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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove that $L^{2}$ boundedness for the maximal operators associated with the commutators generated by BMO functions and some multiplier operators. And we also study the $L^{p}$ boundedness for the maximal operator associated with the commutators of spherical means and a function in BMO or Lipschitz space.


1. Introduction. Coifman and Meyer observed that the $L^{p}$ boundedness for the commutator $[b, T]$ defined by

$$
[b, T] f(x)=b(x) T f(x)-T(b f)(x)
$$

could be obtained from the weighted $L^{p}$ estimate for $T$ with $A_{p}$ weight when $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$ and $T$ is a standard Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator (see [4]), where $A_{p}$ is the weight function class of Muckenhoupt (see [14, chapter V] for the definition and properties of $A_{p}$ ). In 1993, Alvarez, Babgy, Kurtz and Pérez [1] developed the idea of Coifman and Meyer, and established a general boundedness criterion for the commutators of linear operators. Their result can be stated as follows.

Theorem A. Let E be a Banach space, $1<p, q<\infty$. Suppose that the linear operator $T: C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow M(E)$ satisfies the weight estimates

$$
\|T f\|_{L_{w}^{p}(E)} \leq \bar{C}\|f\|_{p, w}
$$

for all $w \in A_{q}$ and $\bar{C}$ depends only on $n, p$ and $\widetilde{C}_{q}(w)\left(\right.$ the $A_{q}$ constant of $\left.w\right)$, but not on the weight $w$. Then for any positive integer $k$ and $b(x) \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$, the commutator

$$
T_{b, k} f(x)=T\left((b(x)-b(\cdot))^{k} f\right)(x)
$$

is bounded from $L_{u}^{p}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L_{u}^{p}(E)$ for all $u \in A_{q}$ with norm $C\left(p, n, k, \tilde{C}_{q}(u)\right)\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}^{k}$.
This result is of great importance and is suitable for many classical operators in harmonic analysis. But for some important operators, the criterion of Alvarez-Babgy-Kurtz-Pérez breaks down. Let us consider the maximal operator of the spherical means defined by
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$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{*} f(x)=\sup _{t>0}\left|M_{t} f(x)\right| \quad \text { for } \quad f \in \mathscr{S} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t} f(x)=\int_{S^{n-1}} f\left(x-t y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{n-1}$ is the unit sphere in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ and $d y^{\prime}$ is the rotationally invariant measure of total mass 1 on the unit sphere. This operator $M_{*}$, which is studied by Stein in [12], is of interest by itself and is very useful in the study of partial differential equations. In [12], Stein showed that the operator $M_{*}$ is bounded on $L^{p}$ provided that $n \geq 3$ and $p>n /(n-1)$. We do not know whether the operator $M_{*}$ enjoys weighted $L^{p}$ estimates with general $A_{q}$ weights for some $q>1$. Thus Theorem A seems not to be well adapted to this operator.

Meanwhile, let $m \in L^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ be a multiplier. Define the operator $\left\{T^{t}\right\}_{t>0}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{t} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=m(t \xi) \hat{f}(\xi), \quad f \in \mathscr{S} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the associated maximal operator by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} f(x)=\sup _{t>0}\left|T^{t} f(x)\right| \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform of $f$. It is well-known that the operator $T^{*}$ plays a fundamental role in the study of the pointwise convergence of the averages along hypersurfaces (see [10] and [11]). A result of Rubio de Francia [10], Sogge and Stein [11] states that if $m \in C^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|m(\xi)| \leq C|\xi|^{-a_{1}}, \quad|\nabla m(\xi)| \leq C|\xi|^{-a_{2}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constants $C$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}$ with $a_{1}+a_{2}>1$, then $T^{*}$ is bounded on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$. If the multiplier $m$ satisfies only the decay estimate (1.5), we do not know any weighted $L^{2}$ estimate with general $A_{q}(q>1)$ weights for $T^{*}$. Thus in this case the boundedness criterion for the commutators of linear operators does not apply to obtaining the $L^{2}$ boundedness of the maximal operator associated with commutators of $T^{t}$.

The purpose of this paper is to consider the $L^{p}$ boundedness for the maximal operator associated to the commutator of the spherical means. Let $k$ be a positive integer. For a function $b$ in BMO, the $k$-th order commutators of spherical means, $M_{t ; b, k}$ are defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t ; b, k} f(x)=\int_{S^{n-1}}\left(b(x)-b\left(x-t y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{k} f\left(x-t y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the maximal operator associated with them is defined by $M_{* ; b, k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{* ; b, k} f(x)=\sup _{t>0}\left|M_{t ; b, k} f(x)\right| \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also consider the commutator generated by $M_{t}$ and $b$ in $\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}$, the Lipschitz space. Denote by $\Delta_{h}^{k}$ the $k$-th difference operator, that is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{h}^{1} f(x)=\Delta_{h} f(x)=f(x+h)-f(x) \\
\Delta_{h}^{k+1} f(x)=\Delta_{h}^{k} f(x+h)-\Delta_{h}^{k} f(x), \quad k \geq 1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $\beta>0$, the Lipschitz space $\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}$ is the space of functions $f$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\dot{A}_{\beta}}=\sup _{x, h \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}, h \neq 0} \frac{\left|\Delta_{h}^{[\beta]+1} f(x)\right|}{|h|^{\beta}}<\infty
$$

