

# ON SMOOTH $SO_0(p, q)$ -ACTIONS ON $S^{p+q-1}$ , II

FUICHI UCHIDA\*

(Received November 6, 1995, revised March 14, 1996)

**Abstract.** Smooth actions of non-compact semi-simple Lie groups have been considered by Asoh, Mukoyama and others, in the case where the actions restricted to the maximal compact subgroup have codimension-one principal orbits. In this paper, we consider such actions on the  $(p+1)$ -sphere for Lorentz group of type  $(p, 2)$ .

**Introduction.** Consider the standard  $SO(p) \times SO(q)$ -action on the  $(p+q-1)$ -sphere  $S^{p+q-1}$ . This action has codimension-one principal orbits with  $SO(p-1) \times SO(q-1)$  as the principal isotropy subgroup. Furthermore, the fixed point set of the restricted  $SO(p-1) \times SO(q-1)$ -action is diffeomorphic to the circle  $S^1$  for  $p \neq 2$  and  $q \neq 2$ .

In the previous paper [3], we have studied the smooth  $SO_0(p, q)$ -actions on  $S^{p+q-1}$  for  $p \geq 3$  and  $q \geq 3$ , each of which is an extension of the above action, and we have shown that such an action is characterized by a pair  $(\phi, f)$  satisfying certain conditions, where  $\phi$  is a smooth one-parameter group on  $S^1$  and  $f: S^1 \rightarrow P_1(\mathbf{R})$  is a smooth function.

In this paper, we shall study the smooth  $SO_0(p, q)$ -actions on  $S^{p+q-1}$  in the case  $p \geq 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ . In the case  $q = 2$ , the fixed point set of the restricted  $SO(p-1) \times SO(q-1)$ -action is diffeomorphic to the 2-sphere  $S^2$ , unlike the cases mentioned above. So we shall introduce a triple  $(S, \phi, f)$ , instead of the pair, satisfying certain conditions, where  $S$  is a circle in  $S^2$ ,  $\phi$  is a smooth one-parameter group on  $S$ , and  $f: S \rightarrow P_1(\mathbf{R})$  is a smooth function.

The pair  $(\phi, f)$  was introduced by Asoh [1] to consider smooth  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ -actions on the 3-sphere, and was improved by our previous paper [3]. The triple  $(S, \phi, f)$  was introduced by Mukoyama [2] to consider smooth  $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$ -actions on the 4-sphere. Here, we notice that the Lie groups  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$  and  $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$  are locally isomorphic to  $SO_0(3, 1)$  and  $SO_0(3, 2)$ , respectively.

The author wishes to express gratitude to the referees and the editors for their helpful advice.

**1. Subgroups of  $SO(p, q)$ .** Let  $SO(p, q)$  denote the group of matrices in  $SL(p+q, \mathbf{R})$  which leave invariant the quadratic form

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57S20.

\* Partly supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, The Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

$$-x_1^2 - \cdots - x_p^2 + x_{p+1}^2 + \cdots + x_{p+q}^2.$$

In particular,  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$  contains  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{O}(p) \times \mathbf{O}(q))$  as a maximal compact subgroup.

Put

$$I_{p,q} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} -I_p & 0 \\ \hline 0 & I_q \end{array} \right],$$

where  $I_n$  denotes the unit matrix of order  $n$ . Clearly, a real matrix  $g$  of order  $p+q$  belongs to  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$  if and only if

$${}^t g I_{p,q} g = I_{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \det g = 1,$$

where  ${}^t g$  denotes the transposed matrix of  $g$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$  denote the Lie algebra of  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$ . Then a real matrix  $X$  of order  $p+q$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$  if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad {}^t X I_{p,q} + I_{p,q} X = 0.$$

Writing  $X$  in the form

$$X = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} X_1 & X_2 \\ \hline X_3 & X_4 \end{array} \right],$$

where  $X_1$  is of order  $p$  and  $X_4$  is of order  $q$ , we see that the condition (1.1) is equivalent to the equality  $X_3 = {}^t X_2$  and the skew-symmetry of  $X_1, X_4$ .

Let  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, q)$  denote the identity component of  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$ . Notice that  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$  has two connected components for  $p, q \geq 1$ . We see that

$$\mathbf{SO}(p) \times \mathbf{SO}(q) = \mathbf{SO}_0(p, q) \cap \mathbf{SO}(p+q)$$

is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, q)$ .

Here, we consider the standard representations of  $\mathbf{SO}(p, 2)$  and  $\mathfrak{so}(p, 2)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^{p+2}$ . Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{p+2}\}$  denote the standard basis of  $\mathbf{R}^{p+2}$ . Let  $H(a:b:c)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{h}(a:b:c)$ ) denote the isotropy subgroup (resp. subalgebra) at  $ae_1 + be_{p+1} + ce_{p+2}$  for  $(a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ . Notice that  $H(1:0:0) = \mathbf{SO}(p-1, 2)$  and  $H(0:1:0) = \mathbf{SO}(p, 1)$ . Put

$$H_0(a:b:c) = \mathbf{SO}_0(p, 2) \cap H(a:b:c).$$

We can show that  $H_0(a:b:c)$  is connected for any  $(a, b, c)$ .

LEMMA 1.2. Suppose  $p \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a proper subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{so}(p, 2)$  which contains  $\mathfrak{so}(p-1) = \bigcap \mathfrak{h}(a:b:c)$ . If

$$\dim \mathfrak{so}(p, 2) - \dim \mathfrak{g} \leq p+1,$$

then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}(a : b : c) \quad \text{for some } (a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 0),$$

or

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}(a : b : c) \oplus \mathbf{R}^1 \quad \text{for some } (a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 0)$$

such that  $a^2 = b^2 + c^2$ , where the space  $\mathbf{R}^1$  is generated by the matrix  $b(E_{1,p+1} + E_{p+1,1}) + c(E_{1,p+2} + E_{p+2,1})$ . Here  $E_{i,j}$  denotes the matrix unit.

PROOF. Let  $\mathbf{SO}(p-1)$  denote the closed connected subgroup of  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, 2)$  corresponding to the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{so}(p-1)$ . We obtain the desired result, by considering the adjoint representation of  $\mathbf{SO}(p-1)$  on  $\mathfrak{so}(p, 2)$  and the bracket operation on invariant subspaces. We omit the details. q.e.d.

