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0. Introduction. For $n \geqq 4$, let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional conformally flat submanifold of the $(n+p)$-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{n+p}$. Recently under the assumption that $M$ has the positive sectional curvature and $p \leqq n-3$, Sekizawa [3] proved that $M$ contains an open subset on which there exists an involutive distribution of dimension $\geqq n-p$ such that each leaf of this distribution is totally umbilic in $M$ and in $E^{n+p}$. In this note we show that the result of Sekizawa remains true without the assumption that the sectional curvature is positive.

The author sincerely thanks Professor S. Tanno for valuable suggestions.

1. Statement of results. For $n \geqq 4$, let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional conformally flat submanifold of the $(n+p)$-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{n+p}$. We denote the induced Riemannian metric on $M$ by $\langle$,$\rangle , the$ Riemannian connection by $\nabla$, the Ricci tensor by Ric, the scalar curvature by $S$, and the second fundamental form by $\alpha$. The symmetric tensor $\Psi$ is defined by

$$
\Psi(X, Y)=[\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y)-\langle X, Y\rangle S / 2(n-1)] /(n-2)
$$

for $X, Y \in T_{x} M$. We now recall the notion of umbilic subspace of $T_{x} M$ introduced in [3]. A subspace $V$ of $T_{x} M$ is said to be umbilic if $\operatorname{dim} V \geqq 2$ and $\alpha(X, X)=\alpha(Y, Y)$ for all unit vectors $X$ and $Y$ in $V$. Then our first result is the following.

Proposition 1. For $n \geqq 4$, let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional conformally flat submanifold of the $(n+p)$-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{n+p}$. If $p \leqq n-3$ and $\mathscr{U}_{x}$ is the set of all vectors $X \in T_{x} M$ such that $\|\alpha(X, X)\|^{2}=$ $2\|X\|^{2} \Psi(X, X)$, then
(a) $\mathscr{U}_{x}$ is the largest umbilic subspace of $T_{x} M$, and $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{U}_{x} \geqq n-p$.
(b) For each unit vector $X \in \mathscr{U}_{x}$, the subspace $\left\{Y \in T_{x} M: \alpha(Y, Z)=\right.$ $\langle Y, Z\rangle \alpha(X, X)$ for all $\left.Z \in T_{x} M\right\}$ is equal to $\mathscr{U}_{x}$.

Let $p \leqq n-3$. Then by Proposition 1 we can define a distribution $\mathscr{U}$ by $M \ni x \mapsto \mathscr{U}_{x}$. We call $\mathscr{C}$ the umbilic distribution. The umbilic
distribution $\mathscr{C}$ is not smooth in general. However, we can prove the following.

Proposition 2. For $n \geqq 4$ and $p \leqq n-3$, let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional conformally flat submanifold of the $(n+p)$-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{n+p}$. Then there exists an open subset of $M$ on which the umbilic distribution $\mathscr{C}$ is smooth.

Finally our main result is the following.
Theorem. For $n \geqq 4$ and $p \leqq n-3$, let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional conformally flat submanifold of the $(n+p)$-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{n+p}$. If $U$ is an open subset of $M$ on which the umbilic distribution $\mathscr{U}$ is smooth, then $\mathscr{C} \mid U$ is involutive and each leaf $L$ of $\mathscr{C} \mid U$ is totally umbilic in $M$ and in $E^{n+p}$. In particular, $L$ is a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature.

Remark 1. Let $M^{*}$ be the union of all open subsets of $M$ on which the umbilic distribution $\mathscr{U}$ is smooth. Using Proposition 2, we see that $M^{*}$ is dense in $M$.

Remark 2. Moore [2] states the above theorem without proof. Its complete proof seems not to have been published yet.
2. Proof of Proposition 1. Since $M$ is conformally flat, the Weyl conformal curvature tensor vanishes. Hence by the Gauss equation we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\alpha(X, Z), \alpha(Y, W)\rangle-\langle X, Z\rangle \Psi(Y, W)-\Psi(X, Z)\langle Y, W\rangle  \tag{1}\\
& \quad=\langle\alpha(Y, Z), \alpha(X, W)\rangle-\langle Y, Z\rangle \Psi(X, W)-\Psi(Y, Z)\langle X, W\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

for all vectors $X, Y, Z$ and $W$ in $T_{x} M$. The formula (1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\alpha(X, X), \alpha(Y, Y)\rangle=\Psi(X, X)+\Psi(Y, Y)+\|\alpha(X, Y)\|^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all orthonormal vectors $X$ and $Y$ in $T_{x} M$.
Lemma 1. If $X$ and $Y$ are unit vectors in $T_{x} M$ such that $\alpha(X, X)=$ $\alpha(Y, Y)$, then $\Psi(X, X)=\Psi(Y, Y)$.

