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Introduction. Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete subgroup of the real Möbius group $P S L(2 ; \boldsymbol{R})$. We denote by $\Omega(\Gamma)$ the region of discontinuity of $\Gamma$. Let $\sigma$ be a $\Gamma$-invariant closed subset of the extended real line $\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}$ such that $\# \sigma \geqq 3$ and $\sigma \ni \infty$, and let $D$ be the component of $\Omega(\Gamma)-\sigma$ containing the upper half-plane $U$. Then $D=U$ or $D=\Omega(\Gamma)-\sigma$ according as $\sigma=\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}$ or not. Let $E$ be a $\Gamma$-invariant measurable subset of $D$, and put $V=D-E$, where if $D \neq U$, then $E$ is assumed to be symmetric with respect to $R$ in the sense that $\bar{z} \in E$ whenever $z \in E$. Furthermore, for an integer $q \geqq 2$, let $L^{p}, 1 \leqq p<\infty$, (resp. $L^{\infty}$ ) be the Banach space consisting of all the $p$-integrable (resp. bounded) measurable automorphic forms of weight $-2 q$ on $D$ for $\Gamma$, which are symmetric if $D$ is symmetric (see Section 1 for the precise definition). We denote by $A^{p}, 1 \leqq$ $p \leqq \infty$, the closed subspace consisting of all the holomorphic elements in $L^{p}$, and set $L^{p}(V)=\left\{\mu \in L^{p} ;\left.\mu\right|_{E}=0\right\}$ and $\left.A^{p}\right|_{V}=\left\{\chi_{\nu} \phi ; \phi \in A^{p}\right\}$, where $\chi_{V}$ is the characteristic function of $V$. For $1 \leqq p<\infty$ and $p^{\prime}$ satisfying $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1, L^{p^{\prime}}$ is isomorphic to the dual space of $L^{p}$. We denote by $\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp}\left(\subset L^{p^{\prime}}\right)$ the annihilator of $A^{p}$.

In the present paper, we investigate conditions for $E$ under which $\left(\mathrm{A}^{p}\right)^{\perp} \cap L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$ and $\left.A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{V}$ are closed and complementary to each other in $L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$, and give two kinds of answers to this question (see Theorems 1 and 3 below). This problem occured in studying extremal quasiconformal mappings with dilatation bound (see, for example, Sakan [10]). Our results can be applied to the study of quasiconformal mappings and Teichmüller spaces. These applications will be discussed in Ohtake [9].

Throughout this paper, as natural assumptions for the problem, we require that $V$ has positive measure and $A^{p} \neq\{0\}$. We note that if $E$ has (2-dimensional Lebesgue) measure zero, then the spaces $\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp} \cap$

[^0]$L^{p^{\prime}}(V)\left(=\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp}\right)$ and $\left.A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{V}\left(=A^{p^{\prime}}\right)$ are closed and complementary to each other; this is classical and well-known.

In Section 1, we give some definitions and recall known results. In Section 2, we state our main results on the problem mentioned above. The proofs will be given in Sections 3 and 4.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Y. Kusunoki for his encouragement and advice, and to Doctors K. Sakan and M. Taniguchi and the referee for their valuable comments and suggestions.

1. Preliminaries. Let $\Gamma, \sigma, D, E$ and $V$ be as in Introduction and let $\lambda=\lambda_{D}$ be the hyperbolic metric for $D$ with constant negative curvature -4 . We fix once and for all an integer $q \geqq 2$. A measurable automorphic form of weight $-2 q$ on $D$ for $\Gamma$ is a measurable function $\mu$ on $D$ which satisfies

$$
(\mu \circ \gamma)\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{q}=\mu \quad \text { for all } \quad \gamma \in \Gamma
$$

Such an automorphic form $\mu$ is said to be $p$-integrable for $p, 1 \leqq p<\infty$, (resp. bounded), if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\mu\|_{p}=\left(\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(z)^{2-q p}|\mu(z)|^{p}|d z \wedge d \bar{z}|\right)^{1 / p}<\infty \\
& \left(\text { resp. }\|\mu\|_{\infty}=\underset{z \in D}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } \lambda(z)^{-q}|\mu(z)|<\infty\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We then denote by $L_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)$ (resp. $L_{q}^{\infty}(D, \Gamma)$ ) the complex Banach space consisting of all the $p$-integrable (resp. bounded) automorphic forms of weight $-2 q$ on $D$ for $\Gamma$. For $p, 1 \leqq p \leqq \infty, A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)$ denotes the closed subspace of all the holomorphic elements in $L_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)$. Furthermore, if $D$ is symmetric with respect to $\boldsymbol{R}$, then we define the real Banach spaces of all the symmetric functions in $L_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)$ and $A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)$ by

$$
L_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)_{\mathrm{sym}}=\left\{\mu \in L_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma) ; \mu(\bar{z})=\bar{\mu}(z) \text { for a.e. } z \in D\right\}
$$

