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0. Introduction. In [Hz], Hirzebruch studied Hilbert modular surfaces which are the compactifications of $\boldsymbol{H}^{2} / S L_{2}(\mathcal{O})$ determined by addition of a finite number of points called "cusps", where $\boldsymbol{H}:=\{z \in \boldsymbol{C} ; \operatorname{Im} z>0\}$ is the upper half plane and $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers in a real quadratic field. He also constructed the minimal models of these surfaces by using the method of toroidal embeddings [TE]. This method is local, that is, this is performed only near each cusp. Tsuchihashi constructed in [T1] normal isolated singularities, sometimes called "Tsuchihashi cusps", analogous to Hilbert modular cusp singularities by using toroidal embeddings. A Tsuchihashi cusp singularity $(V, p)$ is of the form $V \backslash\{p\} \cong \mathscr{D} / G$, where $\mathscr{D}$ is a tube domain and $G$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathscr{D})$.

Recall that a tube domain is called a Siegel domain of the first kind. We construct in Section 1 a normal isolated singularity ( $V, p$ ) such that $V \backslash\{p\}$ is isomorphic to a quotient of a Siegel domain of the second kind. We would like to call this singularity also a "cusp". It is natural to extend the class of cusp singularities in this way, because the boundary components of the Satake compactification of a quotient of a bounded symmetric domain are also called cusps in a generalized sense.

Example. Let $F$ be a totally real algebraic number field of degree $\nu, F^{\prime \prime}$ a totally imaginary quadratic extention of $F, B$ a central division algebra of degree $d$ over $F^{\prime \prime}$ with an involution of the second kind and $h \in M_{\mu}(B)$ a Hermitian matrix with Witt index one, i.e., $h$ is conjugate to
$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c}0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & *\end{array}\right]$.

Set $G_{Q}:=R_{F / \mathbf{Q}}\left(S U\left(h, B / F^{\prime} / F\right)\right)$ with Weil's restriction functor $R_{F / Q}$. Then we get

$$
G_{\boldsymbol{R}}=\prod_{i=1}^{\nu} S U\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right), \quad p_{i}+q_{i}=\mu, \quad p_{i} \geqq q_{i} \geqq d
$$

Let $K$ be a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{R}$. When $q_{i}=d$, we get the

Satake compactification of $K \backslash G_{\boldsymbol{R}} / G_{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ by adding a finite number of points, which are called "cusps".

When $\nu=1$, the homogeneous space $K \backslash G_{R}$ is isomorphic to the bounded symmetric domain $I_{p, q}:=\left\{Z \in M_{p, q}(\boldsymbol{C}) ; 1_{q}-{ }^{t} \bar{Z} Z>0\right\}(p \geqq q \geqq 1)$. The domain $I_{p, q}$ can be represented as a Siegel domain of the second kind:

$$
\mathscr{D}:=\left\{(Z, u) \in \mathscr{H}_{q}(\boldsymbol{C}) \otimes_{R} \boldsymbol{C} \times M_{p-q, q}(\boldsymbol{C}) ; \operatorname{Im} Z-{ }^{t} \bar{u} u \in \mathscr{P}_{q}(\boldsymbol{C})\right\},
$$

where $\mathscr{H}_{q}(\boldsymbol{C}):=\left\{Z \in M_{q}(\boldsymbol{C}) ;{ }^{t} \bar{Z}=Z\right\}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{q}(\boldsymbol{C}):=\left\{Z \in \mathscr{H}_{q}(\boldsymbol{C}) ; \quad Z>0\right\}$. Here $Z>0$ means that $Z$ is positive definite.

Remarks. 1. When $q=1$, the domain $I_{p, 1}$ is the $p$-ball $\boldsymbol{B}^{p}:=\left\{\left(z_{i}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{p}\right.$; $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}<1\right\}$ and $\mathscr{D}=\left\{\left(z, u_{1}, \cdots, u_{p-1}\right) \in C^{p} ; \operatorname{Im} z-\sum_{i=1}^{p=1}\left|u_{i}\right|^{2}>0\right\}$.
2. When $p=q$, the domain $\mathscr{D}=\mathscr{H}_{q}(\boldsymbol{C})+\sqrt{-1} \mathscr{P}_{q}(\boldsymbol{C})$ is of tube type.

From this model we derive data necessary for our construction in Section 1.

We show in Section 2 that there exist isomorphisms $T_{V}^{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{1}(V \backslash\{p\}$, $\Theta_{V}$ ) and $H^{\prime}\left(U, \Theta_{V}(-\log X)\right) \xrightarrow{\prime} \rightarrow H^{\prime}\left(V \backslash\{p\}, \Theta_{V}\right)$ for some resolution $(U, X)$ of a "cusp" singularity ( $V, p$ ) of dimension greater than two. When ( $V, p$ ) is a Tsuchihashi cusp singularity, we showed in [O] the former isomorphism by using the method analogous to that in [Ft] and [FK]. In the case of a Hilbert modular cusp singularity ( $V, p$ ) of dimension two, $\operatorname{dim}_{c} T_{V}^{1}$ was calculated by Behnke [B1], [B2] and Nakamura [NK]. The latter isomorphism shows that our generalized cusp singularities are equisingular (cf. [W]).

