# OPTIMAL STOPPING AND A MARTINGALE APPROACH TO THE PENALTY METHOD

#### HIROAKI MORIMOTO

(Received October 27, 1981)

1. Introduction. Let  $(\Omega, F, P)$  be a complete probability space equipped with an increasing, right-continuous family of complete sub- $\sigma$ -fields  $(F_t)_{t\geq 0}$  such that  $F=\bigvee_{t\geq 0} F_t$ . Let X be an  $(F_t)$ -adapted and right-continuous process such that

$$E \Big[ \sup_t X_t^+ \Big] < \infty$$
 ,  $E[X_t^-] < \infty$   $(t \ge 0)$ 

where  $x^+ = \max(x, 0)$  and  $x^- = \max(-x, 0)$ . We define the following classes of stopping times:

for each  $t \ge 0$ , where  $X_{\infty}$  is interpreted as  $\limsup_{t \to \infty} X_t$ . A stopping time  $\sigma \in \overline{C}_0$  is said to be optimal if  $E[X_{\sigma}] = \sup_{\tau \in \overline{C}_0} E[X_{\tau}]$ . Snell's envelopes Y and  $\overline{Y}$  are defined as follows:

$$Y_t = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{ au \,\in \, C_t} E[X_{ au} \,|\, F_t]$$
 ,  $ar{Y}_t = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{ au \,\in \, ar{C}_t} E[X_{ au} \,|\, F_t]$  .

Our aim is to give some extensions of the results in optimal stopping and the penalty method presented by Fakeev [4] and Stettner and Zabczyk [9]. In Section 2, we show that the right-continuity of Y follows from that of X. This fact is not necessarily pointed out in other articles [4], [7] and [10], and it plays an important role in Sections 3 and 4. In Section 5, we introduce the generator A associated with non-Markov processes. In Section 6, we assume that X is of the form  $X_t = e^{-\alpha t} f_t + \int_0^t e^{-\alpha s} g_s ds$  for some f, g, and we give a martingale treatment of variational inequalities presented by Bensoussan and Lions [2] and approximate Snell's envelope Y by the penalty method in [9], whose results are reduced to the case of g = 0.

### 2. Right-continuous Snell's envelope.

LEMMA 1. Let  $t \ge 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $A \in F_t$  be arbitrary. Then there exists  $\tau \in C_t$  such that  $E[Y_tI_A] \le E[X_\tau I_A] + \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\nu$ ,  $\mu \in C_t$  and define  $\sigma = \nu I_{B^c} + \mu I_B$  with  $B = \{E[X_{\nu} | F_t] < 0$  $E[X_{\mu}|F_t]$ .

$$E[X_a | F_t] = \operatorname{ess sup}(E[X_u | F_t], E[X_u | F_t])$$

that is, the class  $\{E[X_{\nu}|F_t]|\nu\in C_t\}$  is closed under the operation sup. By Proposition VI-1-1 of [6], there exists a sequence  $\tau_n \in C_t$  such that  $E[X_{\tau_n}|F_t]$  is increasing and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} E[X_{\tau_n}|F_t] = Y_t$  a.s. Since  $\{E[X_{\tau_n}|F_t]|n=1\}$ 1, 2,  $\cdots$  dominates the random variable  $E[X_{\tau_1}|F_t]$ , the monotone convergence theorem implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} E[X_{r_n}I_A] = E[Y_tI_A]$  for each  $A \in F_t$ . Thus the lemma is proved.

Theorem 1. Let X be the process satisfying (1). Then there exists a right continuous supermartingale  $\hat{Y}$  majorizing X and satisfying:

- (a)  $\hat{Y}_t = Y_t = \hat{Y}_t \ a.s., \ t \geq 0$ ,
- (b)  $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \widehat{Y}_t = \limsup_{t\to\infty} X_t$ ,
- (c)  $E[\hat{Y}_t] = \sup_{\tau \in \bar{\mathcal{U}}_t} E[X_{\tau}] = \sup_{\tau \in \bar{\mathcal{U}}_t} E[X_{\tau}],$ (d) For any  $\sigma, \tau \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}_0$  with  $\sigma \leq \tau, E[|\hat{Y}_{\tau}|] < \infty$  and  $E[\hat{Y}_{\tau}|F_{\sigma}] \leq \hat{Y}_{\sigma}.$

PROOF. We first note that  $X_t \leq Y_t \leq \overline{Y}_t$  a.s. for all t. By Lemma 1, for any  $\varepsilon>0$ ,  $t\geq s\geq 0$  and  $A\in F_s$ , there exists  $\tau\in C_t$  such that  $E[Y_tI_A] \leq E[X_tI_A] + \varepsilon$ . Hence,

$$E[Y_tI_A] \leq E[E[X_t | F_s]I_A] + \varepsilon \leq E[Y_sI_A] + \varepsilon$$
.

Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we see that Y is a supermartingale. Define the process  $\hat{Y}$  by  $\hat{Y}_t = Y_{t+}$ . Clearly,  $\hat{Y}$  is a right-continuous supermartingale such that  $X_t \leq \hat{Y}_t \leq Y_t$  a.s. for all t. For each  $u \geq 0$ ,  $\sup_{s \geq u} X_s$  belongs to  $L^1$ by the inequalities  $X_u \leq \sup_{s \geq u} X_s \leq \sup_{s \geq u} X_s^+$ . For any  $t \geq u$  and  $\tau \in C_t$ ,

$$E[X_{\scriptscriptstyle{\tau}}|F_{\scriptscriptstyle{t}}] \leq E\bigg[\sup_{\scriptscriptstyle{s\geq t}} X_{\scriptscriptstyle{s}}\Big|F_{\scriptscriptstyle{t}}\bigg] \leq E\bigg[\sup_{\scriptscriptstyle{s\geq u}} X_{\scriptscriptstyle{s}}\Big|F_{\scriptscriptstyle{t}}\bigg]\,,$$

from which  $\hat{Y}_t \leq Y_t \leq E[\sup_{s \geq u} X_s | F_t]$ . Letting  $t \to \infty$ , we see that

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \hat{Y}_t \leq \sup_{s\geq u} X_s < \infty \quad (u \geq 0) ,$$

and then, letting  $u \to \infty$ , we obtain the inequality

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \hat{Y}_t \leq \limsup_{t\to\infty} X_t < \infty$$
.

Thus (b) follows from the fact that  $X_t \leqq_t \hat{Y}$  for all t. For any  $\tau \in \bar{C}_t$ and  $A \in F_{\iota}$ ,

$$(3) \qquad E[\hat{Y}_{t,\tau}I_A] = E[\hat{Y}_{\tau}I_{A\cap(\tau< t)}] + E[\hat{Y}_{t}I_{A\cap(\tau\geq t)}] \\ \geqq E[X_{\tau}I_{A\cap(\tau< t)}] + E[E[X_{\tau}|F_{t}]I_{A\cap(\tau\geq t)}] = E[E[X_{\tau}|F_{t}]I_{A}],$$

that is, we have  $E[X_{\tau}|F_t] \leq \hat{Y}_{t,\tau}$ . Applying the optional sampling theorem

to the right continuous supermartingale  $(\hat{Y}_{t \wedge \tau})$ , we obtain (d). By (d), for any  $\tau \in \bar{C}_t$ ,  $E[X_\tau | F_t] \leq E[\hat{Y}_\tau | F_t] \leq \hat{Y}_t$ . Thus we have  $\bar{Y}_t \leq \hat{Y}_t$  which implies (a). From (a) it follows that  $\sup_{\tau \in \bar{C}_t} E[X_\tau] \leq E[\hat{Y}_t]$ . By Lemma 1, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\tau \in C_t$  such that  $E[\hat{Y}_t] \leq E[X_\tau] + \varepsilon$ . Thus we have  $E[\hat{Y}_t] \leq \sup_{\tau \in C_t} E[X_\tau] + \varepsilon$ . Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we obtain (c). Consequently, the theorem is established.

## 3. Conditions for optimality.

THEOREM 2.  $\sigma \in \overline{C}_0$  is optimal if and only if  $E[X_{\sigma} | F_t] = \hat{Y}_{t,\sigma}$  for all t.

PROOF. The sufficiency follows immediately from Theorem 1 (c). To prove the necessity, let us show that

$$E[X_{\sigma}|F_t] = \hat{Y}_t \quad \text{on} \quad \{t \leq \sigma\} \; .$$

By Theorem 1 (d),  $E[X_{\sigma \vee t}|F_t] \leq E[\hat{Y}_{\sigma \vee t}|F_t] \leq \hat{Y}_t$ , from which  $E[X_{\sigma}|F_t] \leq \hat{Y}_t$  on  $\{t \leq \sigma\}$ . On the other hand, set  $B = \{E[X_{\sigma}|F_t] < \hat{Y}_t\}$  and  $A = B \cap \{t \leq \sigma\}$ . Suppose that P(A) > 0. Then, by Lemma 1, for any  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < (E[\hat{Y}_tI_A] - E[X_{\sigma}I_A])$ , there exists  $\tau \in C_t$  such that

