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The purpose of this paper is to give an explicit expression for the Fourier transform of the zeta distributions on a certain class of prehomogeneous spaces defined by Jordan algebras.

Let $V$ be a formally real simple Jordan algebra over $\boldsymbol{R}$. Let $\operatorname{dim} V=n$ and $\mathrm{rk} V=r$ (for definition, see 1.1). We fix a (positive definite) inner product on $V$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x, y\rangle=\frac{r}{n} \operatorname{tr}\left(T_{x y}\right) \quad(x, y \in V), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{x}$ denotes the linear transformation of $V$ defined by $T_{x}(y)=x y$. The "structure group" of $V, G=\operatorname{Str}(V)$ (see 1.2), is then self-adjoint with respect to $\rangle$, and hence is a reductive algebraic group. It is well-known that the pair ( $G, V$ ) is a (real) prehomogeneous vector space in the sense of Sato-Shintani [6], i.e. if one denotes by $G_{c}$ and $V_{c}$ the complexifications of $G$ and $V$, respectively, $G_{c}$ is transitive on the Zariski-open set

$$
V_{c}^{\times}=\left\{x \in V_{c} \mid N(x) \neq 0\right\}
$$

(see [5c]). Here $N$ denotes the "reduced norm" of $V$, which is an absolutely irreducible homogeneous polynomial function on $V$ of degree $r$, characterized by the property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(1)=1, \quad N(g x)=\operatorname{det}(g)^{r / n} N(x) \quad\left(g \in G^{\circ}, x \in V\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G^{\circ}$ is the identity connected component of $G$.
The set of real invertible elements $V^{\times}=V \cap V_{c}^{\times}$is decomposed into the disjoint union of $r+1$ (open) $G^{\circ}$-orbits:

$$
V^{\times}=\coprod_{i=0}^{r} \Omega_{i}
$$

where $\Omega_{i}$ is the set of elements of signature $(r-i, i)$ ([5c]). In particular,
$\Omega_{0}$, the $G^{\circ}$-orbit of the unit element 1 , is a self-dual homogeneous cone. The gamma function associated to $\Omega_{0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\Omega_{0}}(s) & =\int_{\Omega_{0}} e^{-\langle u, 1\rangle} N(u)^{s-n / r} d(u)  \tag{3}\\
& =(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-r)} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \Gamma\left(s-\frac{d}{2}(i-1)\right) \quad\left(\operatorname{Re} s>\frac{d}{2}(r-1)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $d(u)$ is the Euclidean volume element with respect to 〈 > and $d$ is a positive integer given by $d=2(n-r) / r(r-1)$.

Now, for $f \in \mathscr{S}(V)$ (the Schwartz space of $V$ ), we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}(f, s)=\int_{\Omega_{i}} f(u)|N(u)|^{s} d(u) \quad(0 \leqq i \leqq r) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it is known ([6]) that this integral is convergent for $\operatorname{Re} s>0$, the analytic function $\Phi_{i}(f, s)$ has a meromorphic continuation with respect to $s$ to the whole plane $C$, and the map $f \mapsto \Phi_{i}(f, s)$ is a tempered distribution on $V$, called a "zeta distribution". Moreover, denoting by $\hat{f}$ the Fourier transform of $f$, one has a functional equation of the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}\left(\hat{f}, s-\frac{n}{r}\right)=(2 \pi)^{-r s} e\left(\frac{r s}{4}\right) \Gamma_{\Omega_{0}}(s) \sum_{j=0}^{r} u_{i j}(s) \Phi_{j}(f,-s), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{i j}(s)$ is a polynomial in $e(-s / 2)$ of degree at most $r$.
For the cases $V=\operatorname{Her}_{r}(\boldsymbol{C})$ and $\operatorname{Sym}_{r}(\boldsymbol{R})$, explicit expressions for $u_{i j}(s)$ were obtained by Sato-Shintani [6] and Shintani [7]. For the case $r=2$, the functional equations of the corresponding zeta functions were obtained by Siegel [8] (cf. also [2]). Other cases were treated by Muro [4] by using the micro-local analysis (cf. [10]). Here we will give a direct and unified way of computing the Fourier transform based on the theory of Jordan algebras, generalizing the method of [6], [7].

