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Introduction. Let $D$ be a bounded domain in $C^{n}$ equipped with the Bergman metric $g$. Let $R_{a b \bar{b} \bar{d}}$ be the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor of $g$. The curvature operator $Q$ of $g$ at a point $p \in D$ is, by definition, the endomorphism

$$
\xi_{a b} \mapsto R_{a}{ }^{c d}{ }_{b}(p) \xi_{c d}
$$

of the 2 -symmetric tensor product of the holomorphic tangent space at $p$. The eigenvalues of $Q$ are holomorphically invariant and are all real because $Q$ is self-adjoint with respect to the Hermitian inner product induced from $g$. In particular, if $D$ is homogeneous, then the eigenvalues of $Q$ do not depend on the point of $D$ under consideration. The following is well-known ([4], [5]): If $D$ is irreducible symmetric and the operator $Q$ is negative definite, then $D$ is holomorphically equivalent to a ball. Concerning this, we consider the following two problems:
(A) Let $D$ be a, not necessarily irreducible, homogeneous domain in $C^{n}$. Suppose $Q$ is negative definite. Then is $D$ holomorphically equivalent to a ball?
(B) Does there exist a bounded domain which is not holomorphically equivalent to a ball and for which $Q$ is negative definite?

Our aim of the present note is to show that problem (A) has an affirmative answer by means of the theory of normal $j$-algebras by Pyatetskii-Shapiro [8] (Theorem 1), and to show that a Thullen domain, which is holomorphically inequivalent to a ball, has negative definite curvature operator (Proposition 4). Problem (B) is also affirmative in view of the deformation theory by Greene and Krantz [6], [7].

The author would like to thank Professor Hajime Urakawa for helpful conversations on the subject of this note.

1. Homogeneous bounded domains. In this section we shall show the following.

Theorem 1. Let $D$ be a homogeneous bounded domain. Assume that the curvature operator of the Bergman metric on $D$ is negative semidefinite. Then $D$ is holomorphically equivalent to a product of balls. In particular, if the operator is negative definite, then $D$ is holomorphically equivalent to a ball.

Let $D$ be a homogeneous bounded domain and $p$ be a point of $D$. Then the real tangent space $T_{p}^{R}$ at $p$ possesses the structure $(\mathfrak{g}, j, \omega)$ of a normal $j$-algebra such that $g$ is a Lie algebra, which coincides with $T_{p}^{R}$ as a real vector space, $j$ is the complex structure of $T_{p}^{R}$, and $\omega$ is a form on $g$ with the property $g(x, y)=\omega[j x, y]$ for $x, y \in T_{p}^{R}=\mathfrak{g}$, where $g$ is the Bergman metric on $D$ (cf. [8], [2]).

Let PI be the set of all primitive idempotents in $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathrm{PI}=\{r \in \mathrm{~g}-\{0\} ;\{x \in \mathrm{~g} ;[j r, x]=x\}=\boldsymbol{R} r\}
$$

It is well-known ([8], [9]) that PI is nonempty and linearly independent. The cardinality $R$ of PI is called the rank of $D$. It is also known ([8]) that there exists a numbering $r_{1}, \cdots, r_{R}$ of the set PI such that if

$$
\mathfrak{n}_{a b}=\left\{x \in \mathfrak{g} ;\left[j r_{c}, x\right]=\left(\left(\delta_{c a}+\delta_{c b}\right) / 2\right) x \quad(c=1, \cdots, R)\right\}
$$

for $a, b \in\{1, \cdots, R\}$ with $a \leqq b$, and

$$
\mathfrak{n}_{a}=\left\{x \in \mathfrak{g} ;\left[j r_{c}, x\right]=\left(\delta_{c a} / 2\right) x \quad(c=1, \cdots, R)\right\}
$$

for $a \in\{1, \cdots, R\}$, then $j \mathrm{n}_{a b}=\left\{x \in \mathrm{~g} ;\left[j r_{c}, x\right]=\left(\left(\delta_{a c}-\delta_{b c}\right) / 2\right) x \quad(c=1, \cdots, R)\right\}$ for $a<b$ and the following orthogonal decomposition, with respect to $g($,$) , holds:$

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\sum_{a \leq b} \mathfrak{n}_{a b}+\sum_{a \leq b} j \mathfrak{n}_{a b}+\sum_{a} \mathfrak{n}_{a}
$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{n}_{a a}=\boldsymbol{R} r_{a}$ for all $a \in\{1, \cdots, R\}$.
To prove Theorem 1 , suppose that $D$ is not holomorphically equivalent to any product of balls. There then exists a pair $(a, b)$ with $a<b$ such that the dimension $N$ of the subspace $\mathfrak{n}_{a b}$ is positive. Let $\left\{m_{1}, \cdots, m_{N}\right\}$ be an orthogonal basis of $\mathfrak{n}_{a b}$. If we denote by $\chi$ the mapping from $T_{p}^{R}$ to the holomorphic tangent space $T_{p}$ at $p$ given by $x \mapsto(x-\sqrt{-1} j x) / 2$, then $f=\left(\chi\left(r_{a}\right)^{2}, \chi\left(m_{1}\right)^{2}, \cdots, \chi\left(m_{N}\right)^{2}\right)$ is an orthogonal system in the 2 symmetric tensor product of the space $T_{p}$. Let $Q$ be the curvature operator of $g$ at $p$. In this situation we know the following.

