

# THE CANONICAL HALF-NORM, DUAL HALF-NORMS, AND MONOTONIC NORMS

DEREK W. ROBINSON AND SADAYUKI YAMAMURO

(Received June 18, 1982)

**Abstract.** Let  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_+, \|\cdot\|)$  be an ordered Banach space and define the canonical half-norm

$$N(a) = \inf\{\|a + b\|; b \in \mathcal{B}_+\}.$$

We prove that  $N(a) = \|a\|$  for  $a \in \mathcal{B}_+$  if, and only if, the norm is (1-)monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}$ , and

$$N(a) = \inf\{\|b\|; b \in \mathcal{B}_+, b - a \in \mathcal{B}_+\}$$

if, and only if, the dual norm is (1-)monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}^*$ . Subsequently we examine the canonical half-norm in the dual and prove that it coincides with the dual of the canonical half-norm.

**0. Introduction.** Let  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space ordered by a positive cone  $\mathcal{B}_+$ . The associated canonical half-norm  $N$  is defined by

$$N(a) = \inf\{\|a + b\|; b \in \mathcal{B}_+\}.$$

This half-norm has been useful in the analysis of positive semigroups [1] [2] [3] and it appears useful for the characterization of geometric properties of  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_+, \|\cdot\|)$  [4] [5] [6]. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Banach lattice, or the real part of a  $C^*$ -algebra then  $N(a) = \|a_+\|$  where  $a_+$  is the canonical positive component of  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ . In particular the half-norm and the norm coincide on  $\mathcal{B}_+$ . Moreover one has

$$N(a) = \inf\{\|b\|; b \in \mathcal{B}_+, b - a \in \mathcal{B}_+\}.$$

In this note we establish that these properties are general features of a Banach space whose norm and dual-norm are monotonic. Subsequently we examine the canonical half-norm in the dual  $\mathcal{B}^*$  and prove that it is the dual, in an appropriate sense, of the canonical half-norm in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Throughout this paper  $\mathcal{B}_+$  is a norm-closed convex cone in  $\mathcal{B}$  with the property

$$\mathcal{B}_+ \cap -\mathcal{B}_+ = \{0\}$$

and one sets  $a \geq b$  if  $a - b \in \mathcal{B}_+$ . Furthermore  $\mathcal{B}_1$  denotes the unit

ball,  $\mathcal{B}^*$  the dual,  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$  the dual cone, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}_+^* = \{f; f \in \mathcal{B}^*, f(a) \geq 0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{B}_+\},$$

and  $\mathcal{B}_1^*$  the unit ball of  $\mathcal{B}^*$ .

**1. Monotonic norms.** The norm of an ordered Banach space  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_+, \|\cdot\|)$  is defined to be  $\alpha$ -monotonic if

$$(*) \quad 0 \leq a \leq b \text{ implies } \|a\| \leq \alpha \|b\|.$$

This condition is closely related to the concept of normality of  $\mathcal{B}_+$  introduced by Krein [7].

The cone  $\mathcal{B}_+$  is defined to be  $\beta$ -normal if

$$(**) \quad a \leq b \leq c \text{ implies } \|b\| \leq \beta(\|a\| \vee \|c\|).$$

Clearly  $(**)$  implies  $(*)$  with  $\alpha = \beta$  but conversely  $(*)$  implies  $(**)$  with  $\beta = 1 + 2\alpha$ . Grosberg and Krein [8] established that normality of  $\mathcal{B}_+$  is equivalent to a generation property of the dual cone  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$ .

The dual cone  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$  is defined to be  $\beta$ -generating if each  $f \in \mathcal{B}^*$  has a decomposition  $f = f_+ - f_-$  with  $f_{\pm} \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$  and

$$\beta \|f\| \geq \|f_+\| + \|f_-\|.$$

The Grosberg-Krein theorem states that  $\mathcal{B}_+$  is  $\beta$ -normal if, and only if,  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$  is  $\beta$ -generating. A similar characterization of  $\beta$ -normality of  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$  in terms of  $\beta'$ -generation of  $\mathcal{B}_+$ , where  $\beta' > \beta$ , was subsequently obtained by Ando [9] and Ellis [10]. (For further details see [11] [12].)