Remark. In the notation of [6], our $u_{i j}(s)$ and $\Gamma_{\Omega_{0}}(s)$ are equal to $(2 \pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-r)} u_{i j}(s)$ and $(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-r) \gamma}\left(s-\frac{n}{r}\right)$, respectively. In our case, using the relation $N(\operatorname{grad}) N(u)^{s}=b(s) N(u)^{s-1}\left(u \in \Omega_{0}\right)$ and (3), it is easy to see that the " $b$-function" is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(s)=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(s+\frac{d}{2}(i-1)\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notation. $\boldsymbol{R}_{+}$is the semi-group of positive real numbers. For $\boldsymbol{z} \in \boldsymbol{C}$, we set $e(z)=\exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} z)$. For a linear transformation $T$ of a (real) vector space $V$ and $\alpha \in R$, we set $V(T, \alpha)=\{v \in V \mid T v=\alpha v\}$. The real
linear subspace of $V$ generated by a subset $\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{m}\right\}$ in $V$ is denoted by $\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{m}\right\}_{R}$. When $V$ is endowed with an inner product $\rangle$, we write $S[v]=\langle v, S v\rangle(v \in V)$ for any symmetric linear transformation $S$. For a topological group $G, G^{\circ}$ stands for the identity connected component of $G$.

1. Preliminaries on Jordan algebras (cf. [1], [5a], [5c]).
1.1. Let $V$ be a formally real simple Jordan algebra of dimension $n$. We choose and fix a set of primitive idempotents $\left\{e_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq r)\right\}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r} e_{i}=1, \quad e_{i} e_{j}=\delta_{i j} e_{i} ;
$$

the cardinality $r$ is uniquely determined and is called the "rank" of $V$. The linear transformation $T_{e_{i}}$ has eigen values $0,1 / 2,1$, and one has $V\left(T_{e_{i}}, 1\right)=\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{R}$. We put

$$
V_{i j}= \begin{cases}V\left(T_{e_{i}}, 1\right) & \text { if } i=j \\ V\left(T_{e_{i}}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cap V\left(T_{e_{j}}, \frac{1}{2}\right) & \text { if } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

Then, $\operatorname{dim} V_{i j}(i \neq j)$ are all equal, and one has the Peirce decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\bigoplus_{i \leq j} V_{i j} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, putting $d=\operatorname{dim} V_{i j}(i \neq j)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=r+\frac{d}{2} r(r-1) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}$.
1.2. Following Koecher, we use the notation

$$
x \square y=T_{x y}+\left[T_{x}, T_{y}\right] \quad \text { for } \quad x, y \in V .
$$

By definition, the structure group $G=\operatorname{Str}(V)$ is an algebraic group given by

$$
G=\left\{g \in G L(V) \mid g(x \square y) g^{-1}=(g x) \square\left({ }^{t} g^{-1} y\right)(x, y \in V)\right\}
$$

Then $G$ is reductive, and it is known that

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{Lie} G=\{x \square y(x, y \in V)\}_{R}
$$

(see e.g. [5a]). Let $K=\operatorname{Aut}(V)$ be the automorphism group of the Jordan algebra $V$. Then one has $K^{\circ}=\left\{\left.g \in G^{\circ}\right|^{t} g^{-1}=g\right\}$ and $K^{\circ}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G^{\circ}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{f}+\mathfrak{p}$ be the corresponding Cartan
decomposition. Then one has $\mathfrak{p}=\left\{T_{x}(x \in V)\right\}$, and

$$
\mathfrak{a}=\left\{T_{e_{i}}(1 \leqq i \leqq r)\right\}_{R}
$$

is a maximal (abelian) subalgebra in $\mathfrak{p}$. Thus $r$ coincides with the (real) rank of $g$.

We put $\underline{V}=\sum_{i<j} V_{i j}$ and, for $x=\sum_{i<j} x_{i j}\left(x_{i j} \in V_{i j}\right)$, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{x}^{(+)}=\sum_{i<j} e_{i} \square x_{i j}, \quad T_{x}^{(-)}=\sum_{i<j} e_{j} \square x_{i j}\left(={ }^{t} T_{x}^{(+)}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}=\left\{T_{x}^{(+)}(x \in \underline{V})\right\}, \quad \mathfrak{n}=\left\{T_{x}^{(-)}(x \in \underline{V})\right\}
$$

are (mutually opposite) maximal nilpotent subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ normalized by $a$. Let $A, N, N^{\prime}$ denote the analytic subgroups of $G$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$, respectively. Then one has Iwasawa decompositions $G^{\circ}=$ $K^{\circ} \cdot A N=K^{\circ} \cdot A N^{\prime}$.
1.3. Let $\mathscr{E}$ denote the set of all $r$-tuples consisting of $\pm 1$. For $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right) \in \mathscr{E}$, we denote the cardinality of $\left\{j \mid \varepsilon_{j}=-1\right\}$ by $n(\varepsilon)$ and set

$$
\mathscr{E}_{i}=\{\varepsilon \in \mathscr{E} \mid n(\varepsilon)=i\}
$$

By definition, $\Omega_{i}$ is the $G^{\circ}$-orbit of $-\sum_{j=1}^{i} e_{j}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{r} e_{j}$. For $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right) \in \mathscr{E}$, we denote by $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ (resp. $\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ ) the $A N$-orbit (resp. $A N^{\prime}$-orbit) of $\sum_{j=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{j} e_{j}$. Clearly, one has $\Omega_{\varepsilon}, \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \subset \Omega_{i}$ if $\varepsilon \in \mathscr{E}_{i}$.