$$E[\hat{Y}_tI_{\scriptscriptstyle A}] \leq E[X_{\scriptscriptstyle au}I_{\scriptscriptstyle A}] + arepsilon < E[X_{\scriptscriptstyle au}I_{\scriptscriptstyle A}] + E[\hat{Y}_tI_{\scriptscriptstyle A}] - E[X_{\scriptscriptstyle au}I_{\scriptscriptstyle A}]$$
 ,

that is,  $E[X_{\sigma}I_A] < E[X_{\tau}I_A]$ . Define  $\rho \in \overline{C}_0$  by

$$ho = au I_{\scriptscriptstyle A} + \sigma I_{\scriptscriptstyle A^c} = au I_{\scriptscriptstyle A} + \sigma I_{\scriptscriptstyle B^c \cap \, (\sigma \geq t)} + \sigma I_{\scriptscriptstyle (\sigma < t)}$$
 .

Then,  $E[X_{\sigma}] = E[X_{\tau}I_A] + E[X_{\sigma}I_{A^{\sigma}}] > E[X_{\sigma}I_A] + E[X_{\sigma}I_{A^{\sigma}}] = E[X_{\sigma}]$ , which is a contradiction. Let  $\sigma$  be optimal. Then we have  $E[X_{\sigma}] = E[\hat{Y}_{\sigma}] \geq E[\hat{Y}_{\sigma}]$  by Theorem 1, and so  $X_{\sigma} = \hat{Y}_{\sigma}$ . By (4), for any  $A \in F_t$ ,

$$egin{aligned} E[\hat{Y}_{t \wedge \sigma}I_A] &= E[\hat{Y}_{\sigma}I_{A \cap (\sigma < t)}] + E[\hat{Y}_tI_{A \cap (\sigma \geq t)}] \ &= E[X_{\sigma}I_{A \cap (\sigma < t)}] + E[E[X_{\sigma}\mid F_t]I_{A \cap (\sigma \geq t)}] = E[E[X_{\sigma}\mid F_t]I_A] \;. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have  $E[X_{\sigma} | F_t] = \hat{Y}_{t \wedge \sigma}$ , completing the proof.

Since the process  $(\hat{Y}_t - E[\sup_s X_s^+ | F_t])$  is a negative right-continuous supermartingale, it belongs to the class (DL). By the Doob-Meyer theorem,  $\hat{Y}$  has a unique decomposition

$$\hat{Y}_t = M_t - A_t ,$$

where M is a martingale and A is a predictable increasing process with  $A_0 = 0$ .

THEOREM 3. In order that there exists an optimal stopping time  $\sigma \in C_0$ , it is necessary and sufficient that the stopping time  $\theta = \inf \{t \mid X_t = M_t\}$  belongs to  $C_0$ . In this case  $\theta$  is optimal in  $C_0$ .

PROOF. By Theorem 2, we have

$$E[X_a | F_t] = \hat{Y}_{t \wedge a} = M_{t \wedge a} - A_{t \wedge a}$$
.

Hence,  $(A_{t\wedge\sigma})$  is a predictable increasing martingale, and so  $A_{t\wedge\sigma}=0$ . Letting  $t\to\infty$ , we have  $M_\sigma=\hat{Y}_\sigma=X_\sigma$ . Therefore,  $\theta\le\sigma<\infty$ , and  $X_\theta=\hat{Y}_\theta=E[X_\sigma|F_\theta]\in L^1$ , i.e.,  $\theta\in C_0$ . Conversely, since  $X_\theta=\hat{Y}_\theta=M_\theta$ , we have  $A_\theta=0$  and then  $\hat{Y}_{t\wedge\theta}=M_{t\wedge\theta}$ . By (3) and the definition of  $\hat{Y}$ ,

$$E[X_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \, | \, \boldsymbol{F}_t] \leqq \, \hat{Y}_{t \wedge \boldsymbol{\theta}} \leqq E \Big\lceil \sup_s X_s^+ \, \Big| \, \boldsymbol{F}_t \, \Big\rceil \, .$$

Thus, it is easy to see that  $(\hat{Y}_{t \wedge \theta})$  is a uniformly integrable martingale. By the optional sampling theorem, we have

$$E[X_{ heta}|F_t] = E[\hat{Y}_{ heta}|F_t] = \hat{Y}_{t \wedge heta} \; .$$

By Theorem 2,  $\theta$  is optimal in  $C_0$ .