Our first result is a one-sided version of the foregoing theorems.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *For each  $\alpha \geq 1$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The norm is  $\alpha$ -monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}$ ,*

(2) *Each  $f \in \mathcal{B}_1^*$  has a decomposition  $f = f_+ - f_-$  with  $f_+ \in \alpha \mathcal{B}_1^* \cap \mathcal{B}_+^*$  and  $f_- \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$ .*

*Moreover the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1\*) *The norm is  $\alpha$ -monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}^*$ ,*

(2\*) *For any  $\alpha' > \alpha$  each  $a \in \mathcal{B}$  has a decomposition  $a = a_+ - a_-$  with  $a_+ \in \alpha' \mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_+$  and  $a_- \in \mathcal{B}_+$ .*

**PROOF.** The proof is by polar calculus [11] [12]. We begin by recalling the relevant results on polars.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{B}$  the polar  $\mathcal{A}^\circ$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}^\circ = \{f; f \in \mathcal{B}^*, f(a) \leq 1 \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Hence if  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ , are norm (weakly) closed convex sets containing  $\{0\}$  then

$$(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2)^\circ = \overline{co}(\mathcal{A}_1^\circ \cup \mathcal{A}_2^\circ)$$

where  $\overline{co}$  denotes the weak\*-closed convex hull (see, for example, [11] [12]). Moreover if  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is a cone then

$$(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2)^\circ = \overline{co}(\mathcal{A}_1^\circ \cup \mathcal{A}_2^\circ) = \overline{(\mathcal{A}_1^\circ + \mathcal{A}_2^\circ)}$$

where the bar denotes weak\*-closure. Finally if  $\mathcal{A}_2^\circ$  is weak\*-compact then

$$(\overline{\mathcal{A}_1^\circ + \mathcal{A}_2^\circ}) = \mathcal{A}_1^\circ + \mathcal{A}_2^\circ$$

and hence

$$(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2)^\circ = \mathcal{A}_1^\circ + \mathcal{A}_2^\circ.$$

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Condition (1) can be rephrased as

$$\mathcal{B}_+ \cap (\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_+) \subseteq \alpha \mathcal{B}_1.$$

Therefore if  $\lambda > 1$  then

$$\mathcal{B}_+ \cap (\overline{\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_+}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_+ \cap \{\lambda \mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_+\} \subseteq \alpha \lambda \mathcal{B}_1,$$

by Corollary 3.3 of [12], Chapter 1. (Here the bar denotes norm closure.) Hence

$$\mathcal{B}_+ \cap (\overline{\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_+}) \subseteq \alpha \mathcal{B}_1.$$

But  $\mathcal{B}_+$  is a cone and  $\mathcal{B}_+^\circ = -\mathcal{B}_+^*$ . Moreover  $(\overline{\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_+})^\circ = \mathcal{B}_+^* \cap \mathcal{B}_1^*$  is weak\*-closed. Hence by the above observations, applied with  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{B}_+$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2 = (\overline{\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_+})$ , one obtains

$$\mathcal{B}_1^* = \mathcal{B}_1^\circ \subseteq \alpha(\mathcal{B}_+ \cap (\overline{\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_+}))^\circ = \alpha(\mathcal{B}_+^* \cap \mathcal{B}_1^* - \mathcal{B}_+^*).$$

This is, however, a set-theoretic reformulation of Condition (2).

To establish the converse implication we need to introduce polars of subsets of the dual. If  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}^*$  then the polar  $\mathcal{F}^\circ$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^\circ = \{a; a \in \mathcal{B}, f(a) \leq 1 \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Consider the above reformulation

$$\mathcal{B}_1^* \subseteq \alpha(\mathcal{B}_+^* \cap \mathcal{B}_1^* - \mathcal{B}_+^*)$$

of Condition (2). Since  $(\mathcal{B}_1^*)^\circ = \mathcal{B}_1$  the polar of this relation gives

$$(\mathcal{B}_+^* \cap \mathcal{B}_1^* - \mathcal{B}_+^*)^\circ \subseteq \alpha \mathcal{B}_1.$$

But it is readily checked that

$$\mathcal{B}_+ \cap (\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_+) \subseteq (\mathcal{B}_+^* \cap \mathcal{B}_1^* - \mathcal{B}_+^*)^\circ$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{B}_+ \cap (\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_+) \subseteq \alpha \mathcal{B}_1.$$

This is, however, a reformulation of Condition (1).