The author would like to thank Professors I. Satake and T. Oda for their useful advice and Professor I. Nakamura who pointed out the incompleteness of the original proof of Proposition 3.2.

## 1. Construction of cusp singularities.

1.1. Siegel domains of the second kind. For integers $r \geqq 1, m \geqq 0$, let us denote $n:=r+m$. Fix a free $Z$-module $N$ of rank $r$. Let $C \subset N_{\boldsymbol{R}}:=N \otimes_{\boldsymbol{z}} \boldsymbol{R}$ be an open convex cone with $\bar{C} \cap(-\bar{C})=\{0\}$ and let $H: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow N_{\boldsymbol{c}}:=N \otimes_{z} \boldsymbol{C}$ be a Hermitian form satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $H\left(\lambda_{1} u_{1}+\lambda_{2} u_{2}, v\right)=\lambda_{1} H\left(u_{1}, v\right)+\lambda_{2} H\left(u_{2}, v\right)$ for $\lambda_{i} \in \boldsymbol{C}, u_{i}, v \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ ( $i=1,2$ ).
(ii) $H(u, v)=H(v, u)^{-}$, where - denotes the complex conjugation.
(iii) $H(u, u) \in \bar{C}$, where $\bar{C}$ is the closure of $C$ in $N_{R}$.
(iv) $H(u, u)=0$ implies $u=0$.

Then we set

$$
\mathscr{O}=\mathscr{D}(H, C):=\left\{(z, u) \in N_{c} \times C^{m} ; \operatorname{Im} z-H(u, u) \in C\right\}
$$

and call it a Siegel domain of the second kind associated with $H$ and $C$. Note that the group $N(\mathscr{D}):=\left\{(a, c) \in N_{R} \times C^{m}\right\}$ acts on $\mathscr{D}$ by

$$
(a, c) \cdot(z, u)=(z+a+2 \sqrt{-1} H(u, c)+\sqrt{-1} H(c, c), c+u)
$$

1.2. Lattice data. Let $L \subset C^{m}$ be a free $\boldsymbol{Z}$-module of rank $2 m$ with the compact quotient $C^{m} / L$ and $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ a subgroup preserving $C$ and satisfying the following conditions:
(a) The induced action of $\Gamma$ on $D:=C / \boldsymbol{R}_{>0}$ is properly discontinuous and fixed point free.
(b) The quotient $D / \Gamma$ is compact.
(c) There exists a homomorphism of groups sending $g \in \Gamma$ to $\tilde{g} \in$ $G L(m, \boldsymbol{C})$ so that $g H(u, u)=H(\widetilde{g} u, \widetilde{g} u)$ and $\widetilde{g} L=L$ for all $g \in \Gamma, u \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$.
(d) $H\left(l, l^{\prime}\right)-H\left(l^{\prime}, l\right) \in \sqrt{-1} N$ for all $l, l^{\prime} \in L$.
1.3. Construction. In the following, we use the notation as in [MO]. Let $T_{N}:=N \otimes_{z} C^{*}$ be an algebraic torus of dimension $r$. Regarding $N$, $L$ as subgroups of $N(\mathscr{D})$, construct the following diagram:


Here $\left(T_{N} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}\right) / L$ is a $T_{N}$-bundle over the Abelian variety $A:=C^{m} / L$ with $\widetilde{p}:\left(T_{N} \times C\right) / L \rightarrow A$ as the projection, and its transition function is

$$
\exp (2 \pi(2 H(u, l)+H(l, l))) \in T_{N} \quad \text { for } \quad u \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m}, \quad l \in L,
$$

where exp: $N_{c} \rightarrow T_{N}=N_{c} / N$. Now take a $\Gamma$-admissible rational partial polyhedral decomposition (r.p.p. decomposition, for short) $\tilde{\Delta}$ of $C \cup\{0\}$ with $\widetilde{\Delta}$ modulo $\Gamma$ finite. Then construct a diagram


We also use the same notation $\widetilde{p}:\left(T_{N} \operatorname{emb}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \times C^{m}\right) / L \rightarrow A$.
In order to take the quotient with respect to the action of $\Gamma$, we need to shrink $\left(T_{N} \operatorname{emb}(\widetilde{\Delta}) \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}\right) / L$. A real analytic mapping sending $(t, u) \in$ $\left(T_{N} \times C^{m}\right)$ to $\operatorname{ord}(t)-H(u, u) \in N_{R}$ extends to a mapping $\Phi: T_{N} \operatorname{emb}(\widetilde{\Delta}) \times$ $\boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mc}(N, \tilde{\Delta})$, which is $L$-invariant and hence induces a mapping from $\left(T_{N} \operatorname{emb}(\widetilde{\Delta}) \times C^{m}\right) / L$ to $\operatorname{Mc}(N, \widetilde{\Delta})$. We also denote it by the same letter $\Phi$. We see that $\Phi$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant. Set

$$
\tilde{U}:=\Phi^{-1}(\text { the interior of the closure of } C \text { in } \mathrm{Mc}(N, \tilde{\Delta}))
$$

and

$$
\tilde{Y}:=\tilde{U} \backslash \Phi^{-1}(C),
$$

$\Gamma$ acts on $\widetilde{U}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ properly discontinuously and without fixed points. Therefore we can take the quotients:

$$
U:=\widetilde{U} / \Gamma \quad \text { and } \quad X:=\tilde{Y} / \Gamma .
$$

