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#### Abstract

Silverman proved that the size of the regulator of the algebraic number field grows at least with some power of the logarithm of the absolute value of the dicriminant, multiplied by some constant depending only on the degree of the field. He also stated that the exponent he obtained may be the best. But it is not the best in many cases and we can replace it by better one.


Let $K$ be an algebraic number field of degree $n \geqq 2$. Let $D_{K}$ and $R_{K}$ be the discriminant and the regulator of $K$, respectively. Let $r$ be the rank of the unit group of $K$, and $\rho$ be the maximum of the ranks of the unit groups of proper subfields of $K$. Silverman [5] proved an inequality

$$
R_{K}>c_{n}\left(\log d_{n}\left|D_{K}\right|\right)^{r-\rho}
$$

for some positive constants $c_{n}$ and $d_{n}$ depending only on the degree $n$. Friedman [2] improved the estimate of constants $c_{n}$ and $d_{n}$, and especially he proved that $d_{n}$ can be $n^{-n}$. It should be noted that the above inequality is valid only when $\log \left(d_{n}\left|D_{K}\right|\right)>0$. Silverman stated that the exponent $r-\rho$ will probably be best possible. However we will find a better exponent, because the following easy examples show that this exponent is not always the best.

Example. Let $K$ be $\boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt[3]{m}), \boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt[4]{m})$ or $\boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt{-a}, \sqrt{m})$ for a fixed positive integer $a$. If $m$ is a positive integer, the subfield with unit rank $\rho$ is $\boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt[3]{m})$ or $\boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. When $m$ moves over square free positive integers, the discriminant of this subfield also grows with $m$. Hence the exponent should be $r$ instead of $r-\rho$ in this case.

Let $c$ be a constant greater than 1 . Let $F$ be a maximal subfield of $K$ satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{F}\right|<\left|D_{K}\right|^{1 /[\mathrm{K}: F]^{c}} . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can find such an $F$ in every case, because the rational number field $\boldsymbol{Q}$ satisfies this inequality while $K$ does not. Then we can prove the following:

[^0]Theorem. Let $K$ be an algebraic number field of degree $n \geqq 2$. Let $D_{K}$ and $R_{K}$ be the discriminant and the regulator of $K$, respectively. Let $r$ be the rank of the unit group of $K$, and let $\lambda$ be the rank of the unit group of $F$. Then $R_{K}$ satisfies an inequality

$$
R_{K}>c_{n}\left(\log d_{n}\left|D_{K}\right|\right)^{r-\lambda}
$$

for some positive constants $c_{n}$ and $d_{n}$ depending only on the degree $n$, if $d_{n}\left|D_{K}\right|>1$.
We first prove some lemmas.
Lemma 1. Let $\alpha$ be an element of $K$ which is not contained in $F$, and let $m=$ $[F(\alpha): F]$. Then the absolute norm of the relative discriminant $D_{F(\alpha) / F}$ satisfies

$$
N_{F}\left(D_{F(\alpha) / F}\right) \geqq\left|D_{K}\right|^{\left(m^{c}-m\right) /[K: F]^{c}} .
$$

Proof. Since $\left|D_{F(\alpha)}\right|=\left|D_{F}\right|^{m} \cdot N_{F}\left(D_{F(\alpha) \mid F}\right)$ and since $D_{F(\alpha)}$ does not satisfy the inequality (*), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{K}\right|^{1 /[K: F(\alpha)]]^{c}} & \leqq\left|D_{F(\alpha)}\right|=\left|D_{F}\right|^{m} \cdot N_{F}\left(D_{F(\alpha) / F}\right) \\
& \leqq\left|D_{K}\right|^{m /[K: F]]^{c}} \cdot N_{F}\left(D_{F(\alpha) / F}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $F, \alpha$ and $m$ be as above and let us also assume that $\alpha$ is an algebraic integer. Then the relative discriminant of $\alpha$

$$
\Delta(\alpha)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\alpha^{(1)} & \cdots & \alpha^{(m)} \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
\left(\alpha^{(1)}\right)^{m-1} & \cdots & \left(\alpha^{(m)}\right)^{m-1}
\end{array}\right|^{2}
$$

generates a multiple ideal of the relative discriminant $D_{F(\alpha) / F}$, where $\alpha^{(i)}$ are the conjugates of $\alpha$ over $F$. Hadamard's inequality shows

$$
|\Delta(\alpha)| \leqq \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2(m-1)}\right)
$$

and the same inequality for every conjugate of $F(\alpha)$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}$. This leads to:
Lemma 2.

