

# HOLOMORPHIC AUTOMORPHISMS OF CERTAIN CLASS OF DOMAINS OF INFINITE TYPE

HYEONBAE KANG

(Received June 14, 1993, revised November 8, 1993)

**Abstract.** We show that the automorphism group of a certain class of bounded Hartogs domains of infinite type of dimension two is compact.

**1. Introduction and statement of results.** An automorphism of a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a biholomorphic mapping from  $\Omega$  onto itself. The set of all automorphisms of  $\Omega$  makes a group under composition. This group is called the automorphism group of  $\Omega$  and denoted by  $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ .

The study of automorphism groups of domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  has been attracting much attention lately in relation to the characterization of domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In 1977, Wong [Won] proved that any bounded strongly pseudo-convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with noncompact automorphism group is biholomorphically equivalent to the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The noncompactness of  $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$  means that there exist points  $p \in \partial\Omega$  and  $q \in \Omega$  and a sequence  $\{F_n\}$  in  $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$  such that  $F_n(q) \rightarrow p$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  ( $p$  is called an orbit accumulation point). Rosay [Ros] removed the hypothesis of global strong pseudo-convexity and showed that Wong's theorem is true if  $\partial\Omega$  is strongly pseudo-convex at  $p$ . For weakly pseudo-convex domains, Bedford and Pinchuk [BP] used the scaling technique to show that any bounded pseudo-convex domain of finite type is biholomorphically equivalent to a domain  $\{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^{2m} < 1\}$  for some integer  $m$  provided that the automorphism group of the domain is noncompact.

If we remove the *a priori* assumption of being finite type in Bedford-Pinchuk's result, the scaling technique does not work. So, it is natural to ask whether  $\partial\Omega$  can be of infinite type at the orbit accumulation point. That  $\partial\Omega$  is of infinite type at  $p$  means that the Levi form for  $\Omega$  vanishes at  $p$  to the infinite order in the complex tangential direction (see the next section for a precise definition of infinite type). In this paper, we consider the following special kind of Hartogs domains

$$(1.1) \quad E_P = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1|^2 + P(z_2, \bar{z}_2) < 1\},$$

where  $P$  is a subharmonic function with  $P(0) = 0$ . We show that if the domain  $E_P$  is of

infinite type, the  $\text{Aut}(E_P)$  is compact. If  $P(z_2, \bar{z}_2) = \phi(|z_2|^2)$ , then  $E_P$  is a Reinhardt domain and we can give a complete classification of the automorphism group by exploiting the circularity of the domain (cf. [Kan] [GK]).

Let us state the main result of this paper more precisely. Let  $P(z) = P(z, \bar{z})$  be a smooth function satisfying the following conditions:

- (C1)  $P(z) > 0$  if  $z \neq 0$ ,
- (C2)  $(\partial^{\alpha+\beta} P / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta)(0) = 0$  for any non-negative integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and 0 is the only point with this property.

Assume that  $E_P$  is a bounded pseudo-convex domain. The pseudo-convexity of  $E_P$  is equivalent to the subharmonicity of  $P$  in a neighborhood of  $\bar{E}_P$ . The condition (C2) implies that  $E_P$  is of infinite type along the points  $(e^{i\theta}, 0) \in \partial E_P$  and  $(e^{i\theta}, 0)$  are the only points in  $\partial E_P$  where  $E_P$  is of infinite type (see the next section). Among functions satisfying the above conditions are  $P(z) = C \exp(-1/|z|^2)$  as a radial function and  $P(z) = C \exp(-1/(|z|^2 + \varepsilon \Re(z^2)))$  as a nonradial one where  $C$  (resp.  $\varepsilon$ ) is a large (resp. small) constant.