Let  $N(p, 2)$  denote the subgroup of  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, 2)$  consisting of matrices in the form

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} X_1 & 0 & X_2 & \\ 0 & I_{p-1} & 0 & \\ \hline X_3 & 0 & X_4 & \end{array} \right],$$

where  $X_1$  is of order one and  $X_4$  is of order two. Notice that the group  $N(p, 2)$  is the identity component of the centralizer of  $\mathbf{SO}(p-1)$ , and  $N(p, 2)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathbf{SO}_0(1, 2)$ .

Put

$$m(\theta) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} \cosh \theta & 0 & \sinh \theta & 0 \\ 0 & I_{p-1} & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \sinh \theta & 0 & \cosh \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]; \quad \theta \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Then we see that  $m(\theta)$  is an element of  $N(p, 2)$ . Let  $M(p, 2)$  denote the subgroup of  $N(p, 2)$  consisting of matrices  $m(\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Considering the orbit of  $ae_1 + be_{p+1} + ce_{p+2}$ , we obtain the following (cf. [3, Proof of Lemma 1.5]):

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbf{SO}_0(p, 2) = (\mathbf{SO}(p) \times \mathbf{SO}(2))N(p, 2)H_0(a : b : c)$$

for each  $(a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ . Moreover, we obtain

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbf{SO}_0(p, 2) = (\mathbf{SO}(p) \times \mathbf{SO}(2))M(p, 2)H_0(a : b : 0)$$

for each  $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ .

## 2. Smooth $SO_0(p, 2)$ -actions on $S^{p+1}$ .

Let  $\Phi_0: SO_0(p, 2) \times S^{p+1} \rightarrow S^{p+1}$  denote the standard action defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi_0(g, u) = \|gu\|^{-1} gu.$$

Its restricted  $SO(p) \times SO(2)$ -action  $\psi$  is by orthogonal transformations and has co-dimension-one principal orbits with  $SO(p-1)$  as the principal isotropy subgroup. Put

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} G &= SO_0(p, 2), & K &= SO(p) \times SO(2), \\ H &= SO(p-1), & \psi &= \Phi_0|_{(K \times S^{p+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $F(H)$  denote the fixed point set of the restricted  $H$ -action. Then the set  $F(H)$  consists of the points

$$xe_1 + ye_{p+1} + ze_{p+2}$$

satisfying  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ , and is naturally diffeomorphic to the 2-sphere  $S^2$ .

Let  $\Phi: G \times S^{p+1} \rightarrow S^{p+1}$  be a smooth  $G$ -action on  $S^{p+1}$  such that its restricted  $K$ -action coincides with the action  $\psi$ , i.e.,  $\Phi|_{(K \times S^{p+1})} = \psi$ .

First we shall show that there exists a smooth function

$$f: F(H) \rightarrow P_2(\mathbf{R})$$

uniquely determined by the condition: the isotropy subgroup  $G_Y$  at  $Y \in F(H)$  contains  $H_0(a:b:c)$ , if  $f(Y) = (a:b:c)$ . Here,  $P_2(\mathbf{R})$  denotes the real projective plane while  $G_Y$  denotes the isotropy subgroup at  $Y$  with respect to the given  $G$ -action  $\Phi$ .

Since  $G_Y$  contains  $H = SO(p-1)$ ,  $G_Y$  contains a unique subgroup of the form  $H_0(a:b:c)$  by Lemma 1.2. It remains only to show the smoothness of  $f$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_Y$  denote the Lie algebra of the subgroup  $G_Y$ . Considering the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}(a:b:c)$ , we see that the following elements are contained in  $\mathfrak{g}_Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &a(E_{i,p+1} + E_{p+1,i}) + b(E_{1,i} - E_{i,1}), \\ &a(E_{i,p+2} + E_{p+2,i}) + c(E_{1,i} - E_{i,1}), \\ &b(E_{i,p+2} + E_{p+2,i}) - c(E_{i,p+1} + E_{p+1,i}), \end{aligned}$$

for each  $2 \leq i \leq p$ . Hence we obtain the smoothness of  $f$  (cf. [3, §2]).

The subgroup  $N(p, 2)$  acts on  $F(H)$  via  $\Phi|_{(N(p,2) \times F(H))}$ , since  $N(p, 2)$  is contained in the normalizer of  $H$ . On the other hand, the standard representation of  $SO_0(1, 2)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^3$  induces a smooth action of  $SO_0(1, 2)$  on the real projective plane  $P_2(\mathbf{R})$ . Via the canonical isomorphism of  $N(p, 2)$  onto  $SO_0(1, 2)$ , we may regard  $P_2(\mathbf{R})$  as an  $N(p, 2)$ -manifold.

Put

$$(2.3) \quad j_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & I_p \end{bmatrix}, \quad j_2 = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then these matrices act on  $F(H)$  via the orthogonal  $K$ -action  $\psi$  and act on  $P_2(\mathbf{R})$  by

$$j_1(a : b : c) = j_2(a : b : c) = (-a : b : c).$$

Put  $N(p, 2)^+ = N(p, 2) \cup j_1 N(p, 2)$ . Then,  $N(p, 2)^+$  is a subgroup of the normalizer  $N(H)$  of  $H$  in  $G$ , and  $N(p, 2)^+$  is naturally isomorphic to  $N(H)/H$ . Notice that  $j_2$  is contained in  $N(p, 2)$ , and  $j_1 j_2$  commutes with each element of  $N(p, 2)$ .

**LEMMA 2.4.** *The function  $f : F(H) \rightarrow P_2(\mathbf{R})$  is  $N(p, 2)^+$ -equivariant.*

**PROOF.** Let  $n \in N(p, 2)^+$  and  $(a : b : c) \in P_2(\mathbf{R})$ . We can write

$$n(ae_1 + be_{p+1} + ce_{p+2})$$

in the form  $a'e_1 + b'e_{p+1} + c'e_{p+2}$  in the standard representation space  $\mathbf{R}^{p+2}$  of  $SO_0(p, 2)$ . In this case, we obtain

$$nH_0(a : b : c)n^{-1} = H_0(a' : b' : c')$$

in  $SO_0(p, 2)$ , and

$$n(a : b : c) = (a' : b' : c')$$

in  $P_2(\mathbf{R})$ .