Lemma 1. (i) The $\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\varepsilon \in \mathscr{E})$ are mutually disjoint and $\amalg_{\varepsilon \in \mathscr{E}_{i}} \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ is a Zariski-open subset in $\Omega_{i}$.
(ii) For each $\varepsilon \in \mathscr{E}$, the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{r} \times \underline{V} & \rightarrow \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \\
\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{r}\right) \times x & \mapsto\left(\exp T_{x}^{(+)}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} t_{j} \varepsilon_{j} e_{j}\right)=v
\end{aligned}
$$

is a (bijective) homeomorphism.
For a proof, see [5c]. We have also an analogous lemma for $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$. The correspondence in Lemma 1, (ii) is given explicitly as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\varepsilon_{i} t_{i}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k>i} \varepsilon_{k} t_{k} \xi_{i k}(x)^{2}\right) e_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i<j}\left(\varepsilon_{j} t_{j} \xi_{i j}(x)+\sum_{k>j} \varepsilon_{k} t_{k} \xi_{i k}(x) \xi_{j k}(x)\right), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$ where, for $x=\sum_{i<j} x_{i j} \in \underline{V}$, we set

$$
\xi_{i j}(x)=\sum_{\nu=1}^{j-i} \frac{1}{\nu!} \sum_{i<k_{1}<\cdots<k_{\nu-1}<j} x_{i k_{1}} x_{k_{1} k_{2}} \cdots x_{k_{\nu-1} j}
$$

It follows that for the corresponding (Euclidean) volume elements one has

$$
d(v)=2^{r-n}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} t_{j}^{(j-1) d} d t_{j}\right) \cdot d(x)
$$

Since $N(v)=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(t_{j} \varepsilon_{j}\right)$, the $G$-invariant volume element on $V^{\times}$is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|N(v)|^{-n / r} d(v)=2^{r-n}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} t_{j}^{(j-1) d-n / r} d t_{j}\right) \cdot d(x) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.4. We set

$$
V^{(k)}=\sum_{i, j \leqq k} V_{i j}, \quad V_{k}=\sum_{i<k} V_{i k} .
$$

Then $V^{(k)}=V\left(T_{1^{(k)}}, 1\right)$ is a (simple) Jordan subalgebra with the unit element $1^{(k)}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} e_{j}$. We denote the reduced norm of $V^{(k)}$ by $N^{(k)}$. Note that the restriction of the "standard" inner product (1) to $V^{(k)}$ is that of $V^{(k)}$. It is known that $V^{(k)} V_{k+1} \subset V_{k+1}$ and the map

$$
\rho_{k}(v): V^{(k)} \ni v \mapsto 2 T_{v} \mid V_{k+1} \in \operatorname{End}\left(V_{k+1}\right)
$$

is a (unital) Jordan algebra representation of $V^{(k)}$. For $v \in V^{(k)}, x \in V_{k+1}$, we put

$$
v[x]=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{k}(v)[x]=\langle x, v x\rangle
$$

Lemma 2. (i) For $v \in V^{(k)}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\rho_{k}(v)\right)=N^{(k)}(v)^{d} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For $v \in V, v=\sum_{i \leq j} v_{i j}$, we write

$$
v_{i i}=\xi_{i} e_{i}, \quad v^{(k)}=\sum_{i, j \leq k} v_{i j}, \quad v_{k}=\sum_{i<k} v_{i k}
$$

If $v^{(k-1)}$ is invertible (i.e. if $N^{(k-1)}\left(v^{(k-1)}\right) \neq 0$ ), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{(k)}\left(v^{(k)}\right)=N^{(k-1)}\left(v^{(k-1)}\right)\left(\xi_{k}-v^{(k-1)-1}\left[v_{k}\right]\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(For $k=1$, we make a convention that $v^{(0)}=1, v_{1}=0$.)
Proof. To prove (12), we may assume $k=r-1$. Then, for any $v \in V^{(r-1)}$, there exists $g \in K$ such that

$$
g e_{r}=e_{r}, \quad g v=\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} t_{i} e_{i} \quad \text { with } \quad t_{i} \in \boldsymbol{R}
$$

