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#### Abstract

We investigate the problem of the classification of smooth projective toric varieties $V$ of dimension $d$ with a given Picard number $\rho$ over an algebraically closed field. For that purpose we introduce a convenient combinatorial description of such varieties by means of primitive relations among $d+\rho$ integral generators of the associated complete regular fan of convex cones in $d$-dimensional real space. The main conjecture asserts that the number of the primitive relations is bounded by an absolute constant depending only on $\rho$. We prove this conjecture for $\rho \leq 3$ and give the classification of $d$-dimensional smooth complete toric varieties with $\rho=3$.


1. Introduction. Let $k$ be an arbitrary algebraically closed field. A $d$-dimensional algebraic torus $T$ is a product of $d$ copies of the multiplicative group $k^{*}$ of $k$. A toric variety $V$ is a normal algebraic variety containing $T$ as a Zariski open dense subset with an algebraic action of $T$ on $V$ which extends the group law of $T$. Any toric variety can be described by a finite system of cones spanned by integer points in the real space $\boldsymbol{R}^{d}$. The reader is referred to [1] for the precise definitions.

In this paper we restrict ourselves to complete smooth toric varieties $V$. Moreover, we shall often assume that $V$ is a projective toric variety.

One can notice that any description of smooth toric varieties has two sides: the combinatorial structure of the corresponding fan and unimodularity conditions on its generators. The weighted triangulations of ( $d-1$ )-dimensional sphere introduced in [7] is an example of such a description. One of our objectives is to give a new description of complete smooth toric varieties.

In $\S 2$ we introduce the notion of a primitive collection of generators and the notion of an associated primitive relations among generators. We use these notions to describe toric varieties. If a toric variety $V$ is projective we define also the degree of a primitive relation and the distance between a generator and a $d$-dimensional cone of the corresponding fan $\Sigma(V)$.

All these notions are used in $\S 3$ to get some properties of the combinatorial structure of a $d$-dimensional fan $\Sigma(V)$ associated with a toric variety $V$. It should be remarked that if the Picard number $\rho(V) \geq 3$ there exist combinatorial types of simplicial polytopes which do not give rise to any complete regular fan defining a smooth toric variety [2]. We prove that an arbitrary $d$-dimensional projective regular fan of cones has a primitive
collection $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ of its generators such that $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}=0$. The last statement is a generalization of a result of Oda in [7] for $d=2$.

Our next purpose is the classification of several types of smooth complete toric varieties. This problem for $d \leq 3$ was investigated by Oda and Miyake in [7]. They obtained the list of all 3-dimensional smooth complete toric varieties with the Picard number $\rho \leq 5$ which cannot be blown down. It is easy to see that the projective space is the unique smooth complete $d$-dimensional toric variety with $\rho=1$. Recently Kleinschmidt [4] has classified all smooth complete $d$-dimensional toric varieties with $\rho=2$. It turns out that all such varieties are projectivizations of a decomposable bundle over a projective space of a smaller dimension. In this paper we give two generalizations of this result of Kleinschmidt. First in $\S 4$ we give a criterion for a smooth complete $d$-dimensional toric variety $V$ to be produced from a projective space by a sequence of projectivizations of decomposable bundles. On the other hand, in §§5-6 we give the classification of all smooth complete $d$-dimensional toric varieties with $\rho=3$.

In $\S 5$ we prove strong combinatorial restrictions on a $d$-dimensional fan $\Sigma$ with $d+3$ generators which generalize the result of Gretenkort, Kleinschmidt and Sturmfels [2]. After that in $\S 6$ we find all primitive relations describing $\Sigma$. Finally, in $\S 7$ we state some open questions.
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2. Basic definitions. We first recall some standard definitions used in the geometry of toric varieties (see [1]).
2.1. Definition. A convex subset $\sigma \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{d}$ is called a regular d-dimensional cone if there exists a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$ of the integer lattice $\boldsymbol{Z}^{d} \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{d}$ such that

$$
\sigma=\left\{\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{d} e_{d} \mid \lambda_{i} \in \boldsymbol{R}, \lambda_{i} \geq 0\right\} .
$$

In this case the elements $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}$ are called generators of $\Sigma$.
2.2. Definition. Let $\sigma \in \boldsymbol{R}^{d}$ be an arbitrary regular $d$-dimensional cone with generators $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d} \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{d}$. For any subset $E \subset\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$ we denote by $L(E)$ the linear hull of $E$ (if $E=\varnothing$, we let $L(E)=0$ ). Then we call $\sigma^{\prime}=L(E) \cap \sigma$ a face of $\sigma$ and we write $\sigma^{\prime} \prec \sigma$.
2.3. Definition. A convex subset $\sigma^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{d}$ is called a regular $k$-dimensional cone if there exist a regular $d$-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \boldsymbol{R}^{d}$ and a subset $E$ of its generators such
that $k=\operatorname{dim} L(E)$ and $\sigma^{\prime}=L(E) \cap \sigma$ is a face of $\sigma$. In this case we call $E$ the set of generators of $\sigma^{\prime}$.
2.4. Definition. A finite system $\Sigma=\left\{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{s}\right\}$ of regular cones in $\boldsymbol{R}^{d}$ is called a complete regular $d$-dimensional fan if the following conditions hold:
(i) if $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}<\sigma$ then $\sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma$;
(ii) if $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ are in $\Sigma$, then $\sigma \cap \sigma^{\prime} \prec \sigma$ and $\sigma \cap \sigma^{\prime} \prec \sigma^{\prime}$;
(iii) $\boldsymbol{R}^{d}=\sigma_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \sigma_{s}$.

We call any generator of a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ a generator of $\Sigma$.
Every complete regular $d$-dimensional fan $\Sigma$ is associated with a smooth complete $d$-dimensional toric variety $V(\Sigma)$. Moreover, two smooth complete $d$-dimensional toric varieties $V(\Sigma)$ and $V\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic algebraic varieties if and only if the corresponding fans $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ are isomorphic up to unimodular transformation of $\boldsymbol{Z}^{d}$.
2.5. Definition. A complete regular $d$-dimensional fan $\Sigma$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{d}$ is said to be projective if there exists a function $\varphi: \boldsymbol{R}^{d} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ such that
(i) $\varphi\left(\boldsymbol{Z}^{\boldsymbol{d}}\right) \subset \boldsymbol{Z}$;
(ii) $\varphi$ is a linear function on each cone of $\Sigma$;
(iii) for two arbitrary distinct $d$-dimensional cones $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ in $\Sigma$ the restrictions $\left.\varphi\right|_{\sigma}$ and $\left.\varphi\right|_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ are different linear functions;
(iv) $\varphi$ is a convex function: $\varphi(x)+\varphi(y) \geq \varphi(x+y)$ for all $x, y \in \boldsymbol{R}^{d}$.