(1\*)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2\*). Condition (1\*) can be rephrased as

$$\mathcal{B}_+^* \cap (\mathcal{B}_1^* - \mathcal{B}_+^*) \subseteq \alpha \mathcal{B}_1^*.$$

But  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$  and  $(\mathcal{B}_1^* - \mathcal{B}_+^*)$  are both weak\*-closed. Hence taking polars one finds that Condition (1\*) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq \alpha \overline{\text{co}((\mathcal{B}_+ \cap \mathcal{B}_1) \cup (-\mathcal{B}_+))} = \alpha \overline{(\mathcal{B}_+ \cap \mathcal{B}_1) - \mathcal{B}_+}$$

where the bar denotes norm (or weak) closure. Now since  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is not norm compact one cannot use the previous argument to remove the closure sign. Nevertheless it follows from Corollary 3.3 of [12], Chapter 1, that

$$\mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq \alpha'(\mathcal{B}_+ \cap \mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_+)$$

for any  $\alpha' > \alpha$ . This is, however, a set-theoretic reformulation of Condition (2\*).

REMARK 1.2. Since Condition (1), for  $\mathcal{B}$ , is equivalent to Condition (2), for  $\mathcal{B}^*$ , which implies Condition (2\*), for  $\mathcal{B}^*$ , which in turn is equivalent to Condition (1\*), for the bidual  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ , one concludes that  $\alpha$ -monotonicity of the norm on  $\mathcal{B}$  implies  $\alpha$ -monotonicity of the norm on  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ . Of course the converse is also true.

Next we examine the case of  $\alpha = 1$  in more detail.

THEOREM 1.3. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The norm is 1-monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}$ ,*
- (2) *Each  $f \in \mathcal{B}^*$  has a decomposition  $f = f_+ - f_-$  with  $f_{\pm} \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$  such that  $\|f_+\| \leq \|f\|$ ,*
- (3) *For each  $a \in \mathcal{B}_+$  there is an  $f \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$  with  $\|f\| = 1$  and  $f(a) = \|a\|$ .*

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). This follows from Theorem 1.1 with  $\alpha = 1$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Given  $a \in \mathcal{B}_+$  the Hahn-Banach theorem establishes the existence of an  $f \in \mathcal{B}_1^*$  with  $f(a) = \|a\|$ . But if  $f = f_+ - f_-$  is the decomposition of Condition (2) then

$$\|a\| = f(a) \leq f_+(a) \leq \|f_+\| \|a\| \leq \|a\|.$$

Therefore  $\|f_+\| = \|f\| = 1$  and  $f_+(a) = \|a\|$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Choose  $f$  to satisfy Condition (3) then  $0 \leq a \leq b$  implies

$$\|a\| = f(a) \leq f(b) \leq \|b\|.$$

THEOREM 1.4. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) The norm is 1-monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}^*$ ,  
 (2) Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  each  $a \in \mathcal{B}$  has a decomposition  $a = a_+ - a_-$  with  $a_{\pm} \in \mathcal{B}_+$  and  $\|a_{\pm}\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|a\|$ ,  
 (3) Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$  there is an  $a \in \mathcal{B}_+$  with  $\|a\| \leq 1$  and  $f(a) = (1 - \varepsilon)\|f\|$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). This equivalence follows from Theorem 1.1 with  $\alpha = 1$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). This follows from the argument used to prove the similar implication in Theorem 1.3 together with the fact that  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is weakly dense in the unit ball of the bidual  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). This follows by the argument used to prove the similar implication in Theorem 1.3.

Finally we remark that 1-monotonicity of the norm can be re-expressed as an hereditary property. Recall that a subset  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_+$  is defined to be hereditary if  $0 \leq a \leq b$  and  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  always implies  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus 1-monotonicity of  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{B}_+$  is equivalent to hereditariness of  $\mathcal{B}_+ \cap \mathcal{B}_1$ .

**2. The Canonical half-norm.** The canonical half-norm  $N$  was defined in the introduction and the principal aim of this section is to evaluate  $N$  when the norm and dual-norm are 1-monotonic. First, however, we demonstrate that  $N$  can be characterized in a variety of other fashions, by maximality, by duality, or order-theoretically.

