PRODUCTS OF DISTRIBUTIONS IN H^p SPACES

Dedicated to Professor Tamotsu Tsuchikura on his sixtieth birthday

Акініко Мічасні*

(Received December 22, 1982)

0. Introduction. The purpose of the present paper is to extend the following.

THEOREM A (Coifman-Rochberg-Weiss [4], Uchiyama [11]). If K is a singular integral operator of Calderón-Zygmund type on \mathbb{R}^n and if p, q, r > 0 satisfy 1/p = 1/q + 1/r < 1 + 1/n, then

$$\|h \cdot Kg - K'h \cdot g\|_{H^p(R^n)} \leq C \|h\|_{H^q(R^n)} \|g\|_{H^r(R^n)}$$
 ,

where K' denotes the operator conjugate to K.

As for the definition of $H^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$, see Fefferman-Stein [5]; as for K and K', see the definitions in the next section.

In Theorem A, the restriction 1/p < 1 + 1/n cannot be relaxed since, for $f = h \cdot Kg - K'h \cdot g$, we cannot expect $\mathscr{F}f(\xi) = o(|\xi|^{n/p-n})$ as $\xi \to 0$ (\mathscr{F} denotes the Fourier transform) if $1/p \ge 1 + 1/n$, whereas any distribution f in $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has this property.

In this paper, we shall extend Theorem A to the case $1/p \ge 1 + 1/n$ by showing that we can obtain a well defined bilinear map $H^q \times H^r \rightarrow H^p$, 1/p = 1/q + 1/r, for all q, r > 0 if we form the following "products":

> $h \cdot K^2 g - 2K'h \cdot Kg + K'^2 h \cdot g,$ $h \cdot K^3 g - 3K'h \cdot K^2 g + 3K'^2 h \cdot Kg - K'^3 h \cdot g, \cdots,$ etc.

(the theorem in the next section will give a slightly more general "product").

The argument of this paper is a refinement of that given by Uchiyama [11]; we shall refine the calculations in [11] so that we can use the inequality

$$\left| \int fg
ight| \leq C \| \, f \, \|_{{}_{H^{\, p}}} \| \, g \, \|_{{}_{\mathrm{Lip}(n/p-n)}}$$
 , $\ 0 ,$

as well as Hölder's inequality.

Throughout this paper, we use the following.

^{*} Partly supported by Fûjukai Foundation.

NOTATION. ϕ and ψ denote fixed smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^n which have the following properties: $\phi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, $\phi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$, $\psi(x) = 1 - \phi(x)$. B(x, t) denotes the closed ball with center $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and radius twith respect to the usual metric. The mark \cdot is sometimes used in compensation for parentheses; no operator operates beyond this mark. The letter C denotes a constant which may be different in different places. f * g denotes the convolution of f and g. k^{*j} is defined by $k^{*j} = k^{*(j-1)} * k, j = 1, 2, \cdots$, and $k^{*0} = \delta =$ the Dirac measure. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)$ with α_j nonnegative integers, differential operator ∂^{α} and its order $|\alpha|$ are defined by $\partial^{\alpha} = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\alpha_n}$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, where ∂_j is defined by $\partial_j f(x) = (\partial/\partial x_j) f(x)$. We shall also use the notation $(\partial/\partial x)^{\alpha} f(x) =$ $\partial^{\alpha} f(x)$.

1. The result. The result of this paper is the following.

THEOREM. If K_1, \dots, K_N are singular integral operators of Calderón-Zygmund type on \mathbb{R}^n and if p, q, r > 0 satisfy

$$1/p = 1/q + 1/r < 1 + N/n$$
 ,

then there is a constant C depending only on p, q, r, K_1, \dots, K_N and n such that, for all $h \in \mathscr{S} \cap H^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $g \in \mathscr{S} \cap H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\sum_{J} (-1)^{|J|} (\prod_{j \in J} K'_j) h \cdot (\prod_{j \in J^c} K_j) g \|_{H^p} \leq C \|h\|_{H^q} \|g\|_{H^r}$$
 ,

where the summation ranges over all the subsets J of $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Here K'_j denotes the operator conjugate to K_j , |J| the cardinality of J, J° the complement of J, Π the product of operators; if J or J° is the empty set, the corresponding product Π means the identity operator.

To explain the singular integral operators in this theorem, we need the following

PROPOSITION 1.1. (i) Suppose that k is a smooth function in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying

(1.1)
$$|(\partial/\partial x)^{\alpha}k(x)| \leq C_{\alpha}|x|^{-n-|\alpha|}$$

and

(1.2)
$$\sup_{0 < a < b < \infty} \left| \int_{a < |x| < b} k(x) dx \right| < \infty .$$

Then there are sequences $\{a_j\}$ and $\{b_j\}$ such that $a_j \rightarrow 0$, $b_j \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\lim k(\cdot)\psi(\cdot/a_j)\phi(\cdot/b_j) = \tilde{k}$$

exists in $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

(ii) If \tilde{k} is the distribution in (i) and c is a complex number, then the Fourier transform of $\tilde{k} + c\delta$, $\delta = the$ Dirac measure, is a bounded function m which is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfies

(1.3)
$$|(\partial/\partial\xi)^{\alpha}m(\xi)| \leq C'_{\alpha}|\xi|^{-|\alpha|}.$$

(iii) Conversely, if m is a bounded function satisfying the conditions of (ii), then there are a distribution \tilde{k} arising in (i) and a complex number c such that m is the Fourier transform of $\tilde{k} + c\delta$.

PROOF. (i) can be seen if we choose $\{a_j\}$ so that

 $\lim \int k(x)\psi(x/a_j)\phi(x)dx$

exists. (1.3) for $\alpha \neq 0$ and (iii) are proved by means of the technique in [6; Proof of Theorem 2.5]. Proof of (1.3) for $\alpha = 0$ can be found in [1; Theorem 3].