In order to contract $X$ to a normal isolated singular point, we use the kernel function of $\mathscr{D}$ (cf. [Sal] and [Ro]). For $(z, u) \in \mathscr{D}$, set

$$
\Psi(z, u):=\int_{c^{*}} \exp (-\langle\operatorname{Im} z-H(u, u), t\rangle) \frac{\operatorname{det} M(t)}{\phi_{c^{*}}(t)} d t,
$$

where $C^{*}:=\left\{y \in N_{R}^{*} ;\langle x, y\rangle>0\right.$ for all $\left.x \in \bar{C} \backslash\{0\}\right\}$ is the dual cone of $C$, the function $\phi_{c^{*}}$ is the characteristic function of $C^{*}$ defined by Vinberg [V] and $M(t) \in M_{m}(\boldsymbol{C})$ is defined for a fixed inner product (, ) in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ by

$$
\langle H(u, v), t\rangle=(M(t) u, v) \text { for all } u, v \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m}, \quad t \in N_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*} .
$$

$M(t)$ is Hermitian symmetric. Moreover, it is positive definite for $t \in C^{*}$. The function $\Psi$ is $N$ - and $L$-invariant, and has positive values on $\mathscr{D}$, and its Hessian is positive definite. For $g \in \Gamma$, we have

$$
\Psi(g z, \tilde{g} u)=|\operatorname{det} g|^{-2}|\operatorname{det} \tilde{g}|^{-2} \Psi(z, u) .
$$

Therefore $\Psi$ induces a function on $U \backslash X$, which we also denote by the same letter $\Psi$. Set $\Psi \equiv 0$ on $X$. Then the function $\Psi$ is plurisubharmonic on $U$ and strictly plurisubharmonic on $U \backslash X$. Thus we can contract $X$ to a point $p$ (see [GR]):

$$
\pi:(U, X) \rightarrow(V, p) .
$$

2. Results. In this paper we consider a singularity $(V, p)$ constructed in Section 1 which satisfies an additional condition $(C, \Gamma) \in \mathscr{S}_{0}$ in the sense of Tsuchihashi [T1], that is, there exists a duality between $\Gamma$-admissible decompositions $\tilde{\square}$ and $\tilde{\square}^{*}$ induced by the convex hulls of $C \cap N$ and $C^{*} \cap N^{*}$, respectively.

Theorem 2.1. For the normal isolated singularity ( $V, p$ ) constructed in Section 1, we have isomorphisms
$R^{i} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{U} 工 H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{x}\right) \quad$ and $\quad R^{i} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{U}(-X)=0 \quad$ for $i \geqq 1$.
Remark. Theorem 2.1 implies that ( $V, p$ ) is an isolated Du Bois singularity (cf. [St]).
2.2. Infinitesimal deformations of $(V, p)$. By a deformation of $(V, p)$
we mean a pair of a flat morphism of complex analytic spaces $f:\left(\mathscr{V}, v_{0}\right) \rightarrow$ ( $T, t_{0}$ ) and an isomorphism $(V, p) \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(f^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right), v_{0}\right)$. A first order infinitesimal deformation of $(V, p)$ is a deformation $f:\left(\mathscr{V}, v_{0}\right) \rightarrow(T, 0)$ of $(V, p)$ with $T=\operatorname{Specan} C[\varepsilon] /\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$, We are interested in $T_{V}^{1}:=\operatorname{Ext}_{\sigma_{V}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{V}^{1}, \mathcal{O}_{V}\right)$, which parametrizes the set of first order infinitesimal deformations of ( $V, p$ ). For this purpose the following theorem due to Schlessinger [Sc] is useful:

Comparison Theorem (Schlessinger). Let $(V, p) \rightarrow\left(C^{d}, 0\right)$ be a closed embedding. Then we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow T_{V}^{1} \rightarrow H^{1}\left(V \backslash\{p\}, \Theta_{V}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(V \backslash\{p\},\left.\left(\Theta_{c^{d}}\right)\right|_{V}\right),
$$

where $\Theta_{V}$ is the holomorphic tangent sheaf on $V$ and $\left.\left(\Theta_{\boldsymbol{C}^{d}}\right)\right|_{V}$ is the restriction to $V$ of the holomorphic tangent sheaf on $\boldsymbol{C}^{d}$.