([5c]). Then $g$ leaves $V_{r}$ invariant, and one has

$$
\rho_{r-1}(v)=\left(g \mid V_{r}\right)^{-1} \rho_{r-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} t_{i} e_{i}\right)\left(g \mid V_{r}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\rho_{r-1}(v)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\rho_{r-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} t_{i} e_{i}\right)\right)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} t_{i}\right)^{d}=N^{(r-1)}(v)^{d}
$$

which proves our assertion.
To prove (13), we may again assume $k=r-1$. Then, in our notation, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
v & =v^{(r-1)}+v_{r}+\xi_{r} e_{r} \\
& =\exp \left(e_{r} \square x\right)\left(v^{(r-1)}+\xi_{r}^{\prime} e_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=2 \rho_{r-1}\left(v^{(r-1)}\right)^{-1} v_{r} \\
\xi_{r}^{\prime}=\xi_{r}-v^{(r-1)-1}\left[v_{r}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

(see [5c]). It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
N(v) & =N\left(v^{(r-1)}+\xi_{r}^{\prime} e_{r}\right) \\
& =N^{(r-1)}\left(v^{(r-1)}\right) \xi_{r}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

We denote the projection map $v \mapsto v^{(k)}$ by $P_{k}$ and, when $P_{k-1}(v)=v^{(k-1)}$ is invertible, set

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{k}(v) & =N^{(k)}\left(P_{k}(v)\right) / N^{(k-1)}\left(P_{k-1}(v)\right)  \tag{14}\\
& =\xi_{k}-v^{(k-1)-1}\left[v_{k}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

(We set $\chi_{1}(v)=\xi_{1}$ for any $v$.) Then, when all $P_{k}(v)=v^{(k)}(1 \leqq k \leqq r)$ are invertible, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(v)=\prod_{k=1}^{r} \chi_{k}(v) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the projection map onto $V\left(T_{1^{(k)}}, 0\right)$ is denoted by $P_{k}^{\prime}$, i.e. $P_{k}^{\prime}(v)=\sum_{i, j \leq k+1} v_{i j}$. We denote the reduced norm of $P_{k}^{\prime}(V)=V\left(T_{1}(k), 0\right)$ by $N^{(k) \prime}$ and, when $P_{k}^{\prime}(v)$ is invertible, set

$$
\chi_{k}^{\prime}(v)=N^{(k-1) \prime}\left(P_{k-1}^{\prime}(v)\right) / N^{(k) \prime}\left(P_{k}^{\prime}(v)\right)
$$

Then, in the notation of Lemma 1, (ii), it is clear that, for $v \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$, one has

$$
P_{k}^{\prime}(v)=\exp \left(T_{P_{k}(x)}^{(+)}\right)\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{r} t_{i} \varepsilon_{i} e_{i}\right),
$$

whence follows that

$$
N^{(k)}{ }^{( }\left(P_{k}^{\prime}(v)\right)=\prod_{i=k+1}^{r}\left(t_{i} \varepsilon_{i}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \chi_{k}^{\prime}(v)=t_{k} \varepsilon_{k}
$$

Thus one has $v \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$, if and only if all $P_{k}^{\prime}(v)(1 \leqq k \leqq r)$ are invertible and the sign of $\chi_{k}^{\prime}(v)$ is $\varepsilon_{k}([5 \mathrm{c}])$. One has also an analogous result for $\Omega_{c}, P_{k}$, and $\chi_{k}$.
1.5. For $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{k}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{r}$, one defines the gamma function of $r$ variables associated to $\Omega_{0}$ by

$$
\Gamma_{\Omega_{0}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=\int_{\Omega_{0}} e^{-\langle u, 1\rangle}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r} \chi_{k}^{\prime}(u)^{\sigma_{k}}\right) N(u)^{-n / r} d(u)
$$

Then, by changing variables as in Lemma 1, (ii), it can be shown that this integral is convergent for $\operatorname{Re} \sigma_{k}>\frac{d}{2}(r-k)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\Omega_{0}}(\sigma)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-r)} \prod_{k=1}^{r} \Gamma\left(\sigma_{k}-\frac{d}{2}(r-k)\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. [3], [5b]). If we identify $s \in C$ with the $r$-tuple $(s, s, \cdots, s)$, then (3) becomes a special case of (16).