We call such a function $\varphi$ a support function on $\Sigma$.
It is well-known that a smooth complete $d$-dimensional toric variety $V(\Sigma)$ is a projective variety if and only if the corresponding fan $\Sigma$ has a support function $\varphi$ (see [1], [7]).

We introduce now our new definitions.
Let $\Sigma$ be a complete regular $d$-dimensional fan and Let $G(\Sigma)$ be the set of all generators of $\Sigma$.
2.6. Definition. A nonempty subset $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\} \subset G(\Sigma)$ is called a primitive collection if for each generator $x_{i} \in \mathscr{P}$ the elements of $\mathscr{P} \backslash\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ generate a $(k-1)$ dimensional cone in $\Sigma$, while $\mathscr{P}$ does not generate any $k$-dimensional cone in $\Sigma$.
2.7. Definition. Let $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ be a primitive collection in $G(\Sigma)$. Let $S(\mathscr{P})$ denote $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}$. The focus $\sigma(\mathscr{P})$ of $\mathscr{P}$ is the cone in $\Sigma$ of the smallest dimension containing $S(\mathscr{P})$. (It follows from 2.4 (iii) that such $\sigma(\mathscr{P})$ exists.)
2.8. Definition. Let $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ be a primitive collection in $G(\Sigma)$ and $\sigma(\mathscr{P})$ its focus. Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ be generators of $\sigma(\mathscr{P})$. It follows from 2.1-2.3 that there exists a unique linear combination $n_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+n_{m} y_{m}$ with positive integer coefficients $n_{i}$ which is equal to $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}$. Then the linear relation

$$
x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}-n_{1} y_{1}-\cdots-n_{m} y_{m}=0
$$

is called the primitive relation associated with $\mathscr{P}$ and is denoted by $\mathscr{R}(\mathscr{P})$.
Suppose that $\Sigma$ is a projective regular $d$-dimensional fan with a support function $\varphi$.
2.9. Definition. Let $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ be a primitive collection in $G(\Sigma)$ and let

$$
x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}-n_{1} y_{1}-\cdots-n_{m} y_{m}=0
$$

be the associated primitive relation. The integer

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\varphi}(\mathscr{P}) & =\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\varphi\left(x_{k}\right)-n_{1} \varphi\left(y_{1}\right)-\cdots-n_{m} \varphi\left(y_{m}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\varphi\left(x_{k}\right)-\varphi\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is called the degree of $\mathscr{P}$ relative to $\varphi$. (It follows from 2.5 (iii), and 2.5 (iv) that $D_{\varphi}(\mathscr{P})$ is always a positive integer.)
2.10. Definition. Let $\sigma$ be an arbitrary $d$-dimensional cone in $\Sigma$ with generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ and let $x$ be an element of $G(\Sigma)$. There exists a unique linear combination $a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{d} x_{d}$ with integer coefficients $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}$ which is equal to $x$. The integer

$$
d_{\varphi}(x, \sigma)=\varphi(x)-a_{1} \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-\cdots-a_{d} \varphi\left(x_{d}\right)
$$

is called the distance between $x$ and $\sigma$. (It follows from 2.5 (iii), and 2.5 (iv) that $d_{\varphi}(x, \sigma) \geq 0$, and $d_{\varphi}(x, \sigma)=0$ if and only if $x \in \sigma$.)
2.11. Definition. Let $\sigma$ be an arbitrary $d$-dimensional cone in $\Sigma$ with generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ and let $x$ be an element of $G(\Sigma)$. We call $x$ a nearest generator of $\Sigma$ relative to $\sigma$ if $x \notin \sigma$ and for any generator $x^{\prime} \notin \sigma$, one has $d_{\varphi}(x, \sigma) \leq d_{\varphi}\left(x^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$. (It is possible that $\sigma$ has several nearest generators.)

We recall the computation of the $\operatorname{Picard} \operatorname{group} \operatorname{Pic}(V(\Sigma))$ of a smooth toric variety $V(\Sigma)$ associated with a regular fan $\Sigma$ (see [1], [6], [7]).
2.12. Proposition. There exists a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow Z^{d} \xrightarrow{\psi} F \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(V(\Sigma)) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $F$ is the free abelian group whose generators are the elements of $G(\Sigma)$, and the map $\psi$ is defined by the integer matrix $\Psi$ whose rows consist of coordinates of the corresponding elements of $G(\Sigma)$.
2.13. Corollary. If $\Sigma$ is a complete regular fan, then the dual group

$$
\operatorname{Pic}(V(\Sigma))^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Pic}(V(\Sigma)), Z)
$$

can be identified with the group $A_{1}(V(\Sigma))$ of algebraic 1-cycles modulo numerical equivalence, and it consists of all possible linear relations with integer coefficients among the elements of $G(\Sigma) \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{d}$.
2.14. Remark. The group $\operatorname{Pic}(V(\Sigma))$ consists of all functions $\delta: \boldsymbol{R}^{d} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ which satisfy 2.5 (i), (ii) modulo integral linear functions. If

$$
a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} x_{k}=0
$$

is an integral linear relation among generators of $\Sigma$, which is an element $R$ of $A_{1}(V(\Sigma))$, then

$$
\langle R, \delta\rangle=a_{1} \delta\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+a_{k} \delta\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

is the corresponding intersection number. Obviously, this number does not change its value if we replace $\delta$ by a sum $\delta+f$, where $f: \boldsymbol{R}^{d} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ is an integral linear function. In particular, the degree of a primitive collection relative to a support function $\varphi$ is also an intersection number.

We finish this paragraph by the following important theorem.
2.15. Theorem. Let $\Sigma$ be a projective regular d-dimensional fan of cones in $\boldsymbol{R}^{d}$ and let $\operatorname{Pr}(\Sigma)$ be the cone generated in $A_{1}(V(\Sigma)) \otimes \boldsymbol{R}$ by all primitive relations. Then $\operatorname{Pr}(\Sigma)$ coincides with Mori's cone $\overline{N E}(V(\Sigma))$ of effective 1-cycles (see [9]).

The proof of this theorem is contained in [6], [8], [9].
3. Some properties. Let $\Sigma$ be a complete regular $d$-dimensional fan of cones in $\boldsymbol{R}^{d}$.
3.1. Proposition. Let $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ be a primitive collection in $G(\Sigma)$ with the focus $\sigma(\mathscr{P})$. Then $\mathscr{P} \cap \sigma(\mathscr{P})=\varnothing$.