We choose a nonsingular r.p.p. decomposition $\tilde{\Delta}$ of $C \cup\{0\}$. Then we get a desingularization $\pi:(U, X) \rightarrow(V, p)$. Let $X=U_{i} X_{i}$ be the decomposition of $X$ into irreducible components and $N_{X_{i} / U}$ the normal sheaf of $X_{i}$ in $U$. Then we define the logarithmic tangent sheaf of ( $U, X$ ) by

$$
\Theta_{U}(-\log X):=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Theta_{U} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i} N_{X_{i} / U}\right)
$$

Theorem 2.2. When $n \geqq 3$, we have isomorphisms

$$
H^{1}\left(U, \Theta_{U}(-\log X)\right) \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} T_{V}^{1} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} H^{1}\left(V \backslash\{p\}, \Theta_{V}\right)
$$

Theorem 2.3. When $m=0$, that is, $(V, p)$ is a Tsuchihashi cusp singularity, we have

$$
H^{i}\left(U, \Theta_{U}(-\log X)\right) \cong H^{i}\left(\Gamma, N_{c}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad i \geqq 1
$$

where the right hand side is the i-th group cohomology of the natural action of $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ on $N_{c}$.

Remark. From the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\Theta_{U}(-\log X)\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\Theta_{U}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i} H^{1}\left(N_{x_{i} / U}\right),
$$

we see that $H^{1}\left(\Theta_{U}(-\log X)\right)$ parametrizes the set of first order infinitesimal deformations of $U$ for which none of $X_{i}$ vanish (cf. [W]). If there exists a versal family of such deformations, Theorem 2.2 implies that $(V, p)$ is equisingular.

## 3. Proof of Theorems.

3.1. First we prove the following two propositions. Let $F$ be a finite dimensional complex vector space with a $\Gamma$-action. Set $\mathscr{F}:=$ $q_{*}^{\Gamma}\left(F \otimes_{c} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}}\right)$, where $q: \widetilde{U} \rightarrow U=\widetilde{U} / \Gamma$ is the natural projection.

Proposition 3.1. $\quad H^{i}\left(X, \mathscr{F} \otimes_{O_{L}} \bigoplus_{x}(-k X)\right)=0$ for $i>0$ and $k>0$.

Proposition 3.2. The local cohomology groups $H_{X}^{i}(U, \mathscr{F})$ vanish for $i<n$.
3.2. Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $\tilde{\square}$ be the r.p.p. decomposition of $C \cup\{0\}$ induced by a natural $\Gamma$-invariant polyhedral decomposition of the boundary of the convex hull of $C \cap N$, that is, every member of $\tilde{\square}$ is written in the form

$$
\boldsymbol{R}_{\geq 0} \alpha=\left\{r x \in N_{R} ; x \in \alpha, r \geqq 0\right\}
$$

with a polyhedron $\alpha$ appearing in the boundary of the convex hull of $C \cap N$ (see [TE] and [T1]). Then we can get a nonsingular r.p.p. decomposition $\tilde{\Delta}$ of $C \cup\{0\}$ by subdividing $\tilde{\square}$. Let ( $\tilde{U}^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}$ ) be those constructed as in Section 1 corresponding to $\tilde{\square}$ and $U^{\prime}:=\widetilde{U}^{\prime} / \Gamma, X^{\prime}:=\tilde{Y}^{\prime} / \Gamma$. Then we have the morphism $\tau:(U, X) \rightarrow\left(U^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)$ induced by the subdivision $\tilde{\Delta}$ of $\tilde{\square}$, and have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{i} \tau_{*} \mathcal{O}_{U} & = \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}_{U^{\prime}} & \text { if } \quad i=0, \\
0 & \text { if } \\
i \geqq 1,\end{cases} \\
R^{i} \tau_{*} \mathscr{O}_{U}(-X) & = \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}_{U^{\prime}}\left(-X^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } i=0, \\
0 & \text { if } i \geqq 1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $q^{\prime}: \widetilde{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow U^{\prime}=\widetilde{U}^{\prime} / \Gamma$ and $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}:=q_{*}^{r}\left(F \otimes_{c} \mathcal{O}_{U^{\prime}}\right)$. Then $\tau_{*} \mathscr{F}=\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ and $H^{i}\left(X, \mathscr{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}(-k X)\right)=H^{i}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{F}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U^{\prime}}\left(-k X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for $i \geqq 0$. Hence we may assume that $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the r.p.p. decomposition of $C \cup\{0\}$ induced by the convex hull of $C \cap N$ and that $\pi:(U, X) \rightarrow(V, p)$ is a partial resolution of singularities corresponding to $\tilde{\Delta}$.

First assume that the dual graph of $X$ is orientable and fine in the sense of Tsuchihashi [T1], that is, $\{\gamma \in \Gamma ; \gamma \alpha \cap \beta \neq \varnothing\}=\{1\}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \widetilde{\Delta}$ with $\alpha \cap \beta \neq \varnothing$. Let $\tilde{\Delta}(j):=\{\sigma \in \tilde{\Delta} ; \operatorname{dim} \sigma=j\}$ and $\Delta(j):=\tilde{\Delta}(j) / \Gamma$. For each cone $\alpha \in \widetilde{\Delta}(j)$ let $\bar{X}_{\alpha}$ be the toric subvariety $\operatorname{orb}(\alpha)^{-}$in $T_{N} \operatorname{emb}(\widetilde{\Delta})$ corresponding to $\alpha$ and $X_{\alpha}:=q\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\operatorname{ord}\left(\bar{X}_{\alpha}\right)\right)\right)$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \underset{\alpha \in \Delta(1)}{ } \mathcal{O}_{X_{\alpha}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Delta(2)} \mathcal{O}_{x_{\beta}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \underset{\omega \in \Delta(r)}{\bigoplus_{X_{\omega}}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\omega}} \rightarrow 0
$$