and

$$
A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)_{\mathrm{s} y \mathrm{~m}}=A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma) \cap L_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)_{\mathrm{s} y \mathrm{~m}}
$$

respectively.
We use the following result:
Proposition A. There exists a unique function $F=F_{D, \Gamma}$ on $D \times D$ with the following properties, where $c_{q}=(2 q-1) /(q-1)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
F(z, \zeta)=-\bar{F}(\zeta, z)  \tag{1.1}\\
F(\cdot, \zeta) \in A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma) \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for every fixed $\zeta \in D$ and every $p, 1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(\zeta)^{2-q}|F(z, \zeta)||d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta}| \leqq c_{q} \lambda(z)^{q}, \quad \text { and }  \tag{1.3}\\
\phi(z)=\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(\zeta)^{2-2 q} F(z, \zeta) \phi(\zeta) d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta} \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $\phi \in A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma), 1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$, and every $z \in D$.
The uniqueness of $F_{D, \Gamma}$ above follows from (1.1), (1.2) and (1.4). In fact, let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ have these three properties. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}(z, \zeta) & =\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(w)^{2-2 q} F_{2}(z, w) F_{1}(w, \zeta) d w \wedge d \bar{w} \\
& =\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(w)^{2-2 q} \bar{F}_{2}(w, z) \bar{F}_{1}(\zeta, w) d w \wedge d \bar{w} \\
& =\left(-\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(w)^{2-2 q} F_{1}(\zeta, w) F_{2}(w, z) d w \wedge d \bar{w}\right)^{-} \\
& =-\bar{F}_{2}(\zeta, z)=F_{2}(z, \zeta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For a proof of the assertion except the uniquess of $F_{D, \Gamma}$, see Kra [5, p. 89 and p. 101]. In [5, p. 101] $D$ is assumed to be conformally equivalent to the unit disk, but we can easily check that the argument is applicable to our case.

For $\mu \in L_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma), 1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$, define

$$
\beta[\mu](z)=\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(\zeta)^{2-2 q} F(z, \zeta) \mu(\zeta) d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta}, \quad z \in D
$$

Then $\beta$ is a bounded projection of $L_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)$ onto $A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)$, of norm $\leqq c_{q}$ (see [5, p. 90 and p. 101]). When $D$ is symmetric with respect to $\boldsymbol{R}$, (1.1), (1.2) and (1.4) imply

$$
\bar{F}(\bar{z}, \bar{\zeta})=-F(z, \zeta)
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{F}(\bar{z}, \bar{\zeta}) & =\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(w)^{2-2 q} F(z, w) \bar{F}(\bar{w}, \bar{\zeta}) d w \wedge d \bar{w} \\
& =\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(\bar{w})^{2-2 q} F(z, \bar{w}) \bar{F}(w, \bar{\zeta}) d w \wedge d \bar{w} \\
& =\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(w)^{2-2 q} \bar{F}(\bar{w}, z) F(\bar{\zeta}, w) d w \wedge d \bar{w} \\
& =\bar{F}(\zeta, z)=-F(z, \zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we see that $\beta[\mu] \in A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)_{\text {sym }}$ whenever $\mu \in L_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)_{\text {sym }}$, since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\beta[\mu]}(\bar{z}) & =\left(\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(\zeta)^{2-2 q} F(\bar{z}, \zeta) \mu(\zeta) d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta}\right)^{-} \\
& =\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(\bar{\zeta})^{2-2 q} F(z, \bar{\zeta}) \mu(\bar{\zeta}) d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta}=\beta[\mu](z)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that the integral operator $\beta$ above is also a bounded projection of $L_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)_{\mathrm{sym}}$ onto $A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)_{\mathrm{sym}}$ of norm $\leqq c_{q}$.

For simplicity we often write $L^{p}$ (resp. $A^{p}$ ) instead of $L_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)$ (resp. $\left.A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)\right)$ when $D=U$, and $L_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)_{\text {sym }}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)_{\text {sym }}\right)$ when $D \neq U$. We set

$$
L^{p}(V)=\left\{\mu \in L^{p} ;\left.\mu\right|_{E}=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left.A^{p}\right|_{V}=\left\{\chi_{V} \phi ; \phi \in A^{p}\right\},
$$

where $\chi_{X}$ stands for the characteristic function of a measurable subset $X$ of $D$. In what follows, we assume that the numbers $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ satisfy $1 \leqq p<\infty$ and $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1(1 / \infty=0)$.