**THEOREM.** *If  $E_P$  is as above, then any automorphism of  $E_P$  fixes the origin.*

**COROLLARY.** *If  $E_P$  is as above, then  $\text{Aut}(E_P)$  is compact.*

Note that unlike the radial case,  $E_P$  does not have a circularity. Proofs in this paper will consist of the following steps. Because of the invariance of type under automorphisms, we first show that certain directional derivatives of all orders of automorphisms of  $E_P$  vanish at the point of infinite type (Lemma 3.1). We then show that the rate of approach to the orbit accumulation point should be uniform if there exists any orbit accumulation point (Lemma 3.2). Finally we give some global arguments to finish up the proof. It is our hope that the arguments in this paper can be extended to a more general class of domains.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** I wish to thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments on this paper.

**2. Infinite type.** In this section, we review Kohn's definition of type in [Koh]. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with a smooth boundary and let  $r$  be a defining function for  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $r$  is a smooth real-valued function in a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{\Omega}$  and  $\nabla r(z) \neq 0$  if  $z \in \partial\Omega$ , and  $\Omega = \{z \in U : r(z) < 0\}$ . Define a holomorphic tangent vector field  $L$  by

$$(2.1) \quad L = \frac{\partial r}{\partial z_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \frac{\partial r}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}.$$

The  $L$  is a basis for the maximal complex subspace of the tangent space to  $\partial\Omega$  at each point. The Levi function  $\lambda$  for  $\Omega$  is defined to be function such that  $\mathcal{L}(L, \bar{L}) = \lambda |L|^2$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Levi form for  $\Omega$ . Kohn showed that if  $\partial\Omega$  is of finite type at a point

$p \in \partial\Omega$ , then

$$(L^{\alpha_1} \cdots L^{\alpha_m} \lambda)(p) \neq 0$$

for some  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ , where each  $\alpha_j$  is either 0 or 1, while  $L^0 = L$  and  $L^1 = \bar{L}$ . Therefore, we take the following definition for infinite type.

DEFINITION.  $\partial\Omega$  is said to be of infinite type at  $p \in \partial\Omega$  if

$$(2.2) \quad (L^{\alpha_1} \cdots L^{\alpha_m} \lambda)(p) = 0$$

for any  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  and for any  $m=0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where each  $\alpha_j$  is either 0 or 1, while  $L^0 = L$  and  $L^1 = \bar{L}$ .

Note that in the definition we may use any nonvanishing smooth complex tangent vector field instead of  $L$  since  $L$  generates such a vector field.

For our domain  $E_P = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1|^2 + P(z_2, \bar{z}_2) < 1\}$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad L = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \bar{z}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$$

and the Levi form is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta P(z_2)/4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we see, by straight forward computation, that the Levi function  $\lambda(z_1, z_2)$  at  $(z_1, z_2)$  is

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\left| \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_2}(z_2) \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \Delta P(z_2) |z_1|^2}{\left| \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_2}(z_2) \right|^2 + |z_1|^2}.$$

Note that because of (C1) and (C2)

$$(2.6) \quad (L^{\alpha_1} \cdots L^{\alpha_m} \lambda)(e^{i\theta}, 0) = (-1)^m e^{i(m-2k)\theta} \frac{\partial^m \lambda}{\partial z_2^k \partial \bar{z}_2^{m-k}}(e^{i\theta}, 0),$$

where  $k$  is the number of  $\alpha_j$ 's such that  $\alpha_j=0$ . It then follows from (C1) and (C2) that

$$(L^{\alpha_1} \cdots L^{\alpha_m} \lambda)(e^{i\theta}, 0) = 0$$

for any  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  and for any  $m=0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence  $E_P$  is of infinite type at points  $(e^{i\theta}, 0)$  for any  $\theta$ . Moreover,  $(e^{i\theta}, 0)$  are the only points of infinite type.