The sequence we obtain from this by tensoring $\mathcal{O}_{U}(-k X) \otimes_{\sigma_{U}} \mathscr{F}$ for a nonnegative integer $k$ is also exact. Hence we get a spectral sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1}^{p, q}(\mathscr{F}(-k X)):= & \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta(p+1)} H^{q}\left(X_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F} \otimes_{V_{U}} \mathcal{O}_{x_{\alpha}}(-k X)\right)  \tag{I}\\
& \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(X, \mathscr{F} \otimes_{O_{U}} \mathscr{O}_{X}(-k X)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Set $\widetilde{X}_{\alpha}:=q^{-1}\left(X_{\alpha}\right)$, which is the disjoint union of $Y_{\gamma_{\alpha}}:=\Phi^{-1}\left(\operatorname{ord}\left(\bar{X}_{\gamma_{\alpha}}\right)\right.$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $q: \widetilde{X}_{\alpha} \rightarrow X_{\alpha}$ is unramified and $\mathscr{F} \otimes_{O_{U}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\alpha}}(-k X) \cong q_{*}^{\Gamma}\left(F \otimes_{c}\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}_{\alpha}}(-k \tilde{Y})\right)$, we have a spectral sequence
(II)

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2}^{p, q}(\Gamma, \mathscr{F}(-k X)):= & H^{p}\left(\Gamma, H^{q}\left(\tilde{X}_{\alpha}, F \otimes_{c} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}_{a}}(-k \tilde{Y})\right)\right) \\
& \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(X_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F} \otimes_{o_{U}} \mathcal{O}_{x_{\alpha}}(-k X)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have an isomorphism $H^{q}\left(\tilde{X}_{\alpha}, F \otimes_{c} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}_{\alpha}}(-k \tilde{Y})\right) \cong \bigoplus_{r \in \Gamma}\left(F \otimes_{c} H^{q}\left(Y_{r_{\alpha}}\right.\right.$, $\left.\mathcal{O}_{Y_{\gamma \alpha}}(-k \tilde{Y})\right)$ ) as vector spaces and have a Leray spectral sequence
(III) $\quad E_{2}^{p, q}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-k X)\right):=H^{p}\left(A, R^{q} \widetilde{p}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\alpha}}(-k \widetilde{Y})\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(Y_{\alpha}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\alpha}}(-k \widetilde{Y})\right)$.

For each point $a \in A$,
$R^{q} \tilde{p}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\alpha}}(-k \tilde{Y}) \otimes_{O_{A}} \boldsymbol{C}(a) \cong H^{q}\left(\tilde{p}^{-1}(a),\left.\mathcal{O}_{Y_{a}}(-k \tilde{Y})\right|_{\bar{p}^{-1}(a)}\right) \cong H^{q}\left(\bar{X}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_{\alpha}}(-k \bar{X})\right)$ vanishes for $q>0$ and $k \geqq 0$, because $\tilde{\Delta}$ is convex (see, for instance, [TE]). Hence we have $H^{p}\left(Y_{\alpha}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\alpha}}(-k \tilde{Y})\right) \cong H^{p}\left(A, \widetilde{p}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\alpha}}(-k \tilde{Y})\right)$. Since $Y_{\alpha}$ and $Y_{\gamma_{\alpha}}$ are isomorphic for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have an isomorphism as $\boldsymbol{C}[\Gamma]$-modules

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{q}\left(\tilde{X}_{\alpha}, F \otimes_{c} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}_{\alpha}}(-k \tilde{Y})\right) & =H^{q}\left(\cup_{r \in \Gamma} Y_{\gamma \alpha}, F \otimes_{c} \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\gamma \alpha}}(-k \tilde{Y})\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{c}(C[\Gamma], F) \otimes_{c} H^{q}\left(A, \tilde{p}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y_{a}}(-k \tilde{Y})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (see, for instance, [HS])

$$
H^{p}\left(\Gamma, H^{q}\left(\tilde{X}_{\alpha}, F \otimes_{c} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}_{\alpha}}(-k \tilde{Y})\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad p>0\right.
$$