Suppose  $f(Y) = (a : b : c)$ . We see that

$$H_0(a : b : c) \subset G_Y,$$

by the definition of the function  $f$ . Hence we obtain

$$H_0(a' : b' : c') = nH_0(a : b : c)n^{-1} \subset nG_Y n^{-1} = G_{\Phi(n, Y)}.$$

Therefore,

$$f(\Phi(n, Y)) = (a' : b' : c') = n(a : b : c) = nf(Y).$$

The equation means that  $f$  is  $N(p, 2)^+$ -equivariant.

q.e.d.

By the definition of the function  $f$ , we see that

$$(2.5) \quad N(p, 2)_Y^+ \supset N(p, 2)^+ \cap H_0(a : b : c)$$

for  $f(Y) = (a : b : c)$ .

**3. Certain symmetric matrices.** Let a smooth action  $\phi$  of  $N(p, 2)^+$  on  $F(H)$  and a smooth function  $f : F(H) \rightarrow P_2(\mathbf{R})$  be given. Suppose that  $f$  is  $N(p, 2)^+$ -equivariant. Let  $P(Y)$  denote the symmetric matrix of order  $p+2$  defined by

$$P(Y) = (a^2 + b^2 + c^2)^{-1} V(a, b, c) V(a, b, c)$$

for  $f(Y) = (a : b : c)$ , where  $V(a, b, c) = ae_1 + be_{p+1} + ce_{p+2}$ , and define

$$U(Y) = \{g \in G \mid gP(Y)'g = P(Y)\}.$$

Then, clearly the identity component  $U_0(Y)$  of  $U(Y)$  coincides with  $H_0(a: b: c)$ , and there is a positive real number  $\lambda(n, Y)$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad nP(Y)'n = \lambda(n, Y)P(\phi(n, Y))$$

for each  $Y \in F(H)$  and  $n \in N(p, 2)^+$ .

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Suppose  $kP(Y)'k = P(Y')$  for  $Y, Y' \in F(H)$  and  $k \in \mathbf{SO}(p) \times I_2$ . Then, there are the following possibilities: (1)  $k \in H$  and  $f(Y) = f(Y')$ , (2)  $j_1 k \in H$  and  $j_1 f(Y) = f(Y')$ , or (3)  $f(Y) = f(Y') = (0: b: c)$ .*

**PROOF.** Put  $f(Y) = (a: b: c)$ . We have

$$k(ae_1 + be_{p+1} + ce_{p+2}) = a'e_1 + be_{p+1} + ce_{p+2}$$

in the standard representation space  $\mathbf{R}^{p+2}$  of  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, 2)$  and  $f(Y') = (a': b: c)$  by the assumption. Moreover,  $a' = \pm a$ .

If  $a' = a \neq 0$ , then  $k \in H$ , which is the case (1). If  $a' = -a \neq 0$ , then  $j_1 k \in H$ , which is the case (2). If  $a' = a = 0$ , then it is the case (3). q.e.d.

**4. Construction of  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, 2)$ -actions.** Let a smooth action  $\phi$  of  $N(p, 2)^+$  on  $F(H)$  and a smooth function  $f: F(H) \rightarrow P_2(\mathbf{R})$  be given.

Suppose that the restriction of  $\phi$  on  $K \cap N(p, 2)^+$  coincides with the restriction of the orthogonal  $K$ -action  $\psi$ , while  $f$  is  $N(p, 2)^+$ -equivariant and satisfies the condition (2.5). The condition (2.5) can be restated as

$$(4.1) \quad N(p, 2)_Y^+ \supset N(p, 2)^+ \cap U_0(Y)$$

for each  $Y \in F(H)$ .

We shall show how to construct a smooth  $G = \mathbf{SO}_0(p, 2)$ -action on the  $(p+1)$ -sphere  $S^{p+1}$  from the pair  $(\phi, f)$ . We use the notation in (2.2) and (2.3). Put  $K' = \mathbf{SO}(p) \times I_2$ .

By (1.3) and the facts that  $U_0(Y) = H_0(a: b: c)$  for  $f(Y) = (a: b: c)$ , and that  $N(p, 2)$  contains  $I_p \times \mathbf{SO}(2)$ , we obtain the decomposition

$$(4.2) \quad G = K'N(p, 2)U_0(Y)$$

for each  $Y \in F(H)$ .

Take  $(g, X) \in G \times S^{p+1}$ . Let us choose

$$k \in K, \quad Y \in F(H); \quad \psi(k, Y) = X,$$

$$k_0 \in K', \quad n \in N(p, 2), \quad h \in U_0(Y); \quad gk = k_0nh,$$

and put

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi(g, X) = \psi(k_0, \phi(n, Y)).$$

We shall show that  $\Phi$  is well-defined and is a smooth  $G$ -action on  $S^{p+1}$ . For the proof, we need the following:

LEMMA 4.4. *Let  $Y \in F(H)$ . Suppose  $knh = k'n'h'$  for  $k, k' \in K'$ ,  $n, n' \in N(p, 2)$ , and  $h, h' \in U_0(Y)$ . Then*

$$\psi(k, \phi(n, Y)) = \psi(k', \phi(n', Y)) .$$

PROOF. We obtain

$$knP(Y)'n'k = k'n'P(Y)'n'k' .$$

Then, by (3.1)

$$\lambda kP(\phi(n, Y))'k = \lambda' k'P(\phi(n', Y))'k'$$

for certain positive real numbers  $\lambda, \lambda'$ . Comparing the traces of both sides, we obtain  $\lambda = \lambda'$  and

$$kP(\phi(n, Y))'k = k'P(\phi(n', Y))'k' .$$

Then we have the following possibilities, by Lemma 3.2,

- (1)  $k'^{-1}k \in H$ ,
- (2)  $j_1 k'^{-1}k \in H$ ,
- (3)  $f(\phi(n, Y)) = f(\phi(n', Y)) = (0 : b : c)$ .

In the case (1), we see that

$$n^{-1}n' = (n^{-1}k'^{-1}kn)(hh'^{-1})$$

is contained in  $N(p, 2)_Y^+$  by (4.1). Hence

$$\phi(n, Y) = \phi(n', Y) ,$$

and we obtain the desired equation by  $k'^{-1}k \in H$ .

In the case (2), we see that

$$n^{-1}j_1 n' = (n^{-1}j_1 k'^{-1}kn)(hh'^{-1})$$

is contained in  $N(p, 2)_Y^+$  by (4.1). Hence

$$\phi(n, Y) = \phi(j_1 n', Y) ,$$

and we obtain the desired equation by  $j_1 k'^{-1}k \in H$ .