# 4. Existence of optimal stopping times.

Theorem 4. Suppose that for any sequence  $\tau_n \in C_0$  increasing to  $\tau$ ,

$$(5) \qquad \qquad \limsup X_{\tau_n} \leq X_{\tau} \quad on \quad \{\tau < \infty\} \ .$$

Then  $\gamma = \inf\{t \mid X_t = \hat{Y}_t\}$  is optimal in  $\bar{C}_0$ , and there exists an optimal stopping time  $\sigma \in C_0$  if and only if  $\gamma < \infty$  a.s. If, in addition,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = -\infty$ , then  $\gamma$  is optimal in  $C_0$ .

PROOF. This is proved in [7], except the last assertion, but we briefly sketch its proof. By Theorem 1 (b), for any integer n, it is possible to show that  $\tau_n = \inf\{t \mid X_t \geq \hat{Y}_t - 1/n\}$  is finite a.s. and  $X_{\tau_n} \geq \hat{Y}_{\tau_n} - 1/n$ . According to the same arguments as in [10, Chap. 3, Lemma 19], we can prove that  $E[\hat{Y}_{\tau_n}] = E[\hat{Y}_0]$ . Thus, it is clear that  $\tau_n \in C_0$  and

$$(6) E[\hat{Y}_0] = \sup_{\tau \in C_0} E[X_\tau] \leq E[X_{\tau_n}] + 1/n.$$

Let  $\tau = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tau_n$ . Then  $\tau \leq \gamma$  a.s. and by (5) and (6),

$$E[\,\hat{Y}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}] = \lim_{\scriptscriptstyle n o \infty} E[\,X_{\scriptscriptstyle au_n}] \leqq Eigg[ \limsup_{\scriptscriptstyle n o \infty} X_{\scriptscriptstyle au_n} igg] \leqq E[\,X_{\scriptscriptstyle au}] \;.$$

Clearly,  $\tau \in \bar{C}_0$  and  $X_\tau = \hat{Y}_\tau$ . Therefore,  $\tau = \gamma$  is optimal in  $\bar{C}_0$  and if  $\gamma < \infty$  a.s., then  $\gamma$  is optimal in  $C_0$ . Conversely, if there exists an optimal stopping time  $\sigma \in C_0$ , then  $X_\sigma = \hat{Y}_\sigma$  by Theorem 3 and thus  $\gamma \le \sigma < \infty$  a.s. To prove the last assertion, let us assume that  $P(\gamma = \infty) > 0$ . By Theorem 2,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \hat{Y}_{t \wedge \tau} = \lim_{t \to \infty} E[X_\tau \mid F_t] = X_\tau$ . Hence,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \hat{Y}_t = X_\tau$  a.s. on  $\{\gamma = \infty\}$ . If  $\lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = -\infty$ , it follows from Theorem 1 (b)

that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \hat{Y}_t = -\infty$ , which is a contradiction. Thus the theorem is established.

5. Generator A. For  $1 fixed, let <math>W^p$  be the Banach space of all right-continuous,  $(F_t)$ -adapted processes x such that  $||x||_p = ||\sup_t |x_t||_{L^p} < \infty$ . We set  $T_s x(t) = E[x(t+s)|F_t]$  for each  $s \ge 0$  and  $x \in W^p$ , and define the linear operators  $\{G_a\}_{a>0}$  from  $W^p$  into itself by

$$G_{lpha}x(t)=\int_{0}^{\infty}\!e^{-lpha s}T_{s}x(t)ds=\left.E\!\left[\int_{t}^{\infty}\!e^{-lpha(s-t)}x_{s}ds\left|F_{t}
ight]
ight.$$

Then,  $G_{\alpha}$  is one to one and satisfies the resolvent equation

$$(7) G_{\alpha} - G_{\beta} + (\alpha - \beta)G_{\alpha}G_{\beta} = 0 \quad (\alpha, \beta > 0).$$

