Zbigniew Grande, Institute of Mathematics, Copernicus University, ul. Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland.

## ON THE DARBOUX PROPERTY OF THE SUM OF CLIQUISH FUNCTIONS

Let **R** be the set of reals. A function  $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  is said to be cliquish at a point  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  ([1]) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for every open neighborhood U of x there exists a nonempty open set  $V \subset U$  such that  $\operatorname{osc}_V f \leq \varepsilon$ . Observe that  $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  is cliquish at each point  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  iff the set of its continuity points is dense.

In 1987, H. W. Pu and H. H. Pu established the following theorem (See [2].):

**Theorem P.P.** Let A be a finite family of Baire 1 functions. Then there exists a Baire 1 function f such that f + g is a Darboux function for every  $g \in A$ .

In this paper I prove that this theorem is true for finite families A of cliquish functions.

Let  $\overline{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ . For a given function  $f : \mathbf{R} \to \overline{\mathbf{R}}$  such that the set  $\{x \in \mathbf{R} : f(x) = +\infty \text{ or } -\infty\}$  is nowhere dense, let C(f) be the set of continuity points of f and let  $D_n(f) = \{x \in \mathbf{R} : \operatorname{osc} f(x) \ge 2^{-n}\}$   $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ .

We start with the following lemma:

Lemma 1. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be an upper semicontinuous function (a lower semicontinuous function) such that  $f > -\infty$  ( $f < \infty$ ) and  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) = \infty\}$  ( $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) = -\infty\}$ ) is nowhere dense. Then for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  there is an upper semicontinuous (a lower semicontinuous) function  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $D_n(f) = D_n(g)$  for n = 1, 2, ..., f|C(f) = g|C(g), and  $c \notin g(\mathbb{R} \setminus C(g))$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that f is upper semicontinuous. If f is lower semicontinuous, it suffices to consider the function -f. Since f and the oscillation of f are upper semicontinuous, all sets  $D_n(f)$  (n = 1, 2, ...) are closed and nowhere dense. For every n = 2, 3, ... there are disjoint finite open intervals  $I_{nk}$  with ends belonging to C(f) such that

$$D_n - D_{n-1} = \bigcup_k (D_n \cap I_{nk}).$$

Since every set  $D_n \cap I_{nk}$  is compact,

$$2^{-n} \le d_{nk} = \max\{ \text{osc } f(t) : t \in D_n \cap I_{nk} \} < 2^{1-n}.$$

Denote by  $c\ell$  the closure operation and let  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus C : f(x) = c\}$ . Let

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{for } x \in Cl \ D \cap D_1(f) \\ c + \min((2^{1-n} - d_{nk})/2, 2^{-n-k}) & \text{for } x \in cl \ D \cap I_{nk} \cap D_n \\ (n = 2, 3, \dots, k = 1, 2, \dots) \\ f(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since f is upper semicontinuous, it follows from the definition of g that g is upper semicontinuous,  $g|C(g) = f|C(f), D_1(f) = D_1(g)$ , and  $D_n(f) \setminus D_{n-1}(f) = D_n(g) \setminus D_{n-1}(g)$  for  $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ . Evidently  $c \notin g(\mathbb{R} \setminus C(g))$ .

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that the functions  $g_1, \ldots, g_k : \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  are Baire 1 and the sets  $\{x : g_i(x) = +\infty \text{ or } -\infty\}$  are nowhere dense. Then there is a Baire 1 function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f + g_i$  is a Darboux function for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ .

**Proof.** The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem in [2]. Since every  $g_j$  (j = 1, 2, ..., k) is a Baire 1 function, each  $D_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^k D_i(g_j)$  is a closed nowhere dense set and  $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i$  is of first category.

The construction involves a sequence of open residual sets  $(G_k)_k$ . Each  $G_k$  has components  $((a_{kj}, b_{kj}))_j$  (j runs from 1 to  $\infty$  or to a certain integer depending on k). Let  $r_1 = +\infty$  and  $r_k = 2^{-(k-2)}$  if  $k \ge 2$ . We take D as above,  $(a, b) = (a_{kj}, b_{kj}), l = r_k$ . By Lemma in [2], there exist a Darboux Baire 1 function  $h_{kj} : (a_{kj}, b_{kj}) \to \mathbb{R}$ and a first category set  $P_{kj} \subset (a_{kj}, b_{kj})$  such that

- (i)  $P_{kj} \cap D = \emptyset$ ,
- (ii)  $c\ell P_{kj} = P_{kj} \cup \{a_{kj}, b_{kj}\},\$
- (iii)  $|h_{kj}(x)| < r_k$  for every  $x \in (a_{kj}, b_{kj})$ ,
- (iv)  $\{x: h_{kj}(x) \neq 0\} \subset P_{kj},$
- (v)  $\limsup_{x \to a_{kj}+} h_{kj}(x) = \limsup_{x \to b_{jk}-} h_{kj}(x) = r_k$ , and  $\liminf_{x \to a_{kj}+} h_{kj}(x) = \liminf_{x \to b_{kj}-} h_{kj}(x) = -r_k$ .

For the case k = 1, we require more of each  $h_{1j}$ . This will be made clear later. For each k, we define  $h_k$  on **R** by

$$h_k(x) = \begin{cases} h_{kj}(x) & \text{if } x \in (a_{kj}, b_{kj}) \text{ for some } j, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin G_k, \end{cases}$$

and set  $P_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \bigcup_j P_{kj}$ . Clearly  $h_k$  is a Baire 1 function and  $P_k$  is a first category set disjoint from D. Moreover, by (ii),

(ii+)  $c\ell(\bigcup_j P_{kj}) \subset (\mathbf{R} \setminus G_k) \cup \bigcup_J P_{kj}$  for each k.