We now prove a preliminary lemma regarding infinite type.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\psi$  be a smooth increasing function on  $(0, \varepsilon)$  for some  $\varepsilon$ . If  $\psi$  vanishes at 0 to infinite order, then for any  $c > 1$ ,*

$$(2.7) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(ct)}{\psi(t)} = \infty.$$

PROOF. Suppose that (2.7) does not hold. Then, there exist  $t_0 > 0$  and  $A > 1$  such that  $\psi(ct) \leq A\psi(t)$  for any  $t \leq t_0$ . Hence, if  $m$  is large enough, then

$$\frac{\psi(t)}{t^m} \geq \frac{1}{A} \frac{\psi(ct)}{t^m} = \frac{c^m}{A} \frac{\psi(ct)}{(ct)^m} > \frac{\psi(ct)}{(ct)^m}.$$

By repeating this inequality, we have

$$\frac{\psi(t)}{t^m} > \frac{\psi(c^n t)}{(c^n t)^m},$$

for any positive integer  $n$  as long as  $c^n t \leq t_0$ . In particular, we have

$$\frac{\psi(t_0/c^n)}{(t_0/c^n)^m} > \frac{\psi(t_0)}{t_0^m}.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi^{(m)}(t) \geq \frac{m! \psi(t_0)}{t_0^m} > 0,$$

which contradicts our hypothesis. This completes the proof.

**3. Proofs.** We now give a proof of the main theorem of this paper. Each lemma corresponds to a step for the proof. As before, we let  $P$  be a smooth function satisfying the conditions (C1) and (C2) such that  $P$  is subharmonic in a neighborhood of  $\bar{E}_P$  where

$$E_P = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1|^2 + P(z_2) < 1\}.$$

Then,  $E_P$  is a pseudo-convex domain; of infinite type along  $(e^{i\theta}, 0) \in \partial E_P$  and of finite type elsewhere. We let  $W = \{(e^{i\theta}, 0) : |\theta| \leq \pi\}$ . One can observe that any automorphism  $F$  of  $E_P$  maps  $W$  onto itself. In fact, since  $E_P$  is a complete Hartogs domain, the Bergmann projection on  $E_P$  maps  $C^\infty(\bar{E}_P)$  into  $C^\infty(\bar{E}_P)$  (cf. [BS, main theorem]). So, by a well-known theorem of Bell-Ligocka, any automorphism of  $E_P$  can be extended to a diffeomorphism of  $\bar{E}_P$ . We denote the extension also by  $F$ . This extended automorphism  $F$  maps  $W$  onto  $W$ .

LEMMA 3.1. Any  $F \in \text{Aut}(E_P)$  is of the form

$$F(z_1, z_2) = (\mu m(z_1), z_2 h(z_1, z_2)),$$

where  $m$  is a Möbius transformation of the unit disc  $\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\mu| = 1$ , and  $h$  is a holomorphic function on  $E_P$ .

PROOF. Let  $F(z) = (f(z), g(z))$  be an automorphism of  $E_p$ .  $F(W) = W$  implies that  $g(z_1, 0) = 0$  if  $|z_1| = 1$ . By the maximum principle, we have  $g(z_1, 0) = 0$  if  $|z_1| < 1$ . Moreover,  $f(z_1, 0)$  is an automorphism of  $\Delta$ . Hence,  $f(z_1, 0) = \mu m(z_1)$ , where  $m(z_1)$  is a Möbius transformation of  $\Delta$  and  $|\mu| = 1$ . It remains to show that  $f$  is independent of  $z_2$ .

Let  $r(z_1, z_2) = |z_1|^2 + P(z_2) - 1$ . Then  $r \circ F$  is also a defining function for  $E_p$ , since  $F$  can be extended to a diffeomorphism of  $\overline{E_p}$ . For notational convenience, we put  $Q(z) = (P \circ g)(z)$ . Note that

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta} Q}{\partial z_2^\alpha \partial \bar{z}_2^\beta}(e^{i\theta}, 0) = 0$$

for any  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ , since  $P$  vanishes to infinite order at 0 and  $g(e^{i\theta}, 0) = 0$ . In terms of the defining function  $r \circ F$ , the Levi function for  $E_p$  is given by