Proof. Let $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\}$ be the generators of $\sigma(\mathscr{P})$. It is sufficient to prove that $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\} \cap\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\}=\varnothing$. Assume, for instance, that $x_{1}=y_{1}$. It follows from the definition of primitive collections that the element $x=x_{2}+\cdots+x_{k}$ is in the interior of the ( $k-1$ )-dimensional cone $\sigma^{\prime}$ generated by $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$. On the other hand, it follows from the equality $x_{1}=y_{1}$ and the primitive relation

$$
x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}-n_{1} y_{1}-\cdots-n_{m} y_{m}=0
$$

that

$$
x_{2}+\cdots+x_{k}=\left(n_{1}-1\right) y_{1}+\cdots+n_{m} y_{m},
$$

and the element $x=x_{2}+\cdots+x_{k}$ is in the interior of the cone $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ generated by $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ (if $n_{1}>1$ ), or by $y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}$, (if $n_{1}=1$ ). By 2.4 (ii), one has $\sigma^{\prime}=\sigma^{\prime \prime}$. The last equality is possible only if $\left\{x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\}$ and $n_{1}=2, n_{2}=\cdots=n_{m}=1$, or if $\left\{x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}=\left\{y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\}$ and $n_{1}=n_{2}=\cdots=n_{m}=1$.

If $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ is generated by $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\mathrm{m}}\right\}$, then $y_{1}$ must coincide with one $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$. This contradicts the assumption that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ are different generators of $\Sigma$.

If $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ is generated by $\left\{y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\}$, then $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\}$. This contradicts the fact that $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ are generators of $\sigma(\mathscr{P})$.

Now we assume that $\Sigma$ is a projective regular $d$-dimensional fan of cones in $\boldsymbol{R}^{d}$ with a support function $\varphi$.
3.2. Proposition. There exists a primitive collection $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ in $G(\Sigma)$ such that the associated primitive relation is of the form

$$
x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}=0 .
$$

In the other words, the focus $\sigma(\mathscr{P})=\{0\}$.
Proof. Since $\Sigma$ is a complete fan, there exist generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in G(\Sigma)$ and positive integers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ such that

$$
a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} x_{m}=0 .
$$

We can assume that the sum

$$
a_{1} \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+a_{m} \varphi\left(x_{m}\right)
$$

has the smallest possible value $r$ (by 2.5 (iii), (iv), $r$ is a positive integer).
Now we shall prove that in fact $a_{1}=\cdots=a_{m}=1$ and $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ is a primitive collection in $G(\Sigma)$.

Obviously, $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ cannot be generators of a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$. So, there exists a subset in $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ (e.g. $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}\right\}$ ) which is a primitive collection. Let

$$
x_{1}+\cdots+x_{q}-b_{1} y_{1}-\cdots-b_{p} y_{p}=0
$$

be the corresponding primitive relation. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
r= & a_{1} \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+a_{m} \varphi\left(x_{m}\right) \\
= & \left(a_{1}-1\right) \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(a_{q}-1\right) \varphi\left(x_{q}\right) \\
& +a_{q+1} \varphi\left(x_{q+1}\right)+\cdots+a_{m} \varphi\left(x_{m}\right)+\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\varphi\left(x_{q}\right) \\
> & \left(a_{1}-1\right) \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(a_{q}-1\right) \varphi\left(x_{q}\right) \\
& +a_{q+1} \varphi\left(x_{q+1}\right)+\cdots+a_{m} \varphi\left(x_{m}\right)+b_{1} \varphi\left(y_{1}\right)+\cdots+b_{p} \varphi\left(y_{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\left(a_{1}-1\right) x_{1}+\cdots+\left(a_{q}-1\right) x_{q}+a_{q+1} x_{q+1}+\cdots+a_{m} x_{m}+b_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+b_{p} y_{p}=0
$$

This contradicts the choice of $r$ unless $a_{1}=\cdots=a_{m}=1, q=m$ and the subset of generators $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ is a primitive collection in $G(\Sigma)$.
3.3. Proposition. Let $\sigma$ be a d-dimensional cone in $\Sigma$ and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ be the generators of $\sigma$. Consider two generators $x, x^{\prime} \in G(\Sigma)$ which do not belong to $\sigma$. By 2.6, there exists a primitive collection $\mathscr{P} \subset\left\{x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$. Then the following hold:
(i) if $\sigma(\mathscr{P})$ contains $x^{\prime}$, then $d_{\varphi}(x, \sigma)>d_{\varphi}\left(x^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$;
(ii) if all generators of $\sigma(\mathscr{P})$ are in $\sigma$, then $d_{\varphi}(x, \sigma)=D_{\varphi}(\mathscr{P})$;
(iii) there exists at most one primitive collection $\mathscr{P} \subset\left\{x_{,}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{d}\right\}$ such that the
focus $\sigma(\mathscr{P}) \subset \sigma$;
(iv) if $x$ is a nearest generator in $G(\Sigma)$ relative to $\sigma$, then $\mathscr{P}$ is a unique primitive collection in $\left\{x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$, and $d_{\varphi}(x, \sigma)=D_{\varphi}(\mathscr{P})$.

Proof. (i) We first prove that if a primitive collection $\mathscr{P}$ (e.g., $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x, x_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\left.x_{k}\right\}, k<d\right)$, gives rise to a primitive relation

$$
x+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}-n_{1} y_{1}-\cdots-n_{m} y_{m}=0,
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\varphi}(x, \sigma)>n_{1} d_{\varphi}\left(y_{1}, \sigma\right)+\cdots+n_{m} d_{\varphi}\left(y_{m}, \sigma\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y_{i}=b_{i, 1} x_{1}+\cdots+b_{i, d} x_{d}\left(b_{i, j} \in \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$, and $x=a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{d} x_{d}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}= & n_{1} b_{11}+\cdots+n_{m} b_{m, 1}-1 \\
& \cdots \\
a_{k}= & n_{1} b_{1, k}+\cdots+n_{m} b_{m, k}-1 \\
a_{k+1}= & n_{1} b_{1, k+1}+\cdots+n_{m} b_{m, k+1} \\
& \cdots \\
a_{d}= & n_{1} b_{1, d}+\cdots+n_{m} b_{m, d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By 2.5 (iii), (iv), we get

$$
\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\varphi\left(x_{k}\right)+\varphi(x)>\varphi\left(n_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+n_{m} y_{m}\right)
$$