For $b$ in $\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}, 0<\beta<k \leq n / 2$, as in [9], the $k$-th order commutator of spherical means, denoted by $\tilde{M}_{t ; b, k}$, is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{M}_{t ; b, k} f(x)=\int_{S^{n-1}} 厶_{t y^{\prime} k k}^{k} b(x) f\left(x-t y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{M}_{* ; b, k}$ is the maximal operator associated with $\tilde{M}_{t ; b, k}$.
We will consider a general result for $L^{2}$ boundedness. Let $m \in L^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ and the operators $\left\{T^{t}\right\}_{t>0}$ be as in (1.3). For a positive integer $k$ and $b \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$. Define the $k$-th order commutator of $T^{t}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{b, k}^{t} f(x)=T^{t}\left((b(x)-b(\cdot))^{k} f\right)(x), \quad f \in \mathscr{S} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maximal operator associated with $\left\{T_{b, k}^{t}\right\}_{t>0}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{b, k}^{*} f(x)=\sup _{t>0}\left|T_{b, k}^{t} f(x)\right| \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we state our main results in this paper.
Theorem 1. Let $k, j(j \geq 2)$ be positive integers and $b \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$. Suppose that the multiplier $m \in C^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ enjoys the property (1.5) and

$$
\sum_{|\alpha|=j}\left|D^{\alpha} m(\xi)\right| \leq C(1+|\xi|)^{N},
$$

for some positive constants $C$ and $N$. Then $T_{b, k}^{*}$ is bounded on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ with bound $C\|b\|_{\mathrm{BmO}}^{k}$ -
Theorem 2. Let $k$ be a positive integer and $b$ in $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$. If $n \geq 3$ and $n /(n-1)<p<\infty$, then $M_{* ; b, k}$ is bounded on $L^{p}$ with norm $C\|b\|_{\text {вмо }}^{k}$.

Theorem 3. Let $k$ be a positive integer. Suppose b in $\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}$ with $0<\beta<k \leq(n-2) / 2$. Then $\tilde{M}_{* ; b, k}$ is bounded from $L^{p}$ into $L^{q}$ with $1 / q=1 / p-\beta / n$ provided that $n \geq 3$ and $n /(n-1)<p<n / \beta-n^{2} /((n-1) \beta(n-2 \beta))$.

The paper is arranged as follows. We give the proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in Section 2. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 3.
2. Estimates for commutators generated by a BMO function. In this section, we give the estimates for $L^{2}$ boundedness of the operator $T_{b, k}^{*}$. We begin with some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (see [5]). Let $k$ be a positive integer and $b \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$. Denote by $M_{b, k}$ the $k$-th order commutator of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, that is,

$$
M_{b, k} f(x)=\sup _{r>0} r^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<r}|b(x)-b(y)|^{k}|f(y)| d y .
$$

Then for all $1<p<\infty, M_{b, k}$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ with bound $C\|b\|_{\text {BMO }}^{k}$.
Lemma 2.2. Let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ be a radial function such that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset\{1 / 4 \leq|x| \leq 4\}$ and

$$
\sum_{l \in \boldsymbol{Z}} \varphi\left(2^{-l} x\right)=1, \quad|x|>0
$$

Denote by $g_{l}$ the multiplier operator

$$
\left(g_{l} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=\varphi\left(2^{-l} \xi\right) \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

Then for any positive integer $k$ and $b \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$, the $k$-th order commutator of $g_{l}$ defined by

$$
g_{l ; b, k} f(x)=g_{l}\left((b(x)-b(\cdot))^{k} f\right)(x)
$$

satisfies

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}}\left|g_{l ; b, k} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} \leq C\|b\|_{\text {BMO }}^{k}\|f\|_{p}
$$

for all $1<p<\infty$.
Proof. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $w \in A_{p}$. The weighted Littlewood-Paley theory (see [4]) shows that the estimate

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{l \in \boldsymbol{Z}}\left|g_{l} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{p, w} \leq C\|f\|_{p, w}
$$

holds for some constant $C$ independent of $w$. Note that the mapping

$$
f \rightarrow\left\{g_{l} f\right\}_{l \in \boldsymbol{Z}}
$$

is linear, the boundedness criterion for the commutators of linear operators of Alvarez-Babgy-Kurtz-Pérez (see [1, Theorem 2.13]) yields the desired estimate.