In the case (3), we see that

$$\psi(j_1, \phi(n, Y)) = \phi(n, Y) ,$$

by (2.5). Hence

$$\phi(n, Y) = ye_{p+1} + ze_{p+2} ,$$

and we see that

$$\psi(k, \phi(n, Y)) = \psi(k', \phi(n, Y))$$

for any  $k, k' \in K'$ . On the other hand,

$$n'n^{-1} = (k'^{-1}k)(nhh'^{-1}n^{-1})$$

is contained in  $N(p, 2)_{\phi(n, Y)}^+$  by (4.1). Hence

$$\phi(n, Y) = \phi(n', Y),$$

and we obtain the desired equation. q.e.d.

**PROPOSITION 4.5.**  $\Phi$  in (4.3) is well-defined and is an abstract  $G$ -action such that  $\Phi|_{(K \times S^{p+1})} = \psi$ .

**PROOF.** For  $(g, X) \in G \times S^{p+1}$ , let us choose

$$X = \psi(k_1, Y_1) = \psi(k_2, Y_2)$$

as in (4.3), where  $k_i \in K$ ,  $Y_i \in F(H)$ , and

$$gk_1 = k_0nh,$$

where  $k_0 \in K'$ ,  $n \in N(p, 2)$ ,  $h \in U_0(Y_1)$ .

We have  $k_1^{-1}k_2 = k'k''$ , where  $k' \in K'$  and  $k'' \in K \cap N(p, 2)$ . Then, we have the following possibilities,

(1)  $k' \in H$ , (2)  $j_1k' \in H$ , and (3)  $\psi(j_1, Y_1) = Y_1$ .

In the case (1), we see that  $Y_1 = \psi(k'', Y_2)$ , and

$$gk_2 = k_0(nk'')(k''^{-1}hk'k''),$$

where  $nk'' \in N(p, 2)$  and  $k''^{-1}hk'k'' \in U_0(Y_2)$ . Hence we obtain

$$\psi(k_0, \phi(nk'', Y_2)) = \psi(k_0, \phi(n, Y_1)).$$

In the case (2), we see that  $\psi(j_1, Y_1) = \psi(k'', Y_2)$ , and

$$gk_2 = (k_0j_1)(j_1nj_1k'')(k''^{-1}j_1hk'k''),$$

where  $k_0j_1 \in K'$ ,  $j_1nj_1k'' \in N(p, 2)$ , and  $k''^{-1}j_1hk'k'' \in U_0(Y_2)$ . Hence we obtain

$$\psi(k_0j_1, \phi(j_1nj_1k'', Y_2)) = \psi(k_0, \phi(n, Y_1)).$$

In the case (3), we see that  $Y_1 = \psi(k'', Y_2)$ ,  $k' \in U_0(Y_1)$  and

$$gk_2 = k_0(nk'')(k''^{-1}hk'k''),$$

where  $nk'' \in N(p, 2)$  and  $k''^{-1}hk'k'' \in U_0(Y_2)$ . Hence we obtain

$$\psi(k_0, \phi(nk'', Y_2)) = \psi(k_0, \phi(n, Y_1)).$$

Combining these results and Lemma 4.4, we see that  $\Phi$  in (4.3) is well-defined.

Take  $g, g' \in G$  and  $X \in S^{p+1}$ . As in (4.3), let us choose  $X = \psi(k, Y)$ , where  $k \in K$ ,  $Y \in F(H)$ , and

$$gk = k_0nh, \quad g'k_0 = k_1n_1h_1,$$

where  $k_0, k_1 \in K'$ ,  $n, n_1 \in N(p, 2)$ ,  $h \in U_0(Y)$  and  $h_1 \in U_0(\phi(n, Y))$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(g', \Phi(g, X)) &= \Phi(g', \psi(k_0, \phi(n, Y))) = \psi(k_1, \phi(n_1, \phi(n, Y))) \\ &= \psi(k_1, \phi(n_1n, Y)) = \Phi(g'g, X), \end{aligned}$$

because

$$g'gk = k_1(n_1n)(n^{-1}h_1nh),$$

$n_1n \in N(p, 2)$  and  $n^{-1}h_1nh \in U_0(Y)$ .

This shows that  $\Phi$  in (4.3) is an abstract  $G$ -action.

Finally, take  $(k, X) \in K \times S^{p+1}$  and put  $X = \psi(k_1, Y)$ , where  $k_1 \in K$ ,  $Y \in F(H)$ . We have  $kk_1 = k'k''$ , where  $k' \in K'$  and  $k'' \in K \cap N(p, 2)$ . Then,

$$\Phi(k, X) = \psi(k', \phi(k'', Y)) = \psi(k'k'', Y) = \psi(k, X).$$

This shows  $\Phi|_{(K \times S^{p+1})} = \psi$ .

q.e.d.

Notice that the continuity of  $\Phi$  is unknown at this stage. In the remainder of this section, we shall show the smoothness of the  $G$ -action  $\Phi$ .

Define  $S = f^{-1}(P_1(\mathbf{R}))$ . This means that the set  $S$  consists of the points  $Y \in F(H)$  such that  $f(Y) = (* : * : 0)$ . Then the points  $\pm e_1$  are contained in  $S$ .

Considering the orbits of  $I_p \times SO(2)$ , we see that the function  $f$  is transversal to  $P_1(\mathbf{R})$  at each point of  $S - \{\pm e_1\}$ . Clearly  $S$  is invariant under the restricted  $M(p, 2)$ -action and the actions  $\psi(j_\varepsilon, -)$  for  $\varepsilon = 1, 2$ .

Consequently, we see that  $S$  is a one-dimensional closed submanifold of  $F(H)$ .

The subset of  $S$  consisting of the points  $Y$  with  $f(Y) = (a : b : 0)$  such that  $ab > 0$  has two connected components. Denote by  $S_+$  the component contained in the upper hemisphere. Then there is a smooth positive-valued function  $\beta$  on  $S_+$  such that  $f(Y) = (1 : \beta(Y) : 0)$ .

LEMMA 4.6. For  $(\theta, Y) \in \mathbf{R} \times S_+$ , we have  $\phi(m(\theta), Y) \in S_+$  if and only if

$$(4.7) \quad (1 + \beta(Y) \tanh \theta)(\beta(Y) + \tanh \theta) > 0.$$

PROOF. Since  $f$  is  $N(p, 2)^+$ -equivariant, we obtain

$$f(\phi(m(\theta), Y)) = (1 + \beta(Y) \tanh \theta : \beta(Y) + \tanh \theta : 0).$$

Then the “only if” part is clear. Suppose (4.7) holds. Then,

$$\phi(m(\theta), Y) \in S_+ \cup j_1j_2(S_+)$$

and we see that  $\phi(m(\theta), Y)$  is not contained in  $j_1j_2(S_+)$  by considering orbits of the

$M(p, 2)$ -action.

q.e.d.