It follows from 2.5 (ii) that

$$
\varphi\left(n_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+n_{m} y_{m}\right)=n_{1} \varphi\left(y_{1}\right)+\cdots+n_{m} \varphi\left(y_{m}\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\varphi\left(x_{k}\right)+d_{\varphi}(x, \sigma) \\
&= \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\varphi\left(x_{k}\right)+\varphi(x)-a_{1} \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-\cdots-a_{d} \varphi\left(x_{d}\right) \\
&> n_{1} \varphi\left(y_{1}\right)+\cdots+n_{m} \varphi\left(y_{m}\right)-a_{1} \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-\cdots-a_{d} \varphi\left(x_{d}\right) \\
&= n_{1}\left(\varphi\left(y_{1}\right)-b_{11} \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-\cdots-b_{1, d} \varphi\left(x_{d}\right)\right)+\cdots \\
& \quad+n_{m}\left(\varphi\left(y_{m}\right)-b_{m, 1} \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-\cdots-b_{m, d} \varphi\left(x_{d}\right)\right)+\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\varphi\left(x_{k}\right) \\
&= \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\varphi\left(x_{k}\right)+n_{1} d_{\varphi}\left(y_{1}, \sigma\right)+\cdots+n_{m} d_{\varphi}\left(y_{m}, \sigma\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality implies (1). Thus, $d_{\varphi}(x, \sigma)>d_{\varphi}\left(x^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$, if $x^{\prime}=y_{i}$ for some $i(1<i<m)$.
(ii) Let

$$
x+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}-n_{1} y_{1}-\cdots-n_{m} y_{m}=0
$$

be a primitive relation associated with the primitive collection $\mathscr{P}$. Then

$$
D_{\varphi}(\mathscr{P})=\varphi(x)+\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\varphi\left(x_{m}\right)-n_{1} \varphi\left(y_{1}\right)-\cdots-n_{m} \varphi\left(y_{m}\right) .
$$

Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ be generators of $\sigma$ (i.e. $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\} \subset\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$. Using 2.5 (ii), we get

$$
a_{1} \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+a_{d} \varphi\left(x_{d}\right)=n_{1} \varphi\left(y_{1}\right)+\cdots+n_{m} \varphi\left(y_{m}\right)-\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-\cdots-\varphi\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

where $x=a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{d} x_{d}$. Hence, $d_{\varphi}(x, \sigma)=D_{\varphi}(\mathscr{P})$.
(iii) Assume that there exist two different primitive collections $\mathscr{P}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{2}$ in

$$
\left\{x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}
$$

such that $\sigma\left(\mathscr{P}_{1}\right) \subset \sigma$ and $\sigma\left(\mathscr{P}_{2}\right) \subset \sigma$. Then, from the corresponding primitive relations, we get two different linear combinations of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ which are equal to $x$. This is impossible, since $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ form a basis of $\boldsymbol{Z}^{d}$.
(iv) This statement is a corollary of (i), (ii) and (iii).
3.4. $T$-invariant Divisors. Every generator $x \in G(\Sigma)$ of a complete regular $d$ dimensional fan $\Sigma$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{d}$ gives rise to a complete rugular ( $d-1$ )-dimensional fan $\Sigma_{x}$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{d-1}$ corresponding to a smooth $T$-invariant divisor on $V(\Sigma)$. The fan $\Sigma_{x}$ consists of images of all cones in $\Sigma$ containing $x$ via the natural pojection $\boldsymbol{R}^{d} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{d-1}=\boldsymbol{R}^{d} / \boldsymbol{R}\langle x\rangle$. The following easy statement describes all primitive collections for $\Sigma_{x}$.
3.5. Proposition. (i) The set $G\left(\Sigma_{x}\right)$ of all generators for $\Sigma_{x}$ consists of the images $\bar{x}^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{d} / \boldsymbol{R}\langle x\rangle$ of all generators $x^{\prime}$ such that $\left\{x, x^{\prime}\right\}$ generate a 2 -dimensional cone in $\Sigma$.
(ii) If $\left\{\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{k}\right\}$ is a primitive collection in $G\left(\Sigma_{x}\right)$, then

$$
\left\{x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}, \text { or }\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}
$$

is a primitive collection in $G(\Sigma)$.
Proof. (i) The first statement is an immediate consequence of 3.4.
(ii) Let $\left\{\bar{x}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{x}_{k}\right\}$ be a primitive collection in $G\left(\Sigma_{x}\right)$. By $3.4, x, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}$ are not generators of a cone in $\Sigma$. Hence, there exists a primitive collection $\mathscr{P} \subset$ $\left\{x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$. Since $\left\{x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\} \backslash\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ generates a cone in $\Sigma$ for all $i(1 \leq i \leq k)$, we get $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\} \subset \mathscr{P}$. Thus, $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$, or $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$.
4. Toric bundles. By [7], using the language of primitive collections and associated primitive relations, we get the following characterization of toric bundles.
4.1. Proposition. A regular complete d-dimensional fan $\Sigma$ corresponds to a toric variety $V=V(\Sigma)$ which is a toric $\boldsymbol{P}^{k}$-bundle over a smooth $(d-k)$-dimensional toric variety $W$ if and only if there exists a primitive collection $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k+1}\right\} \subset G(\Sigma)$ such that
(i) the corresponding primitive relation is

$$
x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k+1}=0
$$

(ii) $\mathscr{P} \cap \mathscr{P}^{\prime}=\varnothing$ for any primitive collection $\mathscr{P}^{\prime} \subset G(\Sigma)$ such that $\mathscr{P} \neq \mathscr{P}^{\prime}$.
4.2. Definition. We say that a regular complete $d$-dimensional fan $\Sigma$ is a splitting
fan if any two different primitive collections in $G(\Sigma)$ have no common elements.
4.3. Theorem. Let $\Sigma$ be a splitting fan. Then the corresponding toric variety $V(\Sigma)$ is a projectivization of a decomposable bundle over a toric variety $W$ which is associated with a splitting fan of a smaller dimension.

Proof. By 4.1, we have only to prove the existence of a primitive collection with zero focus (we cannot use 3.2 without knowing the projectivity of the fan $\Sigma$ ). We prove the last statement by induction of $\# G(\Sigma)$.

By 3.5 (ii), any divisor $D_{x_{i}}=V(\Sigma)$ corresponding to a generator $x_{i} \in G(\Sigma)$ on the toric variety $V(\Sigma)$ is also associated with a splitting fan. This allows us to apply the induction hypothesis.

Assume that any primitive collection in $G(\Sigma)$ has no zero focus. Choose a generator $x_{0} \in G(\Sigma)$. Let $\left\{\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{k}\right\}$ be a primitive collection in $G\left(\Sigma_{x_{0}}\right)$ having zero focus (by the induction hypothesis, it exists). By 3.5 (ii), we have to consider two cases.

CASE 1. $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ is a primitive collection in $G\{\Sigma)$. It follows from our choice of the set $\left\{\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{k}\right\}$ that the sum $S(\mathscr{P})=x_{0}+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}$ is an integral multiple of $x_{0}$. By 3.1, $S(\mathscr{P})$ cannot be a positive multiple of $x_{0}$. Assume that $S(\mathscr{P})=-a x_{0}$, where $a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{>0}$. Then

$$
x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}=-(a+1) x_{0}
$$

Thus, $S(\mathscr{P})$ is in the interior of the cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ generated by $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$. By 3.1, $\sigma \cap \sigma(\mathscr{P})=\varnothing$, a contradiction. Hence only the next case is possible.

CASE 2. $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ is a primitive collection, and the $\operatorname{sum} S(\mathscr{P})=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}$ is an integral multiple of $x_{0}$.