Lemma 2.3. Let $1 \leq \delta<\infty, j$ be a positive integer, $c$ and $N$ be real numbers. Suppose that $m_{\delta} \in C^{j}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ is a multiplier such that supp $m_{\delta} \subset\{\delta / 2 \leq|x| \leq 2 \delta\}$ and

$$
\left\|m_{\delta}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \delta^{c}, \quad \sum_{|\alpha|=j}\left\|D^{\alpha} m_{\delta}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \delta^{N}
$$

for some positive constant $C$ which is independent of $\delta$. Let $T_{\delta}^{t}$ be the multiplier operator defined by

$$
\left(T_{\delta}^{t} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=m_{\delta}(t \xi) \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

For a positive integer $k$ and $b \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$, denote by $T_{\delta ; b, k}^{t}$ the $k$-th order commutator of $T_{\delta}^{t}$, which is defined as in (1.9). Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $C=C(n, k, c, \varepsilon, N)$ such that

$$
\int_{1}^{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|T_{\delta ; b, k}^{t} f(x)\right|^{2} d x \frac{d t}{t} \leq C \delta^{2(c+\varepsilon)}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}^{2 k}\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|b\|_{\text {вмо }}=1$. Obviously, it suffices to show that

$$
\left\|T_{\delta ; b, k}^{1} f\right\|_{2} \leq C \delta^{c+\varepsilon}\|f\|_{2}
$$

Let $\psi_{0}, \psi$ be radial functions such that

$$
\operatorname{supp} \psi \subset\{1 / 4 \leq|x| \leq 4\}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{0}(x)+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \psi\left(2^{-l} x\right)=1, \quad \text { if } \quad|x|>0
$$

Set $\psi_{l}(x)=\psi\left(2^{-l} x\right)$ for $l \geq 1$ and $K_{\delta}(x)=m_{\delta}^{\vee}(x)$, the inverse Fourier transform of $m_{\delta}$. Split $K_{\delta}$ as

$$
K_{\delta}(x)=K_{\delta}(x) \psi_{0}(x)+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} K_{\delta}(x) \psi_{l}(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} K_{\delta}^{l}(x) .
$$

Recall that $1 \leq \delta<\infty$ and $\operatorname{supp} m_{\delta} \subset\{\delta / 2 \leq|x| \leq 2 \delta\}$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$
\left\|K_{\delta}^{l}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\left\|K_{\delta}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \delta^{n+c}
$$

Let $T_{\delta}^{1, l}$ be the convolution operator whose kernel is $K_{\delta}^{l}$. Young's inequality now says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\delta}^{1, l} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \delta^{n+c}\|f\|_{1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write

$$
\left(K_{\delta}^{l}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} m_{\delta}\left(\xi-2^{-l} \eta\right) \hat{\psi}(\eta) d \eta
$$

Since $\psi$ is null in a neighborhood of the origin and a Schwarz function, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \eta^{\alpha} \hat{\psi}(\eta) d \eta=0
$$

for any multi-index $\alpha$, and

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|\eta|^{j}|\hat{\psi}(\eta)| d \eta<\infty
$$

Expanding $m_{\delta}$ into a Tayloy series around $\xi$ gives

$$
\left|\left(K_{\delta}^{l}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)\right| \leq \sum_{|\alpha|=j}\left\|D^{\alpha} m_{\delta}\right\|_{\infty} 2^{-j l} \int_{R^{n}}|\eta|^{j}|\hat{\psi}(\eta)| d \eta \leq C 2^{-l} \delta^{N} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\delta}^{1, l} f\right\|_{2} \leq C 2^{-l} \delta^{N}\|f\|_{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, another application of Young's inequality gives that

$$
\left\|\left(K_{\delta}^{l}\right)^{\wedge}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|\left(K_{\delta}\right)^{\wedge}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\hat{\psi}_{l}\right\|_{1} \leq C \delta^{c}
$$

which in turn implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\delta}^{1, l} f\right\|_{2} \leq C \delta^{c}\|f\|_{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for each fixed $v, 0<v<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\delta}^{1, l} f\right\|_{2} \leq C \delta^{c+v(N-c)} 2^{-v l}\|f\|_{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interpolation between the inequalities (2.1) and (2.4) tells us that for each $q$ with $2 \leq q<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\delta}^{1, l} f\right\|_{q} \leq C 2^{-2 v l / q} \delta^{n+c+[v(N-c)-n] 2 / q}\|f\|_{q^{\prime}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q^{\prime}$ is the dual exponent of $q$, i.e., $q^{\prime}=q /(q-1)$.
Now we turn our attention to $T_{\delta ; b, k}^{1, l}$, the $k$-th order commutator of the operator $T_{\delta}^{1, l}$. We decompose $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ into a grid of non-overlapping cubes with side length $2^{l}$, i.e., $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}=\bigcup_{i} Q_{i}$. Denote by $\chi_{Q_{i}}$ the characteristic function of $Q_{i}$. Set $f_{i}=f \chi_{Q_{i}}$. Then

$$
f(x)=\sum_{i} f_{i}(x), \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}
$$