Lemma 2 ([2; Proposition 6.5]). The matrix representing $Q$ modulo $\operatorname{span}_{c} f$ with respect to the basis $f$ has the form

$$
-\left[\begin{array}{cl}
0 & 2^{-1 / 2} \omega_{a}^{-1} e_{N}  \tag{1.1}\\
2^{-1 / 2} \omega_{a}^{-1 t} e_{N} & \omega_{a}^{-1} I_{N}-\omega_{b}^{-1} E_{N}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $\omega_{c}=\omega\left(r_{c}\right)>1(c=a, b), e_{N}=(1, \cdots, 1)(N$-times $), E_{N}=\left(\xi_{s t}\right)$ with $\xi_{s t}=1(s, t \in\{1, \cdots, N\}), I_{N}$ is the identity matrix of order $N$, and ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} e_{N}$ means the transpose of $e_{N}$.

It follows from Lemma 2 that the matrix representing $Q$ possesses a principal minor which is not negative semi-definite, because the (1, 2)principal minor of the negative of the matrix (1.1) has the determinant

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 2^{-1 / 2} \omega_{a}^{-1} \\
2^{-1 / 2} \omega_{a}^{-1} & \omega_{a}^{-1}-\omega_{b}^{-1}
\end{array}\right]=-\left(2 \omega_{a}^{2}\right)^{-1}<0
$$

Therefore, $Q$ itself is not negative semi-definite. Thus, the main assertion of Theorem 1 is proved.

To prove the second assertion of Theorem 1, assume $Q$ is negative definite. Then $D$ is holomorphically equivalent to a product of balls. It is well-known (cf., e.g., [2; Proposition 1.4]) that if $D$ is not irreducible, i.e., if $D$ is holomorphically equivalent to a product of two lower dimensional domains, then zero is an eigenvalue of $Q$. From this, it follows that $D$ is holomorphically equivalent to a ball. The proof is completed.

Remark 3. Let $G$ be a maximal triangular analytic Lie subgroup in the group of all biholomorphic transformations of $D$. Theorem 1 holds if the Bergman metric is replaced by a $G$-invariant Kähler metric, since Lemma 2 holds true also for this metric.
2. Thullen domains. Let $D_{p}$ be a Thullen domain in $C^{2}$ with parameter $p>0$ :

$$
D_{p}=\left\{z \in C^{2} ;\left|z_{1}\right|<1,\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}<\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{p}\right\}
$$

It is well-known (cf., e.g., [1], [3]) that for every $z \in D_{p}$ one can find a biholomorphic transformation $\Phi$ of $D_{p}$ such that $\Phi(z) \in\{(0, \omega) ; 0 \leqq \omega<1\}$. As in [1], [3] we make use of the auxiliary variables

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
r=(1-p) /(1+p) & (p>0) \\
t=\left(1-\omega^{2}\right) /\left(1-r \omega^{2}\right) & (0 \leqq \omega<1)
\end{array}
$$

and the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=3+r t^{2}, \\
& \beta=3-r t^{2}, \\
& A=6+4 r t^{2}+(1+r) r t^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=2\left(9+3 r t^{2}-3(1+r) r t^{3}+2 r^{2} t^{4}\right) / \alpha, \\
& C=3\left(6-6 r t^{2}+(1+r) r t^{3}\right) / \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the Bergman metric tensor $g_{a \bar{b}}$ at $(0, \omega) \in D_{p}$ with $0 \leqq \omega<1$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1 \overline{1}}=\alpha /(1+r) t \\
& g_{2 \overline{2}}=\beta(1-r t)^{2} /(1-r)^{2} t^{2}  \tag{2.1}\\
& g_{1 \overline{2}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