The operators in the theorem are the ones in the following definitions.

DEFINITION 1.1. We shall call K a singular integral operator of Calderón-Zygmund type if it is defined by

(1.4)
$$Kf = \tilde{k} * f + cf = \mathscr{F}^{-1}(m\mathscr{F}f)$$

with \tilde{k} , c and m in Proposition 1.1.

By Proposition 1.1, these operators form a commutative algebra. It is well-known that such operators can be extended to H^p for all p > 0as bounded operators; see [5; § 12].

DEFINITION 1.2. If K is an operator defined by (1.4), the conjugate operator K' is defined by $K'f = \tilde{k}(-\cdot) * f + cf = \mathscr{F}^{-1}(m(-\cdot)\mathscr{F}f)$.

The rest of the paper will be devoted to the proof of the theorem.

2. Preliminary lemmas.

DEFINITION 2.1. For a nonnegative integer $m, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and t > 0, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{m}}(x,t) &= \{ \varphi \in \mathscr{S} \, | \, \mathrm{supp} \, \varphi \subset B(x,t), \, \| \, \partial^{\alpha} \varphi \|_{L^{\infty}} \leq t^{-n-|\alpha|} \, \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq m \} \, , \\ \widetilde{\mathscr{T}}_{\mathbf{m}}(x,t) &= \{ \varphi \in \mathscr{S} \, | \, | \, \partial^{\alpha} \varphi | \leq t(t+|\cdot -x|)^{-n-1-|\alpha|} \, \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq m \} \, ; \end{aligned}$$

and for $f \in \mathcal{S}'$,

$$egin{aligned} &M_{m,t}f(x) = \sup\left\{ |\langle f, arphi
angle || arphi \in \mathscr{T}_{m}(x,s), \ 0 < s \leq t
ight\}, \ &M_{m}f(x) = \sup\left\{ |\langle f, arphi
angle || arphi \in \mathscr{T}_{m}(x,s), \ 0 < s < \infty
ight\}, \ &\widetilde{M}_{m}f(x) = \sup\left\{ |\langle f, arphi
angle || arphi \in \widetilde{\mathscr{T}}_{m}(x,s), \ 0 < s < \infty
ight\}. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 2.2. For a nonnegative integer m, we define \mathcal{K}_m as the set of all $k \in \mathcal{S}$ which satisfy

$$|(\partial/\partial x)^{lpha}k(x)| \leq |x|^{-n-|lpha|} \quad ext{for} \quad |lpha| \leq m$$

and

$$\sup_{0< a<\infty} \left| \int_{|x|< a} k(x) dx \right| \leq 1 .$$

We shall list up the lemmas which will be used later.

LEMMA 2.1. If $\infty > p > q > 0$, then

$$\| \{ \widetilde{M}_0(|f|^q) \}^{1/q} \|_{L^p} \leq C_{p,q} \| f \|_{L^p}$$

If 0 and <math>m > n/p - n, then

$$\|\widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}f\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

Conversely, if $M_m f \in L^p$, $0 , for some <math>m \ge 0$, then $f \in H^p$ and

$$||f||_{H^p} \leq C_{p,m} ||M_m f||_{L^p}.$$

LEMMA 2.2. Let $0 and <math>m \geq 0$. If $\operatorname{supp} f \subset B(x_0, t)$ and $M_{m,4t}f \in L^p(B(x_0, 2t))$, then f can be decomposed as $f = g + \lambda \theta$, where $g \in H^p$, $\theta \in L^\infty$, $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \leq t^{-n/p}$, λ is a complex number, supports of g and θ are contained in $B(x_0, t)$, and

$$\|g\|_{H^p} + |\lambda| \leq C_{p,m} \left(\int_{B(x_0,2t)} (M_{m,4t}f)^p \right)^{1/p}$$

LEMMA 2.3. Let $0 and <math>m \geq 0$. If supp $f \subset B(x_0, t)$, $M_{m,4t}f \in L^p(B(x_0, 2t))$ and

$$\int f(x)x^{lpha}dx = 0$$
 for $|lpha| \leq [n/p - n]$,

then $f \in H^p$ and

$$||f||_{H^p} \leq C_{p,m} \left(\int_{B(x_0,2t)} (M_{m,4t}f)^p \right)^{1/p}$$

LEMMA 2.4. For $|x - x_0| < 2t$,

$$M_m(f(\cdot)\phi(2(\cdot - x_0)/t))(x) \leq C_m M_{m,3t}f(x)$$
.

LEMMA 2.5. If $f \in H^p$, $0 and <math>\operatorname{supp} f \subset B(x_0, t)$, then f can be decomposed as follows: $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$, where λ_j are complex numbers, a_j are bounded functions, $||a_j||_{L^{\infty}} \leq \rho_j^{-n/p}$, $\operatorname{supp} a_j \subset B(x_j, \rho_j) \subset B(x_0, 2t)$, $\int a_j(x)x^{\alpha}dx = 0$ for $|\alpha| \leq [n/p - n]$, and $(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p)^{1/p} \leq C_p ||f||_{H^p}$.

LEMMA 2.6. If $k \in \mathscr{K}_0$ and $v \in \mathscr{T}_m(x, t)$ with $m \ge 1$, then, for $|\beta| +$

 $|\gamma| \leq m-1 \text{ and } |w-x| < 1000t$

$$\left|\int\partial^{\beta}v(z)\partial^{\gamma}k(w-z)dz\right|\leq C_{n}t^{-n-|\beta|-|\gamma|}\;.$$

LEMMA 2.7. If k_1 and k_2 are functions in \mathscr{K}_m with $m \ge 1$, then $C^{-1}k_1 * k_2$ belongs to \mathcal{K}_{m-1} , where C depends only on n and m.