Since every primitive collection has at least two generators, the number of primitive collections for a splitting fan $\Sigma$ is not greater than a half of the number of generators of $\Sigma$. So, there exist two different generators $x_{i}, x_{j} \in G(\Sigma)$ and a primitive collection $\mathscr{P}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ such that the sum $S(\mathscr{P})=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}$ is an integral multiple of both $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$. This is possible only if $x_{i}=-x_{j}$. So, $\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$ is a primitive collection with zero focus.

The statement is proved.
4.4. Corollary. A smooth complete toric variety $V$ is produced from a projective space by a sequence of projectivizations of decomposable bundles if and only if the corresponding fan $\Sigma(V)$ is a splitting fan.
4.5. Remark. One can notice that any complete smooth toric variety with Picard number 2 is associated with a splitting fan [4].
5. Toric varieties with $\rho=3$ : the number of primitive collections. Kleinschmidt and Sturmfels [5] have proved that an arbitrary smooth complete toric variety $V$ of dimension $d$ with Picard number $\rho=3$ is projective. Consequently, for any complete
regular $d$-dimensional fan with $d+3$ generators there exists a strictly convex support function $\varphi: \boldsymbol{R}^{d} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ as in 2.5 . Thus, the notions of the degree and the distance introduced in $\S_{2}$ are well-defined.
5.1. Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d+3}\right\}$ be an arbitrary set consisting of $d+3$ elements. We divide $X$ into $m$ nonempty subsets $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m-1}$ without common elements, where $m=2 p+3$ and $p$ is a nonnegative integer. We can assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{0}= & \left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s_{0}}\right\} \\
X_{1}= & \left\{x_{s_{0}+1}, \ldots, x_{s_{1}}\right\} \\
& \ldots \\
X_{m-1}= & \left\{x_{s_{m-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{s_{m-1}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s_{0}<s_{1} \cdots<s_{m-1}=d+3$ and $\# X_{i}=s_{i}-s_{i-1}$ for $i>0$. It is more convenient in the sequel to assume that the index $i$ for $X_{i}$ is an element of the residue ring $\boldsymbol{Z} / m \boldsymbol{Z}$. We denote by $\mathscr{X}_{i}$ the union

$$
X_{i} \cup X_{i+1} \cup \cdots \cup X_{i+p} .
$$

5.2. Proposition. Let $\Sigma$ be an arbitrary complete regular d-dimensional fan with $d+3$ generators. Then there exists a nonnegative integer $p$ such that the set

$$
G(\Sigma)=X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d+3}\right\}
$$

of all generators of $\Sigma$ can be represented as a union of subsets $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m-1}$ without common elements (see 5.1) and the corresponding subsets $\mathscr{X}_{i}(i \in \boldsymbol{Z} / m \boldsymbol{Z})$ are exactly all primitive collections of the generators of $\Sigma$.

Proof. This statement is a simple translation of the well-known description of combinatorial types of $d$-polytopes with $d+3$ vertices from the Gale-transform language (see [3], [8]) to the one of primitive collections.
5.3. Corollary. Let $x_{a} \in X_{\alpha}, x_{b} \in X_{\beta}, x_{c} \in X_{\gamma}$ be three of $d+3$ generators of a fan $\Sigma$ as in 5.2. Then the elements of $X \backslash\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ generate a d-dimensional cone of $\Sigma$ if and only if the zero point 0 of the complex plane $\boldsymbol{C}$ is in the interior of the triangle with the vertices $e^{2 \pi i \alpha / m}, e^{2 \pi i \beta / m}$ and $e^{2 \pi i \gamma / m}$.
5.4. Proposition. In the situation as in 5.2 , one has $m \leq 7$.

Proof. Assume that $m>7$. Since $m$ is odd, we have $m>9$. Choose three generators $x_{a}, x_{b}, x_{c} \in X$ such that $x_{a} \in X_{0}, x_{b} \in X_{t}, x_{c} \in X_{2 t}$, where $m=3 t+t^{\prime},\left|t^{\prime}\right|<1$. By 5.3, $X \backslash\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ generates a $d$-dimensional cone $\sigma$ of $\Sigma$. By 5.2, for each $x_{i} \in\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ there exist at least two primitive collections which contain only $x_{i}$ and generators of $\sigma$. This contradicts 3.3 (iv), since at least one generator form the set $\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ is a nearest generator relative to $\sigma$.
5.5. Proposition. In the situation as in 5.2 , one has $m \neq 7$.
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Proof. Assume that $m=7$. We have seen primitive relations

$$
\mathscr{R}\left(\mathscr{X}_{r}\right): \sum_{x_{i} \in \mathscr{X}_{r}} x_{i}-\sum_{x_{j}^{\prime} \in \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{r}\right)} a_{r, j} x_{j}^{\prime}=0,
$$

where $a_{r, j}$ are positive integers and $r \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 7 \boldsymbol{Z}$. It is convenient to use a picture of heptagon with the vertices $i e^{2 \pi i r / 7} \in \boldsymbol{C}$ (see Figure 1).
5.6. Lemma. For any $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 7 \boldsymbol{Z}$, one has

$$
\sigma\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \cap G(\Sigma) \subset X_{\alpha+4} \cup X_{\alpha+5} .
$$

Proof of Lemma 5.6. Choose $x_{a} \in X_{\alpha+1}, x_{b} \in X_{\alpha+3}, x_{c} \in X_{\alpha+6}$. By 5.3, $X \backslash\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}\right.$, $\left.x_{c}\right\}$ generates a $d$-dimensional cone $\sigma$ in $\Sigma$. By 3.3 (iv), in $\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ only $x_{a}$ can be a nearest generator relative to $\sigma$, since $x_{b} \in \mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2} \cap \mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}$ and $x_{c} \in \mathscr{X}_{\alpha+4} \cap \mathscr{X}_{\alpha+5}$. By 3.3 (i), $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$ does not contain $x_{b}$ and $x_{c}$. But we can choose an arbitrary element in $\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}$ as $x_{b}$. So, $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right) \cap X_{\alpha+3}=\varnothing$. Similarly, $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right) \cap X_{\alpha+6}=\varnothing$. By 3.1, $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right) \cap\left(X_{\alpha} \cup X_{\alpha+1} \cup\right.$ $\left.X_{\alpha+2}\right)=\varnothing$. Thus, the lemma is proved.