Generally a half-norm on  $\mathcal{B}$  is a function  $N'$  with the properties

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq N'(a) \leq k\|a\| \quad \text{for some } k > 0, \\ N'(a + b) &\leq N'(a) + N'(b), \\ N'(\lambda a) &= \lambda N'(a) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \geq 0, \\ N'(a) \vee N'(-a) &= 0 \quad \text{if, and only if, } a = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $k > 0$  we denote the corresponding set of half-norms by  $\mathcal{N}_k$  and let  $\mathcal{N}_k(\mathcal{B}_+)$  denote the  $N' \in \mathcal{N}_k$  which are associated with  $\mathcal{B}_+$ , i.e., which satisfy

$$\mathcal{B}_+ = \{a; N'(-a) = 0\}.$$

**THEOREM 2.1.** *The canonical half-norm  $N$  satisfies the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} N(a) &= \sup\{N'(a); N' \in \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{B}_+)\} = \sup\{f(a); f \in \mathcal{B}_+^* \cap \mathcal{B}_1^*\} \\ &= \inf\{\lambda \geq 0; a \leq \lambda u, u \in \mathcal{B}_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The third characterization of  $N$  was given in [5] and is

included because it is useful for establishing the first characterization.

Clearly  $N \in \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{B}_+)$  and hence for the first equality it suffices to prove that  $N \geq N'$  for all  $N' \in \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{B}_+)$ . But given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $a \in \mathcal{B}$  there is a  $u \in \mathcal{B}_1$  such that

$$a \leq N(a)(1 + \varepsilon)u$$

because of the third characterization of  $N$ . Therefore

$$N'(a) \leq N(a)(1 + \varepsilon)N'(u) \leq N(a)(1 + \varepsilon)$$

because  $N' \in \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{B}_+)$ . Taking the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  one obtains  $N' \leq N$ .

The second characterization of  $N$  follows directly from two lemmas established in [6] which can be rephrased as follows.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f \in \mathcal{B}_+^* \cap \mathcal{B}_1^*$ ,
- (2)  $f$  is a linear functional over  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying

$$f(a) \leq N(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Moreover for each  $a \in \mathcal{B}$  there is an  $f \in \mathcal{B}_+^* \cap \mathcal{B}_1^*$  such that

$$f(a) = N(a).$$

Next we examine the evaluation of  $N$  on positive elements.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The norm is 1-monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}$ ,*
- (2)  $N(a) = \|a\|$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}_+$ .

**PROOF.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). If  $a, b \geq 0$  then  $\|a + b\| \geq \|a\|$ . Hence

$$\|a\| \leq \inf\{\|a + b\|; b \in \mathcal{B}_+\} = N(a) \leq \|a\|.$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Given  $a \in \mathcal{B}_+$  it follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exists an  $f \in \mathcal{B}_+^* \cap \mathcal{B}_1^*$  such that

$$f(a) = N(a) = \|a\|.$$

But this is equivalent to Condition (1) by Theorem 1.3.

If the dual norm is 1-monotonic one has a further partial evaluation of  $N$ .

**THEOREM 2.4.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The norm is 1-monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}^*$ ,*
- (2)  $N(a) = \inf\{\|b\|; b \geq 0, b \geq a\}$ .

**PROOF.** Define  $N_+$  by

$$N_+(a) = \inf\{\|b\|; b \geq 0, b \geq a\}.$$

It follows straightforwardly that

$$N_+(a) = \inf\{\lambda \geq 0; a \leq \lambda u, u \in \mathcal{B}_+ \cap \mathcal{B}_1\}.$$

Therefore it follows from Theorem 8 of [4] that Condition (2) is equivalent to Condition (2) of Theorem 1.4. Consequently the theorem is a corollary of Theorem 1.4.

**REMARK 2.5.** The property  $N = N_+$  can be characterized in several other ways. In fact the conditions of Theorem 2.4 are also equivalent to the following:

$$(3) \quad N_+(a) \leq \|a\|, \quad a \in \mathcal{B},$$

(4)(4<sub>+</sub>) For each  $a \in \mathcal{B}$  there is an  $f \in \mathcal{B}^*$  ( $f \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$ ) with  $\|f\| \leq 1$  and  $f(a) = N_+(a)$ .