Indeed, interchanging the orders of integration, we obtain

$$egin{aligned} G_{lpha}G_{eta}x(t) &= Eiggl[\int_{t}^{\infty}e^{-lpha(s-t)}Eiggl[\int_{s}^{\infty}e^{-eta(r-s)}x_{r}dr\,\Big|F_{s}iggr]ds\,\Big|F_{t}iggr] \ &= Eiggl[\int_{t}^{\infty}\Bigl(\int_{s}^{\infty}e^{-lpha(s-t)}e^{-eta(r-s)}x_{r}dr\,\Bigr)ds\,\Big|F_{t}iggr] \ &= Eiggl[\int_{t}^{\infty}\Bigl(\int_{t}^{r}e^{-lpha(s-t)}e^{-eta(r-s)}\,ds\,\Bigr)x_{r}dr\,\Big|F_{t}iggr] \ &= Eiggl[\int_{t}^{\infty}(eta-lpha)^{-1}(e^{-lpha(r-t)}-e^{-eta(r-t)})x_{r}dr\,\Big|F_{t}iggr] \ &= (eta-lpha)^{-1}(G_{lpha}-G_{eta})x(t)\;, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (7). Let  $G_{\alpha}x(t)=0$  for each  $t\geq 0$ . Then  $G_{\beta}x(t)=0$  for all  $\beta>0$  by (7). Hence  $T_sx(t)=0$  for all  $s\geq 0$  by the right-continuity of the mapping  $s\to T_sx(t)$ . Thus, taking s=0, we have  $x_t=0$ . This implies that  $G_{\alpha}$  is one to one. Therefore,  $G_{\alpha}(W^p)$  and  $\alpha-G_{\alpha}^{-1}$  are independent of  $\alpha$ . Consequently, we can define the subclass D(A) of  $W^p$  and the generator A from D(A) into  $W^p$  by  $D(A)=G_{\alpha}(W^p)$  and  $A=\alpha-G_{\alpha}^{-1}$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $x, c \in W^p$  and  $y \in D(A)$ . Then we have:

- (i)  $x_t = \int_0^t c_r dr \ implies \ x \in D(A) \ and \ Ax = c.$
- (ii)  $A(e^{-\alpha t}y)(t) = e^{-\alpha t}(-\alpha + A)y(t)$

PROOF. Interchanging the orders of integration, we have

$$egin{aligned} lpha G_{lpha} x(t) &= lpha e^{lpha t} E igg[ \int_t^\infty e^{-lpha s} \Big( \int_0^s c_r dr \Big) ds \Big| F_t igg] \ &= lpha e^{lpha t} E igg[ \int_0^t \Big( \int_t^\infty e^{-lpha s} ds \Big) c_r dr + \int_t^\infty \Big( \int_r^\infty e^{-lpha s} ds \Big) c_r dr \Big| F_t igg] \ &= x_t + G_{lpha} c(t) \; , \end{aligned}$$

which implies (i). Let  $y = G_{\alpha}x$  for  $x \in W^p$ . Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$egin{aligned} G_{lpha}(e^{-lpha\cdot}x)(t) &= e^{lpha t} Eiggl[\int_t^\infty e^{-lpha s}(e^{-lpha s}x_s)ds\,iggl|F_tiggr] \ &= e^{lpha t} Eiggl[iggl[e^{-lpha s}\Big(-\int_s^\infty e^{-lpha r}x_rdr\Big)iggr]_t^\infty + lpha \int_t^\infty e^{-lpha s}\Big(-\int_s^\infty e^{-lpha r}x_rdr\Big)ds\,iggl|F_tiggr] \ &= e^{-lpha t}G_{lpha}x(t) - lpha G_{lpha}(e^{-lpha\cdot}(G_{lpha}x))(t)\;. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(\alpha G_{\alpha} - I)e^{-\alpha \cdot y} = G_{\alpha}(e^{-\alpha \cdot (-\alpha + A)y})$ , which implies (ii).

THEOREM 5. Let  $y \in D(A)$  and  $s \ge 0$ . Then,

$$T_t y(s) - y(s) = \int_0^t T_r A y(s) dr \quad for \ all \quad t \geq 0 \; .$$

PROOF. Let  $y = G_a x$  for  $x \in W^p$ . Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_0^t & T_r A G_\alpha x(s) dr = \alpha E \Big[ \int_0^t G_\alpha x(s+r) dr \Big| F_s \Big] - E \Big[ \int_0^t x(s+r) dr \Big| F_s \Big] \\ &= E \Big[ \int_s^{t+s} \alpha e^{\alpha r} \Big( \int_r^\infty e^{-\alpha v} x_v dv \Big) dr \Big| F_s \Big] + E \Big[ \int_s^{t+s} e^{\alpha r} (-e^{-\alpha r} x_r) dr \Big| F_s \Big] \\ &= E \Big[ \Big[ e^{\alpha r} \int_r^\infty e^{-\alpha v} x_v dv \Big]_s^{t+s} \Big| F_s \Big] \\ &= E \Big[ E \Big[ \int_{t+s}^\infty e^{-\alpha (r-(t+s))} x_r dr \Big| F_{t+s} \Big] \Big| F_s \Big] - G_\alpha x(s) \;. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY. Let  $x \in D(A)$  and  $Ax \leq 0$ . Then x is a supermartingale.