We return to 5.5 .
We can take $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 7 \boldsymbol{Z}$ such that

$$
D_{\varphi}\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)=\max \left\{D_{\varphi}\left(\mathscr{X}_{\beta}\right) \mid \beta \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 7 \boldsymbol{Z}\right\} .
$$

Choose again $x_{a} \in X_{\alpha+1}, x_{b} \in X_{\alpha+3}, x_{c} \in X_{\alpha+6}$. Using 5.6 and 3.3 (ii), we get $D_{\varphi}\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)=d_{\varphi}\left(x_{a}, \sigma\right)$, where $\sigma$ is generated by $X \backslash\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$. We have already seen in the proof of 5.6 that in $\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ only $x_{a}$ can be a nearest generator relative to $\sigma \in \Sigma$. So, $d_{\varphi}\left(x_{a}, \sigma\right)<d_{\varphi}\left(x_{b}, \sigma\right)$ and $d_{\varphi}\left(x_{a}, \sigma\right)<d_{\varphi}\left(x_{c}, \sigma\right)$. Assume, for instance, that $d_{\varphi}\left(x_{b}, \sigma\right) \leq d_{\varphi}\left(x_{c}, \sigma\right)$. Applying 5.6 after the cyclic permutation $\alpha \mapsto \alpha+2$, one has $x_{a} \notin \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right)$. Since $x_{b} \in \mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}$, if follows from 3.3 (i) that $x_{c} \notin \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right)$. Hence, by 3.3 (ii),
we have $D_{\varphi}\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right)=d_{\varphi}\left(x_{b}, \sigma\right)$. Consequently, $D_{\varphi}\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right)>D_{\varphi}\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$. This contradicts the choice of $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 7 \boldsymbol{Z}$. Thus, the case $m=7$ is impossible.

Propositions 5.4 and 5.5 imply the following theorem.
5.7. Theorem. If $\Sigma$ is a complete regular d-dimensional fan with $d+3$ generators, then the number of primitive collections of its generators is equal to 3 or 5 .

If $\Sigma$ has exactly three primitive collections in $G(\Sigma)$, then we come to a particular case of 4.3. In this case the associated smooth toric variety $V(\Sigma)$ is isomorphic to a projectivization of a decomposable bundle over a smooth toric variety $W$ of a smaller dimension with Picard number 2. Hence, we have to investigate only the case of five primitive collections in $G(\Sigma)$. This is the object of the next section.
6. Toric varieties with $\rho=3$ : the classification of primitive relations. Let $\Sigma$ be a complete regular $d$-dimensional fan of cones in $\boldsymbol{R}^{d}$ with $d+3$ generators and with a support function $\varphi$.

We use the notation of the previous section and assume that $G(\Sigma)$ contains exactly five primitive collections $\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}=X_{\alpha} \cup X_{\alpha+1}$, where $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 5 \boldsymbol{Z}$. In our investigation it is convenient to use a picture of the pentagon with vertices $i e^{2 \pi i \alpha / 5} \in \dot{\boldsymbol{C}}$ (see Figure 2).
6.1. Proposition. Suppose that $\sigma\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \cap G(\Sigma) \subset X_{\alpha+3}$ for all $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 5 \boldsymbol{Z}$. Then for any $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 5 \boldsymbol{Z}$ at least one of the following statements hold:
(i) $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)=X_{\alpha}$;
(ii) $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)=X_{\alpha+1}$.

Proof. It follows from our conditions that $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right) \cap G(\Sigma) \subset X_{\alpha}$ and $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right) \cap$ $G(\Sigma) \subset X_{\alpha+1}$. Assume that there exist $x_{a} \in X_{\alpha}$ and $x_{b} \in X_{\alpha+1}$ such that $x_{a} \notin \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right)$ and $x_{b} \notin \sigma\left(X_{\alpha+3}\right)$. Choose an arbitrary element $x_{c} \in X_{\alpha+3}$. By 5.3, $X \backslash\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ generates a
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$d$-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Thus, we have two primitive collections $\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}, \mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3} \subset X \backslash$ $\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}\right\}$ such that $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right), \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right) \subset \sigma$. This contradicts 3.3 (iii).

The sum $S\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$ of all generators in $\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}$ is denoted by $S_{\alpha}$. Let $P_{\alpha}$ be the sum of all generators in $X_{\alpha}$.
6.2. Proposition. Suppose that $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right) \cap G(\Sigma) \subset X_{\alpha+3}$ for all $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 5 \boldsymbol{Z}$. Then up to a cyclic permutation of indices, one has $S_{0}=0, S_{1}=P_{4}, S_{2}=0, S_{3}=P_{1}, S_{4}=P_{2}$.

Proof. Using 6.1 for all $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 5 \boldsymbol{Z}$, one can easily conclude that there exists $\beta \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 5 \boldsymbol{Z}$ such that

$$
\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\beta+2}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)=X_{\beta} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma\left(X_{\beta}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)=X_{\beta+3} .
$$

Thus, we have

$$
P_{\beta+2}+P_{\beta+3}=S_{\beta+2}=P_{\beta}+P_{\beta}^{\prime}, \quad P_{\beta}+P_{\beta+1}=S_{\beta}=P_{\beta+3}+P_{\beta+3}^{\prime},
$$

where $P_{\beta}^{\prime} \in \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\beta+2}\right)$ and $P_{\beta+3}^{\prime} \in \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\beta}\right)$. It follows from these two equalities that

$$
P_{\beta+1}+P_{\beta+2}=P_{\beta}^{\prime}+P_{\beta+3}^{\prime}
$$

By 5.3, $X_{\beta} \cup X_{\beta+3}$ is contained in a $d$-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$. So, the focus $\sigma\left(X_{\beta+1}\right)$ is generated by a subset in $X_{\beta} \cup X_{\beta+3}$. On the other hand, it follows from our conditions that $\left(\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\beta+1}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)\right) \subset X_{\beta+4}$. Consequently, $P_{\beta}^{\prime}$ and $P_{\beta+3}^{\prime}$ must be zero and $S_{\beta+2}=P_{\beta}$, $S_{\beta+2}=P_{\beta}, S_{\beta+1}=0$. Using again 6.1, we get

$$
\sigma\left(X_{\beta+4}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)=X_{\beta+2}, \text { or } \quad \sigma\left(X_{\beta+3}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)=X_{\beta+1} .
$$

In the first case, we can repeat the above arguments relative to

$$
\sigma\left(X_{\beta+4}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)=X_{\beta+2} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma\left(X_{\beta+2}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)=X_{\beta} .
$$

As a result, we obtain $S_{\beta+3}=0, S_{\beta+4}=P_{\beta+2}$. In the second case, applying the same arguments to

$$
\sigma\left(X_{\beta+3}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)=X_{\beta+1} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma\left(X_{\beta}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)=X_{\beta+3}
$$

we get $S_{\beta+3}=P_{\beta+1}, S_{\beta+4}=0$. Thus, the statement is proved.
6.3. Proposition. Suppose that a cone $\sigma\left(X_{\alpha}\right)$ contains a generator $x_{a} \in X_{\alpha+2}$. Then the following statements hold:
(i) $X_{\alpha} \cap\left(\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+1}\right) \cup \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right) \cup \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right)\right)=\varnothing$;
(ii) $S_{\alpha+2}=0$;
(iii) $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+1}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)=X_{\alpha+4}$;
(iv) $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)=X_{\alpha+1}$;
(v) $S_{\alpha+1}=P_{\alpha+4}, S_{\alpha+3}=P_{\alpha+1}$.