## 2. Computation of the Fourier transforms.

2.1. In the following computation, $f$ is a function in $\mathscr{S}(V)$ whose support is compact and contained in the union of the sets $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon \in \mathscr{E})$. For $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{k}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{r}$ and $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{k}\right) \in \mathscr{E}$, we put

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{\varepsilon}(f, \sigma)=\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} f(v) \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left|\chi_{k}(v)\right|^{\sigma_{k}} d(v)  \tag{17}\\
& \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\hat{f}, \sigma)=\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}} \hat{f}(v) \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left|\chi_{k}^{\prime}(v)\right|^{\sigma_{k}} d(v) \quad\left(\operatorname{Re} \sigma_{k}>\frac{d}{2}(r-k)-\frac{n}{r}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, if one identifies $s \in C$ with the $r$-tuple $(s, \cdots, s)$, one has by Lemma 1, (i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}(f, s)=\sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathscr{\mathscr { F }}_{i}} \Psi_{\varepsilon}(f, s), \quad \Phi_{i}(\hat{f}, s)=\sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathscr{\mathscr { Y }}_{i}} \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\hat{f}, s) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by computing the integral $\Psi_{c}^{\prime}\left(\hat{f}, s-\frac{n}{r}\right)$ and using Theorem 1 in [6], we will obtain the functional equation of the zeta distributions.
2.2. By Lemma 1 and (11), writing $v \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ in the form $v=$ $\left(\exp T_{x}^{(+)}\right)\left(\sum t_{k} \varepsilon_{k} e_{k}\right)$, one has for $\operatorname{Re} \sigma_{k}>\frac{d}{2}(r-k)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{c}^{\prime}\left(\hat{f}, \sigma-\frac{n}{r}\right)= & \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}} \hat{f}(v) \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left|\chi_{k}^{\prime}(v)\right|^{\sigma_{k}}|N(v)|^{-n / r} d(v) \\
= & 2^{r-n} \int\left[\int f(u) e\left(\left\langle u,\left(\exp T_{x}^{(+)}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{r} t_{k} \varepsilon_{k} e_{k}\right)\right\rangle\right) d(u)\right] \\
& \times \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left(t_{k}^{\sigma k+(k-1) d-n / r} d t_{k}\right) d(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the integral is taken over $t_{k} \in \boldsymbol{R}_{+}(1 \leqq k \leqq r), x \in \underline{V}$ and $u \in V$. We write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \xi_{k} e_{k}+\sum_{k<l} u_{k l}, \quad \xi_{k} \in \boldsymbol{R}, \quad u_{k l} \in V_{k l} \\
& \xi_{k l}(x)=x_{k l}^{\prime}, \quad x^{\prime}=\sum_{k<l} x_{k l}^{\prime} \\
& u_{k}=\sum_{i<k} u_{i k}, \quad x_{k}^{\prime}=\sum_{i<k} x_{i k}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by (10), one has $d(x)=d\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\prod_{k=1}^{r} d\left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right)$. Also, one has

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{k} t_{k}\left(\xi_{k}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle u_{k}, x_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{4} P_{k-1}(u)\left[x_{k}^{\prime}\right]\right) .
$$

Following the method in [6], we define $Q_{k}=Q_{k}\left(u, \delta, \varepsilon_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(V_{k}\right)$ with $\delta>0$ by

$$
Q_{k}=\delta 1-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \varepsilon_{k} \rho_{k-1}\left(P_{k-1}(u)\right) \quad(2 \leqq k \leqq r)
$$

Then one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle u, v\rangle & =\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0}\left\{\delta \sum_{k=1}^{r} t_{k}\left(1+\left\langle x_{k}^{\prime}, x_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)-2 \sqrt{-1}\langle u, v\rangle\right\} \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^{r} t_{k}\left(\delta-2 \sqrt{-1} \varepsilon_{k} \hat{\xi}_{k}+Q_{k}\left[x_{k}^{\prime}\right]-\sqrt{-1} \varepsilon_{k}\left\langle u_{k}, x_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we make a convention that $Q_{1}=1, u_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime}=0$. Therefore, one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\hat{f}, \sigma-\frac{n}{r}\right)= & 2^{r-n} \lim _{\partial \rightarrow 0} \int f(u) e\left(\frac { \sqrt { - 1 } } { 2 } \sum _ { k = 1 } ^ { r } t _ { k } \left(\delta-2 \sqrt{-1} \varepsilon_{k} \xi_{k}+Q_{k}\left[x_{k}^{\prime}\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\sqrt{-1} \varepsilon_{k}\left\langle u_{k}, x_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)\right) \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left(t_{k}^{\sigma_{k}+(k-1) d-n / r} d t_{k}\right) d(x) d(u) \\
= & 2^{r-n} \int_{V} f(u)\left(\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \prod_{k=1}^{r} \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{k}\left(t_{k}, u, \delta\right) d t_{k}\right) d(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