To prove this we first remark that by Lemma 2.2 one can choose an  $f \in \mathcal{B}_+^* \cap \mathcal{B}_1^*$  with  $f(a) = N(a)$ . Thus if  $N = N_+$  then  $f$  satisfies Condition (4<sub>+</sub>) and one concludes that (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4<sub>+</sub>). But (4<sub>+</sub>)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) and if  $f$  satisfies Condition (4) then

$$N_+(a) = f(a) \leq \|f\| \|a\| \leq \|a\|,$$

i.e., (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Finally  $a \leq a + b$  for  $b \geq 0$  and hence Condition (3) implies that

$$N_+(a) \leq N_+(a + b) \leq \|a + b\|.$$

Therefore  $N_+ \leq N$ . But in general  $N \leq N_+$  and hence (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

**3. Dual half-norms.** Next we consider the canonical half-norm  $N$  in the dual  $\mathcal{B}^*$  and identify it as the dual of the canonical half-norm in  $\mathcal{B}$ . There are, however, two natural definitions of the dual half-norm which coincide if, and only if, the norm is 1-monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Before demonstrating this we examine the implications of Section 2 for  $N$ .

First remark that if  $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{B}_+ - \mathcal{B}_+}$ , where the bar denotes norm closure, then the dual cone  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$  is proper, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}_+^* \cap -\mathcal{B}_+^* = \{0\}.$$

Hence the results of Section 2 can be applied to  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$  and the associated canonical half-norm  $N$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The norm is 1-monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}^*$ ,*
- (2)  *$N(f) = \|f\|$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$ .*

*Moreover the following are equivalent:*

- (1<sub>\*</sub>) *The norm is 1-monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}$ ,*
- (2<sub>\*</sub>)  *$N(f) = \inf\{\|g\|; g \geq 0, g \geq f\}$ .*

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). This follows from Theorem 2.3 applied to  $(\mathcal{B}^*, \mathcal{B}_+^*, \|\cdot\|)$ .

(1<sub>\*</sub>)  $\Rightarrow$  (2<sub>\*</sub>). Condition (2<sub>\*</sub>) is equivalent to 1-monotonicity of the norm on the bidual  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ , by Theorem 2.4, but this is equivalent to 1-monotonicity of the norm on  $\mathcal{B}$ , by Remark 1.2.

Next we consider dual, or conjugate, half-norms. In analogy with the dual norm there are two natural definitions. These are given by  $N^\circ$  and  $N^*$  where

$$\begin{aligned} N^\circ(f) &= \sup\{f(a); a \geq 0, N(a) \leq 1\} \\ N^*(f) &= \sup\{f(a); a \geq 0, \|a\| \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since  $N(a) \leq \|a\|$  one has  $N^* \leq N^\circ$ .

THEOREM 3.2. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The norm is 1-monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}$ ,*
- (2)  $N^* = N^\circ$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). It follows from Theorem 2.3 that Condition (1) is equivalent to  $N(a) = \|a\|$  for  $a \geq 0$ . Therefore Condition (1) implies that  $N^* = N^\circ$  by definition.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Given  $a \geq 0$  choose  $f$  such that  $f(a) = \|f\| \|a\|$ . Therefore

$$N^*(f) = \|f\| = f(a)/\|a\| = N^\circ(f)$$

by Condition (2). But this implies that

$$f(a)/\|a\| \geq f(b)/N(b)$$

for all  $b \geq 0$ . Setting  $b = a$  one then deduces that  $N(a) \geq \|a\|$ . But one also has  $N(a) \leq \|a\|$ . Hence  $N(a) = \|a\|$  for  $a \geq 0$  and Condition (1) follows from Theorem 2.3.

REMARK 3.3. If  $N' \in \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{B}_+)$  then  $N \geq N'$  by Theorem 2.1. Hence defining  $N'^\circ$  by

$$N'^\circ(f) = \sup\{f(a); a \geq 0, N'(a) \leq 1\}$$

one deduces that  $N^\circ \leq N'^\circ$ , i.e.,  $N^\circ$  is the minimal half-norm conjugate to a half-norm in  $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{B}_+)$ .

Next we prove that  $N^* = N$ , the canonical half-norm associated with  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$ . The proof again uses polar calculus.

We are indebted to Professor T. Ando for pointing out the following identities and their significance for the proof of Theorem 3.5.