$$
N_{F}\left(D_{F(\alpha) / F}\right) \leqq m^{[F(\alpha): Q]} \cdot H_{F(\alpha)}(\alpha)^{2(m-1)}
$$

In the above, $H_{F(\alpha)}(\alpha)=\prod \operatorname{Max}\left(1,\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|\right)$ is the height of $\alpha$, where the product is over all conjugates of $F(\alpha)$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}$.

Proof. Since $N_{F}(\Delta(\alpha))$ is a multiple ideal of $N_{F}\left(D_{F(\alpha) / F}\right)$, this is a direct consequence of the above inequalities and

$$
1+\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2(m-1)} \leqq m \cdot \operatorname{Max}\left(1,\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2(m-1)}\right) .
$$

Remark. This lemma is a relative case of Silverman's Proposition. Remak [4] and Friedman [2] obtained a better inequality. We adopted Silverman's simpler method. This method derives better estimate in the CM-field case.

Lemma 3. If $F(\alpha)$ is contained in a CM-field and if $\alpha$ is not a root of unity,

$$
N_{F}\left(D_{F(\alpha) / F}\right) \leqq H_{F(\alpha)}(\alpha)^{2 m} .
$$

Proof. Since $F(\alpha)$ is contained in a CM-field, $\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2}$ is a conjugate of $|\alpha|^{2}$ for every conjugate $\alpha^{(i)}$ of $\alpha$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}$. Taking the norm of

$$
1+\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2(m-1)}=\frac{1-\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2 m}}{1-\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2}}
$$

we obtain the desired inequality, because $\Pi\left(1-\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2}\right)$ is a non-zero rational integer and

$$
\left|\prod\left(1-\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2 m}\right)\right| \leqq \prod \operatorname{Max}\left(1,\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|^{2 m}\right)=H_{F(\alpha)}(\alpha)^{2 m} .
$$

We can now prove our theorem. We may suppose $r>0$. As in Friedman [2], Minkowski's theory of successive minima gives

$$
R_{K} \geqq(r+1)^{-1 / 2} \gamma_{r}^{-r / 2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} m\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right),
$$

where $\gamma_{r}$ is the Hermite constant, $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r}$ are some independent units, and

$$
m\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r+1}\left(\log \left\|\varepsilon_{i}\right\|_{v_{j}}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where the sum is taken over the infinite primes of $K$. It should be noted that $m\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ and $L\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ in [5] are slightly different. We follows Silverman's argument [5, p. 439], and get

$$
m\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) \geqq(1 / \sqrt{r+1}) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{r+1}\left|\log \left\|\varepsilon_{i}\right\|_{v_{j}}\right|=(2 n / \sqrt{r+1}) \cdot h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right),
$$

where $h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ is the absolute logarithmic height of $\varepsilon_{i}$. We see that

$$
\sqrt{r+1} \cdot \gamma_{r}^{r / 2} \leqq \sqrt{r+1} \cdot(2 / \pi)^{r / 2} \Gamma(2+r / 2) \leqq(r+1)^{r / 2}
$$

for $r \geqq 4$ and $\sqrt{r+1} \cdot \gamma_{r}^{r / 2} \leqq(r+1)^{r / 2}$ for $r \leqq 3$ by direct computation. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{K} & \geqq(r+1)^{-1 / 2} \gamma_{r}^{-r / 2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} m\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) \\
& \geqq(r+1)^{-r / 2} \cdot \prod(2 n / \sqrt{r+1}) \cdot h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) \cdot \prod m\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above, the first product is taken over $\varepsilon_{i}$ not in $F$ while the second product is over $\varepsilon_{i}$ in $F$. The number of the second $\varepsilon_{i}$ 's is at most $\lambda$. Dobrowolsky's theorem [1] shows that the second product is greater than a constant depending only on $n$. For the first product, Lemmas 1 and 2 show