Define

$$D_+ = \{(\theta, Y) \in \mathbf{R} \times S_+ \mid \phi(m(\theta), Y) \in S_+\},$$

$$W_+ = \{(g, Y) \in G \times S_+ \mid \pm \text{trace}(gP(Y)'g) \neq (1 - \beta(Y)^2)(1 + \beta(Y)^2)^{-1}\}.$$

Clearly  $D_+$  is an open set of  $\mathbf{R} \times S_+$  and  $W_+$  is an open set of  $G \times S_+$ . Notice that

$$\text{trace}(gP(Y)'g) = \cosh 2\theta + 2\beta(Y)(1 + \beta(Y)^2)^{-1} \sinh 2\theta$$

for the decomposition  $g = km(\theta)u$ , where  $k \in K$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $u \in U_0(Y)$  and  $Y \in S_+$ .

Now, we have the following results, whose proof is quite similar to that of Lemma 4.7 in [3].

LEMMA 4.8. *For  $(g, Y) \in G \times S_+$ , we have  $(g, Y) \in W_+$  if and only if there is a decomposition  $g = km(\theta)u$ , where  $k \in K$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ , and  $u \in U_0(Y)$  such that  $(\theta, Y) \in D_+$ .*

LEMMA 4.9. *There is a smooth mapping  $\Delta: W_+ \rightarrow (K/H) \times D_+$  defined by  $\Delta(g, Y) = (kH, (\theta, Y))$ , where  $g = km(\theta)u$ ;  $k \in K$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ , and  $u \in U_0(Y)$ .*

By the definition of  $S_+$ , there exists only one point  $w_0$  of  $S$  such that  $f(w_0) = (0 : 1 : 0)$  and  $w_0$  is contained in the closure of  $S_+$ . Define

$$S_1(\Phi) = \{\Phi(g, e_1) \mid g \in G\},$$

$$S_1(\Phi_0) = \{\Phi_0(g, e_1) \mid g \in G\},$$

$$S_2(\Phi) = \{\Phi(g, w_0) \mid g \in G\},$$

$$S_2(\Phi_0) = \{\Phi_0(g, e_{p+1}) \mid g \in G\}.$$

Here,  $\Phi$  is the  $G$ -action defined by (4.3) and  $\Phi_0$  is the standard  $G$ -action. By (4.3) and the conditions on  $\phi$  and  $f$ , we see that there are positive real numbers  $r_1, r_2 < 1$  such that

$$S_1(\Phi) = \{v \oplus w \in S(\mathbf{R}^p \oplus \mathbf{R}^2) \mid \|w\| < r_1\},$$

$$S_2(\Phi) = \{v \oplus w \in S(\mathbf{R}^p \oplus \mathbf{R}^2) \mid \|v\| < r_2\}.$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$S_1(\Phi_0) = \{v \oplus w \in S(\mathbf{R}^p \oplus \mathbf{R}^2) \mid \|v\| > \|w\|\},$$

$$S_2(\Phi_0) = \{v \oplus w \in S(\mathbf{R}^p \oplus \mathbf{R}^2) \mid \|v\| < \|w\|\}.$$

Define two  $G$ -maps  $F_\varepsilon: S_\varepsilon(\Phi) \rightarrow S_\varepsilon(\Phi_0)$ ;  $\varepsilon = 1, 2$  by

$$F_1(\Phi(g, e_1)) = \Phi_0(g, e_1),$$

$$F_2(\Phi(g, w_0)) = \Phi_0(g, e_{p+1}).$$

Notice that the smoothness of the  $G$ -action  $\Phi$  is unknown at this stage.

LEMMA 4.10.  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are diffeomorphisms.

PROOF. We can write

$$\phi(m(\theta), e_1) = x(\theta)e_1 + y(\theta)e_{p+1} + z(\theta)e_{p+2}.$$

Considering the action  $\psi(j_2, -)$ , we see that  $x(\theta)$  is an even function while  $y(\theta)$  and  $z(\theta)$  are odd functions. Hence there exist smooth even functions  $u(\theta)$ ,  $v(\theta)$  such that

$$y(\theta) = \theta \cdot u(\theta), \quad z(\theta) = \theta \cdot v(\theta).$$

Considering the function  $f$ , we see that  $(u(\theta), v(\theta)) \neq (0, 0)$  for each  $\theta$ .

Define  $a: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow SO(2)$  and  $\tau: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow (-r_1, r_1)$  by

$$a(\theta) = (u(\theta)^2 + v(\theta)^2)^{-1/2} \begin{bmatrix} u(\theta) & v(\theta) \\ -v(\theta) & u(\theta) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tau(\theta) = \theta(u(\theta)^2 + v(\theta)^2)^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$a(\theta) \begin{bmatrix} y(\theta) \\ z(\theta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau(\theta) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the curve  $\phi(m(\theta), e_1)$  is transverse to each latitude, we see that  $\tau$  is a diffeomorphism. Then, we obtain a  $K$ -equivariant diffeomorphism  $h_0: S_1(\Phi) \rightarrow S_1(\Phi)$  defined by

$$h_0(v \oplus w) = v \oplus a\tau^{-1}(\|w\|)w.$$

Next, there is an odd function  $s_1: (-r_1, r_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  determined by

$$s_1(\tau(\theta)) = (\cosh 2\theta)^{-1/2} \sinh \theta,$$

and hence there is a smooth even function  $\sigma_1: (-r_1, r_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $s_1(\tau(\theta)) = \tau(\theta)\sigma_1(\tau(\theta))$ . Then, we obtain a  $K$ -equivariant diffeomorphism  $h_1: S_1(\Phi) \rightarrow S_1(\Phi_0)$  defined by

$$h_1(v \oplus w) = c_1 v \oplus \sigma_1(\|w\|)w,$$

where  $c_1$  is a positive scalar.

By definition, we see that

$$h_1 h_0(\phi(m(\theta), e_1)) = \Phi_0(m(\theta), e_1)$$

for each  $\theta$ , and hence

$$h_1 h_0(\Phi(g, e_1)) = \Phi_0(g, e_1)$$

for each  $g \in G$ . Therefore,  $F_1 = h_1 h_0$  is a diffeomorphism.

