t)$  and  $t \mapsto \iota(q, -t)$  are integral curves of  $E_n$ , for t > 0. For fixed  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \ \iota(V \times \{t\})$  coincides with  $\rho_N^{-1}(\{t\}) \cap \iota(V \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))$ . The following lemma is essentially due to Shiohama [6].

LEMMA 5. If N has a cut point, then the cut locus C(N) is a compact totally geodesic hypersurface without boundary.

**PROOF.** Since N is compact, the distance  $r = \rho(N, C(N))$  between N and C(N) is greater than zero. Let  $p_r \in C(N)$  be a point such that  $\rho_N(p_r) = r$ . First we shall prove that, for a small neighbourhood U of  $p_r$  in M,  $C(N) \cap U$  is a piece of totally geodesic hypersurface, and  $\rho_N | C(N) \cap U \equiv r$ . Let  $c: (-\infty, \infty) \to M$  be a normal geodesic such that  $c \mid [0, r]$  is a minimal geodesic from N to C(N),  $c(r) = p_r$ . Since N has no focal point, there are precisely two minimal geodesic from N to  $p_r$ .  $c_1 = c \mid [0, r]$  and  $c_2: [0, r] \rightarrow M$ ;  $c_2(t) = c(2r - t)$ . See  $\overline{O}$ mori [5] and also Shiohama [6]. Let  $V_j$ , j=1, 2, be small neighbourhoods of  $c_j(0)$  in N. Let  $X_j: V_j \rightarrow \perp_1 N$  be unit normal vector fields on  $V_j$  such that  $X(c_j(0)) = \dot{c}_j(0).$  Define  $\varPhi_j: V_j \times (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow M$  by  $\varPhi_j(q, t) = \exp_N(tX_j(q)).$ Then  $\Phi_j$  are immersions, and  $\Phi_j | V_j \times (-r, r)$  are isometric imbeddings. It follows that  $\Phi_j(V_j \times \{r\})$  are totally geodesic hypersurfaces which are perpendicular to  $\dot{c}(r)$ . Hence  $H = \Phi_1(V_1 \times \{r\}) \cap \Phi_2(V_2 \times \{r\})$  is also a totally geodesic hypersurface through  $p_r$ . For any  $p \in H$ , there are two minimal geodesics, of length r, from p to N. Hence  $H \subset C(N)$ . By taking U suitably, we have  $H = C(N) \cap U$ . Next, let  $p' \in \overline{H}$ , where  $\overline{H}$  denotes the closure of H in M. Then  $p' \in C(N)$  and  $\rho_N(p') = r$ . Therefore, as above,  $C(N) \cap U'$  is a piece of totally geodesic hypersurface,  $\rho_N | C(N) \cap U' \equiv r$ , where U' is a small neighbourhood of p' in M. Let  $C_0$  denote the connected component of C(N) which contains  $p_r$ . Then we have shown that  $C_0$  is a compact totally geodesic hypersurface without boundary, here the compactness of  $C_0$  follows from that of N. It is easy to see that C(N) has at most two connected components  $C_0$ , in the direction of  $\dot{c}(0)$ , and  $C(N) - C_0$ , in the direction of  $-\dot{c}(0)$ . It is proved by the same way as above that if  $C(N) - C_0$  is non-empty, then it is also a compact totally geodesic hypersurface without boundary.

REMARK. (i) If there does not exist a unit normal vector field  $X: N \to \perp_1 N$  defined globally on N, then C(N) is connected.

(ii) If C(N) consists of two connected components, then M is compact.

COROLLARY. C(N) is locally isometric to N.

3. Non-compact case, Proof of Theorem A. In this section, we shall consider the case where M is non-compact. If N has no cut point, then M is isometric to the flat normal bundle  $\perp N$ . The isometry is given by  $\exp_N: \perp N \rightarrow M$ . On the other hand, if N has a cut point, then C(N) is a connected and compact totally geodesic hypersurface without boundary. There exists a unit normal vector field  $X: N \rightarrow \perp_1 N$  defined globally on N such that there is no cut point in the direction of -X. Define  $i_N: N \to N$  by  $i_N(q) = \exp_N(2rX(q))$ , where  $r = \rho(N, C(N))$ . Then  $i_N$  is an isometric involution on N. Since for each  $p \in C(N)$ , there are precisely two minimal geodesics from p to N,  $i_N$  has no fix point. Define  $j: N \rightarrow C(N)$  by  $j(q) = \exp_N(rX(q))$ , then j is an isometric double covering.  $j(q) = j(i_N(q))$  for  $q \in N$ . C(N) is isometric to the quotient space  $N/_{\{i_N\}} = N/Z_2$ . As a hypersurface, C(N) has no cut point. Therefore M is isometric to the flat normal bundle  $\perp C(N)$  on C(N).  $\perp C(N)$  is a non-trivial line Thus we obtain Theorem A. bundle.

4. Compact case, Proof of Theorem B. In this section, we shall consider the case where M is compact. For r>0, let  $\perp_{[0,r]} N =$  $\perp_{[0,r]} N = \{ u \in \bot N | \langle u, u \rangle \leq r^2 \}$  $\{u \in \bot N | \langle u, u \rangle < r^2\},$ and  $\perp N =$  $\{u \in \bot N | \langle u, u \rangle = r^2\}$  be Riemannian submanifolds in the flat normal bundle  $\perp N$ . For a fixed-point free isometry  $i: \perp_r N \rightarrow \perp_r N$ , let  $\perp_{[0,r]} N/i$  denote the Riemannian manifold obtained from  $\perp_{[0,r]}N$  by identifying the boundary points  $u \in \perp_r N$  with i(u). Now, if C(N) is connected, then  $C(N) = \rho_N^{-1}(\{r\})$  and M - C(N) is isometric to  $\perp_{[0,r]} N$ , where  $r = \rho(N, C(N))$ . Define  $i: \perp_r N \to \perp_r N$  by i(u) = v, where v is such that  $\exp_N(v) = \exp_N(u)$ ,  $v \neq u$ , which is determined uniquely. Then i is a fixed-point free isometric involution on  $\perp_r N$ . It is easy to see that M is isometric to  $\perp_{[0,r]} N/i$ . Next, if C(N) consists of two connected components  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ . Then, for the sake of simplicity, we may assume  $r = \rho(N, C_0) = \rho(N, C_1)$ . Then  $C(N) = \rho_N^{-1}(\{r\})$ , and M - C(N) is isometric to  $\perp_{[0,r]} N$ . Let  $i: \perp_r N \to \perp_r N$ be as above. Then i is a fixed-point free isometric involution on each of the connected components of  $\perp_r N$ . It is easy to see that M is isometric to  $\perp_{[0,r]}N/i$ . Thus we obtain Theorem B.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Professor K. Shiohama who kindly has read through the manuscript to point out several errors.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] R. L. BISHOP AND R. J. CRITTENDEN, Geometry of manifolds, Academic Press, (1964).

[2] J. CHEEGER AND D. GROMOLL, The splitting theorem for manifolds of non-negative Ricci

curvature, J. Diff. Geom., 6 (1971), 119-128.

- [3] R. HERMANN, Differential geometry and the calculus of variations, Academic Press, (1968).
- [4] S. KOBAYASHI AND K. NOMIZU, Foundations of differential geometry I, Interscience, (1963).
- [5] H. OMORI, A class of Riemannian metrics of a manifold, J. Diff. Geom. 2 (1968), 233-256.
- [6] K. SHIOHAMA, On complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds with certain properties, Tôhoku Math. J., 22 (1970), 76-94.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS Yamagata University 990 Yamagata, Japan