Since supp $K_{\delta}^{l} \subset\left\{|x| \leq C 2^{l}\right\}$, it is obvious that the support of $T_{\delta}^{1, l} f_{i}$ is contained in a fixed multiple of $Q_{i}$, and that the supports of various terms $T_{\delta ; b, k}^{1, l} f_{i}$ have bounded overlaps. So we have the following almost orthogonality property:

$$
\left\|T_{\delta ; b, k}^{1, l} f\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C \sum_{i}\left\|T_{\delta ; b, k}^{1, l} f_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Thus we may assume that $\operatorname{supp} f \subset Q$ for some cube $Q$ with side length $2^{l}$. Choose $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right), 0 \leq \phi \leq 1, \phi$ is identically one on $50 n Q$ and vanishes outside $100 \mathrm{n} Q$. Set $\tilde{Q}=200 n Q$, and $\widetilde{b}=\left(b(x)-b_{\tilde{Q}}\right) \phi(x)$, where $b_{\tilde{Q}}$ is the mean value of $b$ on $\tilde{Q}$. Let $2<q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty$ such that $1 / q_{1}+1 / q_{2}=1 / 2$. By Hölder's inequality and (2.5), we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{b}^{m} T_{\delta}^{1, l}\left(\widetilde{b}^{k-m} f\right)\right\|_{2} & \leq\left\|\tilde{b}^{m}\right\|_{q_{1}}\left\|T_{\delta}^{1, l}\left(\tilde{b}^{k-m} f\right)\right\|_{q_{2}} \\
& \leq C 2^{-2 v / / q_{2}} \delta^{n+c+[v(N-c)-n] 2 / q_{2}}\left\|\tilde{b}^{m}\right\|_{q_{1}}\left\|\tilde{b}^{k-m} f\right\|_{q_{2}^{\prime}} \\
& \leq C 2^{-2 v l / q_{2}} \delta^{n+c+[v(N-c)-n] 2 / q_{2}}\left\|\tilde{b}^{m}\right\|_{q_{1}}\left\|\tilde{b}^{k-m}\right\|_{2 q_{2} /\left(q_{2}-2\right)}\|f\|_{2} \\
& \leq C 2^{-2 v l / q_{2}} \delta^{n+c+[v(N-c)-n] 2 / q_{2}} 2^{\ln \left(1-2 / q_{2}\right)}\|f\|_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last inequality we have invoked the fact

$$
\left\|\tilde{b}^{m}\right\|_{q_{1}} \leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}^{m}|Q|^{1 / q_{1}}
$$

For each fixed $\varepsilon>0$, we choose $q_{2}$ larger than and sufficiently close to $2, v$ larger than zero but sufficiently close to zero so that

$$
2 v / q_{2}>n\left(1-2 / q_{2}\right), \quad n+[v(N-c)-n] 2 / q_{2}<\varepsilon .
$$

We then have that for some positive constant $\gamma$,

$$
\left\|\tilde{b}^{m} T_{\delta}^{1, l}\left(\tilde{b}^{k-m} f\right)\right\|_{2} \leq C 2^{-\gamma l} \delta^{c+\varepsilon}\|f\|_{2} .
$$

Observing that

$$
\left|T_{\delta ; b, k}^{1, l} f(x)\right| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{k} C_{k}^{m}\left|\tilde{b}^{m}(x) T_{\delta}^{1, l}\left(\tilde{b}^{k-m} f\right)(x)\right|,
$$

we have

$$
\left\|T_{\delta ; b, k}^{1, l} f\right\|_{2} \leq C 2^{-\gamma l} \delta^{c+\varepsilon}\|f\|_{2} .
$$

Summing over the last inequality for all $l \geq 0$ then completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.
Proof of Theorem 1. As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we may assume that $\|b\|_{\text {вмо }}=1$. Let $\psi_{0}, \psi$ be the same as in the proof of Lemma 2.3. Decompose the multiplier $m$ as

$$
m(\xi)=m(\xi) \psi_{0}(\xi)+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} m(\xi) \psi\left(2^{-l} \xi\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} m_{l}(\xi)
$$

Define the operator $T_{l}^{t}$ by

$$
\left(T_{l}^{t} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=m_{l}(t \xi) \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

Let $T_{l ; b, k}^{t}$ be the $k$-th order commutator of $T_{l}^{t}$ defined analogously to (1.9) and let $T_{l ; b, k}^{*}$ be the maximal operator associated with $T_{l ; b, k}^{t}$ as in (1.10). Then

$$
T_{b, k}^{*} f(x) \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} T_{l ; b, k}^{*} f(x)
$$

Since $m_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$, a trivial computation shows that

$$
T_{0 ; b, k}^{*} f(x) \leq C M_{b, k} f(x),
$$

with $M_{b, k}$ the $k$-th order commutator of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator (see

Lemma 2.1). Thus by Lemma 2.1 we need only to care about $T_{l ; b, k}^{*}$ for $l \geq 1$. Let $\tilde{m}_{l}(\xi)=\nabla m_{l}(\xi) \cdot \xi$. Define the operator $\tilde{T}_{l}^{t}$ by

$$
\left(\tilde{T}_{l}^{t} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=\tilde{m}_{l}(t \xi) \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