On the other hand, for a positive integer $k$, the sheaf $\tilde{p}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\alpha}}(-k \tilde{Y})$ corresponds to the holomorphic vector bundle which is the direct sum of the line bundles $\mathscr{L}(m)$ associated to positive definite Hermitian forms $4\langle m$, $H()$,$\rangle for m \in N^{*} \cap k \alpha^{*}$. Here $\alpha^{*}$ is the cone in $\tilde{\square}^{*}$ dual to $\alpha$. Hence $H^{q}\left(A, \widetilde{p}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}_{\alpha}}(-k \widetilde{Y})\right)=0$ for $q>0$ and $k>0$. Thus for a positive integer $k$ we have

$$
E_{1}^{p, q}(\mathscr{F}(-k X))=0 \quad \text { if } \quad q>0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}^{p, 0}(\mathscr{F}(-k X)) & =\underset{\alpha \in \Delta(p+1)}{\bigoplus^{\prime}} H^{0}\left(\Gamma, \operatorname{Hom}_{c}\left(C[\Gamma], F \otimes_{c} H^{0}\left(A, \tilde{p}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\alpha}}(-k Y)\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\underset{\alpha \in \Delta(p+1)}{\bigoplus} H^{0}\left(\Gamma, \operatorname{Hom}_{c}\left(C[\Gamma], F \otimes_{c}\left(\underset{m \in N^{*} \cap k \alpha^{*}}{ } H^{0}(A, \mathscr{L}(m))\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $m \in N^{*} \cap k \alpha^{*}$ there exists a unique $\beta$ in $\Delta$ of the smallest dimension among cones $\beta$ satisfying $m \in N^{*} \cap k \beta^{*}$. Thus we have an exact sequence as in [T1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \rightarrow F \otimes_{c} H^{0}(A, \mathscr{L}(m)) \rightarrow \underset{\alpha \in \Delta(\beta, 1)}{ } F \otimes_{c} H^{0}(A, \mathscr{L}(m)) \\
& \rightarrow \underset{\delta \in \Delta(\beta, 2)}{ } F \otimes_{c} H^{0}(A, \mathscr{L}(m)) \rightarrow \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Delta(\beta, j):=\{\alpha \in \Delta ; \alpha<\beta$ and $\operatorname{dim} \alpha=j\}$. The complex

$$
K^{p}:=\underset{\alpha \in \triangle(p+1)}{\bigoplus} F \otimes_{c}\left(\underset{m \in N^{*} \cap \alpha_{k \alpha^{*}}}{ } H^{0}(A, \mathscr{L}(m))\right)
$$

is the direct sum of the complexes

$$
\mathscr{K}^{i}(m):=\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}(\beta, j)} F \otimes_{c} H^{0}(A, \mathscr{L}(m)) .
$$

Thus the $E_{2}$-term of the spectral sequence (I), which on the one hand satisfies $E_{2}^{p, 0}(\mathscr{F}(-k X)) \cong H^{p}\left(X, \mathscr{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{x}(-k X)\right)$, is the direct sum of the $p$-th cohomology groups $\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(H^{0}\left(\Gamma, \operatorname{Hom}_{c}\left(C[\Gamma], \mathscr{K}^{*}(m)\right)\right)\right) \cong \mathscr{H}^{p}\left(\mathscr{K}^{2}(m)\right)$, which vanish for $p>0$, because $\beta$ is contractible.

In the general case, we take a normal subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of finite index in $\Gamma$ so that for the pair ( $U^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ ) constructed as in Section 1 for $\Gamma^{\prime}$ the dual graph of $X^{\prime}$ is orientable and fine. Then we have

$$
H^{i}\left(X, \mathscr{F} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{U}} \mathscr{O}_{X}(-k X)\right) \cong H^{i}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{F} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{U}} \mathscr{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(-k X^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\Gamma / \Gamma^{\prime}}=0
$$

for $i>0$ and $k>0$. Thus we finish the proof of Proposition 3.1.
For $k=0$, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}^{p, q}(\mathscr{F})= & \underset{\alpha \in \Delta(p+1)}{\bigoplus} H^{0}\left(\Gamma, \operatorname{Hom}_{c}\left(C[\Gamma], \mathscr{F} \otimes H^{q}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(X, \mathscr{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary. When $\operatorname{dim} A=0$, i.e., $m=0$, we have

$$
H^{p}\left(X, \mathscr{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{U}} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \cong H^{p}(\Gamma, F) \quad \text { for } \quad p \geqq 0
$$

By the comparison theorm in [BS], we have for $i>0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(R^{i} \pi_{*} \mathscr{F}\right)_{\hat{p}}^{\hat{1}}=\operatorname{proj} \lim _{k} H^{i}(U, \mathscr{F} / \mathscr{F}(-k X)), \\
\left(R^{i} \pi_{*} \mathscr{F}(-X)\right)_{p}=\operatorname{proj} \lim _{k} H^{i}(U, \mathscr{F}(-X) / \mathscr{F}(-(k+1) X)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(-k X) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{U}\left|\mathscr{O}_{U}(-(k+1) X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U}\right| \mathscr{O}_{U}(-k X) \rightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(-k X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U}(-X) / \mathcal{O}_{U}(-(k+1) X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U}(-X) / \mathcal{O}_{U}(-k X) \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

tensored with $\mathscr{F}$ remain exact. Applying Proposition 3.1 and the above comparison theorem, we have

$$
R^{i} \pi_{*} \mathscr{F}=H^{i}\left(X, \mathscr{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \text { and } R^{i} \pi_{*} \mathscr{F}(-X)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i>0
$$

This proves Theorem 2.1.
Since $\pi(U)=V$ is a Stein space, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{i}(U, \mathscr{F})=H^{0}\left(V, R^{i} \pi_{*} \mathscr{F}\right)=H^{i}\left(X, \mathscr{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{x}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
& H^{i}(U, \mathscr{F}(-X))=0 \text { for } i>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This, combined with the corollary, proves Theorem 2.3 because in that case $\Theta_{U}(-\log X) \cong q_{*}^{\Gamma}\left(N \otimes_{Z} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}}\right)$ holds.