Proof. Since $p \leqq n-3$ implies $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \alpha(X, \cdot) \geqq 3$, there exists a unit vector $Z \in \operatorname{Ker} \alpha(X, \cdot)$ orthogonal to $X$ and $Y$. Using (2), we see that $\Psi(X, X)+\Psi(Z, Z)=\langle\alpha(X, X), \alpha(Z, Z)\rangle=\langle\alpha(Y, Y), \alpha(Z, Z)\rangle=\Psi(Y, Y)+$ $\Psi(Z, Z)+\|\alpha(Y, Z)\|^{2}$. Hence $\Psi(X, X) \geqq \Psi(Y, Y)$. By the symmetry of $X$ and $Y$, we get $\Psi(X, X)=\Psi(Y, Y)$. q.e.d.

Lemma 2. If $V$ is an umbilic subspace of $T_{x} M$, then $V \subset \mathscr{U}_{x}$.

Proof．For each unit vector $X \in V$ ，there exists a unit vector $Y \in V$ orthogonal to $X$ ．Since $V$ is umbilic，we have $\alpha(X, X)=\alpha(Y, Y)$ and $\alpha(X, Y)=0$ ．Lemma 1 implies $\Psi(X, X)=\Psi(Y, Y)$ ．Hence by（2） we see that $\|\alpha(X, X)\|^{2}=\langle\alpha(X, X), \alpha(Y, Y)\rangle=\Psi(X, X)+\Psi(Y, Y)=$ $2 \Psi(X, X)$ ．
q．e．d．
Let $T_{x} M^{\perp}$ be the normal space to $M$ at $x$ ，and define a Lorentzian inner product $《, 》$ on $T_{x} M^{\perp} \oplus \boldsymbol{R} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\langle\left(\xi_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(\xi_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left\langle\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\rangle+s_{1} t_{2}+t_{1} s_{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\left(\xi_{i}, s_{i}, t_{i}\right) \in T_{x} M^{\perp} \oplus \boldsymbol{R} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}$ ．Now we define a symmetric bilinear map $\beta: T_{x} M \times T_{x} M \rightarrow T_{x} M^{\perp} \oplus \boldsymbol{R} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(X, Y)=(\alpha(X, Y),\langle X, Y\rangle,-\Psi(X, Y)) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula（1）implies that $\beta$ is flat with respect to 《，》in the sense of［2，p．91］．Furthermore，$p \leqq n-3$ implies $\operatorname{dim} T_{x} M>\operatorname{dim}\left(T_{x} M^{\perp} \oplus\right.$ $\boldsymbol{R} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}$ ），and（4）implies $\beta(X, X) \neq 0$ for all nonzero $X \in T_{x} M$ ．Hence by ［2，Proposition 2］there exists a nonzero null vector $e \in T_{x} M^{\perp} \oplus \boldsymbol{R} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}$ and a nonzero symmetric bilinear map $f: T_{x} M \times T_{x} M \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} N(\beta-f e) \geqq n-p \geqq 3$ ，where $N(\beta-f e)=\left\{X \in T_{x} M:(\beta-f e)(X, Y)=0\right.$ for all $\left.Y \in T_{x} M\right\}$ ．

Let $e=(\xi, s, t)$ ．Since $e$ is a null vector，we have $\|\xi\|^{2}+2 s t=0$ ． For all $X \in N(\beta-f e)$ and $Y \in T_{x} M$ ，we see that $\alpha(X, Y)=f(X, Y) \xi$ ， $\langle X, Y\rangle=f(X, Y) s$ and $-\Psi(X, Y)=f(X, Y) t$ ．Hence we have the following：