LEMMA 2.8. There is a constant C depending only on n such that $\|\mathscr{F}k\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C \text{ for all } k \in \mathscr{K}_{1}.$

LEMMA 2.9. Let θ be a smooth function with supp $\theta \subset B(0, 1)$ and $\int heta=1; \; set \; heta_t=t^{-n} heta(\cdot/t), \; t>0. \; \; \; Then, \; for \; all \; k\in \mathscr{K}_{m} \; with \; m\geq 1,$

$$|(k(\cdot)\psi(-\cdot/10t))*f(x) - \theta_t * k * f(x)| \leq C\tilde{M}_{m-1}f(x)$$

where the constant C depends only on n and m.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.1. The first part is derived from the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem; see [10; Chap. II, §3]. The second part is proved by means of the atomic decomposition of H^p ; cf. [11; Lemma 7]. The third part is a result of Fefferman and Stein [5; Theorem 11].

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.2. We can take $\lambda \theta$ which has the estimates in the lemma and satisfies

$$\int (f - \lambda \theta)(x) x^{\alpha} dx = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |\alpha| \leq [n/p - n] \; .$$

Hence the lemma is reduced to Lemma 2.3.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.3. We shall estimate $M_{m'}f(x)$ for m' = m + m'[n/p - n] + 1. Take $\varphi \in \mathscr{T}_{m'}(x, s)$. If P denotes the Taylor series of φ expanded about x_0 up to the terms of degree [n/p - n], then

$$\int f\varphi = \int f(\varphi - P)\phi((\cdot - x_0)/t) \, dx$$

(i) If $|x - x_0| < 2t$ and $s \leq 4t$, then $\left| \int f\varphi \right| \leq M_{m',4t} f(x) \leq M_{m,4t} f(x)$; (ii) if $|x - x_0| < 2t$ and s > 4t, then $C^{-1}(\varphi - P)\phi((\cdot - x_0)/t) \in \mathscr{T}_m(x, t)$

4t), and hence

$$\left|\int f\varphi\right| \leq CM_{m,4t}f(x);$$

(iii) if $|x - x_0| > 2t$ and $s \leq |x - x_0|/2$, then $\int f\varphi = 0$;

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(iv)} & \text{if } |x-x_0| > 2t \text{ and } s > |x-x_0|/2, \text{ then, for every } y \in B(x_0, 2t), \\ & C^{-1}(t/|x-x_0|)^{-n-\lceil n/p-n\rceil-1}(\varphi-P)\phi((\cdot-x_0)/t) \in \mathscr{T}_{\textbf{m}}(y, 4t), \end{array}$$

and hence

$$\left|\int f\varphi\right| \leq C(t/|x - x_0|)^{n + [n/p - n] + 1} \left(t^{-n} \int_{B(x_0, 2t)} (M_{m, 4t} f)^p\right)^{1/p}$$

(i)-(iv) give the pointwise estimate for the function $M_{m'}f(x)$ in terms of $M_{m,4t}f$; by integration, we obtain the desired estimate.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.4. Let $|x - x_0| < 2t$ and $\varphi \in \mathscr{T}_m(x, s)$. Then the function $C^{-1}\phi(2(\cdot - x_0)/t)\varphi(\cdot)$ belongs to $\mathscr{T}_m(x, 3t)$ if s > 3t and to $\mathscr{T}_m(x, s)$ if $s \leq 3t$ (the constant C depends only on n and m). Thus the claim follows from the definition of the maximal functions.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.5. Modify the proof of Latter [7]. If f has compact support and belongs to H^p , $p \leq 1$, then it is orthogonal to polynomials of degree less than or equal to [n/p - n]; hence g_k constructed in the proof of [7] can be, after the multiplication of some constant, a p-atom by itself.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.6. By integration by parts, the integral in the lemma can be rewritten as

$$\int_{|w-z|<1001t} (\partial^{\beta+\gamma} v(z) - \partial^{\beta+\gamma} v(w)) k(w-z) dz + \partial^{\beta+\gamma} v(w) \int_{|w-z|<1001t} k(w-z) dz ,$$

which is majorized in absolute value by

$$\int_{|w-z|<1001t} \sqrt{n} t^{-n-|\beta+\gamma|-1} |w-z|^{1-n} dz + t^{-n-|\beta+\gamma|} = C_n t^{-n-|\beta+\gamma|}$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.7. First we shall estimate

$$(\partial/\partial x)^lpha(k_1*k_2)(x)=\int\partial^lpha k_1(x-y)k_2(y)dy\;.$$

Decompose this integral as follows:

$$\begin{split} \int \{\cdots\} \phi(10y/|x|) dy &+ \int \{\cdots\} \phi(10(y-x)/|x|) dy \\ &+ \int \{\cdots\} (1-\phi(10y/|x|)-\phi(10(y-x)/|x|)) dy \\ &= \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II} + \mathrm{III} \ . \end{split}$$

We can estimate I and II by using Lemma 2.6; $|I|, |II| \leq C|x|^{-n-|\alpha|}$ for $|\alpha| \leq m - 1$. As for III, we have, for $|\alpha| \leq m$,

$$|\operatorname{III}| \leq C \int_{|y| > |x|/10} |y|^{-2n - |\alpha|} dy \leq C |x|^{-n - |\alpha|} \; .$$

Thus

$$(2.1) \qquad |(\partial/\partial x)^{\alpha}(k_1 * k_2)(x)| \leq C |x|^{-n-|\alpha|}, \quad |\alpha| \leq m-1.$$

Next we shall show

(2.2)
$$\sup_{0 < a < \infty} \left| \int (k_1 * k_2)(x) \phi(x/a) dx \right| \leq C$$

with C depending only on n, which combined with (2.1) proves the lemma. Decompose the integral in (2.2) as follows:

$$egin{aligned} &\int \Bigl(\int k_1(x-y)\phi(x/a)dx\Bigr)k_2(y)\phi(y/10a)dy + \int \int k_1(x-y)k_2(y)\phi(x/a)\psi(y/10a)dxdy \ &= \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II} \;. \end{aligned}$$

We can estimate I by using Lemma 2.6 twice; $|I| \leq C$. As for II, we have

$$|\mathrm{II}| \leq C \iint_{|x|<2a; |y|>10a} |y|^{-2n} dx dy \leq C.$$

This proves (2.2).