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda(z) = \frac{\varphi(z) \left| \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_2}(z_2) \right|^2 - 2\Re \left( z_1 \psi(z) \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_2}(z_2) \right) + |z_1|^2 \theta(z)}{\left| \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_2}(z_2) \right|^2 + |z_1|^2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) &= \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \Delta_1 Q, \\ \psi(z) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{z}_2} + \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial z_1 \partial \bar{z}_2}, \\ \theta(z) &= \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_2} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \Delta_2 Q, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\Delta_j$  is the Laplacian with respect to  $z_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Since  $E_p$  is of infinite type along  $W$ , we have

$$((\bar{L}L)^n \lambda)(e^{i\theta}, 0) = 0$$

for any nonnegative integer  $n$ . Recall that

$$L = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \bar{z}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}.$$

It follows from (C1), (C2) and (3.1) that

$$0 = ((\bar{L}L)^n \lambda)(e^{i\theta}, 0) = 4^{-n} (\Delta_2^n \lambda)(e^{i\theta}, 0) = 4^{-n} (\Delta_2^n \theta)(e^{i\theta}, 0) = \left| \frac{\partial^{n+1} f}{\partial z_2^{n+1}}(e^{i\theta}, 0) \right|^2$$

for any  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . It then follows from the maximum principle that  $f$  is independent of  $z_2$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.2. Let  $F(z_1, z_2) = (\mu m(z_1, z_2)h(z_1, z_2))$  be an automorphism of  $E_P$ . Then,  $|h(e^{i\theta}, 0)| = 1$  for any  $\theta$ .

PROOF. Since  $r$  and  $r \circ F$  are defining functions for  $E_P$ , there exist positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$Ar(z) \leq (r \circ F)(z) \leq Br(z)$$

for all  $z$  near  $\partial E_P$ . Recall that  $r(z_1, z_2) = |z_1|^2 + P(z_2) - 1$ . Fix  $\theta$  and let  $z_1 = e^{i\theta}$ . Then, for any  $z_2$  near 0, we have

$$(3.3) \quad AP(z_2) \leq P(z_2 h(e^{i\theta}, z_2)) \leq BP(z_2).$$

Suppose that  $|h(e^{i\theta}, 0)| > 1$ . Then, by the second inequality in (3.3), we have

$$\int_{|z_2| \leq \delta} P(z_2 h(e^{i\theta}, z_2)) dV(z_2) \leq B \int_{|z_2| \leq \delta} P(z_2) dV(z_2)$$

for any  $\delta$  small enough. We make a change of variables  $w = g(e^{i\theta}, z_2) = z_2 h(e^{i\theta}, z_2)$  and let  $z_2 = g^{-1}(w)$  be its inverse. Then, the real Jacobian  $|J_{\mathbf{R}} g^{-1}|$  of the change of variables  $z_2 = g^{-1}(w)$  is bounded below by a positive constant  $C$  for  $|z_2| < \delta$  if  $\delta$  is small enough. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_{|g^{-1}(w)| \leq \delta} P(w) dV(w) &\leq \int_{|g^{-1}(w)| \leq \delta} P(w) |J_{\mathbf{R}} g^{-1}| dV(w) \\ &\leq \int_{|z_2| \leq \delta} P(z_2 h(e^{i\theta}, z_2)) dV(z_2) \\ &\leq B \int_{|z_2| \leq \delta} P(z_2) dV(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|h(e^{i\theta}, 0)| > 1$ , there exists a constant  $c > 1$  such that  $\{w : |w| \leq c\delta\} \subset \{w : |g^{-1}(w)| \leq \delta\}$  for any  $\delta$  small enough. Hence, we have

$$(3.4) \quad C \int_{|w| \leq c\delta} P(w) dV(w) \leq B \int_{|w| \leq \delta} P(w) dV(w).$$

Let

$$\psi(\delta) = \int_{|w| \leq \delta} P(w) dV(w) = \delta^2 \int_{|w| \leq 1} P(\delta w) dV(w).$$