where one puts

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{k}\left(t_{k}, u, \delta\right)= & e\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} t_{k}\left(\delta-2 \sqrt{-1} \varepsilon_{k} \xi_{k}+\frac{1}{4} Q_{k}^{-1}\left[u_{k}\right]\right)\right) \\
& \times t_{k}^{\sigma}{ }^{\sigma+(k-1) d-n / r} \int_{V_{k}} e\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} t_{k} Q_{k}\left[x_{k}^{\prime}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \varepsilon_{k} Q_{k}^{-1} u_{k}\right]\right) d\left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the last integral over $V_{k}$ is equal to $\operatorname{det}\left(t_{k} Q_{k}\right)^{-1 / 2}$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} F_{k}\left(t_{k}, u, \delta\right) d t_{k}= & \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{k}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Gamma\left(\sigma_{k}-\frac{d}{2}(r-k)\right) \\
& \times\left(\pi\left(\delta-2 \sqrt{-1} \varepsilon_{k} \xi_{k}+\frac{1}{4} Q_{k}^{-1}\left[u_{k}\right]\right)\right)^{-\sigma_{k}+\frac{d}{2}(r-k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now assume that $u \in \Omega_{\eta}, \eta=\left(\eta_{k}\right)$. Then, when $\delta$ tends to zero, one has by Lemma 2 and (14), (15).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{k}\right)^{-1 / 2}= & N^{(k)}\left(\delta 1^{(k)}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \varepsilon_{k} P_{k-1}(u)\right)^{-d / 2} \\
= & \prod_{l=1}^{k-1}\left(\delta-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \varepsilon_{k} \eta_{l}\left|\chi_{l}(u)\right|\right)^{-d / 2} \\
& \rightarrow 4^{\frac{d}{2}(k-1)}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} e\left(\frac{d}{8} \varepsilon_{k} \eta_{l}\right)\right)\left|N^{(k-1)}\left(P_{k-1}(u)\right)\right|^{-d / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\delta-2 & \left.\sqrt{-1} \varepsilon_{k} \xi_{k}+\frac{1}{4} Q_{k}^{-1}\left[u_{k}\right]\right)^{-\sigma_{k}+\frac{d}{2}(r-k)} \\
& \rightarrow 2^{-\sigma_{k}+\frac{d}{2}(r-k)} e\left(\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{k} \eta_{k}\left(\sigma_{k}-\frac{d}{2}(r-k)\right)\left|\chi_{k}(u)\right|\right)^{-\sigma_{k}+\frac{d}{2}(r-k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (15) one has

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{r}\left(\left|\chi_{k}(u)\right|^{r-k}\left|N^{(k-1)}\left(P_{k-1}(u)\right)\right|^{-1}\right)=1
$$

Hence one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \prod_{k=1}^{r} \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{k}\left(t_{k}, u, \delta\right) d t_{k} \\
&=(8 \pi)^{\frac{d}{4} r(r-1)} u_{\varepsilon \eta}(\sigma) \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left((2 \pi)^{-\sigma_{k}} e\left(\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\sigma_{k}-\frac{d}{2}(r-k)\right)\left|\chi_{k}(u)\right|^{-\sigma_{k}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where one puts

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon \eta}(\sigma)=e\left(\frac{d}{8}\left(\sum_{\ll k} \varepsilon_{k} \eta_{l}-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{k} \eta_{k}(r-k)\right)+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{r}\left(\varepsilon_{k} \eta_{k}-1\right) \sigma_{k}\right) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus one has by (16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\hat{f}, \sigma-\frac{n}{r}\right)=(2 \pi)^{-\Sigma \sigma_{k}} e\left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_{k}\right) \Gamma_{\Omega_{0}}(\sigma) \sum_{\eta \in \mathscr{\mathscr { Y }}} u_{\varepsilon \eta}(\sigma) \Psi_{\eta}(f,-\sigma) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.3. When $\sigma=s=(s, \cdots, s)$, it is clear that $\sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathscr{E}_{i}} u_{\varepsilon \eta}(s)$ depends only on the sign of $\eta$. Hence we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i j}(s)=\sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathscr{E}_{i}} u_{\varepsilon \eta}(s) \text { for } \eta \in \mathscr{E}_{j} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then one has

$$
\Phi_{i}\left(\hat{f}, s-\frac{n}{r}\right)=\sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathscr{\mathscr { E }}_{i}} \Psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\hat{f}, s-\frac{n}{r}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(2 \pi)^{-r s} e\left(\frac{r}{4} s\right) \Gamma_{\Omega_{0}}(s) \sum_{j=0}^{r}\left(u_{i j}(s) \sum_{\eta \in \mathscr{\mathscr { C }}_{j}} \Psi_{\eta}(f,-s)\right) \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-r s} e\left(\frac{r}{4} s\right) \Gamma_{\Omega_{0}}(s) \sum_{j=0}^{r} u_{i j}(s) \Phi_{j}(f,-s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have shown that, if one defines $u_{i j}(s)$ by (20) and (22), the formula (5) holds for any function $f$ in $\mathscr{S}(V)$ whose support is compact and contained in $\cup \Omega_{\varepsilon}$. Hence, by Theorem 1 in [6], the same formula holds for all $f$ in $\mathscr{S}(V)$.