Similarly, we can write

$$\phi(m(\theta), w_0) = x(\theta)e_1 + y(\theta)e_{p+1} + z(\theta)e_{p+2}.$$

Considering the action  $\psi(j_1, -)$ , we see that  $x(\theta)$  is an odd function while  $y(\theta)$  and  $z(\theta)$  are even functions. By an argument similar to that above, we see that  $x(\theta)$  is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}$  onto the interval  $(-r_2, r_2)$  and there is a smooth mapping  $b: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow SO(2)$  such that

$$b(\theta) \begin{bmatrix} y(\theta) \\ z(\theta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - x(\theta)^2)^{1/2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next, there is an odd function  $s_2: (-r_2, r_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  determined by

$$s_2(x(\theta)) = (\cosh 2\theta)^{-1/2} \sinh \theta,$$

and hence there is a smooth even function  $\sigma_2: (-r_2, r_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $s_2(x(\theta)) = x(\theta)\sigma_2(x(\theta))$ .

Then, we obtain  $K$ -equivariant diffeomorphisms  $h_2: S_2(\Phi) \rightarrow S_2(\Phi)$  and  $h_3: S_2(\Phi) \rightarrow S_2(\Phi_0)$  defined by

$$h_2(v \oplus w) = v \oplus bx^{-1}(\|v\|)w,$$

$$h_3(v \oplus w) = \sigma_2(\|v\|)v \oplus c_2w,$$

where  $c_2$  is a positive scalar. Then, we see that

$$h_3h_2(\phi(m(\theta), w_0)) = \Phi_0(m(\theta), e_{p+1})$$

for each  $\theta$ , and hence

$$h_3h_2(\Phi(g, w_0)) = \Phi_0(g, e_{p+1})$$

for each  $g \in G$ . Therefore,  $F_2 = h_3h_2$  is a diffeomorphism.

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.10.

**PROPOSITION 4.11.**  $\Phi$  in (4.3) is a smooth  $G$ -action.

**PROOF.** By Lemma 4.10 we see that the restrictions of  $\Phi$  to  $G \times S_1(\Phi)$  and  $G \times S_2(\Phi)$  are smooth. Define

$$W(\Phi) = \{(g, \phi(k, Y)) \mid g \in G, k \in K \text{ and } (gk, Y) \in W_+\}.$$

Then, we see that  $W(\Phi)$  is an open set of  $G \times S^{p+1}$ , since  $W_+$  is an open set of  $G \times S_+$ . Furthermore, we see that the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $W(\Phi)$  is smooth, since  $\Delta$  is smooth by Lemma 4.9. Consequently, we obtain the smoothness of  $\Phi$ , because three open sets  $W(\Phi)$ ,  $G \times S_1(\Phi)$  and  $G \times S_2(\Phi)$  cover  $G \times S^{p+1}$ . q.e.d.

Thus we have proved the following.

**THEOREM 4.12.** Suppose  $p \geq 3$ . Then, there is a one-to-one correspondence between

the set of smooth  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, 2)$ -actions on  $S^{p+1}$  whose restricted  $K = \mathbf{SO}(p) \times \mathbf{SO}(2)$ -action is the standard orthogonal action and the set of pairs  $(\phi, f)$ , where  $\phi$  is a smooth  $N(p, 2)^+$ -action on  $S^2 = F(H)$  whose restriction on  $K \cap N(p, 2)^+$  coincides with the standard  $K$ -action and  $f: S^2 \rightarrow P_2(\mathbf{R})$  is a smooth  $N(p, 2)^+$ -equivariant function satisfying the condition (4.1).

**5. Construction of  $(\phi, f)$ .** In the previous section, we saw how to construct a smooth  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, 2)$ -action on  $S^{p+1}$  from a pair  $(\phi, f)$ , where  $\phi$  is a smooth  $N(p, 2)^+$ -action on  $S^2 = F(H)$  and  $f: S^2 \rightarrow P_2(\mathbf{R})$  is a smooth  $N(p, 2)^+$ -equivariant function, satisfying certain conditions.

We now consider how to construct such a pair  $(\phi, f)$ .

Put  $J_\varepsilon = \psi(j_\varepsilon, -)$  for  $\varepsilon = 1, 2$  and  $J = J_1 J_2$  on  $S^2 = F(H)$ . Then  $J_1, J_2$  are involutions on  $S^2$ , and  $J$  is the antipodal involution. Let  $S$  be a one-dimensional closed submanifold of  $S^2$ , which transversely intersects each latitude and is invariant under the involutions  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ . In particular,  $\pm e_1$  are contained in  $S$ .

Put  $K'' = I_p \times \mathbf{SO}(2)$ .  $K''$  acts orthogonally on  $S^2$  via the restricted action of  $\psi$ , and the set of  $K''$ -orbits coincides with the set of latitudes.

Suppose a smooth one-parameter group  $\phi_0$  on  $S$  and a smooth map  $f_0: S \rightarrow P_1(\mathbf{R})$  satisfy the following condition:

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (a) \quad & J_\varepsilon \phi_0(\theta, Y) = \phi_0(-\theta, J_\varepsilon(Y)), \quad \varepsilon = 1, 2, \\ (b) \quad & f_0 J_\varepsilon(Y) = j_\varepsilon f_0(Y), \quad \varepsilon = 1, 2, \\ (c) \quad & f_0 \phi_0(\theta, Y) = m(\theta) f_0(Y), \\ (d) \quad & f_0(Y) = (1:0:0) \Leftrightarrow j_2(Y) = Y, \\ (e) \quad & f_0(Y) = (0:1:0) \Leftrightarrow j_1(Y) = Y. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $P_1(\mathbf{R})$  is the subspace of  $P_2(\mathbf{R})$  consisting of the points  $(*: *: 0)$ .  $P_1(\mathbf{R})$  is invariant under the actions  $j_\varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon = 1, 2$ ) and  $m(\theta)$ .

If  $(\phi, f)$  is given, then  $S = f^{-1}(P_1(\mathbf{R}))$ ,  $f_0$  is a restriction of  $f$ , and  $\phi_0(\theta, Y) = \phi(m(\theta), Y)$ .

Now, we shall show how to construct  $(\phi, f)$  from the triple  $(S, \phi_0, f_0)$  satisfying the condition (5.1).