Then, we see from (C2) that  $\psi$  vanishes to infinite order at 0. On the other hand, (3.4) implies that

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(c\delta)}{\psi(\delta)} \leq \frac{B}{C}.$$

This contradicts Lemma 2.1. Hence  $|h(e^{i\theta}, 0)| \leq 1$ . We can use the first inequality in (3.3) to show that  $|h(e^{i\theta}, 0)| \geq 1$ . Hence,  $|h(e^{i\theta}, 0)| = 1$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $F = (f, g)$  be an automorphism of  $E_p$ . Then  $f(z) = \mu z_1$  for some  $\mu$  with  $|\mu| = 1$ .*

PROOF. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2,  $f(z_1, z_2) = \mu m(z_1)$  and  $g(z_1, z_2) = z_2 h(z_1, z_2)$  with  $|h(e^{i\theta}, 0)| = 1$  where  $|\mu| = 1$  and  $m$  is a Möbius transformation. Assume that  $\mu = 1$  without loss of generality. Suppose that  $m(0) \neq 0$ . Put  $D_\varepsilon = \{(0, z_2) : |z_2| \leq \varepsilon\}$ . Let  $F^n = F \circ \cdots \circ F$  be the  $n$  times iteration of  $F$ , and let  $F^n = (G_n, H_n)$ . Then,  $G_n(z_1, z_2) = m^n(z_1)$  and hence  $|G_n(0, z_2)| \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $z_2$  and  $G_n(0, z_2)$  is independent of  $z_2$ . Therefore, we have

$$\int_{F^n(D_\varepsilon)} dV(z_2) = \int_{H_n(D_\varepsilon)} dV(z_2) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let

$$g(z_1, z_2) = v z_2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n(z_1) z_2^n$$

in some neighborhood of  $(0, 0)$  containing  $D_\varepsilon$  for a small  $\varepsilon$ . Then we have  $|v| = 1$  by Lemma 3.2. We can show by induction that

$$H_n(z_1, z_2) = v^n z_2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n(z_1) z_2^n$$

for some holomorphic functions  $b_n$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H_n(D_\varepsilon)} dV(z_2) &= \int_{D_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial H_n}{\partial z_2}(0, z_2) \right|^2 dV(z_2) \\ &\geq \varepsilon^2 \pi |v|^{2n} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \pi \varepsilon^{2n} |b_n(0)|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 \pi, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus,  $m(0) = 0$  and the proof is complete.

Theorem and Corollary follow from Lemma 3.3.

#### REFERENCES

- [BP] E. BEDFORD AND S. I. PINCHUK, Domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with noncompact automorphism group, Math. USSR Sbornik 63 (1989), 141–151.
- [BL] S. BELL AND E. LIGOCKA, A simplification and extension of Fefferman's theorem on biholomorphic mappings, Invent. Math. 57 (1980), 283–289.
- [BS] H. BOAS AND E. STRAUBE, Complete Hartogs domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  have regular Bergman and Szegő projections, Math. Z. 201 (1989), 441–454.
- [GK] R. GREENE AND S. KRANTZ, Techniques for studying automorphisms of weakly pseudo-convex domains, preprint.

- [Kan] H. KANG, Automorphism groups on certain Reinhardt domains, Bull. of Korean Math. Soc. 30 (1993), 171–177.
- [Koh] J. J. KOHN, Boundary behavior of  $\bar{\partial}$  on weakly pseudo-convex manifolds of dimension two, J. Differential Geom. 6 (1972), 523–542.
- [Ros] J.-P. ROSAY, Sur une caractérisation de la boule parmi les domaines de  $C^n$  par son group d'automorphismes, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 29 (1979), 91–97.
- [Won] B. WONG, Characterization of the unit ball in  $C^n$  by its automorphism group, Invent. Math. 41 (1977), 253–257.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KOREA UNIVERSITY  
5-1 ANAM-DONG, SUNGBUK-GU  
SEOUL 136-701  
KOREA