Proof. (i) Choose arbitrary $x_{b} \in X_{\alpha}, x_{c} \in X_{\alpha+4}$. By 5.3, $X \backslash\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ generates a
$d$-dimensional cone $\sigma$ in $\Sigma$. By 3.3 (i), $d_{\varphi}\left(x_{b}, \sigma\right)>d_{\varphi}\left(x_{a}, \sigma\right)$. By 3.3 (iv), $x_{a}$ is not a nearest generator relative to $\sigma$. Consequently, $d_{\varphi}\left(x_{a}, \sigma\right)>d_{\varphi}\left(x_{c}, \sigma\right)$ and $x_{b} \notin \sigma\left(X_{\alpha+1}\right) \cup \sigma\left(X_{\alpha+2}\right) \cup$ $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$ (see 3.3 (i)). Thus, $X_{\alpha} \cap\left(\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+1}\right) \cup \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right) \cup \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right)\right)=\varnothing$, since $x_{b}$ is an arbitrary element of $X_{\alpha}$.
(ii) Assume that there exists $x_{b} \in X_{\alpha+1}$ such that $x_{b} \in \sigma\left(X_{\alpha+2}\right)$. Take an element $x_{c} \in X_{\alpha+4}$. Then $X \backslash\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ is the set of generators of a $d$-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$. By 3.3 (i), it follows from $x_{a} \in \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$ that $d_{\varphi}\left(x_{b}, \sigma\right)>d_{\varphi}\left(x_{a}, \sigma\right)$. Similarly, $x_{b} \in \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right)$ implies $d_{\varphi}\left(x_{a}, \sigma\right)>d_{\varphi}\left(x_{b}, \sigma\right)$. This is a contradiction. So, $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right) \cap X_{\alpha+1}=\varnothing$. Using 3.1, one has $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right) \cap\left(X_{\alpha+2} \cup X_{\alpha+3}\right)=\varnothing$. By 6.3 (i), one has $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right) \cap X_{\alpha}=\varnothing$. It suffices to prove that $\sigma\left(X_{\alpha+2}\right) \cap X_{\alpha+4}=\varnothing$.

Assume that there exists a generator $x_{d} \in X_{\alpha+4}$ such that $x_{d} \in \sigma\left(X_{\alpha+4}\right)$. Using 6.3 (i) after the cyclic permutation $\alpha \mapsto \alpha+2$, we get

$$
X_{\alpha+2} \cap\left(\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right) \cup \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+4}\right) \cup \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\varnothing .
$$

This contradicts $x_{a} \in \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$.
(iii) By 6.3 (i) and 3.1, $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+1}\right) \cap\left(X_{\alpha+1} \cup X_{\alpha+2} \cup X_{\alpha}\right)=\varnothing$. Assume that there exists $x_{b} \in X_{\alpha+3} \cap \sigma\left(X_{\alpha+1}\right)$. Using 6.3 (ii) after the cyclic permutation $\alpha \mapsto \alpha+1$, one has $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right)=0$. This contradicts 3.3 (iii), since we have $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right)=\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right)=0$. Thus, $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+1}\right) \cap G(\Sigma) \subset X_{\alpha+4}$.

Suppose that there exists $x_{b} \in X_{\alpha+4}$ such that $x_{b} \notin \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+4}\right)$. Take an element $x_{c} \in X_{\alpha}$. Then $X \backslash\left\{x_{a}, x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ is the set of generators of a $d$-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$. We get two primitive collections $\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+1}$ and $\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}$ in $G(\Sigma) \backslash\left\{x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ such that $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+1}\right) \cup \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right) \subset \sigma$. This contradicts 3.3 (iii).
(iv) By 6.3 (i) and 3.1, $\sigma\left(X_{\alpha+3}\right) \cap\left(X_{\alpha+3} \cup X_{\alpha+4} \cup X_{\alpha}\right)=\varnothing$. Assume that there exists $x_{b} \in X_{\alpha+2} \cap \sigma\left(X_{\alpha+3}\right)$. Using 6.3 (ii) after the symmetry $\alpha+\beta \mapsto \alpha-\beta$ of pentagon and the cyclic permutation $\alpha \mapsto \alpha+1$, one has $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+1}\right)=0$. This contradicts 3.3 (iii), since we have $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)=\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+1}\right)=0$. Thus, $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right) \cap G(\Sigma) \subset X_{\alpha+1}$.

Suppose that there exists $x_{b} \in X_{\alpha+1}$ such that $x_{b} \notin \sigma\left(X_{\alpha+3}\right)$. Take elements $x_{c} \in X_{\alpha}$ and $x_{d} \in X_{\alpha+3}$. Then $X \backslash\left\{x_{b}, x_{c}, x_{d}\right\}$ is the set of generators of a $d$-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$. We get two primitive collections $\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}$ and $\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}$ in $G(\Sigma) \backslash\left\{x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ such that $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right) \cup \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right) \subset \sigma$. This contradicts 3.3 (iii).
(v) By 6.3 (iii) and 6.3 (iv), one has

$$
P_{\alpha+1}+P_{\alpha+2}=S_{\alpha+1}=P_{\alpha+4}+P_{\alpha+4}^{\prime}, \quad P_{\alpha+3}+P_{\alpha+4}=S_{\alpha+3}=P_{\alpha+1}+P_{\alpha+1}^{\prime}
$$

where $P_{\alpha+4}^{\prime} \in \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+1}\right)$ and $P_{\alpha+1}^{\prime} \in \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right)$. It follows from these two equalities that

$$
P_{\alpha+2}+P_{\alpha+3}=P_{\alpha+1}^{\prime}+P_{\alpha+4}^{\prime} .
$$

Thus, $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}\right) \cap G(\Sigma) \subset\left(X_{\alpha+1} \cup X_{\alpha+4}\right)$. On the other hand, we have $S_{\alpha+2}=0$ (see 6.3 (ii)). So, $P_{\alpha+1}^{\prime}=P_{\alpha+4}^{\prime}=0$ and $S_{\alpha+1}=P_{\alpha+4}, S_{\alpha+3}=P_{\alpha+1}$. The statement is proved.
6.4. Corollary. Suppose that a cone $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$ contains a generator $x_{a} \in X_{\alpha+2}$. Then one has

$$
\left(\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right) \cup \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+4}\right)\right) \cap G(\Sigma) \subset X_{\alpha+2} \cup \mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}
$$

Proof. Assume, for instance, that there exists $x_{b} \in X_{\alpha+1} \cap \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+4}\right)$. By 6.3. (ii), after the cyclic permutation $\alpha \mapsto \alpha+4$, one has $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+1}\right)=0$. This contradicts 6.3 (v).