THEOREM 3.4. *The following identities*

$$(\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_+)^{\circ} = \mathcal{B}_1^* - \mathcal{B}_+^*, \quad (\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_+)^{\circ\circ} = \mathcal{B}_1^{**} \cap \mathcal{B}_+^{**},$$



are valid, where the bipolar is now taken in the bidual  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ .

PROOF. In Section 1 we used the identity

$$(\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_+)^{\circ} = \overline{\text{co}}(\mathcal{B}_1^* \cup (-\mathcal{B}_+^*))$$

where  $\overline{\text{co}}$  denotes the weak\*-closed convex hull. Now consider  $\mathcal{B}_1^* - \mathcal{B}_+^*$ . This set is convex and weak\*-closed, because  $\mathcal{B}_1^*$  is weak\*-compact and  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$  is weak\*-closed. Furthermore

$$\text{co}(\mathcal{B}_1 \cup (-\mathcal{B}_+^*)) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1^* - \mathcal{B}_+^* \subseteq (\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_+)^{\circ}.$$

Hence we have the identity

$$(\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_+)^{\circ} = \mathcal{B}_1^* - \mathcal{B}_+^*.$$

Now it can be easily verified that

$$\mathcal{B}_1^{**} \cap \mathcal{B}_+^{**} \subseteq (\mathcal{B}_1^* - \mathcal{B}_+^*)^{\circ} = (\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_+)^{\circ\circ}.$$

The converse inclusion  $(\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_+)^{\circ\circ} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1^{**} \cap \mathcal{B}_+^{**}$  is, however, obvious.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *The dual half-norm  $N^*$  and the canonical half-norm  $N$  on the dual coincide, i.e.,*

$$N^*(f) = N(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}^*.$$

PROOF. From Theorem 2.1 one has

$$N(f) = \sup\{f(a); a \in \mathcal{B}_1^{**} \cap \mathcal{B}_+^{**}\} \geq \sup\{f(a); a \in \mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_+\} = N^*(f).$$

But equality occurs because  $\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_+$  is weakly dense in  $\mathcal{B}_1^{**} \cap \mathcal{B}_+^{**}$  by Theorem 3.4, and the bipolar theorem.

Finally we give another version of Theorem 1.3 which uses the canonical half-norm  $N$  on  $\mathcal{B}^*$ . For this purpose, we need two lemmas; one is a double Hahn-Banach theorem and the other an inequality for  $N$ .

**LEMMA 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a vector space and  $q, r$  subadditive, positively homogeneous on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, if*

$$q(x) + r(-x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{B},$$

*there is a linear functional  $g$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  such that*

$$g(x) \leq q(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) \leq r(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{B}.$$

PROOF. In the product  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ , we consider the subset

$$M = \{(x, -x); x \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

and let  $G$  be a linear functional on  $M$  which is identically zero. Then,

$$p(x, y) = q(x) + r(y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$$

defines a subadditive and positively homogeneous function  $p$  which satisfies  $G \leq p$  on  $M$ . We denote by the same  $G$  an extension of  $G$  to  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$  retaining the relation  $G \leq p$  and set

$$g_1(x) = G(x, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad g_2(x) = G(0, x).$$

Then

$$g_1(x) - g_2(x) = G(x, -x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{B}$$

and  $g = g_1 = g_2$  is the required functional.

**LEMMA 3.7.** *For any  $f \in \mathcal{B}^*$  there exists a  $\gamma > 0$  such that*

$$f(a) \leq N(f) \|a\| + \gamma N(-a) \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{B}.$$

**PROOF.** We first note that

$$N(f) = \inf\{\|f + g\|; g \in \mathcal{B}_+^*, \|g\| \leq 3\|f\|\}.$$

In fact if  $N_1(f)$  denotes the right hand side and we choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $N(f) + \varepsilon \leq \|f\|$  if  $N(f) < \|f\|$  and  $\varepsilon < \|f\|$  if  $N(f) = \|f\|$  then we can choose  $g \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$  such that

$$\|f + g\| - N(f) < \varepsilon$$

and hence

$$\|g\| \leq \|f + g\| + \|f\| \leq \|f\| + N(f) + \varepsilon \leq 3\|f\|.$$

It follows that  $N(f) \leq N_1(f) \leq N(f) + \varepsilon$  and therefore  $N(f) = N_1(f)$ .