For $\mu \in L^{p}$ and $\nu \in L^{p^{\prime}}$, we define the Petersson scalar product ( $\mu, \nu$ ) of $\mu$ and $\nu$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mu, \nu)=\iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(z)^{2-2 q} \mu(z) \bar{\nu}(z)|d z \wedge d \bar{z}| \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|(\mu, \nu)| \leqq\|\mu\|_{p}\|\nu\|_{p^{\prime}} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $(\mu, \nu)$ above is $i$ times ( $\mu, \nu$ ) in [5, p. 88]. We adopt (1.5), however, because for symmetric $\mu$ and $\nu$, we have

$$
(\mu, \nu)=2 \operatorname{Re} \iint_{U / \Gamma} \lambda_{D}(z)^{2-2 q} \mu(z) \bar{\nu}(z)|d z \wedge d \bar{z}| \in \boldsymbol{R}
$$

This scalar product establishes isometric isomorphisms between $L^{p^{\prime}}$ and $\left(L^{p}\right)^{*}$, and between $L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$ and $L^{p}(V)^{*}$, where $X^{*}$ stands for the dual space of a normed vector space $X$. These isomorphisms are anti-linear when $D=U$. By (1.1) and Fubini's theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\beta[\mu], \nu)=(\mu, \beta[\nu]) \text { for } \mu \in L^{p} \text { and } \nu \in L^{p^{\prime}} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a subset $S$ of $L^{p}$, we set

$$
S^{\perp}=\left\{\nu \in L^{p^{\prime}} ;(\mu, \nu)=0 \text { for all } \mu \in S\right\}
$$

Since $\beta$ is a projection satisfying (1.7), we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{ker} \beta) \cap L^{p^{\prime}}=\left\{\nu-\beta[\nu] ; \nu \in L^{p^{\prime}}\right\}=\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Statements of the main results. In this section we state our results on the problem in Introduction.

A closed subspace $X_{1}$ of a Banach space $X$ is said to split in $X$ if there exists a closed subspace $X_{2}$ of $X$, complementary to $X_{1}$, that is, $X_{1}+X_{2}=X$ and $X_{1} \cap X_{2}=\{0\}$.

Theorem 1. Let $1 \leqq p<\infty$ and $p^{\prime}$ satisfying $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$, and set

$$
b=\sup _{\phi \in \mathbb{A}^{p}}\left\|\chi_{V} \phi\right\|_{p}\| \| \beta\left[\chi_{V} \phi\right] \|_{p}
$$

here and in what follows, we conform to the convention:

$$
0 / 0=0, \text { and } a / 0=+\infty \quad \text { if } a>0
$$

(I) Then the following four conditions are equivalent to each other.
(a) The subspaces $\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp} \cap L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$ and $\left.A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{v}$ of the Banach space $L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$ are closed and complementary to each other. In particular, $\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp} \cap L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$ splits in $L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$.
(b) There exists a bounded linear mapping $\beta_{V}$ of $L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$ onto $A^{p^{\prime}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} \beta_{V}=\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp} \cap L^{p^{\prime}}(V)=\left\{\nu-\chi_{V} \beta_{V}[\nu] ; \nu \in L^{p^{\prime}}(V)\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) The number $b$ is finite and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{V} \cap\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp}=\{0\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) The number $b$ is finite and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left[\left.A^{p}\right|_{V}\right]=\left\{\beta\left[\chi_{V} \phi\right] ; \phi \in A^{p}\right\} \quad \text { is dense in } A^{p} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II) In (I) we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \leqq\left\|\beta_{V}\right\| \leqq c_{q} b \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. It follows from Taylor [12, §4.8] that the condition (a) of Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following:
( $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ ) There exists a bounded projection of $L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$ onto $\left.A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{V}$ with kernel $\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp} \cap L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$.

We can easily see that, for $\beta_{V}$ in the condition (b), $\chi_{V} \beta_{V}$ is a bounded projection with the property in ( $a^{\prime}$ ) above. A bounded projection in ( $a^{\prime}$ ) is unique ( $[12, \S 4.8]$ ), and $\chi_{V}:\left.A^{p^{\prime}} \rightarrow A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{V}$ is bijective. Hence, when (b) holds, a bounded linear mapping $\beta_{V}=\chi_{V}^{-1}\left(\chi_{V} \beta_{V}\right)$ is uniquely determined, and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{V} \chi_{V}=\mathrm{id} . \quad \text { on } A^{p^{\prime}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\beta_{V}$ is none other than $\beta$ whenever $E$ is a null set.

We note that an operator similar to $\beta_{V}$ has been studied from a different point of view, for example, in Schiffer-Spencer [11] and KomatsuOzawa [4].

Theorem 2. Suppose that one of the four conditions of Theorem 1 holds for $1 \leqq p<\infty$ and $p^{\prime}$ satisfying $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$. If $D=U$ (resp. $D \neq U$ ), then an anti-linear (resp. linear) isomorphism between $\left.A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{V}$ and $\left(\left.A^{p}\right|_{V}\right)^{*}$ is established by the Petersson scalar product. Furthermore, if $l \in\left(\left.A^{p}\right|_{V}\right)^{*}$ corresponds to $\left.\chi_{V} \psi \in A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{V}$ under this isomorphism, then

$$
\|l\| \leqq\left\|\chi_{V} \psi\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \leqq\left\|\chi_{V} \beta_{V}\right\|\|l\| .
$$