and the curvature tensor $R_{a \bar{b} \bar{d} \bar{d}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1 \overline{11} \overline{1}}=4 A /(1+r)^{2} t^{2}-2\left(g_{1 \overline{1}}\right)^{2}, \\
& R_{1 \overline{1} 2 \overline{2}}=2(1-r t)^{2} B /(1+r)(1-r)^{2} t^{3}-g_{1 \overline{1}} g_{2 \overline{2}},  \tag{2.2}\\
& R_{22 \overline{2} \overline{2}}=4(1-r t)^{4} C /(1-r)^{4} t^{4}-2\left(g_{2 \overline{2}}\right)^{2}, \\
& R_{1 \overline{11} \overline{2}}=R_{1 \overline{12} \overline{2}}=R_{12 \overline{2} \overline{2}}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $f=\left(\partial_{1} \cdot \partial_{1} / \sqrt{2}, \partial_{2} \cdot \partial_{2} / \sqrt{2}, \partial_{1} \cdot \partial_{2}\right)$ with $\partial_{i}=\left(\partial / \partial z_{i}\right)_{(0, \omega)}$. It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that the matrix representing the curvature operator at $(0, \omega)$ with respect to the basis $f$ is written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
R_{11}^{11} & R_{22}^{11} & \sqrt{2} R_{12}^{11} \\
R_{11}^{22} & R_{22}^{22} & \sqrt{2} R_{12}^{22} \\
\sqrt{2} R_{11}^{12} & \sqrt{2} R_{22}^{12} & 2 R_{12}^{12}
\end{array}\right]=-4\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A / \alpha^{2}-1 / 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & C / \beta^{2}-1 / 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B / \alpha \beta-1 / 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $R_{a b}^{c d}=R_{a}{ }^{c d}{ }_{b}$. Using Fourier's theorem concerning the zeros of a polynomial (cf. [3; Appendices]), we see that for any $r \in(-1,1)$ the functions $A / \alpha^{2}, C / \beta^{2}$, and $B / \alpha \beta$ are all greater than $1 / 2$ for every $t \in(0,1]$. Thus we have proved the following.

Proposition 4. Let $D_{p}$ be a Thullen domain with $p \neq 1$. Then the curvature operator of the Bergman metric on $D_{p}$ is negative definite at every point of $D_{p}$, and $D_{p}$ is not holomorphically equivalent to a ball.

The latter assertion of Proposition 4 is well-known (cf., e.g., [1; Proposition 2.8]).

Postscript. We would like to take this opportunity to point out necessary corrections to our previous paper [2].

Page 201, $\downarrow 14$ : " $g\left(\Phi_{*} \circ \rho(x), \Phi_{*} \circ \rho(p)\right)$ " should read " $g\left(\Phi_{*} \circ \rho(x), \Phi_{*} \circ \rho(y)\right)$ ".
Page 205, $\downarrow 9$ : " $X(D)$ " should read " $\mathscr{O}(D)$ ".
Page 206, $\uparrow 15$ : " $\left(j r_{a} / 2 \omega_{a}+j r_{b} / \omega_{b}\right)\langle x, y\rangle_{\omega} "$ should read " $\left(j r_{a} / 2 \omega_{a}+\right.$ $\left.j r_{b} / 2 \omega_{b}\right)\langle x, y\rangle_{\omega} "$.

Page 209, $\uparrow 16$ : " $\omega \nabla_{v_{4}} u_{1} "$ should read ${ }^{" \omega} \nabla_{v_{4}} u_{1} "$.

Page 209, $\left.\uparrow 16:{ }^{" \omega} \nabla_{\left[u_{3}, u_{4}\right]} u_{2}\right) "$ should read ${ }^{" \omega} \nabla_{\left[u_{3}, u_{4}\right]} u_{2} "$.
Page 210, $\downarrow 2$ : " $\left(\delta_{b s}+\delta_{b t}\right) y^{\prime} / 2 "$ should read " $\left(\delta_{b s}+\delta_{b t}\right) y^{\prime} / 2 "$.
Page 211, $\uparrow 4$ : "Lemma 5.4" should read "Lemma 5.3".
Page 212, $\downarrow 15$ : "(ii) $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \neq \alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}$ " should read "(ii) $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \neq \alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}$ ".
Page 213, $\downarrow 13:$ " $\langle,-\rangle$ " should read "the Hermitian inner product inherited from $\left\langle,{ }^{-}\right\rangle$(see (1.4))".

Page 215, $\uparrow 3$ : " $\langle E(u, v), y\rangle$ " should read " $\langle F(u, v), y\rangle$ ".
Page 216, $\downarrow 16$ : " $-1 / k_{R}$ " should read " $-1 / \kappa_{R}$ ".
Page 217, $\downarrow 12:$ " $u, u^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{H}_{a^{*}}$ " should read " $u, u^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{n}_{a^{*}}$ ".
Page 219, $\uparrow 10$ : "min HSC $\geqq$ " should read " $\min$ HSC $\leqq "$.
Page 221, $\downarrow 9$ : " $B_{B}^{2}\left(X_{1}\right)$ " should read " $B_{D}^{2}\left(X_{1}\right)$ ".
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