Now we assume that there exists $x_{b} \in X_{\alpha+4} \cap \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$. By 6.3 (ii), after the symmetry $\alpha+\beta \mapsto \alpha-\beta$ and the cyclic permutation $\alpha \mapsto \alpha+1$, one has $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}\right)=0$. This again contradicts 6.3 (v).

Using 3.1, we finish our proof.
6.5. Proposition. Suppose that a cone $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$ contains a generator $x_{a} \in X_{\alpha}$. Then at least one and only one of the following statements hold:
(i) $X_{\alpha+3} \subset \sigma\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)$;
(ii) $X_{\alpha+2} \subset \sigma\left(X_{\alpha+4}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)$.

Proof. We first assume that there exist $x_{b} \in \mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}$ and $x_{c} \in \mathscr{X}_{\alpha+3}$ such that $x_{b} \notin \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+4}\right)$ and $x_{c} \notin \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$. Choose an arbitrary element $x_{d} \in X_{\alpha}$. By 5.3, $X \backslash\left\{x_{b}, x_{c}, x_{d}\right\}$ generates a $d$-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Thus, we have two primitive collections $\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+4}$, $\mathscr{X}_{\alpha} \subset X \backslash\left\{x_{b}, x_{c}\right\}$ such that $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+4}\right), \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right) \subset \sigma$. This contradicts 3.3 (iii). Hence, the "at least one" part is proved.

Assume then, for instance, that (i) holds. Since $X_{\alpha+2} \cup X_{\alpha+3}$ is a primitive collection, at least one element $x_{b} \in X_{\alpha+2}$ is not a generator of $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$. So, we have

$$
P_{\alpha}+P_{\alpha+1}=S_{\alpha}=P_{\alpha+3}+P,
$$

where $P \in \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$ is a linear combination of $\left(X_{\alpha+2} \cup X_{\alpha+3}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{b}\right\}$ with nonnegative integral coefficients. On the other hand, it follows from 6.3 (v) that

$$
P_{\alpha+3}+P_{\alpha+4}=P_{\alpha+1} .
$$

These two equalities imply

$$
P_{\alpha+4}+P_{\alpha}=P .
$$

Hence, $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+4}\right) \subset \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$. This shows that $x_{b} \notin \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+4}\right)$ and $X_{\alpha+2} \notin \sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha+4}\right) \cap G(\Sigma)$.
We can now finish our classification of primitive relations.
6.6. Theorem. Let us assume that $\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}=X_{\alpha} \cup X_{\alpha+1}$, where $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 5 \boldsymbol{Z}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X_{0}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p_{0}}\right\}, & X_{1}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p_{1}}\right\}, \quad X_{2}=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p_{2}}\right\}, \\
X_{3}=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p_{3}}\right\}, & X_{4}=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p_{4}}\right\},
\end{array}
$$

and $p_{0}+p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}+p_{4}=d+3$. Then any complete regular $d$-dimensional fan $\Sigma$ with the set of generators $G(\Sigma)=\bigcup X_{\alpha}$ and five primitive collections $\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}$ can be described up to a symmetry of the pentagon by the following primitive relations with nonnegative integral coefficients $c_{2}, \ldots, c_{p_{2}}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p_{3}}$ :

$$
v_{1}+\cdots+v_{p_{0}}+y_{1}+\cdots+y_{p_{1}}-c_{2} z_{2}-\cdots-c_{p_{2}} z_{p_{2}}-\left(b_{1}+1\right) t_{1}-\cdots-\left(b_{p_{3}}+1\right) t_{p_{3}}=0,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}+\cdots+y_{p_{1}}+z_{1}+\cdots+z_{p_{2}}-u_{1}-\cdots-u_{p_{4}}=0, \\
& z_{1}+\cdots+z_{p_{2}}+t_{1}+\cdots+t_{p_{3}}=0 \\
& t_{1}+\cdots+t_{p_{2}}+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{p_{3}}-y_{1}-\cdots-y_{p_{1}}=0, \\
& u_{1}+\cdots+u_{p_{4}}+v_{1}+\cdots+v_{p_{0}}-c_{2} z_{2}-\cdots-c_{p_{2}} z_{p_{2}}-b_{1} t_{1}-\cdots-b_{p_{3}} t_{p_{3}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. One of the following two conditions hold:
(i) $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right) \cap G(\Sigma) \subset X_{\alpha+3}$ for all $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z} / 5 \boldsymbol{Z}$,
(ii) up to a symmetry of the pentagon there exists a cone $\sigma\left(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\right)$ containing a generator $x_{a} \in \mathscr{X}_{\alpha+2}$.

In the first case, we can use 6.1 and get the above primitive relations for $\alpha=0$, where $c_{1}=\cdots=c_{p_{2}}=b_{2}=\cdots=b_{p_{3}}=0$.

In the second case, we can use 6.3-6.5 and get the above primitive relations, where $z_{1}=x_{b}, \alpha=0$,

$$
P=c_{2} z_{2}+\cdots+c_{p_{2}} z_{p_{2}}+b_{1} t_{1}+\cdots+b_{p_{3}} t_{p_{3}}
$$

(We use the notation in the "only one" part in the proof of 6.5).
We can take the set

$$
\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p_{0}}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{p_{1}}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{p_{2}}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p_{3}}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{p_{4}}\right\}
$$

as a basis of $\boldsymbol{Z}^{d}$. Thus, $t_{1}, y_{1}, v_{1}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}=-z_{2}-\cdots-z_{p_{2}}-t_{1}-\cdots-t_{p_{3}} \\
& y_{1}=-y_{2}-\cdots-y_{p_{1}}+z_{1}+\cdots+z_{p_{2}}-u_{1}-\cdots-u_{p_{4}} \\
& u_{1}=-u_{2}-\cdots-u_{p_{4}}-v_{1}-\cdots-v_{p_{0}}+c_{2} z_{2}+\cdots+c_{p_{2}} z_{p_{2}}+b_{1} t_{1}+\cdots+b_{p_{3}} t_{p_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Open questions. The most interesting problem related to smooth complete projective toric varieties seems to me the following:
7.1. Main Conjecture. For any d-dimensional smooth complete toric variety with Picard number $\rho$ defined by a complete regular fan $\Sigma$, there exists a constant $N(\rho)$ depending only on $\rho$ such that the number of primitive collections in $G(\Sigma)$ is always not more than $N(\rho)$.

It is easy to see that $N(1)=1, N(2)=2$. Using our result in $\S 5$, we get $N(3)=5$. For 2-dimensional toric variety with $\rho+2$ generators the number of primitive collections equals $(\rho-1)(\rho+2) / 2$. In connection with the conjecture, it is interesting to ask the following:
7.2. Question. Does there exist for $\rho>1$ a complete regular d-dimensional fan $\Sigma$ with $\rho+d$ generators such that the set $G(\Sigma)$ contains more than

$$
(\rho-1)(\rho+2) / 2
$$

primitive collections?
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