Finally we give a sufficient condition for $E$ under which (c) of Theorem 1 holds. To simplify the statements, we use the following notation:

$$
\begin{align*}
W(z, \zeta)= & \lambda(z)^{-q} \lambda(\zeta)^{-q}|F(z, \zeta)|, \quad z, \zeta \in D,  \tag{2.6}\\
& M(\zeta)=\sup _{z \in D} W(z, \zeta), \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
d A(z)=\lambda(z)^{2}|d z \wedge d \bar{z}|
$$

Theorem 3. When $p=1$ and $p^{\prime}=\infty$, suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E / \Gamma} M^{2} d A<\infty \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Area}(E / \Gamma)=\int_{E / \Gamma} d A<\infty \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $1<p<2<p^{\prime}<\infty$ or $1<p^{\prime}<2<p<\infty$, suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E / \Gamma} W(z, z)^{t} d A(z)<\infty \quad \text { for } \quad t=p / 2 \text { and } p^{\prime} / 2 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E / \Gamma} M d A<\infty \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $p=p^{\prime}=2$, suppose that

$$
\int_{E / \Gamma} W(z, z) d A(z)<\infty .
$$

Then we have (2.2) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\phi \in \mathbb{A}^{p}}\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|_{\mathcal{P}} /\left\|\beta\left[\chi_{\nu} \phi\right]\right\|_{p}<\infty \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, (c) of Theorem 1 holds.
Here we note that (2.8) and (2.9) imply (2.11).
It is obvious that $W(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous and $M$ is lower semi-continuous. Moreover, from results due to Bers [1], Earle [2], Lehner [6, 7], and Metzger and Rajeswara Rao [8], we can derive an estimate for $M$ and a condition under which $M$ is bounded. Namely, we have the following:

Proposition 1. For each real $t>1$ and a fixed (holomorphic) universal covering $\rho: \Delta=\{|w|<1\} \rightarrow D$, we have

$$
M(z) \leqq C \inf \left\{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{-t} ; w \in \rho^{-1}(z)\right\}
$$

where the constant $C$ depends on $q, t, \rho$ and $\Gamma$.
Proposition 2. If $A^{1} \subset A^{\infty}$, then $M$ is bounded. In particular, if a Fuchsian model $G$ of $\Gamma$ satisfies the condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \{|\operatorname{trace} g| ; g \text { is hyperbolic and in } G\}>2, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $M$ is bounded.
We regard the condition (2.13) above to hold, when $G$ contains no hyperbolic elements. Note that the left hand-side of (2.13) is independent of the choice of $G$. By Theorem 3 and Proposition 2, we easily obtain:

Theorem 4. Suppose that $\operatorname{Area}(E / \Gamma)<\infty$ and $A^{1} \subset A^{\infty}$. Then, for $1 \leqq p<\infty$ and $p^{\prime}$ satisfying $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$, (2.2) and (2.12) hold.
3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. We use the following result due to Bers [1]:

Proposition B. For $1 \leqq p<\infty$ with $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$, the Petersson scalar product induces an isomorphism between $A^{p^{\prime}}$ and $\left(A^{p}\right)^{*}$, and this isomorphism is anti-linear if $D=U$. Furthermore, for $\psi \in A^{p^{\prime}}$ and $l \in\left(A^{p}\right)^{*}$ corresponding to each other under this isomorphism, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|l\| \leqq\|\psi\|_{p^{\prime}} \leqq c_{q}\|l\| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition B follows fromL emma 1 below.
Lemma 1. Let $X$ be a Banach space, $A$ a subspace of $X$, and $\subset$ the inclusion map of $A$ into $X$. Let $\rho$ be a bounded projection of a Banach space $Y$ onto a closed subspace $B$ of $Y$, and let $\tau$ be an isometric isomorphism of $Y$ onto $X^{*}$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(\operatorname{ker} \rho)=\left\{l \in X^{*} ; l(a)=0 \text { for all } a \in A\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there is an isomorphism $\tilde{\tau}$ of $B$ onto $A^{*}$ such that $\iota^{*} \tau=\tilde{\tau} \rho$, where
$\iota^{*}: X^{*} \rightarrow A^{*}$ is the conjugate mapping of $\iota$, and

$$
\|\tilde{\tau}(y)\| \leqq\|y\| \leqq\|\rho\|\|\tilde{\tau}(y)\| \quad \text { for all } y \in B
$$

Proof. Since $\iota^{*}(l) \in A^{*}$ is the restriction of $l \in X^{*}$ to $A$, (3.2) implies $\operatorname{ker} \rho=\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*} \tau\right)$. Hence the existence of $\tilde{\tau}$ is trivial. Note that $c^{*}$ is surjective by the Hahn-Banach theorem. Since $\rho(y)=y$ for every $y \in B$, we have $\|\tilde{\tau}(y)\|=\left\|c^{*} \tau(y)\right\| \leqq\|y\|$ for $y \in B$. Let $l^{\prime} \in X^{*}$ be one of the norm-preserving extensions of $l=\tilde{\tau}(y) \in A^{*}, y \in B$, by the Hahn-Banach theorem. Then $\|y\|=\left\|\rho \tau^{-1}\left(l^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leqq\|\rho\|\left\|l^{\prime}\right\|=\|\rho\|\|l\|$.