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.8. See [1; Theorem 3].

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.9. This lemma follows from the estimate

$$C^{-1}(k(\cdot)\psi(-\cdot/10t) - (heta_t * k)(\cdot)) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{T}}_{m-1}(0, t)$$

which is proved in a way similar to the proof of Lemma 2.6.

3. Proof of the theorem. We shall prove the theorem in the special case $K_1 = \cdots = K_N = K$. The general case requires little modification; see the remark at the end of this paper. In the special case, the "product" of the theorem can be rewritten as

$$\sum\limits_{j=0}^{N}{(-1)^{j}inom{N}{j}}K'^{j}h\cdot K^{N-j}g$$
 ,

where $K'^{\circ} = K^{\circ} =$ the identity operator.

Take two sufficiently large integers m and m' (they can be determined depending only on p, q, r, N and n). We shall prove that there are positive numbers u < q, v < r and C such that the following pointwise inequality holds throughout \mathbb{R}^n :

$$(3.1) \qquad M_{m'} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N} (-1)^{j} \binom{N}{j} K'^{j} h \cdot K^{N-j} g \right) \\ \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{N} (M_{m}(K'^{j}h) + \tilde{M}_{m}h) (M_{m}(K^{N-j}g) + \tilde{M}_{m}g) \\ + C \{M_{0}((M_{m}h)^{u})\}^{1/u} \{M_{0}((M_{m}g)^{v})\}^{1/v}$$

(*u* and *v* depend only on *p*, *q*, *r*, *N* and *n*; *C* depends only on *p*, *q*, *r*, *N*, *n* and *K*). Since *K* and *K'* are bounded operators in H^s for all s > 0, (3.1) gives, via Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.1, the inequality of the theorem.

We assume that $K = k * \cdot$, $k \in \mathscr{K}_{m''}$ with an m'' sufficiently large and show (3.1) with C depending only on p, q, r, N and n. Proof for the general K requires an easy limiting argument, which will be omitted.

From now on we simply denote \mathcal{T}_m , $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_m$, M_m and \tilde{M}_m by $\mathcal{T}, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}, M$ and \tilde{M} , respectively. We use the following notation: if Af = a * f, then, for t > 0,

$$A^{(t)}f = (a(\cdot)\psi(-\cdot/10t)) * f$$
.

Take $\varphi \in \mathscr{T}_{m'}(x_0, t)$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, t > 0 arbitrarily. What we must do is to estimate

$$\int \varphi(h \cdot K^{\scriptscriptstyle N}g - NK'h \cdot K^{\scriptscriptstyle N-1}g \pm \cdots + (-1)^{\scriptscriptstyle N}K'^{\scriptscriptstyle N}h \cdot g) \ .$$

The first step is to rewrite this integral as

$$egin{aligned} &\int \cdots \int igg(arphi(m{y}) - N arphi(m{z}_1) \,+\,inom{N}{2} arphi(m{z}_2) \mp \,\cdots \,+\, (-1)^N arphi(m{w}) igg) \ & imes \,h(m{y}) k(m{y} - m{z}_1) k(m{z}_1 - m{z}_2) \,\cdots \,k(m{z}_{N-1} - m{w}) g(m{w}) dm{y} dm{z}_1 \,\cdots \,dm{z}_{N-1} dm{w} \end{aligned}$$

and decompose it into the following four terms:

$$\begin{split} \int \cdots \int \{\cdots\} \phi((y-x_0)/10t) \phi((w-x_0)/10t) dy dz_1 \cdots dz_{N-1} dw \\ &+ \int \cdots \int \{\cdots\} \psi((w-x_0)/10t) dy dz_1 \cdots dz_{N-1} dw \\ &+ \int \cdots \int \{\cdots\} \psi((y-x_0)/10t) dy dz_1 \cdots dz_{N-1} dw \\ &- \int \cdots \int \{\cdots\} \psi((y-x_0)/10t) \psi((w-x_0)/10t) dy dz_1 \cdots dz_{N-1} dw \\ &= \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{II} + \mathbf{III} - \mathbf{IV} \;. \end{split}$$

We shall estimate these terms separately.

Estimate for III. III can be written as a finite linear combination of the following terms:

$$ext{III'} = \int arphi(z) \Bigl(\int h(y) k_1(y-z) \psi((y-x_0)/10t) dy \Bigr) \Bigl(\int k_2(z-w) g(w) dw \Bigr) dz$$
 ,

where $k_1 = k^{\star j}$ and $k_2 = k^{\star (N-j)}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. By Lemma 2.7, we can assume that k_1 and k_2 belong to $\mathscr{K}_{m'''}$ with an m''' sufficiently large (or $k_2 = \delta$ when j = N).

Consider the following function in the region $|z - x_0| < t$:

$$f(z) = \int h(y)k_1(y-z)\psi((y-x_0)/10t)dy \; .$$

Decompose this as follows:

$$egin{aligned} f(m{z}) &= \int h(m{y}) k_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(m{y} - x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) \psi((m{y} - x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/10t) dm{y} \ &+ \int h(m{y}) (k_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(m{y} - m{z}) - k_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(m{y} - x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})) \psi((m{y} - x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/10t) dm{y} \ &= m{J}_1 + m{J}_2 \;. \end{aligned}$$

