Real Analysis Exchange Vol. 19(1), 1993/94, pp. 21-22

Harry I. Miller, Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48103

TWO SUMMABILITY PROBLEMS – SPEEDS OF CONVERGENCE AND A RESULT OF POLLARD AND BUCK

1. During the early nineteenth century much effort was spent trying to find a "universal comparison test": *i.e.*, a sequence in ℓ^1 that dominates every other member of ℓ^1 . The nonexistence of such a series converging at a minimal rate was demonstrated by Abel. In [1] J. Fridy proved that if A is a regular matrix summability method and t is a nonincreasing null sequence of reals, then there exists a null sequence y such that

$$\rho_m t = o(\rho_m A y).$$

Here, if $\lim_{k\to\infty} t_k = L$, $\rho_m t$ denotes the "maximum remaining distance" given by

$$\rho_m t = \max_{n > m} |t_n - L|.$$

In a joint work with Vanna Zanelli I have extended the above result of Fridy to the following.

Theorem 1 If A is a regular matrix summability method and t is a nonincreasing null sequence, then there exists a null sequence y such that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{t_m}{(Ay)_m}=0.$$

2. In 1940, Buck and Pollard proved that if $S = \{s_k\}$ is a (C, 1) summable sequence and $\sum \frac{s_k^2}{k^2} < \infty$ holds, then

 ${x \in (0,1) : S(x) \text{ is } (C,1) \text{ summable to the } (C,1) \text{ limit of } S}$

has Lebesgue measure one. Here S(x) is the subsequence of S obtained by using the indices where the binary expansion of x has the digit one (for example, if $x = \frac{0}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{0}{2^4} + \frac{1}{2^5} + \dots$, then $S(x) = \{x_2, x_3, x_5, \dots\}$).

Franz J. Schnitzer and I recently rediscovered: $\{x \in (0,1) : S(x) \text{ is } A \text{ summable}\}\$ is a set of first Baire category if A is a regular matrix summability method and

S is a divergent sequence. In fact the above holds if A is a non-Schur matrix with convergent columns. Also a rearrangement version of the last mentioned result holds.

In a joint paper Schnitzer and I have four results that extend the above. The following is a typical result.

Theorem 2 If A is a non-Schur matrix with convergent columns and $S = \{s_k\}$ is a bounded divergent sequence, then the set $\{x \in (0,1) : S(x) \text{ is } B \text{ summable for some non-Schur matrix with convergent columns such that } <math>\|A - B\| < \epsilon\}$ is of the first category for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Here $|| C || = \sup_p \left(\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} |c_{pq}| \right)$ if $C = (c_{pq})$.

References

 J. A. Fridy, Minimal rates of summability, Can. J. Math., XXX (1978), 808-816.