Let $X=L^{p}, A=A^{p}, \rho=\beta, Y=L^{p^{\prime}}$ and $B=A^{p^{\prime}}$, and let $\tau$ be the isomorphism induced by the Petersson scalar product. Since (1.8) implies (3.2), we obtain Proposition B.

Proof of Theorem 1. (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b): By Remark following Theorem 1, it suffices to show that ( $a^{\prime}$ ) implies (b). Suppose that ( $a^{\prime}$ ) holds. Then, since ( $a^{\prime}$ ) is equivalent to (a), the subspace $\left.A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{V}$ is closed in $L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$, thus $\left.A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{V}$ is a Banach space. Then, by Taylor [12, Theorem $4.2-\mathrm{H}$ ], $\chi_{V}$ is an isomorphism of $A^{p^{\prime}}$ onto $\left.A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{V}$. Hence we can take $\chi_{V}^{-1} \pi$ to be $\beta_{V}$ in (b), where $\pi$ is the bounded projection in ( $a^{\prime}$ ).
$(2.2) \Leftrightarrow(2.3)$ (hence $(c) \Leftrightarrow(d))$ : Suppose that (2.3) does not hold. Then there is a non-zero $l \in\left(A^{p}\right)^{*}$ such that $\operatorname{ker} l \supset \beta\left[\left.A^{p}\right|_{V}\right]$. It follows from Proposition B that there is a non-zero $\psi \in A^{p^{\prime}}$ for which $l(\cdot)=(\cdot, \psi)$. Thus by (1.7) we see that for all $\phi \in A^{p}, 0=\left(\beta\left[\chi_{\nu} \phi\right], \psi\right)=\left(\chi_{V} \phi, \beta[\psi]\right)=$ $\left(\chi_{V} \dot{\phi}, \psi\right)=\left(\phi, \chi_{V} \psi\right)$. Hence $\left.A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{V} \cap\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp} \neq\{0\}$. Conversely, let $\chi_{V} \psi \in$ $\left.A^{p}\right|_{V} \cap\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp}$. Then we see that $0=\left(\phi, \chi_{V} \psi\right)=\left(\beta\left[\chi_{V} \phi\right]\right.$, $\left.\psi\right)$ for all $\phi \in A^{p}$. By (2.3) and Proposition B, we have $\psi=0$.
$(d) \Rightarrow(b)$ : The condition (d) implies that the bounded linear operator $\beta:\left.A^{p}\right|_{V} \rightarrow \beta\left[\left.A^{p}\right|_{V}\right] \subset A^{p}$ has a bounded inverse $\beta^{-1}$ which is defined on the dense subspace $\beta\left[\left.A^{p}\right|_{V}\right]$ of $A^{p}$ and maps $\beta\left[\left.A^{p}\right|_{V}\right]$ into $L^{p}(V)$. Then the conjugate operator $\left(\beta^{-1}\right)^{*}$ of $\beta^{-1}$ is defined on $L^{p}(V)^{*}$, which maps $L^{p}(V)^{*}$ onto $\left(A^{p}\right)^{*}\left(\left[12\right.\right.$, Theorem 4.7-A]); $\left(\beta^{-1}\right)^{*}$ is bounded, in fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\beta^{-1}\right)^{*}\right\|=\left\|\beta^{-1}\right\|=b \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

([12, p. 214]), and $\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta^{-1}\right)^{*}=\left(\left.A^{p}\right|_{V}\right)^{\perp}\left(\subset L^{p}(V)^{*}\right)$ ([12, Theorem 4.6-C]). We define $\beta_{V}$ as the mapping of $L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$ to $A^{p^{\prime}}$ induced by $\left(\beta^{-1}\right)^{*}$ by means of the isomorphism of Proposition B and the isometric isomorphism between $L^{p}(V)^{*}$ and $L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$. It is obvious that $\beta_{V}$ is a bounded surjective linear mapping whose kernel is $\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp} \cap L^{p^{\prime}}(V)=\left(\left.A^{p}\right|_{V}\right)^{\perp} \quad\left(\subset L^{p^{\prime}}(V)\right)$. The estimate (2.4) follows from (3.1) and (3.3). By the definition of $\beta_{V}$, we have
(3.4) $\quad\left(\chi_{V} \phi, \nu\right)=\left(\beta\left[\chi_{V} \phi\right], \beta_{V}[\nu]\right)$ for all $\phi \in A^{p}$ and $\nu \in L^{p^{\prime}}(V)$.