In our notation, $J_1 = K_1'^{(t)}h(x_0)$, where K_1 is the convolution operator with the kernel k_1 . Since, for $|z - x_0| < t$, the function $(k_1(\cdot - z) - k_1(\cdot - x_0))\psi((\cdot - x_0)/10t)$ belongs to $\widetilde{\mathscr{T}}(x_0, t)$ when it is multiplied by some constant C depending only on n and m, it holds that $|J_2| \leq C\widetilde{M}h(x_0)$. Thus we obtain, for $|z - x_0| < t$,

$$(3.2) |f(z)| \leq |K_1'^{(t)}h(x_0)| + C \widetilde{M}h(x_0) .$$

Moreover, if $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m$, then

$$C^{-1}t^{|\alpha|}(\partial/\partial z)^{\alpha}k_{1}(\cdot - z)\psi((\cdot - x_{0})/10t) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{T}}(x_{0}, t)$$

with C depending only on n and m, and hence

$$(3.3) \qquad |(\partial/\partial z)^{\alpha} f(z)| \leq C t^{-|\alpha|} \widetilde{M} h(x_0) .$$

(3.2) and (3.3) mean that

 $(|K_1'^{(t)}h(x_0)| + C\widetilde{M}h(x_0))^{-1}\varphi f \in \mathscr{T}(x_0, t)$.

Hence

$$|\operatorname{III'}| \leq (|K_1'{}^{(\iota)}h(x_0)| + C\widetilde{M}h(x_0))M(K_2g)(x_0) \; .$$

This estimate combined with Lemma 2.9 gives the desired estimate for III.

Estimate for II is similar to that for III.

Estimate for IV. IV can be written as a finite linear combination of the following terms:

$$egin{aligned} \mathrm{IV'} &= \iiint arphi(z) h(y) k_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(y-z) k_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(z-w) g(w) \psi((y-x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/10t) \ & imes \psi((w-x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/10t) dy dz dw \;, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_1 = k^{*j}$ and $k_2 = k^{*(N-j)}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. By Lemma 2.7, we can assume that k_1 and k_2 belong to $\mathscr{K}_{m'''}$ with an m''' sufficiently large. In the same way as in the estimate for III, we have, for $|z - x_0| < t$,

A. MIYACHI

$$igg| \int h(y) k_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(y-z) \psi((y-x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/10t) \, dy igg| \leq |K_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\prime\,(\iota)} h(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})| + C \widetilde{M} h(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})$$
 , $igg| \int k_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(z-w) g(w) \psi((w-x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/10t) dw igg| \leq |K_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\prime\,(\iota)} g(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})| + C \widetilde{M} g(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})$.

From these estimate, we see that

$$|\operatorname{IV}'| \leq C(|K_1'{}^{(t)}h(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})| + \widetilde{M}h(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}))(|K_2'{}^{(t)}g(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})| + \widetilde{M}g(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})) +$$

which combined with Lemma 2.9 gives the desired estimate for IV.

Estimate for I. This is the essential part of the proof.

For $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$, we set

$$egin{aligned} \zeta_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(heta;\,oldsymbol{y},\,oldsymbol{w}) &= \int \cdots \int (heta(oldsymbol{y}) - N heta(oldsymbol{z}_1) \pm \cdots + (-1)^{\scriptscriptstyle N} heta(oldsymbol{w})) \ & imes k(oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{z}_1) \cdots k(oldsymbol{z}_{N-1} - oldsymbol{w}) doldsymbol{z}_1 \cdots doldsymbol{z}_{N-1} \,. \end{aligned}$$

We define an operator $A_{N,\varphi}$ by

$$A_{N,arphi}f(w) = \int f(y) \zeta_N(arphi; y, w) dy \cdot \phi((w - x_0)/20t) \; .$$

Mapping properties of this operator are given in the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose that $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $(1 - \varepsilon)/n < 1/s < 1 + (N - \varepsilon)/n$. Then, for $f \in H^s$ with supp $f \subset B(x_0, 20t)$, we have

$$\|A_{\scriptscriptstyle N,arphi}f\|_{\scriptscriptstyle X(arepsilon,s)} \leq C_{\scriptscriptstyle arepsilon,s}t^{-n-N+arepsilon}\|f\|_{\scriptscriptstyle H^s}$$
 ,

where $X(\varepsilon, s)$ is defined as follows: $X(\varepsilon, s) = H^p$ with $1/s - 1/p = (N - \varepsilon)/n$ if $1/s > (N - \varepsilon)/n$; = BMO if $1/s = (N - \varepsilon)/n$; = $\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty,\infty}$ (the homogeneous Besov space; see [2; § 6.3]) with $1/s + \alpha/n = (N - \varepsilon)/n$ if $1/s < (N - \varepsilon)/n$.

LEMMA 3.2. Let ε , s and $X(\varepsilon, s)$ be the same as in Lemma 3.1. If $||f||_{L^{\infty}} \leq t^{-n/s}$ and supp $f \subset B(x_0, 20t)$, then

$$\|A_{N,\varphi}f\|_{X(\varepsilon,s)} \leq C_{\varepsilon,s}t^{-n-N+\varepsilon}$$

LEMMA 3.3. If θ and θ' are bounded functions with supports contained in $B(x_0, 20t)$, then

$$\left|\int (A_{\scriptscriptstyle N,\varphi} heta) heta'
ight| \leq C \|\, heta\,\|_{\scriptscriptstyle L^\infty}\|\, heta'\,\|_{\scriptscriptstyle L^\infty}^{\,.}$$

Proofs of these lemmas will be given in the next section.