We introduce the quadratic operators

$$
G_{l} f(x)=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|T_{l ; b, k}^{t} f(x)\right|^{2} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{G}_{l} f(x)=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\tilde{T}_{l ; b, k}^{t} f(x)\right|^{2} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

As in [10, page 308], it is easy to check that

$$
\left|T_{l ; b, k}^{*} f(x)\right|^{2} \leq 2 G_{l} f(x) \widetilde{G}_{l} f(x) .
$$

We now estimate $\left\|G_{l} f\right\|_{2}$. We claim that for each fixed $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G_{l} f\right\|_{2} \leq C\left(n, k, \varepsilon, a_{1}\right) 2^{-l\left(a_{1}-\varepsilon\right)}\|f\|_{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by (1.5) we see that $m_{l}$ is supported in the spherical shell $2^{l-1} \leq|\xi| \leq 2^{l+1}$ and $\left\|m_{l}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C 2^{-l a_{1}},\left\|\nabla m_{l}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\left(2^{-l a_{2}}+2^{-l\left(a_{1}+1\right)}\right)$. Thus by Lemma 2.3, we see that for each fixed $\varepsilon>0$ and non-negative integer $k$, there exists a positive constant $C=C\left(n, k, \varepsilon, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{1}^{2}\left|T_{l ; b, k}^{t} f(x)\right|^{2} \frac{d t}{t} d x \leq C 2^{-2 l\left(a_{1}-\varepsilon\right)}\|f\|_{2}^{2} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that if $b \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$, then for any $t>0, b_{t}(x)=b(t x)$ also belongs to $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\left\|b_{t}\right\|_{\text {вмо }}=\|b\|_{\text {вмо }}$. By dilation-invariance, it follows from (2.7) that for any $d \in \boldsymbol{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{n}} \int_{2-d}^{2-d+1}\left|T_{l ; b, k}^{t} f(x)\right|^{2} \frac{d t}{t} d x \leq C 2^{-2 l\left(a_{1}-\varepsilon\right)}\|f\|_{2}^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ as in Lemma 2.2. Set

$$
T_{l: b, k}^{d, t} f(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\varphi\left(2^{-d-l} \cdot\right) m_{l}(t \cdot)\right)^{\vee}(x-y)(b(x)-b(y))^{k} f(y) d y .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{l ; b, k}^{t} f(x) & =\sum_{d \in \boldsymbol{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\varphi\left(2^{-d-l} \cdot\right) m_{l}(t \cdot)\right)^{\vee}(x-y)(b(x)-b(y))^{k} f(y) d y \\
& =\sum_{d \in \boldsymbol{Z}} T_{l ; b, k}^{d, t} f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

With the aid of the formula

$$
(b(x)-b(y))^{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} C_{k}^{i}(b(x)-b(z))^{i}(b(z)-b(y))^{k-i}, \quad z \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}
$$

we have

$$
T_{l ; b, k}^{d, t} f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} C_{k}^{i} T_{l ; b, i}^{t}\left(g_{l+d ; b, k-i} f\right)(x)
$$

where $g_{d}$ is the multiplier operator associated with $\varphi\left(2^{-d} \cdot\right)$ defined in Lemma 2.2. Note that for each fixed $t$ and $l$, the number of $d$ 's for which $\operatorname{supp} \varphi\left(2^{-d-l} \cdot\right) \cap \operatorname{supp} m_{l}(t \cdot)$ is non-empty is at most 100 . Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|T_{l ; b, k}^{t} f(x)\right|^{2} \frac{d t}{t} & \leq C \sum_{d \in \boldsymbol{Z}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|T_{l ; b, k}^{d, t} f(x)\right|^{2} \frac{d t}{t} \leq C \sum_{d \in \boldsymbol{Z}} \int_{2^{-d}}^{2-d+1}\left|T_{l ; b, k}^{d, t} f(x)\right|^{2} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{d \in \boldsymbol{Z}} \int_{2-d}^{2-d+1}\left|T_{l ; b, i}^{t}\left(g_{l+d ; b, k-i} f\right)(x)\right|^{2} \frac{d t}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the inequality (2.8) and Lemma 2.2, we finally obtain

$$
\left\|G_{l} f\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C 2^{-2 l\left(a_{1}-\varepsilon\right)} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{d \in \boldsymbol{Z}}\left\|g_{l+d ; b, k-i} f\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C 2^{-2 l\left(a_{1}-\varepsilon\right)}\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

which establishes our assertion.
The $L^{2}$ boundedness of $T_{b, k}^{*}$ follows immediately. Indeed, without loss of generality, one may assume that $a_{1} \geq a_{2}-1$; otherwise, if $a_{1}<a_{2}-1$ and $a_{1}+a_{2}>1$, then $a_{2}>1$ so that $\lim _{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi)=\alpha$ exists and

$$
|m(\xi)-\alpha| \leq C|\xi|^{-a_{2}+1}
$$

Thus we may replace $m(\xi)$ by $m(\xi)-\alpha$ and $a_{1}$ by $a_{2}-1$. As in the proof of (2.7), we have that for each given $\mu>0$, there exists a positive constant $C=C\left(n, k, \mu, a_{2}, N\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|\tilde{G}_{l} f\right\|_{2} \leq C 2^{-l\left(a_{2}-1-\mu\right)}\|f\|_{2}
$$