Since $\left(\chi_{\nu} \phi, \nu\right)=(\phi, \nu)$ and $\left(\beta\left[\chi_{\nu} \phi\right], \beta_{V}[\nu]\right)=\left(\phi, \chi_{V} \beta_{V}[\nu]\right)$, we have

$$
\nu-\chi_{V} \beta_{V}[\nu] \in\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp} \cap L^{p^{\prime}}(V) \text { for all } \nu \in L^{p^{\prime}}(V) .
$$

Since $\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp} \cap L^{p^{\prime}}(V) \subset\left\{\nu-\chi_{V} \beta_{V}[\nu] ; \nu \in L^{p^{\prime}}(V)\right\}$ is obvious, we obtain (2.1).
(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c): From (2.1) we obtain (3.4). This and (1.6) imply

$$
\left\|\chi_{V} \dot{\phi}\right\|_{p}=\sup _{\nu \in L^{p}(V)}\left|\left(\chi_{V} \dot{\phi}, \nu\right)\right| /\|\nu\|_{p^{\prime}} \leqq\left\|\beta\left[\chi_{V} \dot{\phi}\right]\right\|_{p}\left\|\beta_{V}\right\|
$$

hence $b \leqq\left\|\beta_{V}\right\|<\infty$. Next, let $\left.\chi_{V} \psi \in\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp} \cap A^{p^{\prime}}\right|_{V} . \quad$ From (2.5) and (2.1), we see $\psi=\beta_{V}\left[\chi_{V} \psi\right]=0$. Hence we have (2.2).

Theorem 2 follows easily from Theorem 1 and Lemma 1.
4. Proofs of Theorem 3 and Propositions 1 and 2. Again we begin by presenting some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 2. For $1 \leqq p<\infty$ and $p^{\prime}$ satisfying $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda(z)^{-q}\|F(\cdot, z)\|_{p^{\prime}} \leqq c_{q}^{1 / p^{\prime}} M(z)^{1 / p} \quad\left(c_{q}^{1 / \infty}=1\right),  \tag{4.1}\\
& \lambda(z)^{-q}\|F(\cdot, z)\|_{2}=W(z, z)^{1 / 2},  \tag{4.2}\\
& \lambda(z)^{-q}|\dot{\phi}(z)| \leqq c_{q}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\|\phi\|_{p} M(z)^{1 / p} \quad \text { for } \quad \phi \in A^{p}, \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(z)^{-q}|\phi(z)| \leqq\|\phi\|_{2} W(z, z)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { for } \quad \phi \in A^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Hölder's inequality we have

$$
\|F(\cdot, z)\|_{p^{\prime}} \leqq\|F(\cdot, z)\|_{1}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\|F(\cdot, z)\|_{\infty}^{1 / p}
$$

Since $M(z)=\lambda(z)^{-q}\|F(\cdot, z)\|_{\infty}$, (4.1) follows from (1.1) and (1.3). Next, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F(\cdot, z)\|_{2}^{2} & =\int_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(\zeta)^{-2 q} \bar{F}(\zeta, z) F(\zeta, z) d A(\zeta) \\
& =-i \iint_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(\zeta)^{2-2 q} F(z, \zeta) F(\zeta, z) d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta} \\
& =-i F(z, z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we get (4.2) by (2.6). Finally, by (1.4), (1.1) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
|\dot{\phi}(z)| \leqq\|\phi\|_{p}\|F(\cdot, z)\|_{p^{\prime}}
$$

Thus (4.3) and (4.4) follow from (4.1) and (4.2), respectively.
By (4.3), (4.4) and Lebesgue's convergence theorem, we have the following:

Lemma 3. Let $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $A^{p}, 1 \leqq p<\infty$, such that $\left\{\left\|\dot{\phi}_{n}\right\|_{p}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n}=0$. Suppose that $\int_{E / \Gamma} W(z, z) d A(z)<\infty$ if $p=2$, and that $\int_{E / \Gamma} M d A<\infty$ if $p \neq 2$. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\chi_{E} \phi_{n}\right\|_{p}=0$.

Lemma 4. If $\phi \in A^{2}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left[\chi_{E} \phi\right]=\phi, \quad \text { i.e., } \quad \beta\left[\chi_{\nu} \phi\right]=0, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\phi=0$.
Proof. $\int_{V / \Gamma} \lambda^{-2 q}|\phi|^{2} d A=\left(\chi_{V} \phi, \phi\right)=\left(\chi_{V} \phi, \beta\left[\chi_{E} \phi\right]\right)=\left(\beta\left[\chi_{\nu} \phi\right], \chi_{E} \dot{\phi}\right)=0$. Hence $\chi_{\nu} \phi=0$ and the assertion follows from $\operatorname{Area}(V / \Gamma)>0$.

Lemma 5. On the same assumption as in Theorem 3, if $\dot{\phi} \in A^{p} \cup A^{p^{\prime}}$ satisfies (4.5) then $\phi=0$.