PROOF. The proof is immediate from Theorem 5.

REMARK. Let  $x \in W^p$ . Then x is a martingale if and only if Ax = 0. Indeed, the sufficiency is immediate from Theorem 5. Conversely, let  $x \in W^p$  be a martingale. Then x can be rewritten as  $x_t = E[x_\infty \mid F_t]$  for some  $x_\infty \in L^p$ . Hence,

$$G_{lpha}x(t) = Eigg[\int_t^{\infty}\!e^{-lpha(s-t)}E[x_{\scriptscriptstyle\infty}\!\mid\! F_s]ds\,igg|F_tigg] = Eigg[\int_t^{\infty}\!e^{-lpha(s-t)}x_{\scriptscriptstyle\infty}ds\,igg|F_tigg] = x_t/lpha \;.$$

Thus, we have  $x \in D(A)$  and Ax = 0.

6. The penalty method. Let f,  $g \in W^{\infty}$  and set  $X_t = e^{-\alpha t} f_t + \int_0^t e^{-\alpha s} g_s ds$  for  $\alpha > 0$ . Let U be the class of all adapted and right-continuous processes z such that

(8) 
$$e^{-\alpha \cdot}z \in W^{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-\alpha t}z_t = 0 \quad \text{a.s.},$$

$$(9) f_t \leq z_t for all t,$$

(10) 
$$\left(e^{-\alpha t}z_t + \int_0^t e^{-\alpha s}g_s ds\right)$$
 is a supermartingale.

We next consider the penalized problem, defined as follows: to find the solution  $z^i \in W^{\infty}$  of the following equation

$$(11) \qquad (\alpha - A)z^{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon^{-1}(f - z^{\varepsilon})^{+} = g , \quad \varepsilon > 0 .$$

Then we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6. The solution  $z^{\epsilon}$  of (11) converges to the minimal element  $z^{*}$  of U almost surely for each t as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  and

$$z^*(t) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \overline{C}_t} E \left[ e^{-\alpha(\tau - t)} f_{\tau} + \int_t^{\tau} e^{-\alpha(s - t)} g_s ds \middle| F_t \right].$$

Furthermore, if f satisfies (5), then  $\zeta = \inf\{t \mid z^*(t) = f_t\}$  is an optimal stopping time in  $\overline{C}_0$  with respect to X.

For the proof, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3. Equation (11) has a unique solution  $z^{\epsilon} \in D(A)$ .

PROOF. Let  $x \in W^{\infty}$ , and define  $z = T_{\epsilon}x$  by

$$z(t) = E \bigg[ \int_t^{\infty} \!\! e^{-(\alpha + \varepsilon^{-1}) \, (s-t)} (g_s + \varepsilon^{-1} f \, \vee x(s)) ds \, \bigg| \, F_t \bigg] \, .$$

Then  $T_{\epsilon}$  maps  $W^{\infty}$  into itself. Moreover, for  $z_{i}=T_{\epsilon}x_{i}$  with  $x_{i}\in W^{\infty}$  (i=1,2), we have

$$egin{aligned} |z_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(t) - z_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(t)| & \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-(lpha + arepsilon^{-1} s} arepsilon^{-1} E[|f ee x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(s+t) - f ee x_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(s+t)| \, |F_t] ds \ & \leq (lpha arepsilon + 1)^{-1} E[\sup_r |x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(r) - x_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(r)| \, |F_t] \; . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $||z_1 - z_2||_{\infty} \le (\alpha \varepsilon + 1)^{-1} ||x_1 - x_2||_{\infty}$ , and so the map  $T_{\varepsilon}$  is a contraction. A fixed point  $z^{\varepsilon}$  of  $T_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies

$$z^{\varepsilon}(t) = E\bigg[\int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-(\alpha+\varepsilon^{-1})(s-t)}(g_{s}+\varepsilon^{-1}(f-z^{\varepsilon})^{+}(s)+\varepsilon^{-1}z^{\varepsilon}(s))ds\,\Big|\,F_{t}\bigg]\,.$$

By virtue of Lemma 1 of [9], this equality is equivalent to

(13) 
$$z^{\varepsilon} = G_{\alpha}(g + \varepsilon^{-1}(f - z^{\varepsilon})^{+}) ,$$

which completes the proof.