Taking $\eta=(-1, \cdots,-1,1, \cdots, 1)(-1$ repeated $j$ times $)$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i j}(s)= & \sum_{\varepsilon \in צ_{i}} e\left(\frac{d}{8}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{j} \varepsilon_{k}(r-2 k+1)-\sum_{k=j+1}^{r} \varepsilon_{k}(r-2 k+2 j+1)\right)\right.  \tag{23}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4}\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{j} \varepsilon_{k}+\sum_{k=j+1}^{r} \varepsilon_{k}-r\right) s\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have proved the following
Theorem 1. Let $V$ be a formally real simple Jordan algebra. Then the tempered distribution $f \mapsto \Phi_{i}(f, s)$ defined by (4) satisfies a system of functional equations

$$
\Phi_{i}\left(\widehat{f}, s-\frac{n}{r}\right)=(2 \pi)^{-r s} e\left(\frac{r}{4} s\right) \Gamma_{\Omega_{0}}(s) \sum_{j=0}^{r} u_{i j}(s) \Phi_{j}(f,-s) \quad(0 \leqq i \leqq r),
$$

where $\Gamma_{\Omega_{0}}$ and $u_{i j}$ are given by (3) and (23).
Remark. It can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{V} \in x \mapsto \exp \left(e_{r} \square x_{r}\right) \cdots \exp \left(e_{2} \square x_{2}\right) \in N \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a bijection of $\underline{V}$ onto $N$ (cf. [3], [9]). One can give an alternate proof of Theorem 1 by using this parametrization instead of Lemma 1, (ii) and by proceeding by induction on $r$.
3. Properties of the matrix $U^{(r)}(x)$. In what follows, we put $x=$ $e(-s / 2)$ and write $u_{i j}(x)$ for $u_{i j}(s)$. Then $u_{i j}(x)$ is a polynomial in $x$ of degree at most $r$. We consider the matrix $U(x)=U^{(r)}(x)=\left(u_{i j}(x)\right)$.

From (23) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{i j}(x)= \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathscr{E}_{i}} e\left(\frac{d}{4}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1+\varepsilon_{k}}{2}(r-2 k+1)-\sum_{k=j+1}^{r} \frac{1+\varepsilon_{k}}{2}(r-2 k+2 j+1)\right)\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{s}{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1+\varepsilon_{k}}{2}+\sum_{k=j+1}^{r} \frac{1-\varepsilon_{k}}{2}\right)\right) \\
&=\sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathscr{Y}_{i}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{j}\left((-1)^{d k} \sqrt{-1} \overline{1}^{d(r+1)} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\varepsilon_{k}\right)} \prod_{k=j+1}^{r}\left((-1)^{d(k-j)} \sqrt{-1}-d(r+1)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\varepsilon_{k}\right)} x^{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{k}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, putting $\zeta=\sqrt{-1}{ }^{d(r+1)}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{r} y^{i} u_{i j}(x)=\prod_{k=1}^{j}\left((-1)^{d k} \zeta x+y\right) \prod_{k=j+1}^{r}\left((-1)^{d(k-j)} \zeta^{-1}+x y\right), \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can also be written as

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{r} y^{i} u_{i j}(x)=\zeta^{-(r-j)} P_{j}(\zeta x, y) P_{r-j}(1, \zeta x y)
$$

where

$$
P_{j}(x, y)=\prod_{k=1}^{j}\left((-1)^{d k} x+y\right)= \begin{cases}(x+y)^{j} & \text { for } d \text { even } \\ (x+y)^{\left[\frac{j}{2}\right]}(y-x)^{j-\left[\frac{j}{2}\right]} & \text { for } d \text { odd }\end{cases}
$$

First, we consider the case where $d$ is even. We distinguish two cases:

Case $(\mathrm{a}): \quad d \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ or $d \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $r$ odd,
Case $\left(a^{\prime}\right): d \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $r$ even.
Then one has

$$
\zeta=\left\{\begin{aligned}
1 & \text { in Case }(a), \\
-1 & \text { in Case }\left(a^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

THEOREM 2. Let $\rho_{r}$ denote the symmetric tensor representation of $G L_{2}$ of degree $r+1$. Then, when $d$ is even, one has

$$
U^{(r)}(x)= \begin{cases}\rho_{r}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) & \text { in Case }(\mathrm{a})  \tag{26}\\
\rho_{r}\left(\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & x \\
-x & -1
\end{array}\right)\right) & \text { in Case }\left(\mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. In Case (a), (25) can be written as

$$
\left(1, y, \cdots, y^{r}\right) U^{(r)}(x)=\left((1+x y)^{r},(x+y)(1+x y)^{r-1}, \cdots,(x+y)^{r}\right)
$$

For $r=1$, one has $U^{(1)}(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x \\ x & 1\end{array}\right)$. Hence one obtains (26). The proof for Case ( $a^{\prime}$ ) is similar. (Note that in this case $r$ is even.)
q.e.d.