Proof. It suffices to show $\phi \in A^{2}$.
The case $p=1, p^{\prime}=\infty$ : Let $\phi \in A^{\infty}$. Then $\chi_{E} \phi \in L^{2}$ by (2.9), hence $\phi=\beta\left[\chi_{E} \phi\right] \in A^{2}$. On the other hand, if $\phi \in A^{1}$, then by (4.3) and (2.8) we have

$$
\left\|\chi_{E} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{E / \Gamma} \lambda^{-2 q}|\dot{\phi}|^{2} d A \leqq \int_{E / \Gamma}\left(\|\dot{\phi}\|_{1} M\right)^{2} d A<\infty
$$

This implies $\phi \in A^{2}$.
The case $1<p<\infty, p \neq 2$ : Let $\phi \in A^{p}$. By (4.5), Minkowski's inequality (Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya [3, Theorem 202]), (4.2) and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{D / \Gamma}\right.\left.\lambda^{-2 q}|\phi|^{2} d A\right)^{1 / 2} \\
&=\left(\int_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(z)^{-2 q}\left|\int_{E / \Gamma} \lambda(\zeta)^{-2 q} F(z, \zeta) \phi(\zeta) d A(\zeta)\right|^{2} d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq \int_{E / \Gamma} \lambda(\zeta)^{-2 q}|\dot{\phi}(\zeta)|\left(\int_{D / \Gamma} \lambda(z)^{-2 q}|F(z, \zeta)|^{2} d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2} d A(\zeta) \\
& \quad=\int_{E / \Gamma} \lambda(\zeta)^{-q}|\phi(\zeta)| W(\zeta, \zeta)^{1 / 2} d A(\zeta) \\
& \leqq\|\dot{\phi}\|_{p}\left(\int_{E / \Gamma} W(\zeta, \zeta)^{p^{\prime} / 2} d A(\zeta)\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by (2.10) we see $\phi \in A^{2}$. The same holds for $\phi \in A^{p^{\prime}}$, because the assumption is symmetric for $p$ and $p^{\prime}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. First, we show (2.2). Suppose that $\psi \in A^{p^{\prime}}$ satisfies $\chi_{V} \psi \in\left(A^{p}\right)^{\perp}$. Then by (1.8) we have $\beta\left[\chi_{V} \psi\right]=0$. Thus (2.2)
follows from Lemmas 4 and 5. Next, we show (2.12). Suppose that (2.12) does not hold. Then there is a sequence $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $A^{p}$ such that $\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|_{p}=1$ for each $n$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\beta\left[\chi_{\nu} \phi_{n}\right]\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ is a normal family, by taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\phi_{n}$ converges to some $\phi$ in $A^{p},\|\phi\|_{p} \leqq 1$, uniformly on compact subsets of $D$. Let $\Delta^{\prime}$ be a relatively compact disk in $D$ such that $\Delta^{\prime} \cap \gamma\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\varnothing$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma-\{i d\}$, and let $\chi$ be the characteristic function of $\Gamma\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\cup_{r \in \Gamma} \gamma\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$. Then we have $\left\|\left(\phi-\phi_{n}\right) \chi\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|\left(\phi_{n}-\beta\left[\chi_{E} \phi_{n}\right]\right) \chi\right\|_{p} \leqq\left\|\beta\left[\chi_{V} \phi_{n}\right]\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0$. Since $\left\|\phi-\phi_{n}\right\|_{p} \leqq 2$, by Lemma 3 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\beta\left[\chi_{E}\left(\phi-\phi_{n}\right)\right]\right\|_{p} \leqq c_{q}\left\|\chi_{E}\left(\phi-\dot{\phi}_{n}\right)\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we obtain $\left\|\left(\phi-\beta\left[\chi_{E} \phi\right]\right) \chi\right\|_{p} \leqq\left\|\left(\phi-\phi_{n}\right) \chi\right\|_{p}+\left\|\left(\phi_{n}-\beta\left[\chi_{E} \phi_{n}\right]\right) \chi\right\|_{p}+$ $\left\|\chi_{\beta}\left[\chi_{E}\left(\phi-\phi_{n}\right)\right]\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0$, that is, $\phi=\beta\left[\chi_{E} \phi\right]$ on $\Gamma\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$, and hence on $D$. By Lemmas 4 and 5 we have $\phi=0$ and hence

$$
1=\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|_{p} \leqq\left\|\beta\left[\chi_{\nu} \phi_{n}\right]\right\|_{p}+\left\|\beta\left[\chi_{E}\left(\phi_{n}-\phi\right)\right]\right\|_{p},
$$

a contradiction to (4.6) and (4.7).
For a Fuchsian group $G$ acting on the unit disk $\Delta$, we denote by $A_{q}^{p}(\Delta, G), 1 \leqq p<\infty$, (resp. $\left.A_{q}^{\infty}(\Delta, G)\right)$ the Banach space of all the $p$ integrable (resp. bounded) holomorphic automorphic forms of weight $-2 q$ on $\Delta$ for $G$. When $G$ is the trivial group $1=\{i d\}$, the spaces $A_{t}^{p}(\Delta, 1)$, $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$, can be defined for all real $t>0$.

Bers [1, p. 199] has shown that $A_{t}^{1}(\Delta, 1) \subset A_{t}^{\infty}(\Delta, 1)$ for all real $t \geqq 2$, and the inclusion map is continuous. Earle [2] has shown that for all real $t>1, A_{q}^{1}(\Delta, G) \subset A_{q+t}^{1}(\Delta, 1)$ with a continuous inclusion map.