Let  $V_{\varepsilon}$  be the class of all progressively measurable processes  $v=(v_t)$  satisfying the inequalities  $0 \le v_t \le \varepsilon^{-1}$  for all t. For each  $v \in V_{\varepsilon}$ , we define

$$J_t(v) = E igg[ \int_t^\infty \exp \Big( - \int_s^s lpha + v_r dr \Big) (g_s + v_s f_s) ds igg| F_t igg] \,.$$

Then we can obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 4. Let  $v^{\epsilon}(t) = \epsilon^{-1}$  if  $z^{\epsilon}(t) \leq f_t$ , and  $v^{\epsilon}(t) = 0$  if  $z^{\epsilon}(t) > f_t$ . Then we have

$$z^{\epsilon}(t) = J_{t}(v^{\epsilon}) = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{v \in V_{\epsilon}} J_{t}(v) \; .$$

PROOF. By virtue of Lemma 1 of [9] and (13),

For any  $v \in V_{\varepsilon}$ , we have  $v(z^{\varepsilon} - f) + \varepsilon^{-1}(f - z^{\varepsilon})^{+} \ge 0$ , and also  $v^{\varepsilon}(z^{\varepsilon} - f) + \varepsilon^{-1}(f - z^{\varepsilon})^{+} = 0$ . Thus we obtain (14).

LEMMA 5. Let  $z \in U$  and  $g \in W^{\infty}$ . Then

$$\displaystyle \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{v\,\in\,V_{\,arepsilon}} J_{\,t}'(v) \leqq z_{\,t} \;\; \mathit{for} \;\; \mathit{all} \;\; t$$
 ,

where 
$$J_t'(v) = E \left[ \int_t^{\infty} \exp\left(-\int_t^s \alpha + v_r dr\right) (g_s + v_s z_s) ds \middle| F_t 
ight]$$

PROOF. We denote  $y_t = e^{-\alpha t}(z_t - G_{\alpha}g(t))$ . Since  $(y_t)$  can be rewritten as

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} e^{-lpha s}oldsymbol{g}_{s}ds & -Eiggl| \int_{0}^{\infty}\!e^{-lpha s}oldsymbol{g}_{s}ds iggl| iggr|_{t} \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$
 ,

 $(y_t)$  is a supermartingale such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty}y_t=0$ . By virtue of Lemma 4 of [9], we have

$$E\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\int_{t}^{s} v_{r} dr\right) (v_{s} y_{s}) ds \left| F_{t} \right.\right] \leq y_{t} .$$

Also, by virtue of Lemma 1 of [9],  $G_{\alpha}g$  can be rewritten as

$$G_{lpha}g(t) = Eiggl[\int_t^{\infty} \exp\Big(-\int_t^s \!lpha + v_r dr\Big) (g_s + v_s G_{lpha}g(s)) ds iggl| F_tiggr] \,.$$

Hence,

$$egin{aligned} J_t'(v) &- G_lpha g(t) = e^{lpha t} E igg[ \int_t^\infty \exp \left( - \int_s^s v_r dr 
ight) &(v_s y_s) ds \, igg| F_t igg] \ & \leq e^{lpha t} y_t = z_t - G_lpha g(t) \; , \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 6. By virtue of Theorem 1, the right hand side

of (12) admits the right-continuous modification, denoted by z'. Right-continuous Snell's envelope  $\hat{Y}$  of X is of the form

$$\hat{Y}_t = \mathop{\mathrm{ess\,sup}}_{ au\in\overline{C}_t} E \Big[ \left. e^{-lpha au} f_{ au} + \int_0^{ au} \!\! e^{-lpha s} g_s ds \left| F_t 
ight] = e^{-lpha t} z_t' + \int_0^t \!\! e^{-lpha s} g_s ds \; .$$

By using Theorem 1, it is easy to check that z' belongs to U. For any  $z \in U$ , by (8)-(10),

$$\hat{Y}_t \leq \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\tau \, \in \, \overline{C}_t} E \bigg[ \, e^{-\alpha \tau} z_\tau \, + \int_0^\tau \! e^{-\alpha s} g_s ds \, \Big| F_t \bigg] \leq e^{-\alpha t} z_t \, + \int_0^t \! e^{-\alpha s} g_s ds \, \, .$$