Corollary 1. When $d$ is even, the matrix $U(x)$ is diagonalizable.
In fact, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+x & 0 \\
0 & 1-x
\end{array}\right), \\
& \left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & x \\
-x & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1-x \\
1+x & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, putting $A^{(r)}=\rho_{r}\left(\left(\begin{array}{rr}1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1\end{array}\right)\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{(r)} U^{(r)}(x) A^{(r)^{-1}}  \tag{27}\\
& =\rho_{r}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+x & 0 \\
0 & 1-x
\end{array}\right)\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \rho_{r}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1-x \\
1+x & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This proves our assertion. In Case ( $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ ), the eigen values of $U^{(r)}(x)$ are $\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{r / 2}$ repeated $r / 2+1$ times and $-\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{r / 2}$ repeated $r / 2$ times.

We note that the matrix $A^{(r)}=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is determined by the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+y+z-y z)^{r}=\sum_{i, j=0}^{r}\binom{r}{j} a_{i j} y^{i} z^{j} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2. When $d$ is even, $U^{(r)}(x)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{(r)}(x) U^{(r)}\left(-\frac{\zeta}{x}\right)=\left(x-\frac{1}{x}\right)^{r} J^{(r)} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
J^{(r)}=\rho_{r}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & & . \\
& . & \\
1 & & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This follows from the relation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \\
\zeta x & \zeta
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\zeta x^{-1} \\
-x^{-1} & \zeta
\end{array}\right)=\zeta\left(x-x^{-1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

((29) follows also from (5).)
The formulas (5) and (27) imply that, if one puts

$$
\Phi_{i}^{\prime}(f, s)=\sum_{j=0}^{r} a_{i j} \Phi_{j}(f, s) \quad(0 \leqq i \leqq r)
$$

then one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{i}^{\prime}(\hat{f}, s & \left.-\frac{n}{r}\right)  \tag{30}\\
= & (2 \pi)^{-r s} \Gamma_{\Omega_{0}}(s)\left(e\left(\frac{r}{2} s\right)+e\left(-\frac{r}{2} s\right)\right)^{r-i}\left(\left(\frac{r}{2} s\right)-e\left(-\frac{r}{2} s\right)\right)^{i} \\
& \times \begin{cases}\Phi_{i}^{\prime}(f,-s) & \text { in Case (a) } \\
\Phi_{r-i}^{\prime}(f,-s) & \text { in Case (a) } \left.\mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right) .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we consider the case where $d$ is odd. According to the classification theory, we have the following two possibilities:

Case (b): $\quad r=2$ and $d$ odd ( $n=2+d$ ),
Case (c): $\quad r$ arbitrary and $d=1\left(n=\frac{1}{2} r(r+1)\right)$.
In Case (b), one has by (25)

$$
\sum y^{i} u_{i j}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(-\zeta^{-1}+x y\right)\left(\zeta^{-1}+x y\right)=1+x^{2} y^{2} \quad(j=0) \\
(-\zeta x+y)\left(-\zeta^{-1}+x y\right)=x+\zeta\left(1-x^{2}\right) y+x y^{2} \quad(j=1) \\
(-\zeta x+y)(\zeta x+y)=x^{2}+y^{2} \quad(j=2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\zeta=\sqrt{-1}^{n}$. Hence $U^{(2)}(x)$ is given by

$$
U^{(2)}(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & x & x^{2}  \tag{31}\\
0 & \sqrt{-1}^{n}\left(1-x^{2}\right) & 0 \\
x^{2} & x & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus one see that $U^{(2)}(x)$ is again diagonalizable with simple eigen values $1+x^{2}, 1-x^{2}, \sqrt{-1}^{n}\left(1-x^{2}\right)$. This case was treated in [8].

The Case (c) is the one treated in [7]. The case $r=2$ is contained in Case (b), while the case $r=1$ may be included in Case (a), because for $r=1$ the number $d$ is actually undetermined. Hence $U^{(r)}(x)$ is diagonalizable for $r=1,2$. But, in general, it is not known whether $U^{(r)}(x)$ is diagonalizable or not.

It can be shown by (5) that, when $d$ is odd, $U^{(r)}(x)$ satisfies the following functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{(r)}(x) U^{(r)}\left(\zeta^{-1} x^{-1}\right)=\left(x+x^{-1}\right)^{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]}\left(x-x^{-1}\right)^{r-\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]} J^{(r)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$
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