Now to prove our inequality, we take  $g \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$  such that  $\|g\| \leq 3\|f\|$ . Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(a) &\leq \|f + g\| \|a\| + g(-a) \leq \|f + g\| \|a\| + \|g\| N(-a) \\ &\leq \|f + g\| \|a\| + 3\|f\| N(-a) \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Lemma 2.2 and the fact that  $g \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$ . Therefore, we have the inequality with  $\gamma = 3\|f\|$ .

In the following theorem, we denote by  $N$  both the canonical half-norm associated with  $\mathcal{B}_+$  and that associated with  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$ .

**THEOREM 3.8.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The norm is 1-monotonic on  $\mathcal{B}$ ,*
- (2)  *$\|a\| \leq N(a) + 2N(-a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ ,*
- (3) *Each  $f \in \mathcal{B}^*$  has a decomposition  $f = f_+ - f_-$  with  $f_{\pm} \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$  such that  $\|f_+\| = N(f)$ .*

**PROOF.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). By the definition of canonical half-norms, there exist  $b_n \geq 0$  and  $c_n \geq 0$  such that

$$\|a + b_n\| < N(a) + 1/n \quad \text{and} \quad \|-a + c_n\| < N(-a) + 1/n.$$

Therefore,

$$\|b_n + c_n\| < N(a) + N(-a) + 2/n$$

and

$$\|a\| \leq \|a - c_n\| + \|c_n\| \leq \|a - c_n\| + \|b_n + c_n\| \leq N(a) + 2N(-a) + 3/n.$$

Hence we obtain the required inequality.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). It follows from Lemma 3.7 that

$$f(a) \leq N(f)(N(a) + 2N(-a)) + \gamma N(-a) \leq N(f)N(a) + \gamma' N(-a),$$

where  $\gamma' = 2N(f) + \gamma$ . We now apply Lemma 3.6 with

$$q(a) = N(f)N(a) \quad \text{and} \quad r(a) = f(a) + \gamma' N(a).$$

Then we obtain a linear functional  $g$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  such that

$$g(a) \leq N(f)N(a) \quad \text{and} \quad g(a) - f(a) \leq \gamma' N(a)$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ . The first relation implies that  $\|g\| \leq N(f)$  and  $g \geq 0$ , and the second relation shows that  $g \geq f$ . Then, since

$$N(f) \leq N(g) \leq \|g\| \leq N(f),$$

we have  $\|g\| = N(f)$  and  $f_+ = g$  and  $f_- = g - f$  satisfy the required property.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Condition (3) implies Condition (2) in Theorem 3.1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. ARENDT, P. R. CHERNOFF AND T. KATO, A generalization of dissipativity and positive semigroups, *J. Operator Theory*, 8 (1982), 167-180.
- [2] O. BRATTELI, T. DIGERNES AND D. W. ROBINSON, Positive semigroups on ordered Banach spaces, *J. Operator Theory*, 9 (1983), 371-400.
- [3] D. W. ROBINSON, Continuous semigroups on ordered Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* (to appear).
- [4] D. W. ROBINSON AND S. YAMAMURO, The Jordan decomposition and half-norms, *Pacific J. Math.* (to appear).
- [5] D. W. ROBINSON AND S. YAMAMURO, Addition of an identity to an ordered Banach space, *J. Austral. M. S.* (to appear).
- [6] D. W. ROBINSON AND S. YAMAMURO, Hereditary cones, order ideals and half-norms, *Pacific J. Math.* (to appear).
- [7] M. KREIN, Propriétés fondamentales des ensembles coniques normaux dans l'espace de Banach, *C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N. S.)*, 28 (1940) 13-17.
- [8] J. GROBERG AND M. KREIN, Sur la décomposition des fonctionnelles en composantes positives, *C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N. S.)*, 25 (1939) 723-726.
- [9] T. ANDO, On fundamental properties of a Banach space with a cone, *Pacific J. Math.*, 12 (1962) 1163-1169.

- [10] A. J. ELLIS, The duality of partially ordered normed linear spaces, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 39 (1904) 730-744.
- [11] Y.-C. WONG AND K.-F. NG, Partially ordered topological vector spaces, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1973).
- [12] L. ASIMOV AND A. J. ELLIS, Convexity theory and its applications in functional analysis, Academic Press, London-New York-San Francisco (1980).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED STUDIES  
AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
POST OFFICE BOX 4  
CANBERRA, ACT 2601  
AUSTRALIA