So

$$
\left\|T_{l ; b, k}^{*} f\right\|_{2} \leq C\left\|G_{l} f\right\|_{2}^{1 / 2}\left\|\tilde{G}_{l} f\right\|_{2}^{1 / 2} \leq C 2^{-l\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-1-\mu-\varepsilon\right) / 2}\|f\|_{2}
$$

For each fixed pair $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ with $a_{1}+a_{2}>1$, we can choose positive numbers $\varepsilon, \mu$ so small that $\varepsilon+\mu<a_{1}+a_{2}-1$. Then for some positive constant $\theta$ independent of $l$,

$$
\left\|T_{l ; b, k}^{*} f\right\|_{2} \leq C 2^{-\theta l}\|f\|_{2}
$$

This leads to the conclusion of our Theorem 1.

Now we turn our attention to the proof of Theorem 2. Let us introduce additional operators $M_{t}^{\alpha}$, which is defined by

$$
\left(M_{t}^{\alpha} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=m_{\alpha}(t \xi) \hat{f}(\xi),
$$

for $f \in \mathscr{S}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\alpha}(\xi)=2^{n / 2+\alpha-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\alpha\right)(2 \pi|\xi|)^{-n / 2-\alpha+1} J_{n / 2+\alpha-1}(2 \pi|\xi|) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a complex number $\alpha$, put

$$
M_{t ; b, k}^{\alpha} f(x)=M_{t}^{\alpha}\left((b(x)-b(\cdot))^{k} f\right)(x)
$$

and

$$
M_{* ; b, k}^{\alpha} f(x)=\sup _{t>0}\left|M_{t ; b, k}^{\alpha} f(x)\right| .
$$

In view of the method of the proof in [12], the conclusion of Theorem 2 can be deduced from the following results.

Lemma 2.4. If $\operatorname{Re} \alpha>1-n / 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{* ; b, k}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{2} \leq C_{1} e^{C_{1}|\operatorname{Im} \alpha|}\|b\|_{\text {BMO }}^{k}\|f\|_{2}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a bounded constant when $\operatorname{Re} \alpha$ is in any compact subinterval of $(1-n / 2, \infty)$.
By the asymptotic property of the Bessel function $J_{v}$, Lemma 2.4 is a consequence of Theorem 1 with $a_{1}=n / 2+\operatorname{Re} \alpha-1 / 2$ and $a_{2}=n / 2+\operatorname{Re} \alpha-1 / 2$. Now we turn to give the estimates for $M_{* ; b, k}^{\alpha}$ on $L^{p}$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $f$ be in $\mathscr{S}$. The inequality

$$
\left\|M_{* ; b, k}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{p} \leq C_{\alpha}\|b\|_{\text {BMO }}^{k}\|f\|_{p}
$$

holds provided that
(a) $1<p \leq 2$, when $\alpha>1-n+n / p$
(b) $2 \leq p<\infty$, when $\alpha>(2-n) / p$.

If $\alpha=0$, this means $n \geq 3$ and $n /(n-1)<p<\infty$.
Proof. If $\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq 1$, then $M_{*}^{\alpha} f(x) \leq C H L f(x)$, where $\operatorname{HL} f$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of $f$. By Lemma 2.1, we see that

$$
\left\|M_{* ; b, k}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{p} \leq C\|b\|_{\text {BMO }}^{k}\|f\|_{p}
$$

for all $1<p \leq 2$. For the case of $2 \leq p<\infty$, we claim that if $\operatorname{Re} \alpha>0$, then for $p$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{* ; b, k}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{p} \leq C\|b\|_{\text {BMO }}^{k}\|f\|_{p} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{* ; b, k}^{\alpha} f(x)= & \sup _{t>0} t^{-n}\left|\int_{|x-y|<t}\left(1-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{t^{2}}\right)^{\alpha-1}(b(x)-b(y))^{k} f(y) d y\right| \\
\leq & \left(\sup _{t>0} t^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<t}|b(x)-b(y)|^{p k}|f(y)| d y\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \times\left(\sup _{t>0} t^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<t}\left(1-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{t^{2}}\right)^{(\operatorname{Re} \alpha-1) p^{\prime}}|f(y)| d y\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
:= & \mathrm{I}_{1}^{1 / p} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{1 / p^{\prime}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $I_{1}$ which is the commutator of Hardy-Littelwood maximal operator is bounded on $L^{p}$ with $1<p<\infty$ (see Lemma 2.1), it is sufficient to consider the operator

$$
\sup _{t>0} t^{-n}\left|\int_{|x-y|<t}\left(1-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{t^{2}}\right)^{\beta-1} f(y) d y\right|
$$

for $f \geq 0$ and $\beta \in \boldsymbol{R}$. It is well-known by Stein in [12] that this operator is bounded on $L^{p}$ when $\beta \geq(2-n) / p$ with $2 \leq p<\infty$. Choosing $p$ so large that $(\operatorname{Re} \alpha-1) p^{\prime}+1>$ $(2-n) / p$, i.e., $p>\left(-(n-3)+\sqrt{(n-3)^{2}+4 \operatorname{Re} \alpha(n-2)}\right) / 2 \operatorname{Re} \alpha$, we conclude that $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ is bounded on $L^{p}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}^{1 / p} I_{2}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\right)^{p} d x & \leq\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{n}} \mathrm{I}_{1}^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{n}} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& \leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}^{k p}\|f\|_{p}^{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