We shall proceed to estimate I. Take ε , u and v such that $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $1/p < 1 + (N - \varepsilon)/n$, 0 < u < q, 0 < v < r, $1/u + 1/v = 1 + (N - \varepsilon)/n$. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, we have

$$h(\cdot)\phi((\cdot - x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/10t) = h_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} + \lambda heta$$
 ,

$$g(\,\cdot\,)\phi((\,\cdot\,-\,x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/10t)=g_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}+\lambda' heta'$$
 ,

where h_i , g_1 , θ and θ' have their supports contained in $B(x_0, 20t)$ and

(3.4)
$$\|h_{1}\|_{H^{u}} + |\lambda| \leq C \Big(\int_{B(x_{0},40t)} (Mh)^{u} \Big)^{1/u} ,$$
$$\|g_{1}\|_{H^{v}} + |\lambda'| \leq C \Big(\int_{B(x_{0},40t)} (Mg)^{v} \Big)^{1/v} ,$$
$$\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq t^{-n/u} , \qquad \|\theta'\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq t^{-n/v}$$

(if u > 1 or v > 1, we take $\lambda \theta = 0$ or $\lambda' \theta' = 0$). Corresponding to this, I can be decomposed into four terms:

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{I} &= \iint h_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(y)\zeta_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(arphi;\,y,\,w)g_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(w)dydw + \lambda \iint heta(y)\zeta_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(arphi;\,y,\,w)g_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(w)dydw \ &+ \lambda' \iint h_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(y)\zeta_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(arphi;\,y,\,w) heta'(w)dydw + \lambda\lambda' \iint heta(y)\zeta_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(arphi;\,y,\,w) heta'(w)dydw \ &= \mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2 + \mathbf{I}_3 + \mathbf{I}_4 \;. \end{aligned}$$

Since $X(\varepsilon, u)$ (notation in Lemma 3.1) is the dual space of H^{ν} (see [5; Theorem 2], [7] and/or [3; Theorems 2.1 and 2.5]), if $1/u > (1 - \varepsilon)/n$, we can use Lemma 3.1 to obtain

$$\|\mathbf{I}_1\| \leq C \|A_{N,arphi} h_1\|_{\mathcal{X}(arepsilon, m{u})} \|g_1\|_{H^{m{v}}} \leq C t^{-n-N+arepsilon} \|h_1\|_{H^{m{u}}} \|g_1\|_{H^{m{v}}}$$

The same estimate holds also in the case $1/u \leq (1-\varepsilon)/n$ since in this case we have $1/v > (1-\varepsilon)/n$ and hence we can argue with the roles of u and v interchanged using a variant of Lemma 3.1 (i.e., a lemma for the operator dual to $A_{N,\varphi}$). Similarly Lemma 3.2 and its variant give

$$|\operatorname{I}_2| \leq Ct^{-n-N+arepsilon}|\lambda| \|g_1\|_{H^v}$$
 , $|\operatorname{I}_3| \leq Ct^{-n-N+arepsilon}\|h_1\|_{H^u}|\lambda'|$.

 $(I_2 \text{ and } I_3 \text{ appear only in the case } u \leq 1 \text{ or } v \leq 1; \text{ hence we can always apply Lemma 3.2 or its variant.}) As for I_4, we use Lemma 3.3 to obtain$

$$\mathbf{I}_{4} \leq C t^{-n-N+\epsilon} |\lambda| |\lambda'| .$$

These estimates and (3.4) give

$$egin{aligned} |\, \mathrm{I}\, | \, &\leq \, C \Big(t^{-n} \int_{B(x_0,40t)} (Mh)^u \Big)^{1/u} \Big(t^{-n} \int_{B(x_0,40t)} (Mg)^v \Big)^{1/v} \ &\leq \, C \{ M_0((Mh)^u)(x_0) \}^{1/u} \{ M_0((Mg)^v)(x_0) \}^{1/v} \, \, . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have reduced the proof of the theorem to that of Lemmas 3.1-3.3.

4. Proof of Lemmas 3.1-3.3. In order to prove Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we use the following Lemmas.

LEMMA 4.1. $(\partial/\partial y_j)\zeta_N(\theta; y, w) = -(\partial/\partial w_j)\zeta_N(\theta; y, w) + \zeta_N(\partial_j\theta; y, w).$

LEMMA 4.2. If $|y - x_0| < 1000t$, $|w - x_0| < 1000t$ and $|\alpha + \beta + \gamma| \leq \tilde{m}$ (\tilde{m} sufficiently large), then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{split} |(\partial/\partial y)^{\beta}(\partial/\partial w)^{\alpha}\zeta_{N}(\partial^{\gamma}\varphi;y,w)| \\ &\leq C_{\epsilon}t^{-n-N+\epsilon-|\gamma|}|y-w|^{-\epsilon}\operatorname{Max}\left\{t^{-n+N-|\alpha|-|\beta|},|y-w|^{-n+N-|\alpha|-|\beta|}\right\}. \end{split}$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.1. Repeated application of integration by parts. In order to prove Lemma 4.2, we need the following

LEMMA 4.3. If P is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to N-1, then

$$egin{aligned} &\int \cdots \int igg(P(y) - NP(z_1) + igg(N \ 2 igg) P(z_2) \mp \cdots + (-1)^N P(w) igg) \ & imes k(y-z_1)k(z_1-z_2) \cdots k(z_{N-1}-w)dz_1 \cdots dz_{N-1} = 0 \;. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{S}_N denote the symmetric group over $\{1, \dots, N\}$. For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N$, define a linear transformation $(z_j)_{j=1}^{N-1} \mapsto (z_j^{\sigma})_{j=1}^{N-1}$ by the following rule: if $y - z_1 = a_1, z_1 - z_2 = a_2, \dots, z_{N-1} - w = a_N$, then $y - z_1^{\sigma} = a_{\sigma(1)},$ $z_1^{\sigma} - z_2^{\sigma} = a_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, z_{N-1}^{\sigma} - w = a_{\sigma(N)}$. The Jacobian of this transformation is +1 or -1 and the function $k(y - z_1) k(z_1 - z_2) \cdots k(z_{N-1} - w)$ is invariant under each of the transformation. On the other hand, if P is a polynomial of degree less than N, then

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \left(P(y) - NP(z_1^{\sigma}) + \binom{N}{2} P(z_2^{\sigma}) \mp \cdots + (-1)^N P(w) \right) = 0 \; .$$

(This equality reduces, by an elementary calculation, to the following equalities for polynomials in a_1, \dots, a_N :

$$\sum_{j=1}^N (-1)^{N-j} inom{N}{j} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} (a_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + a_{\sigma(j)})^m = 0$$
 , $1 \leq m \leq N-1$.