First, we show that  $f_0$  can be extended uniquely to a  $K''$ -equivariant map  $f: S^2 \rightarrow P_2(\mathbf{R})$ . Suppose

$$\psi(k_1, Y_1) = \psi(k_2, Y_2)$$

for  $k_i \in K''$ ,  $Y_i \in S$ . Then, we obtain

$$k_1 f_0(Y_1) = k_2 f_0(Y_2),$$

because, by assumption we have the following two possibilities:

$$(1) \quad Y_1 = Y_2 = \pm e_1,$$

$$(2) \quad Y_1 = J_2^\varepsilon Y_2 \text{ and } k_1^{-1} k_2 = j_2^\varepsilon \quad (\varepsilon = 0, 1).$$

So, we can define

$$(5.2) \quad f(\psi(k, Y)) = kf_0(Y) \quad \text{for } k \in K'' \text{ and } Y_i \in S.$$

Next, we construct an  $N(p, 2)$ -action  $\phi$  on  $S^2$ . We obtain the decomposition

$$N(p, 2) = K''M(p, 2)(H_0(a : b : 0) \cap N(p, 2))$$

for each  $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$  by (1.4). Since  $U_0(Y) = H_0(a : b : 0)$  for  $f_0(Y) = (a : b : 0)$ , we obtain

$$N(p, 2) = K''M(p, 2)(U_0(Y) \cap N(p, 2))$$

for each  $Y \in S$ .

Take  $(g, X) \in N(p, 2) \times S^2$ . Let us choose

$$k \in K'', \quad Y \in S; \quad \psi(k, Y) = X,$$

$$k_0 \in K'', \quad h \in U_0(Y) \cap N(p, 2); \quad gh = k_0 m(\theta)h,$$

and put

$$(5.3) \quad \phi(g, X) = \psi(k_0, \phi_0(\theta, Y)).$$

We shall show that  $\phi$  is well-defined and is a smooth  $N(p, 2)$ -action on  $S^2$ . We need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 5.4.** *Suppose  $km(\theta)h = k'm(\theta')h'$  for  $k, k' \in K''$ ,  $h, h' \in U_0(Y) \cap N(p, 2)$  for  $Y \in S$ . Then*

$$\psi(k, \phi_0(\theta, Y)) = \psi(k', \phi_0(\theta', Y)).$$

**PROOF.** We obtain

$$kP(\phi_0(\theta, Y))'k = k'P(\phi_0(\theta', Y))'k'$$

from (5.1, c) in a way similar to that in the case (3.1). Then we have the following possibilities by direct calculation:

- (1)  $f_0\phi_0(\theta, Y) = f_0\phi_0(\theta', Y) = (1 : 0 : 0)$ ,
- (2)  $k = k'$ ,
- (3)  $kj_2 = k'$ .

In the case (1), we see that  $\phi_0(\theta, Y) = \phi_0(\theta', Y) = \pm e_1$  by considering the orbits of  $\phi_0$ . Hence we obtain

$$\psi(k, \phi_0(\theta, Y)) = \psi(k', \phi_0(\theta', Y)) = \pm e_1$$

for any  $k, k' \in K''$ .

In the case (2), we see that  $m(\theta)h = m(\theta')h'$ . Then we obtain

$$m(\theta - \theta') = h'h^{-1} \in U_0(Y).$$

Then  $\theta = \theta'$  by the definition of  $U_0(Y) = H_0(a : b : 0)$ . Hence we obtain

$$\psi(k, \phi_0(\theta, Y)) = \psi(k', \phi_0(\theta', Y)) .$$

In the case (3), we see that  $m(\theta)h = j_2 m(\theta')h'$ . Put  $2\tau = \theta + \theta'$ . Then we obtain

$$I_{p+2} = m(-\theta)j_2 m(\theta')h'h^{-1} = m(-\tau)j_2 m(\tau)h'h^{-1} .$$

Hence we obtain

$$j_2 = m(\tau)h'h^{-1}m(-\tau) \in H_0(c : d : 0) ,$$

for  $f_0\phi_0(\tau, Y) = (c : d : 0)$ . Then  $d = 0$ , and hence  $\phi_0(\tau, Y)$  is  $J_2$ -invariant. Therefore,

$$\phi_0(\theta', Y) = J_2\phi_0(\theta, Y) .$$

Hence we obtain

$$\psi(k, \phi_0(\theta, Y)) = \psi(k', \phi_0(\theta', Y)) .$$

q.e.d.

**PROPOSITION 5.5.**  $\phi$  in (5.3) is well-defined and is a smooth  $N(p, 2)$ -action.

**PROOF.** For  $(g, X) \in N(p, 2) \times S^2$ , let us choose

$$(i) \quad X = \psi(k_1, Y_1) = \psi(k_2, Y_2) ,$$

where  $k_1, k_2 \in K''$ ,  $Y_1, Y_2 \in S$ , and

$$(ii) \quad gk_1 = k_0 m(\theta)h ,$$

where  $k_0 \in K''$ ,  $h \in U_0(Y_1) \cap N(p, 2)$ .

By the condition (i), we have the following possibilities:

$$(1) \quad Y_1 = Y_2 = \pm e_1 ,$$

$$(2) \quad k_1^{-1}k_2 = j_2^\varepsilon , Y_1 = J_2^\varepsilon(Y_2) \text{ for } \varepsilon = 0, 1 .$$

In the case (1), we obtain

$$k_1^{-1}k_2 \in U_0(Y_1) = U_0(Y_2) ,$$

and

$$gk_2 = k_0 m(\theta)hk_1^{-1}k_2 , \quad hk_1^{-1}k_2 \in U_0(Y_2) .$$

Therefore,  $\phi$  in (5.3) is well-defined in this case by Lemma 5.4.

In the case (2), we obtain

$$gk_2 = k_0 j_2^\varepsilon m((-1)^\varepsilon \theta) j_2^\varepsilon h j_2^\varepsilon ,$$

where  $k_0 j_2^\varepsilon \in K''$ ,  $j_2^\varepsilon h j_2^\varepsilon \in U_0(Y_2)$ . Hence we can write

$$\psi(k_0 j_2^\varepsilon, \phi_0((-1)^\varepsilon \theta, Y_2)) = \psi(k_0, \phi_0(\theta, J_2^\varepsilon(Y_2))) = \psi(k_0, \phi_0(\theta, Y_1)) .$$

Therefore,  $\phi$  in (5.3) is well-defined in this case by Lemma 5.4. Consequently,  $\phi$  in (5.3) is well-defined.