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha(X, Y)=\langle X, Y\rangle \xi / s  \tag{5}\\
\Psi(X, Y)=-\langle X, Y\rangle t / s  \tag{6}\\
\|\alpha(X, Y)\|^{2}=2\langle X, Y\rangle \Psi(X, Y) \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $X \in N(\beta-f e)$ and $Y \in T_{x} M$ ．
Lemma 3．$\alpha(X, X)=\xi / s$ for all unit vectors $X \in \mathscr{U}_{x}$ ．
Proof．For each unit vector $X \in \mathscr{C}_{x}$ ，there exists a unit vector $Y \in N(\beta-f e)$ orthogonal to $X$ ．By（5）and（7）we have $\alpha(Y, Y)=\xi / s$ and $\|\alpha(Y, Y)\|^{2}=2 \Psi(Y, Y)$ ．Using（2）and $\|\alpha(X, X)\|^{2}=2 \Psi(X, X)$ ，we see that $\|\alpha(X, X)-\xi / s\|^{2}=\|\alpha(X, X)\|^{2}+\|\alpha(Y, Y)\|^{2}-2\langle\alpha(X, X), \alpha(Y, Y)\rangle=$ $2 \Psi(X, X)+2 \Psi(Y, Y)-2\langle\alpha(X, X), \alpha(Y, Y)\rangle=-2\|\alpha(X, Y)\|^{2}$ ．Hence by （7）we get $\|\alpha(X, X)-\xi / s\|^{2}=0$ ．
q．e．d．
Lemma 4．If $X \in \mathscr{U}_{x}$ ，then $\alpha(X, Y)=0$ for all $Y \in T_{x} M$ orthogonal to $X$ ．

Proof．We may assume that $X$ is a unit vector in $\mathscr{U}_{x}$ ，and $Y$ is a unit vector orthogonal to $X$ ．Since $\operatorname{dim} N(\beta-f e) \geqq 3$ ，there exists a unit vector $Z \in N(\beta-f e)$ orthogonal to $X$ and $Y$ ．Then by（5）and Lemma 3 we have $\alpha(X, X)=\xi / s=\alpha(Z, Z)$ ．Hence by Lemma 1 we have $\Psi(X, X)=\Psi(Z, Z)$ ．Using（2），we see that $\|\alpha(X, Y)\|^{2}=\langle\alpha(X, X)$ ， $\alpha(Y, Y)\rangle-\Psi(X, X)-\Psi(Y, Y)=\langle\alpha(Z, Z), \alpha(Y, Y)\rangle-\Psi(Z, Z)-\Psi(Y, Y)=$ $\|\alpha(Z, Y)\|^{2}$ ．Hence by（5）we get $\|\alpha(X, Y)\|^{2}=0$ ．q．e．d．

Let $N$ be a subspace of $T_{x} M$ defined by $N=\left\{X \in T_{x} M: \alpha(X, Y)=\right.$ $\langle X, Y\rangle \xi / s$ for all $\left.Y \in T_{x} M\right\}$ ．Since（5）implies $N \supset N(\beta-f e)$ ，we see that $\operatorname{dim} N \geqq n-p \geqq 3$ and $N$ is an umbilic subspace of $T_{x} M$ ．Thus by Lemma 2 we have $N \subset \mathscr{U}_{x}$ ．Lemmas 3 and 4 imply $\mathscr{U}_{x} \subset N$ and we get $\mathscr{U}_{x}=N$ ．Hence Lemma 2 implies（a），and Lemma 3 implies（b）． This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

3．Proof of Proposition 2．For $n \geqq 4$ and $p \leqq n-3$ ，let $M$ be an $n$－dimensional conformally flat submanifold of the $(n+p)$－dimensional Euclidean space $E^{n+p}$ ．Then by Proposition 1 we can define a normal vector $\eta(x)$ at $x \in M$ by $\eta(x)=\alpha(X, X)$ ，where $X$ is a unit vector in $\mathscr{U}_{x}$ ． We call $\eta$ the normal curvature vector field．

Lemma 5．There exists an open subset of $M$ on which the normal curvature vector field $\eta$ is smooth．

Proof．Let $T M^{\perp}$ be the normal bundle over $M$ ．We consider the Whitney sum $T M^{\perp} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}_{M} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}_{M}$ ，where $\boldsymbol{R}_{M}$ is the trivial real line bundle over $M$ ．For each fiber $T_{x} M^{\perp} \oplus \boldsymbol{R} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}$ ，the Lorentzian metric 《，》 and the symmetric bilinear map $\beta: T_{x} M \times T_{x} M \rightarrow T_{x} M^{\perp} \oplus \boldsymbol{R} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}$ were defined by（3）and（4）．We introduce a function $\lambda$ on $T M$ by $\lambda(X)=\operatorname{rank} \beta(X, \cdot)$ for $X \in T M$ ．Let $V_{0} \in T M$ be a maximum point of $\lambda$ and let $x_{0}=\pi\left(V_{0}\right)$ ， $\lambda_{0}=\lambda\left(V_{0}\right)$ ，where $\pi$ is the canonical projection $\pi: T M \rightarrow M$ ．Choose a smooth tangent vector field $V$ on $M$ such that $V\left(x_{0}\right)=V_{0}$ ．Since the function $\lambda(V)$ defined on $M$ is lower semi－continuous，there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ such that $\lambda(V)=\lambda_{0}$ on $U$ ．