(2.11) holds and the conclusion of Theorem 2.5 follows from the complex interpolation theorem (see [15]).
3. Estimates for commutators generated by a Lipschitz function. We first consider a maximal operator $N_{*}^{\beta}$ defined by

$$
N_{*}^{\beta} f(x)=\sup _{t>0} t^{\beta}\left|\int_{\left|y^{\prime}\right|=1} f\left(x-t y^{\prime}\right) d \sigma\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|,
$$

with $0<\beta<(n-2) / 2$. The maximal operator is interesting by itself. With the notation $M_{t}$ and $M_{t}^{\alpha}$ the same as in the previous section, we can rewrite $N_{*}^{\beta}$ as

$$
N_{*}^{\beta} f(x)=\sup _{t>0} t^{\beta}\left|M_{t} f(x)\right| .
$$

Let $N_{*}^{\alpha, \beta} f(x)=\sup _{t>0} t^{\beta}\left|M_{t}^{\alpha} f(x)\right|$. The estimates for $N_{*}^{\beta}$ follows that of $N_{*}^{\alpha, \beta}$ at $\alpha=0$.
Theorem 3.1. Suppose $0<\beta<(n-2) / 2$ and $\operatorname{Re} \alpha>1+\beta-n / 2$. Let $f$ be in $\mathscr{S}$. The following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|N_{*}^{\alpha, \beta} f\right\|_{2} \leq C e^{C|\operatorname{Im} \alpha|}\|f\|_{2 n /(n+2 \beta)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with the constant $C$ depending on $n, \beta$ and $\operatorname{Re} \alpha$, which is bounded when $\operatorname{Re} \alpha$ is in a subinterval of $(1+\beta-n / 2, \infty)$.

To prove Theorem 3.1, write $\mathscr{M}^{\alpha, \beta} f(x)=\sup _{t>0}\left\{t^{-1} \int_{0}^{t}\left|s^{\beta} M_{s}^{\alpha} f(x)\right|^{2} d s\right\}^{1 / 2}$. Assuming that $\operatorname{Re} \alpha>\operatorname{Re} \alpha^{\prime}>-n / 2$ and $C_{n, \alpha}=2 \Gamma(n / 2+\alpha) / \Gamma\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(n / 2+\alpha^{\prime}\right)$, by the formula in [12, p. 2174],

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{\beta} M_{t}^{\alpha} f(x)=C_{n, \alpha} \int_{0}^{1}(t s)^{\beta} M_{s t}^{\alpha^{\prime}} f(x)\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}-1} s^{n+2 \alpha^{\prime}-\beta-1} d s \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if $\operatorname{Re} \alpha>\operatorname{Re} \alpha^{\prime}+1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{Re} \alpha^{\prime}>\beta / 2-n / 2+1 / 4$, then an application of Schwarz inequality shows that $N_{*}^{\alpha, \beta} f(x) \leq C_{n, \alpha} \mathcal{M}^{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta} f(x)$, and (3.1) is a consequence of the following result for $\mathscr{M}^{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta}$.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $f$ is in $\mathscr{S}$ and $0<\beta<(n-2) / 2$. If $\operatorname{Re} \alpha>1 / 2+\beta-n / 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{M}^{\alpha, \beta} f\right\|_{2} \leq C e^{C|\operatorname{Im} \alpha|}\|f\|_{2 n /(n+2 \beta)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant depending on $n, \operatorname{Re} \alpha$, and $\beta$.
Proof. Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(t^{\beta} M_{t}^{\alpha} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) & =t^{\beta} m^{\alpha}(t|\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi) \\
& =(t|\xi|)^{\beta} m^{\alpha}(t|\xi|)\left(I_{\beta} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\left(W_{t}^{\alpha, \beta} * I_{\beta} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(W^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=|\xi|^{\beta} m^{\alpha}(|\xi|)$ and $I_{\beta}$ is the Riesz potential operator. By the boundedness of $I_{\beta}$, for the inequality (3.3), it is sufficient to show that if $\operatorname{Re} \alpha>1 / 2+\beta-n / 2$, then for $f \in \mathscr{S}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sup _{t>0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t}\left|W^{\alpha, \beta} * f\right|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{2} \leq C\|f\|_{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, (3.5) follows from the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|W_{t}^{\alpha, \beta} * f\right|^{2} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{2} \leq C\|f\|_{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that (3.6) holds with the assumptions in Lemma 3.2. Indeed, by Parseval's theorem, the proof of (3.6) comes down to the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|(t|\xi|)^{\beta} m^{\alpha}(t \xi)\right|^{2} \frac{d t}{t} \leq C \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\xi|=1$. Since $m^{\alpha}(0)=1$ and $\beta>0$, the portion of the integral $t \leq 1$ in (3.7) is easily seen to be bounded. To deal with the contribution for large $t$, we note

$$
(t|\xi|)^{\beta} M^{\alpha}(t|\xi|) \leq C_{\alpha} t^{-n / 2-\operatorname{Re} \alpha+1 / 2+\beta}
$$