### 3.3. Proof of Proposition 3.2. We use the following lemma:

Lemma ([BS]). Let $Z$ be a topological space and $K$ a compact subset with a countable fundamental system of neighborhoods. Then for a sheaf $\mathscr{G}$ of abelian groups on $Z$, we have a surjective mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{q}(Z \backslash K, \mathscr{G}) \rightarrow \operatorname{proj} \lim _{W \supset K} H^{q}(Z \backslash W, \mathscr{G}) \quad \text { for } \quad q \geqq 0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, (*) is an isomorphism if for every member $W$ of a fundamental system of neighborhoods of $K$ the mapping induced by restriction

$$
H^{q-1}(Z, \mathscr{G}) \rightarrow H^{q-1}(Z \backslash W, \mathscr{G})
$$

is surjective.
In our situation, choose a fundamental system of neighborhoods of $X$ consisting of relatively compact and holomorphically convex neighborhoods $U_{\nu}(\nu=1,2, \cdots)$ with $U_{\nu} \supset U_{\nu+1}$. Consider the commutative diagram of long exact sequences


The cohomology group $H_{c}^{i}\left(U_{\nu}, \mathscr{F}\right)$ with compact support is the algebraic dual of $H^{n-i}\left(U_{\nu}, \mathscr{F}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega_{U_{\nu}}^{n}\right)$.

Lemma. $\quad H^{n-i}\left(U_{\nu}, \mathscr{F}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega_{U_{\nu}}^{n}\right)=0$ for $i<n$.
Proof. First assume that $\Gamma \subset S L(N)$. Then we have $\Omega_{U}^{n} \cong \mathscr{O}_{U}(-X)$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{n-i}\left(U_{\nu}, \mathscr{F}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega_{U_{\nu}}^{n}\right) & =H^{n-i}\left(U_{\nu}, \mathscr{F}^{\vee} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{U_{\nu}}(-X)\right) \\
& =H^{\circ}\left(V_{\nu}, R^{n-i} \pi_{*} \mathscr{F}^{\vee}(-X)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i<n$ by Proposition 3.1, because $V_{\nu}:=\pi\left(U_{\nu}\right)$ is a Stein space. Next, for a general $\Gamma$ we take a normal subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of finite index in $\Gamma$ so that $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset S L(N)$. Let ( $U^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ ) be the pair constructed as in Section 1 for $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Then $\Omega_{U^{\prime}}^{n} \cong \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}\left(-X^{\prime}\right)$, and hence for $i<n$

$$
H^{n-i}\left(U_{\nu}, \mathscr{F}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega_{U_{\nu}}^{n}\right) \cong H^{n-i}\left(U_{\nu}^{\prime}, \mathscr{F}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U_{\nu}^{\prime}}\left(-X^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\Gamma / \Gamma^{\prime}}=0 . \quad \text { q.e.d. }
$$

Applying the lemmas, we see that the mapping $H^{i}(U \backslash X, \mathscr{F}) \rightarrow$ proj $\lim _{\nu} H^{i}\left(U \backslash U_{\nu}, \mathscr{F}\right)$ is isomorphic for $i<n-1$ and surjective for $i=n-1$, and hence that $H^{i}(U, \mathscr{F}) \rightarrow H^{i}(U \backslash X, \mathscr{F})$ is isomorphic for $i<n-1$ and injective for $i=n-1$. This implies that $H_{X}^{i}(U, \mathscr{F})=0$ for $i<n$.
3.4. Proof of Theorem 2.2. The logarithmic tangent sheaf
$\Theta_{U}(-\log X)$ splits as

$$
0 \rightarrow q_{*}^{\Gamma}\left(N \otimes_{z} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}}\right) \rightarrow \Theta_{U}(-\log X) \rightarrow q_{*}^{\Gamma}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}, \Theta_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \otimes_{\boldsymbol{c}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Applying Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 to this we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{i}\left(X, \Theta_{U}(-\log X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(-k X)\right)=0 \text { for } i>0 \text { and } k>0, \\
H_{X}^{i}\left(U, \Theta_{U}(-\log X)\right)=0 \text { for } i<n
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we have for $n \geqq 3$


In order to prove Theorem 2.2 it is sufficient to show that the isomorphism $H^{1}\left(\Theta_{U}(-\log X)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{1}\left(V \backslash\{p\}, \Theta_{V}\right)$ factors through $T_{V}^{1}$. This follows from the following proposition applied to $S=$ Specan $C[\varepsilon] /\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ :

Proposition 3.4. For a germ ( $S, s_{0}$ ) of complex analytic spaces which need not be reduced, let $\omega:\left(\mathscr{U}, u_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(S, s_{0}\right)$ be a deformation of $U \cong$ $\mathscr{U}_{0}:=\omega^{-1}\left(s_{0}\right)$ for which none of $X_{i}$ disappear, that is, there exists a subvariety $\mathscr{X}$ of $\mathscr{U}$ such that, after possible shrinking of $S$, the restriction $\omega^{\prime}:=\left.\omega\right|_{\mathscr{Z}}:\left(\mathscr{X}, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(S, s_{0}\right)$ is a deformation of $X:=\cup_{i} X_{i}$. We assume that $H^{1}\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{U}(-X)\right)=0$. Then there exist neighborhoods $\mathscr{U}^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{X}_{0}:=$ $\omega^{\prime-1}\left(s_{0}\right)$ in $\mathscr{U}$ and $S^{\prime}$ of $s_{0}$ in $S$ so that in the canonical reduction diagram of $\omega^{\prime}$ over $S^{\prime}$ in the sense of Riemenschneider [R2]

$\tau$ is a proper morphism and $\rho:\left(\mathscr{V}, v_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{\prime}, s_{0}\right)$ is a deformation of $(V, p)$.
Proof. By shrinking $\mathscr{U}$ and $S$ if necessary, we may assume that $\omega$ is a 1-convex holomorphic mapping with an exhaustion function $\varphi$ and a convexity bound $c_{*}$ and that $S$ is a Stein space [R2]. Then $\omega$ can be factored as follows:


In this diagram $\tau$ is proper and biholomorphic outside the union $\mathscr{X}$ of all maximal compact analytic subsets $\mathscr{X}_{s} \subset \mathscr{U}_{s}$ for $s \in S, \rho$ is a Stein morphism and $\rho \mid \tau(\mathscr{X})$ is finite. Further $\mathscr{U}$ is holomorphically convex
and $\mathscr{V}$ is the Remmert quotient of $\mathscr{U}$, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{V}}=\tau_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{K}}$. Now we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{z K}(-\mathscr{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{K}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{R}}$ are $\omega$-flat, so is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{\prime}}(-\mathscr{X})$. Let $\omega_{c}:=\left.\omega\right|_{i \varphi<c i}, c \in \boldsymbol{R}$. Since $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{U}_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{0}}(-\mathscr{X})\right)=H^{1}\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{U}(-X)\right)=0$, the canonical restriction mapping

$$
\left(\omega_{c *} \mathcal{O}_{x}\right)_{s_{0}} \rightarrow\left(\omega_{c *} \mathcal{O}_{x_{0}}\right)_{s_{0}}
$$

is surjective for every $c>c_{*}$. From the semi-continuity of $\operatorname{dim}_{c} H^{1}\left(\mathscr{U}_{s}\right.$, $\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{U}_{s}}\left(-\mathscr{X}_{s}\right)\right), s \in S$, and the vanishing of $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{U}_{0}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{U}_{0}}\left(-\mathscr{X}_{0}\right)\right)$, we have


In the above diagram, two horizontal rows are exact, and the left and right vertical arrows are both surjective. Hence the middle arrow is surjective. From this and [R1, Theorem 1] we see that the fiber $\mathscr{V}_{0}:=$ $\rho^{-1}\left(s_{0}\right)$ is the Remmert quotient of $\mathscr{U}_{0}$, i.e., $\left(\mathscr{V}_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to ( $V, p$ ) as germs of complex spaces.

Next we need to show that $\mathcal{O}$, is $\rho$-flat. Since $\rho$ is a Stein morphism and the $\rho$-flatness of $\mathcal{O}_{r}$ is equivalent to the flatness of $\rho_{*} \mathcal{O}_{r}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{s}$ (cf. [Hn, Theorem 1.3]), it is enough to prove that $\rho_{*} \mathcal{O}_{r}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{s}$. Since $\mathscr{X}_{0} \cong X$ is reduced and connected, the natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_{S^{\prime} s_{0}} \rightarrow$ $\left(\omega_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}}\right)_{s_{0}}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $\omega_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{E}}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{S}$ at $s_{0}$. By shrinking $\mathscr{U}$ and $S$ if necessary, we may assume that $\omega_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{E}}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{s}$ because of the openness of the flat locus ([Fs]). From the vanishing of $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{U}_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{\varkappa_{0}}\left(-\mathscr{X}_{0}\right)\right)$, we can show that $\tau_{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}}(-\mathscr{X})$ is $\rho$-flat as in the proof of [R2, Theorem 2]. Hence we see that $\rho_{*} \tau_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{}}(-\mathscr{X})=$ $\omega_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{}}(-\mathscr{X})$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{S}$. Consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \omega_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{}}(-\mathscr{X}) \rightarrow \omega_{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{U}} \rightarrow \omega_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{K}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $\omega_{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{U}}(-\mathscr{X})$ and $\omega_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{E}}$ are both flat over $\mathscr{O}_{S}$, so is $\omega_{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{U}}=$ $\rho_{*} \tau_{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{K}}=\rho_{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{Y}}$. q.e.d.
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