For each point $x$ in $U, V(x)$ is a regular element of $\beta$ in the sense of［2，p．92］．As in the proof of［2，Proposition 2］，we see that the re－ striction of $《, 》$ to $\beta\left(V(x), T_{x} M\right)$ is degenerate．Thus we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{x} \geqq 1$ ， where $\mathscr{L}_{x}=\left\{e \in \beta\left(V(x), T_{x} M\right):\langle e, \widetilde{e}\rangle=0\right.$ for all $\left.\widetilde{e} \in \beta\left(V(x), T_{x} M\right)\right\}$ ．Since $《, 》$ is Lorentzian，we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{x} \leqq 1$ ．Hence $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{x}=1$ and we see that $\mathscr{L}=\mathbf{U}_{x \in U} \mathscr{L}_{x}$ is a smooth subbundle of $T M^{\perp} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}_{M} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}_{M} \mid U$ ．

It is not difficult to show by linear algebra that there exists an open subset $U_{0} \subset U$ on which there exists a local frame（ $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{p+2}$ ）of $T M^{\perp} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}_{M} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}_{M}$ such that

$$
\left.e_{1} \in \mathscr{L}, \quad\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle\right\rangle= \begin{cases}1-\delta_{i j} & \text { for } 1 \leqq i, j \leqq 2 \\ \delta_{i j} & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

For each point $x$ in $U_{0}$, there exist symmetric bilinear functions $f^{i}$ : $T_{x} M \times T_{x} M \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ such that $\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{p+2} f^{i} e_{i}$. As in the proof of [2, Proposition 2], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} N_{x}\left(\beta-f^{1} e_{1}\right) \geqq n-p \geqq 3, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{x}\left(\beta-f^{1} e_{1}\right)=\left\{X \in T_{x} M:\left(\beta-f^{1} e_{1}\right)(X, Y)=0\right.$ for all $\left.Y \in T_{x} M\right\}$. We write $e_{1}=(\xi, s, t)$, where $\xi$ is a smooth normal vector field on $U_{0}$ and $s$ and $t$ are smooth functions on $U_{0}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(X, Y)=\langle X, Y\rangle \xi(x) / s(x) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X \in N_{x}\left(\beta-f^{1} e_{1}\right)$ and $Y \in T_{x} M$. The formulas (8) and (9) imply that $N_{x}\left(\beta-f^{1} e_{1}\right)$ is an umbilic subspace of $T_{x} M$. Hence by Proposition 1 and (9) we have $\eta(x)=\xi(x) / s(x)$. Thus the normal curvature vector field $\eta$ is smooth on $U_{0}$.
q.e.d.

Let $L\left(T M ; T M^{\perp}\right)$ be a vector bundle over $M$ with fiber $L\left(T_{x} M ; T_{x} M^{\perp}\right)$, where $L\left(T_{x} M ; T_{x} M^{\perp}\right)$ is the space of linear maps $T_{x} M \rightarrow T_{x} M^{\perp}$. By Lemma 5 there exists an open subset $U$ of $M$ on which the normal curvature vector field $\eta$ is smooth. For each point $x$ in $U$, we define a linear map $\phi_{x}: T_{x} M \rightarrow L\left(T_{x} M ; T_{x} M^{\perp}\right)$ by $\left[\phi_{x}(X)\right](Y)=\alpha(X, Y)-\langle X, Y\rangle \eta(x)$. Then we obtain a smooth bundle map $\phi: T M\left|U \rightarrow L\left(T M ; T M^{\perp}\right)\right| U$. By Proposition 1 we have $\mathscr{U}_{x}=\operatorname{Ker} \phi_{x}$. Hence there exists an open subset $U_{0} \subset U$ such that $U_{0} \ni x \mapsto \mathscr{U}_{x}$ is smooth. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.
4. Proof of Theorem. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the umbilic distribution and let $\eta$ be the normal curvature vector field. For each point $x$ in $M$, by Proposition 1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{U}_{x}=\left\{X \in T_{x} M: \alpha(X, Y)=\langle X, Y\rangle \eta(x) \text { for all } Y \in T_{x} M\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a distribution $\mathscr{U}^{\perp}$ by $\mathscr{U}^{\perp}: M \ni x \mapsto \mathscr{U}_{x}^{\perp}$, where $\mathscr{U}_{x}^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathscr{U}_{x}$ in $T_{x} M$. Let $U$ be an open subset of $M$ on which $\mathscr{U}$ is smooth. Then $\eta$ and $\mathscr{U}^{\perp}$ are also smooth on $U$.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be smooth sections in $\mathscr{C} \mid U$ and let $Z$ be a smooth section in $\mathscr{U}^{\perp} \mid U$. Then we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\tilde{V}_{X} \alpha\right)(Y, Z)=\left\langle\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle \eta-\alpha\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right), \\
& \left(\tilde{V}_{Y} \alpha\right)(X, Z)=\left\langle\nabla_{Y} X, Z\right\rangle \eta-\alpha\left(\nabla_{Y} X, Z\right), \\
& \left(\tilde{V}_{Z} \alpha\right)(X, Y)=\langle X, Y\rangle D_{Z} \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