If $\operatorname{Re} \alpha>1 / 2+\beta-n / 2$, then the integral (3.7) is bounded. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Then estimate for $N_{*}^{\alpha, \beta}$ on $L^{p}$ is the following statement.
Theorem 3.3. Suppose $0<\beta<(n-2) / 2$ and $f$ is in $\mathscr{S}$. The inequality

$$
\left\|N_{*}^{\alpha, \beta} f\right\|_{q} \leq C\|F\|_{p}
$$

holds with $1 / q=1 / p-\beta / n$ in the following circumstances:
(a) $1<p \leq 2 n /(n+2 \beta)$, when $\operatorname{Re} \alpha>1-n+n / p$.
(b) $2 n /(n+2 \beta)<p<n / \beta$, when

$$
\operatorname{Re} \alpha>(2-n) / p+2(n-1) \beta / n p+(n-1) \beta / n-2(n-1) \beta^{2} / n^{2}
$$

If $\alpha=0$, this means $n \geq 3, n /(n-1)<p<n / \beta-n^{2} /(n-1) \beta(n-2 \beta)$.
Proof. If $\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq 1$, by the definition of $M_{t}^{\alpha}$ in Section 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{*}^{\alpha, \beta} f(x) & =C \sup _{t>0} t^{-n+\beta}\left|\int_{|y|<t}\left(1-|y|^{2} / t^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(x-y) d y\right| \\
& \leq C \sup _{t>0} t^{-n+\beta} \int_{|y|<t}|f(x-y)| d y \\
& :=C f_{\beta}^{*}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{\beta}^{*}$ is the maximal fractional integral operator introduced by Muckenhoupt and Wheeden in [8], in which it was proved that $f_{\beta}^{*}$ is of type $(p, q)$ with $1 / q=$ $1 / p-\beta / n$ and of weak type $(1, n /(n-\beta))$. Using (3.1) as an endpoint estimate, the first result in Theorem 3.3 will follow from the analytic interpolation theorem.

Now we turn to the proof of the second result. Let $1<r<\infty$ and $1 / r+1 / r^{\prime}=1$. Using the Hölder inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{*}^{\alpha, \beta} f(x) \leq & \sup _{t>0}\left(t^{-n} \int_{|y|<t}\left(1-\frac{|y|^{2}}{t^{2}}\right)^{(\operatorname{Re} \alpha-1) r^{\prime}} d y\right)^{1 / r^{\prime}} \\
& \times \sup _{t>0}\left(t^{-n+r \beta} \int_{|y|<t}|f(x-y)|^{r} d y\right)^{1 / r}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\operatorname{Re} \alpha>\beta / n$, letting $r<n / \beta$ and $r$ be close to $n / \beta$ yields $\operatorname{Re} \alpha>\left(r^{\prime}-1\right) / r$. Thus

$$
\left(t^{-n} \int_{|y|<t}\left(1-\frac{|y|^{2}}{t^{2}}\right)^{(\operatorname{Re} \alpha-1) r^{\prime}} d y\right)^{1 / r^{\prime}}<\infty
$$

and this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{*}^{\alpha, \beta} f(x) & \leq C \sup _{t>0}\left(t^{-n+r \beta} \int_{|y|<t}|f(x-y)|^{r} d y\right)^{1 / r} \\
& :=C f_{\beta, r}^{*}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result in [3, Lemma 2] shows that if $r<p<n / p$ and $1 / q=1 / p-\beta / n$ then

$$
\left\|f_{\beta, r}\right\|_{q} \leq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

Therefore, if $\operatorname{Re} \alpha>\beta / n, p$ is less than $n / \beta$ but is close to $n / \beta$, and $1 / q=1 / p-\beta / n$, then

$$
\left\|N_{*}^{\alpha, \beta} f\right\|_{q} \leq C\|f\|_{p} .
$$

The analytic interpolation yields the result (b).
To prove Theorem 3, we first assume $f \in L^{2} \cap L^{p}$ and $f \geq 0$. By the definition of Lipschitz space, we have

$$
\left|\Delta_{t y^{\prime} k k}^{k} b(x)\right| \leq C t^{\beta} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\tilde{M}_{* ; b, k} f(x) \leq C N_{*}^{0, \beta} f(x) .
$$

Theorem 3 follows obviously from Theorem 3.3.
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