Hence, if we denote the integrand of the lemma by $I(z_1, \dots, z_{N-1}) = I(z)$, then

$$\int I(z)dz = (N!)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \int I(z^{\sigma})dz = 0 \; .$$

This proves Lemma 4.3.

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.2. We may assume $\gamma = 0$. Applying Taylor's formula to φ and using Lemma 4.3, we can write $\zeta_N(\varphi; y, w)$ as a finite linear combination of the following terms:

$$J_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = \int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} (1-s)^{N'-1} \partial^{\sigma} arphi(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} + s(y-x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})) ds \cdot (y-x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})^{\sigma} k_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(y-w)$$
 ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \int_0^1 (1-s)^{N'-1} \partial^\sigma \varphi(x_1 + s(w-x_1)) ds \cdot (w-x_1)^\sigma k_1(y-w) , \\ J_3 &= \iint_{0 < \mathfrak{o} < 1: z \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1-s)^{N'-1} \partial^\sigma \varphi(x_1 + s(z-x_1))(z-x_1)^\sigma k_2(y-z) k_3(z-w) dz ds , \end{aligned}$$

where $|\sigma| = N'$, $1 \leq N' \leq N$, $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (we can fix N' and x_1 arbitrarily), $k_1 = k^{*N}$, $k_2 = k^{*j}$ and $k_3 = k^{*(N-j)}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. If we take x_1 which satisfies $|y - x_1| < |y - w|$ and $|w - x_1| < |y - w|$, then

$$egin{aligned} &|(\partial/\partial y)^{eta}(\partial/\partial w)^{lpha}J_{_1}| \leq Ct^{-n-N'}|y-w|^{-n+N'-|lpha|-|eta|}\ ,\ &|(\partial/\partial y)^{eta}(\partial/\partial w)^{lpha}J_{_2}| \leq Ct^{-n-N'}|y-w|^{-n+N'-|lpha|-|eta|}\ . \end{aligned}$$

If x_1 is as above and if $-n + N' - |\alpha| - |\beta| \leq 0$, then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $|(\partial/\partial y)^{\beta} (\partial/\partial w)^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}| \leq C_{\varepsilon} t^{-n-N'+\varepsilon} |y - w|^{-n+N'-|\alpha|-|\beta|-\varepsilon}$

(this estimate can be obtained in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.7). Thus we obtain the desired estimate by taking N' = N if $-n + N - |\alpha| - |\beta| < 0$ and $N' = n + |\alpha| + |\beta|$ if $-n + N - |\alpha| - |\beta| \ge 0$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.1. We shall prove that

(4.1)
$$\|(-\Delta)^{(N-1)/2}A_{N,\varphi}f\|_{H^{v}} \leq C_{\epsilon,\epsilon}t^{-n-N+\epsilon}\|f\|_{H^{s}}$$

where $1/s - 1/v = (1 - \varepsilon)/n$ and Δ denotes the Laplacian. This estimate together with the well known mapping properties of the operator $(-\Delta)^{-(N-1)/2}$ (fractional integration; see [3; Theorem 4.1] and [8; Theorem 3.2]) gives the desired result. In order to prove (4.1), it is sufficient to show the same estimate for $\partial^{\alpha} A_{N,\varphi} f$, $|\alpha| = N - 1$.

First consider the case s > 1. We can write

$$\partial^lpha A_{\scriptscriptstyle N,arphi} f(w) = \int f(y) (\partial/\partial w)^lpha (\zeta_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(arphi;\,y,\,w) \phi((w\,-\,x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/20t)) dy \;.$$

Lemma 4.2 shows that the integrand is majorized in absolute value by $C_{\epsilon}|f(y)|t^{-n-N+\epsilon}|y-w|^{-n+1-\epsilon}$. Hence the fractional integration theorem in L^{p} -spaces, p > 1 ([9; Chap. V, §1]), gives the desired estimate.

Next suppose that $s \leq 1$. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5, it is sufficient to show the estimate

(4.2)
$$\left(\int_{B(x_0,80t)} (M_{b,160t}(\partial^{\alpha} A_{N,\varphi}f))^{v}\right)^{1/v} \leq C_{\varepsilon,s} t^{-n-N+\varepsilon}$$

for a sufficiently large b and for f's which satisfy

(4.3)
$$\sup f \subset B(y_0, \rho) \subset B(x_0, 40t) , \qquad ||f||_{L^{\infty}} \leq \rho^{-n/s} ,$$
$$\int f(y)y^{\beta}dy = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |\beta| \leq [n/s - n] .$$

A. MIYACHI

In order to prove (4.2)-(4.3), we take $\theta \in \mathscr{T}_{\delta}(x, a)$, $|x - x_0| < 80t$, $a \leq 160t$ and study the function

$$\eta_{ heta,lpha}(y) = \int (\partial/\partial w)^{lpha}(\zeta_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(arphi;\,y,\,w) \phi((w\,-\,x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/20t)) \cdot heta(w) dw\;,$$

where $|\alpha| = N - 1$. We shall estimate

(4.4)
$$(\partial/\partial y)^{\beta}\eta_{\theta,\alpha}(y) = \int (\partial/\partial y)^{\beta} (\partial/\partial w)^{\alpha} (\zeta_N(\varphi; y, w)\phi((w - x_0)/20t)) \cdot \theta(w) dw$$

in the region $|y - x_0| < 40t$. If |y - x| > 2a, in order to estimate this, we replace the integrand by its absolute value and use Lemma 4.2; we obtain

(4.5)
$$|(\partial/\partial y)^{\beta}\eta_{\theta,\alpha}(y)| \leq C_{\varepsilon}t^{-n-N+\varepsilon}|y-x|^{-n+1-\varepsilon-|\beta|}$$