We refer the reader to [1, Chapter 7] for the definitions of $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $D$. Since the Codazzi equation implies $\left(\tilde{V}_{X} \alpha\right)(Y, Z)=\left(\tilde{V}_{Y} \alpha\right)(X, Z)=\left(\tilde{V}_{Z} \alpha\right)(X, Y)$, we have the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha([X, Y], Z)=\langle[X, Y], Z\rangle \eta  \tag{11}\\
\left\langle\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle \eta-\alpha\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)=\langle X, Y\rangle D_{z} \eta . \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (10) and (11) we see that $[X, Y]$ belongs to $\mathscr{U} \mid U$. Hence $\mathscr{U} \mid U$ is involutive.

Let $L$ be a leaf of $\mathscr{C} \mid U$ and let $x$ be a point in $L$. We denote by $\gamma$ the second fundamental form with respect to the immersion $L \subset M$. For all smooth sections $X$ and $Y$ in $\mathscr{C} \mid U$, we see that $\gamma(X(x), Y(x))$ is the $\mathscr{U}_{x}^{\perp}$-component of $\left(V_{X} Y\right)(x)$. Hence by (12) we have

$$
\left\langle\gamma\left(X_{x}, Y_{x}\right), Z_{x}\right\rangle \eta(x)-\alpha\left(\gamma\left(X_{x}, Y_{x}\right), Z_{x}\right)=\left\langle X_{x}, Y_{x}\right\rangle D_{Z_{x}^{n}}^{\eta}
$$

for $X_{x}, Y_{x} \in \mathscr{U}_{x}$ and $Z_{x} \in \mathscr{U}_{x}^{\frac{1}{x}}$. If $X_{x}$ and $Y_{x}$ are unit vectors in $\mathscr{U}_{x}$, the above formula implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\gamma\left(X_{x}, X_{x}\right), Z_{x}\right\rangle \eta(x)-\alpha\left(\gamma\left(X_{x}, X_{x}\right), Z_{x}\right) \\
& \quad=\left\langle\gamma\left(Y_{x}, Y_{x}\right), Z_{x}\right\rangle \eta(x)-\alpha\left(\gamma\left(Y_{x}, Y_{x}\right), Z_{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $Z_{x} \in \mathscr{U}_{x}^{\perp}$. Hence by (10) we have $\gamma\left(X_{x}, X_{x}\right)-\gamma\left(Y_{x}, Y_{x}\right) \in \mathscr{U}_{x}$. Since $\gamma\left(X_{x}, X_{x}\right)-\gamma\left(Y_{x}, Y_{x}\right) \in \mathscr{U}_{x}^{\perp}$, we get $\gamma\left(X_{x}, X_{x}\right)=\gamma\left(Y_{x}, Y_{x}\right)$. Hence $L$ is totally umbilic in $M$.

We denote by $\delta$ the second fundamental form with respect to the immersion $L \subset E^{n+p}$. Then we have $\delta=\alpha+\gamma$ on $\mathscr{U}_{x}$. For all unit vectors $X_{x}$ and $Y_{x}$ in $\mathscr{U}_{x}$, we see that $\delta\left(X_{x}, X_{x}\right)=\alpha\left(X_{x}, X_{x}\right)+\gamma\left(X_{x}, X_{x}\right)=$ $\alpha\left(Y_{x}, Y_{x}\right)+\gamma\left(Y_{x}, Y_{x}\right)=\delta\left(Y_{x}, Y_{x}\right)$. Hence $L$ is totally umbilic in $E^{n+p}$. This completes the proof of Theorem.
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