This implies that z' is a minimal element of U. By (9), (14) and Lemma 5,

$$egin{aligned} z^{\epsilon}(t) &= \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{v \in V_{\varepsilon}} Eigg[ \int_{t}^{\infty} \exp\Big(-\int_{t}^{s} lpha + v_{r} dr \Big) (g_{s} + v_{s} f_{s}) ds \, \Big| \, F_{t} igg] \ &\leq \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{v \in V_{\varepsilon}} Eigg[ \int_{t}^{\infty} \exp\Big(-\int_{t}^{s} lpha + v_{r} dr \Big) (g_{s} + v_{s} z^{s'}) ds \, \Big| \, F_{t} igg] \leq z'_{t} \, , \end{aligned}$$

and  $z^{\epsilon}(t)$  is increasing as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ . Thus we can define  $z^{*}(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} z^{\epsilon}(t)$  a.s., and we show that  $z^{*}$  belongs to U. By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{split} A\Big(e^{-\alpha t}z^{\varepsilon}(t) \,+\, \int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^t & e^{-\alpha s}g_{s}ds\,\Big) = \,-e^{-\alpha t}(\alpha\,-\,A)z^{\varepsilon}(t) \,+\, e^{-\alpha t}g_{t} \\ & = \,e^{-\alpha t}(-\varepsilon^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}(f\,-\,z^{\varepsilon})^{\scriptscriptstyle +}(t)) \leqq 0 \,\,. \end{split}$$

Hence, by Corollary to Theorem 5,  $\left(e^{-\alpha t}z^{\epsilon}(t)+\int_{0}^{t}e^{-\alpha s}g_{s}ds\right)$  is a supermartingale. Thus, by the monotone convergence theorem and Theorem 16 of [5, Chap. VI], it is easily seen that  $\left(e^{-\alpha t}z^{*}(t)+\int_{0}^{t}e^{-\alpha s}g_{s}ds\right)$  is a right-continuous supermartingale, i.e.,  $z^{*}$  satisfies (10). By the inequalities  $z^{\epsilon} \leq z^{*} \leq z'$ ,  $z^{*}$  satisfies (8). By (11), it is clear that  $G_{\alpha}(f-z^{\epsilon})^{+}=\varepsilon(z^{\epsilon}-G_{\alpha}g) \leq \varepsilon(z'-G_{\alpha}g)$ , which converges to zero as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ . Hence, by the monotone convergence theorem, we have  $G_{\alpha}(f-z^{*})^{+}=0$ , which implies that  $z^{*}$  satisfies (9). Consequently,  $z^{*} \in U$  and (12) follows from the minimality of z'. Finally,  $\hat{Y}$  can be rewritten as

$$\hat{Y}_t = e^{-\alpha t} z^*(t) + \int_0^t e^{-\alpha s} g_s ds$$
.

Therefore, we have  $\zeta = \inf\{t \mid X_t = \hat{Y}_t\}$ , which is optimal in  $\bar{C}_0$  by Theorem 4. The theorem is established.

### REFERENCES

- A. Bensoussan, Optimal impulsive control theory, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences 16, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, (1979), 17-41.
- [2] A. Bensoussan and J. L. Lions, Applications des inéquations variationelles en contrôl stochastique, Dunod, Paris, 1978.

- [3] A. G. FAKEEV, Optimal stopping rules for stochastic processes with continuous parameter, Theory of Prob. and Appl. 15 (1970), 324-331.
- [4] M. A. MAINGUENEAU, Temps d'arrêt optimaux et théorie générale, Séminaire de Probabilités XII, Lecture Notes in Math. 649, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, (1978), 457-467.
- [5] P. A. MEYER, Probability and Potentials, Blaisdell, Waltham Mass., 1966.
- [6] J. NEVEU, Discrete-Parameter Martingales, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [7] M. A. Shashiashvili, Optimal stopping of continuous time stochastic processes and stochastic differential representations for the value functions, Lithuanian Math. J. 19 (1979), 140-151.
- [8] A. N. SHIRYAYEV, Optimal stopping rules, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1978.
- [9] L. STETTNER AND J. ZABCZYK, Strong envelopes of stochastic processes and a penalty method, Stochastics 4 (1981), 267-280.
- [10] M. E. THOMPSON, Continuous parameter optimal stopping problems, Z. Wahr. Verw. Geb. 19 (1971), 302-318.

Tôhoku Institute of Technology Sendai, 982 Japan