If |y - x| < 2a, we estimate (4.4) in the following way: we rewrite the integral by using Lemma 4.1 repeatedly and by integration by parts to obtain

$$egin{aligned} & (\partial/\partial y)^{eta}\eta_{ heta,lpha}(y) = \sum\limits_{lpha'+lpha''=lpha \ eta'+eta''=lpha} inom{lpha}{lpha'} inom{eta}{eta'} \int (\partial/\partial w)^{lpha'} \zeta_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(\partial^{eta''}arphi;\,y,\,w) \cdot (\partial/\partial w)^{eta'} \ imes \ \{(\partial/\partial w)^{lpha''} \phi((w-x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/20t) \cdot heta(w)\} dw \ ; \end{aligned}$$

then we replace the integrand by its absolute value and use Lemma 4.2 to obtain

$$(4.6) \qquad |(\partial/\partial y)^{\beta}\eta_{\theta,\alpha}(y)| \leq C_{\varepsilon}t^{-n-N+\varepsilon}a^{-n+1-\varepsilon-|\beta|}.$$

Now let f be a function satisfying (4.3) and θ be as before. If P is the Taylor series of $\eta_{\theta,\alpha}$ expanded about y_0 up to the terms of degree [n/s - n], then

$$\int (\partial^lpha A_{N,arphi} f) heta \, = \int f \eta_{ heta, lpha} \, = \int f(\eta_{ heta, lpha} \, - \, P) \; .$$

If $|x - y_0| < 2\rho$, we use (4.5) and (4.6) with $\beta = 0$ to obtain

(4.7)
$$\left|\int (\partial^{\alpha} A_{N,\varphi} f) \theta\right| \leq \int |f\eta_{\theta,\alpha}| \leq C_{\varepsilon} t^{-n-N+\varepsilon} \rho^{-n/\varepsilon+1-\varepsilon};$$

if $|x - y_0| > 2\rho$, we use (4.5) and (4.6) with $|\beta| = [n/s - n] + 1$ to obtain

(4.8)
$$\left| \int (\partial^{\alpha} A_{N,\varphi} f) \theta \right| \leq \int |f(\eta_{\theta,\alpha} - P)| \leq C_{\varepsilon} t^{-n-N+\varepsilon} \rho^{-n/s+c+1+n} |x - y_0|^{-n-\varepsilon-\varepsilon},$$

where c = [n/s - n]. (4.7) and (4.8) give the pointwise estimate for the function $M_{b,100t}(\partial^{\alpha}A_{N,\varphi}f)(x)$ in the region $|x - x_0| < 80t$. Now we obtain (4.2) by simple computation. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.2. For the same reason as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we can reduce the proof to the estimate for $\partial^{\alpha} A_{N,\varphi} f$ with $|\alpha| = N - 1$. If f is the function in Lemma 3.2 and θ is as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, then (4.5) and (4.6) for $\beta = 0$ give

$$\left|\int (\partial^{\alpha} A_{N,\varphi} f) \theta\right| \leq \int |f\eta_{\theta,\alpha}| \leq C t^{-n/s-n-N+1};$$

hence, for $|x - x_0| < 80t$,

$$M_{b,160t}(\partial^{\alpha}A_{N,\varphi}f)(x) \leq Ct^{-n/s-n-N+1}$$

Integrating this and using Lemma 2.3, we obtain the desired estimate. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.3. The integral in the lemma is a finite linear combination of the following terms:

$$J=\iiint arphi(z) heta(y)k_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(y-z)k_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(z-w) heta'(w)dydzdw$$
 ,

where $k_1 = k^{*j}$ and $k_2 = k^{*(N-j)}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$. By Lemma 2.8, the convolution operators with kernels k_1 or k_2 have bounded norms as operators in L^2 . Hence

$$|J| \leq C \|\theta\|_{L^2} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|\theta'\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\theta'\|_{L^\infty} .$$

This proves Lemma 3.3.

Thus we have completed the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. We shall indicate the modification of the proof necessary in the general case. The general "product" in the theorem can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} (-1)^{j} {N \choose j} (N!)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j} K'_{\sigma(i)}
ight) h \cdot \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{N} K_{\sigma(i)}
ight) g$$
 ,

where \mathfrak{S}_N denotes the symmetric group over $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Hence we can obtain the necessary modification by replacing a function of the form $k(x_1) \cdots k(x_N)$ which appears in the proof for the special case by

$$(N!)^{-1}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_N}k_{\sigma(1)}(x_1)\cdots k_{\sigma(N)}(x_N)$$
;

the proof (of the theorem) with this replacement is just the same as that in the special case.

References

 A. BENEDEK, A. P. CALDERÓN AND R. PANZONE, Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 48 (1962), 356-365.

A. MIYACHI

- [2] J. BERGH AND J. LÖFSTRÖM, Interpolation Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
- [3] A. P. CALDERÓN AND A. TORCHINSKY, Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution, II, Advances in Math. 24 (1977), 101-171.
- [4] R. R. COIFMAN, R. ROCHBERG AND G. WEISS, Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables, Ann. of Math. 103 (1976), 611-635.
- [5] C. FEFFERMAN AND E. M. STEIN, H^p spaces of several variables, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137-193.
- [6] L. HÖRMANDER, Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces, Acta Math. 104 (1960), 93-140.
- [7] R. H. LATTER, A characterization of $H^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ in terms of atoms, Studia Math. 62 (1978), 93-101.
- [8] A. MIYACHI, On some singular Fourier multipliers, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA. 28 (1981), 267-315.
- [9] E. M. STEIN, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [10] E. M. STEIN AND G. WEISS, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1971.
- [11] A. UCHIYAMA, The factorization of H^p on the space of homogeneous type, Pacific J. Math. 92 (1981), 453-468.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO HONGO, TOKYO 113 JAPAN

Present address: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS HITOTSUBASHI UNIVERSITY KUNITACHI, TOKYO 186 JAPAN