Finally, as in the proof of Proposition 4.5, we see easily that  $\phi$  in (5.3) is an abstract action. Notice that the smoothness of  $\phi$  can be proved in a way similar to that of  $\Phi$  defined by (4.3). q.e.d.

By definition,  $\phi$  is compatible with the antipodal involution  $J = \psi(j_1 j_2, -)$ . Therefore, we can extend  $\phi$  to an action of  $N(p, 2)^+$  on  $S^2$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.6.** *The map  $f: S^2 \rightarrow P_2(\mathbf{R})$  defined by (5.2) is  $N(p, 2)^+$ -equivariant and smooth.*

**PROOF.** Take  $(g, X) \in N(p, 2) \times S^2$ . Let us choose

$$\begin{aligned} k &\in K'', \quad Y \in S; \quad \psi(k, Y) = X, \\ k_0 &\in K'', \quad h \in U_0(Y) \cap N(p, 2); \quad gk = k_0 m(\theta) h. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by definition

$$\phi(g, X) = \psi(k_0, \phi_0(\theta, Y)).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(\phi(g, X)) &= k_0 f_0(\phi_0(\theta, Y)) = k_0 m(\theta) f_0(Y) = k_0 m(\theta) h f_0(Y) \\ &= gk f_0(Y) = gf(\psi(k, Y)) = gf(X). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f$  is  $N(p, 2)$ -equivariant. By definition

$$f_0(-X) = f_0(J_1 J_2 X) = j_1 j_2 f_0(X) = f_0(X) \quad \text{for } X \in S.$$

Thus  $f$  is  $N(p, 2)^+$ -equivariant.

Finally, we show the smoothness of  $f$ . The smoothness of  $f$  on  $S^2 - \{\pm e_1\}$  is obvious by the definition (5.2). The smoothness of  $f$  around  $\pm e_1$  follows from the fact that the orbit of  $\pm e_1$  with respect to the  $N(p, 2)$ -action is open. q.e.d.

Now, it remains only to show that the pair  $(\phi, f)$  satisfies the condition (4.1).

**LEMMA 5.7.** *The following condition holds for each  $Y \in F(H)$ .*

$$N(p, 2)_Y^+ \supset N(p, 2)^+ \cap U_0(Y).$$

**PROOF.** By the definition of  $\phi$ , we see that

$$N(p, 2)_Y \supset N(p, 2) \cap U_0(Y).$$

It remains to show that

$$(1) \quad j_1 j_2 k m(\theta) \in U_0(Y) \quad \text{for } k \in K''$$

implies

$$j_1 j_2 k m(\theta) \in N(p, 2)_Y^+.$$

Put  $f_0(Y) = (a : b : 0)$ . Denote by

$$\begin{bmatrix} s & t \\ -t & s \end{bmatrix}, \quad s^2 + t^2 = 1$$

the  $\mathbf{SO}(2)$ -factor of  $k$ . Then (1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \quad & a \cosh \theta + b \sinh \theta = -a \\ \textcircled{2} \quad & a \sinh \theta + b \cosh \theta = -bs \\ \textcircled{3} \quad & 0 = -bt. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain  $b \neq 0$  by  $\textcircled{1}$ , hence  $t = 0$  and  $s = \pm 1$  by  $\textcircled{3}$ . Calculating  $\textcircled{1} \times \sinh \theta - \textcircled{2} \times (1 + \cosh \theta)$ , we obtain  $s = -1$ , and hence  $k = j_2$ . Then  $(a + b)e^\theta = b - a$  by  $\textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2}$ . Thus we see that

$$|a| < |b| \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \log \frac{b - a}{a + b}.$$

Hence, there exists  $\theta_0$  such that

$$(a : b) = (-\sinh \theta_0 : \cosh \theta_0).$$

Then  $f_0(\phi_0(\theta_0, Y)) = (0 : 1 : 0)$  and  $\theta = 2\theta_0$ . Thus

$$J_2 \phi_0(\theta_0, Y) = -\phi_0(\theta_0, Y)$$

by (5.1, e), and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(j_1 j_2 k m(\theta), Y) &= -\psi(k, \phi_0(\theta, Y)) = -\psi(j_2, \phi_0(2\theta_0, Y)) \\ &= -\phi_0(-\theta_0, \psi(j_2, \phi_0(\theta_0, Y))) = -\phi_0(-\theta_0, -\phi_0(\theta_0, Y)) \\ &= -\phi_0(-\theta_0, \phi_0(\theta_0, -Y)) = -(-Y) = Y. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $j_1 j_2 k m(\theta) \in N(p, 2)_Y^+$ .

q.e.d.

Thus we have proved the following.

**THEOREM 5.8.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of pairs  $(\phi, f)$  given in Theorem 4.12 and the set of triples  $(S, \phi_0, f_0)$  satisfying the condition (5.1).*

**REMARK.** By Asoh [1, §9–§11], we can show that there exist infinitely many smooth  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, 2)$ -actions on  $S^{p+1}$  which are topologically mutually distinct. In fact, we can construct a smooth  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, 2)$ -action on  $S^{p+1}$  which has just  $2m$  open orbits for each positive integer  $m$ .

**6. Concluding remark.** We can prove the following result by an argument similar

to that in [3].

**THEOREM.** *Suppose  $p \geq 3$ . Then, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of smooth  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, 1)$ -actions on  $S^p$  whose restricted  $\mathbf{SO}(p)$ -action is the standard orthogonal action and the set of pairs  $(\phi, f)$  satisfying the conditions (i) to (iv) in §3 of [3], where  $\phi$  is a smooth one-parameter group on  $S^1$  and  $f: S^1 \rightarrow P_1(\mathbf{R})$  is a smooth function.*

## REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] T. ASOH, On smooth  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$  actions on 3-manifolds, Osaka J. Math. 24 (1987), 271–298.
- [ 2 ] K. MUKŌYAMA, Smooth  $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$ -actions on the 4-sphere, Tôhoku Math. J. 48 (1996), 543–560.
- [ 3 ] F. UCHIDA, On smooth  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, q)$ -actions on  $S^{p+q-1}$ , Osaka J. Math. 26 (1989), 775–787.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
 FACULTY OF SCIENCE  
 YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY  
 KOSHIRAKAWA, YAMAGATA 990  
 JAPAN