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) & \geqq \frac{1}{2(m-1)\left[F\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right): Q\right]} \log N_{F}\left(D_{F\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) / F}\right)-\frac{\log m}{2(m-1)} \\
& \geqq \frac{m^{c}-m}{2(m-1)\left[F\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right): Q\right][K: F]^{c}} \log \left|D_{K}\right|-\frac{\log m}{2(m-1)} \\
& =\frac{\left(m^{c-1}-1\right)[F: Q]^{c-1}}{2(m-1) n^{c}} \log \left|D_{K}\right|-\frac{\log m}{2(m-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m^{c-1}-1=e^{(c-1) \log m}-1 \geqq(c-1) \log m$, and since $(\log m) /(m-1) \geqq(\log n) /(n-1)$, we get

$$
h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) \geqq \frac{\log m}{2(m-1)}\left(\frac{c-1}{n^{c}} \log \left|D_{K}\right|-1\right) \geqq \frac{(c-1) \log n}{2(n-1) n^{c}} \log \left(\left|D_{K}\right| / e^{n^{c} /(c-1)}\right) .
$$

This concludes the proof of our theorem and $d_{n}$ can be $e^{-n^{c} /(c-1)}$.
Remark. We see that $n^{c} /(c-1)$ is smallest when $c=1+1 / \log n$. Then $n^{c}=e n$ and we get $d_{n}=n^{-e n}$. This value of $c$ gives the correct exponents for large $m$ in the above examples.

Remark. For a subfield of a CM-field, Lemma 3 gives a better estimate

$$
h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) \geqq \frac{(c-1) \log m}{2 m \cdot n^{c}} \log \left|D_{K}\right|
$$

which gives an estimate of $R_{K}$ which is valid even in the case $\left|D_{K}\right|<n^{e n}$. However in the case $\left|D_{K}\right|<n^{e n}$, for example, in the cyclotomic field case, the estimate is worse than the estimate given by Pohst [3].

We finally give a simple proof for Pohst's Lemma [3, p. 98].
Lemma 4. Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}$ be real numbers greater than 1 . Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$ be positive real numbers smaller than 1 . We assume that

$$
A=a_{1} \cdots a_{l}=\left(b_{1} \cdots b_{m}\right)^{-1}
$$

and

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(a_{i}-1\right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(1-b_{j}\right) \geqq 1
$$

Then $A$ satisfies an inequality

$$
A \geqq\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{l+m}
$$

Proof. If $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ are positive numbers smaller than 1 , the inequality between arithmetic mean and geometric mean shows

$$
\left(1-c_{1}\right) \cdots\left(1-c_{n}\right) \leqq\left(1-\frac{c_{1}+\cdots+c_{n}}{n}\right)^{n} \leqq\left(1-\sqrt[n]{c_{1} \cdots c_{n}}\right)^{n}
$$

Then our assumption shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \leqq A \cdot \prod\left(1-a_{i}^{-1}\right) \cdot \prod\left(1-b_{j}\right) \leqq A\left(1-\sqrt[n]{b_{1} \cdots b_{m} / a_{1} \cdots a_{l}}\right)^{n} \\
& =(\sqrt[n]{A}-\sqrt[n]{A}-1)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n=l+m$. If we put $x=\sqrt[n]{A}$, then $x$ satisfies an inequality

$$
x-x^{-1} \geqq 1
$$

Since $x>0$, this shows $x \geqq(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ and the desired inequality.
Let $\varepsilon$ be a unit which is not a root of unity and let $m$ be the degree of $E=\boldsymbol{Q}(\varepsilon)$. Let $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m}$ be the conjugates of $\varepsilon$. If $E$ is totally real or is a CM-field, we can show

$$
H_{E}(\varepsilon) \geqq\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{m / 2}
$$

by the above lemma because $\left|\prod\left(\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|^{2}-1\right)\right|=\left|N_{E}(\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}-1)\right| \geqq 1$. Then

$$
m_{E}(\varepsilon) \geqq 2{\sqrt{r_{E}+1}}^{-1} \cdot \log H_{E}(\varepsilon) \geqq \sqrt{m} \cdot \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right),
$$

which is Pohst's lemma. When $E$ is a CM-filed, $\sqrt{m}$ can be replaced by $\sqrt{2 m}$.
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