Proof of Proposition 1. Let $G$ be the Fuchsian model of $\Gamma$ induced by a universal covering $\rho: \Delta \rightarrow D$. The map: $\phi \mapsto(\phi \circ \rho)\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{q}$ is an isometric isomorphism of $A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)$ onto $A_{q}^{p}(\Delta, G), 1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$. By the above results due to Bers and Earle, we may regard this map to be a continuous mapping of $A_{q}^{1}(D, \Gamma)$ into $A_{q+t}^{\infty}(\Delta, 1)$ for $t>1$. In particular, we have

$$
\sup _{w \in \Delta} \lambda_{\Delta}(w)^{-(q+t)}\left|F(\rho w, \zeta)\left\|\left.\rho^{\prime}(w)\right|^{q} \leqq C^{\prime}\right\| F(\cdot, \zeta) \|_{1}, \quad \zeta \in D\right.
$$

where $\lambda_{\Delta}(w)=\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{-1}$ is the hyperbolic metric for $\Delta$ with constant negative curvature -4 , and $C^{\prime}$ is a constant depending only on $q, t, \rho$ and $\Gamma$. Hence by (2.6), (1.1) and (1.3) we see that

$$
W(\zeta, z) \leqq c_{q} C^{\prime} \lambda_{\Delta}(w)^{t}, \quad w \in \Delta, \quad z=\rho(w) \in D \quad \text { and } \quad \zeta \in D
$$

This implies the assertion.
For $w$ and $\xi$ in $\Delta$, we set

$$
K_{\Delta}(w, \xi)=(2 q-1) i /\left\{2 \pi(1-w \bar{\xi})^{2 q}\right\} .
$$

For a Fuchsian group $G$ acting on $\Delta$, define

$$
\alpha_{\Delta}(w, \xi)=\sum_{g \in G} K_{\Delta}(g w, \xi) g^{\prime}(w)^{q} .
$$

Metzger and Rajeswara Rao [8] has proved that $A_{q}^{1}(\Delta, G) \subset A_{q}^{\infty}(\Delta, G)$ if and only if $\sup _{w \in \Lambda} \lambda_{\Lambda}(w)^{-2 q}\left|\alpha_{\Delta}(w, w)\right|<\infty$, for an arbitrary Fuchsian group $G$. Lehner [6, 7] has proved that if a Fuchsian group $G$ satisfies the condition (2.13), then $A_{q}^{1}(\Delta, G) \subset A_{q}^{\infty}(\Delta, G)$.

Proof of Proposition 2. Let $\rho: \Delta \rightarrow D$ be a universal covering which induces the Fuchsian model $G$ of $\Gamma$. As in the proof of Proposition 1, $\rho$ induces an isometric isomorphism of $A_{q}^{p}(D, \Gamma)$ onto $A_{q}^{p}(\Delta, G)$, $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$. Obviously, $A^{1} \subset A^{\infty}$ if and only if $A_{q}^{1}(\Delta, G) \subset A_{q}^{\infty}(\Delta, G)$. Hence it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\Delta}(w, w)=F_{D, \Gamma}(\rho w, \rho w)\left|\rho^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2 q}, \quad w \in \Delta, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in D} M(z) \leqq \sup _{z \in D} W(z, z) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [5, p. 101] we see that $\alpha_{\Delta}(\cdot, \xi) \in \cap_{1 \leq p \leq \infty} A_{q}^{p}(\Delta, G)$ and $\alpha_{\Delta}$ possesses the properties corresponding to (1.1) and (1.4), that is,

$$
\alpha_{\Delta}(w, \xi)=-\bar{\alpha}_{\Delta}(\xi, w)
$$

and

$$
\phi(w)=\iint_{\Delta / G} \lambda_{\Delta}(\xi)^{2-2 q} \alpha_{\Delta}(w, \xi) \phi(\xi) d \xi \wedge d \bar{\xi}
$$

for every $\phi \in A_{q}^{p}(\Delta, G), 1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$, respectively. Define $\alpha_{D}(z, \zeta), z$ and $\zeta \in D$, via

$$
\alpha_{D}\left(\rho w, \rho_{\xi}\right) \rho^{\prime}(w)^{q} \bar{\rho}^{\prime}(\xi)^{q}=\alpha_{\Delta}(w, \xi)
$$

Then $\alpha_{D}$ is well-defined and satisfies (1.1), (1.2) and (1.4). Since such a function is unique, we see $\alpha_{D}=F_{D, \Gamma}$. Hence we obtain (4.8).

Next, we have

$$
F(z, \zeta)=i(F(\cdot, \zeta), F(\cdot, z))
$$

Thus it follows from (1.6) and (4.2) that

$$
W(z, \zeta)^{2} \leqq W(z, z) W(\zeta, \zeta)
$$

This inequality yields (4.9).
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