Also, since each  $G_k$  is an open residual set, the sets  $\{a_{kj}\}_j$  and  $\{b_{kj}\}_j$  are dense in  $\mathbf{R} \setminus G_k$ . Using (v), we can easily show

(v+) 
$$\limsup_{t\to x+} h_k(t) = \limsup_{t\to x-} h_k(t) = r_k$$
, and  
 $\liminf_{t\to x+} h_k(t) = \liminf_{t\to x-} h_k(t) = -r_k$  at each  $x_k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus G_k$ .

Let  $G_1 = \mathbf{R} \setminus D_1$  and a component  $(a_{1j}, b_{1j})$  be fixed. Let the intervals  $(a_{1j}, b_{1j})$ ,  $I_{jn}, J_{jn}$  (n = 1, 2, ...) correspond to (a, b),  $I_n$ ,  $J_n$  in Lemma in [2]. For each n,  $(I_{jn} \cup J_{jn}) \cap D_1 = \emptyset$ , and hence osc  $g_i(x) < 1/2$  for every  $x \in I_{jn} \cup J_{jn}$  and i = 1, 2, ..., k. Since each  $I_{jn} \cup J_{jn}$  is a compact set, there exists  $M_{jn} > 0$  such that  $|g_i(x)| < M_{jn}$  (i = 1, ..., k) for every  $x \in I_{jn} \cup J_{jn}$ . With no loss of generality, we assume that  $M_{j1} \le M_{j2} \le ...$  Let  $r_1 = +\infty$ ,  $r_{jn} = 2M_{jn} + n$  correspond to land  $l_n$  in Lemma in [2]. Then  $h_{1j}$  can be chosen to satisfy the conditions (i) - (v) (for k = 1) and

(vi)  $\sup h_{1j}(I_{jn}) = \sup h_{1j}(J_{jn}) = r_{jn}$  if n is even,  $\inf h_{1j}(I_{jn}) = \inf h_{1j}(J_{jn}) = -r_{jn}$  if n is odd.

We now proceed with the induction step. Assume that for some  $k \ge 1$ , we have constructed an open residual set  $G_k$ , the associated functions  $h_{kj}$  (*j* runs through the enumeration of the components of  $G_k$ ) and  $h_k$ , the associated first category set  $P_{kj}$  and  $P_k$  such that  $D_k \cup P_k$  is closed. Clearly  $D_{k+1} \cup P_k$  is a closed first category set. We take  $G_{k+1} = \mathbf{R} \setminus (D_{k+1} \cup P_k)$ . The associated functions and sets are described above. To complete the induction, we need to show that  $D_{k+1} \cup P_{k+1}$ is closed. By (ii+) and the choice of  $G_{k+1}$ ,

$$c\ell \left(\bigcup_{j} P_{k+1,j}\right) \subset \left(\bigcup_{j} P_{k+1,j}\right) \cup \left(D_{k+1} \cup P_{k}\right) = D_{k+1} \cup P_{k+1}$$

Since  $D_{k+1} \cup P_k$  is closed,  $D_{k+1} \cup P_k = c\ell (D_{k+1} \cup P_k) = D_{k+1} \cup c\ell P_k$ . Consequently,

$$D_{k+1} \cup P_{k+1} \supset D_{k+1} \cup c\ell \ P_k \cup c\ell \ (\bigcup_j P_{k+1,j}) = D_{k+1} \cup c\ell \ P_{k+1}.$$

This implies that  $D_{k+1} \cup P_{k+1}$  is closed. Thus we have constructed the sequence  $(h_k)_k$  by induction. Note that the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k$  converges uniformly on **R**. Therefore we can define a function f on **R** by letting  $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k$  and comclude that f is a Baire 1 function.

As in the proof of Theorem P.P. in [2] we may show that f is a Darboux function on **R** and  $f + g_1$  (i = 1, ..., k) have the Darboux property on each interval [a, b] such that  $(f + g_i)([a, b]) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that [a, b] is a closed interval such that  $(f + g_i)([a, b]) \not\subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $f(a) + g_i(a) \neq f(b) + g_i(b)$  for some  $i \leq k$ . Let

$$c \in (\min(f(a) + g_i(a), f(b) + g_i(b)), \max(f(a) + g_i(a), f(b) + g_i(b))).$$

Since  $(f + g_i)([a, b]) \not\subset \mathbf{R}$ , it follows from the construction of f that there exists an interval  $[a_1, b_1] \subset (a, b)$  such that  $(f + g_i)([a_1, b_1]) \subset \mathbf{R}$ , and

$$\min(f(a_1) + g_i(a_1), f(b_1) + g_i(b_1)) < c < \max(f(a_1) + g_i(a_1), f(b_1) + g_i(b_1)).$$

Since  $f + g_i$  has the Darboux property on the interval  $[a_1, b_1]$ , there is a point  $d \in (a_1, b_1)$  with  $f(d) + g_i(d) = c$ .

This completes the proof.

**Remark 1.** In the above construction, the sets  $P_{kj}$  can be chosen to have Lebesgue measure zero. Then the function f equals zero except on a first category set of Lebesgue measure zero.

**Remark 2.** Preserve all hypothesis and notations of Theorem 1 and its proof. If  $f_1, \ldots, f_k : \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  are Baire 1 functions such that

$$f_i|(\mathbf{R} \setminus D) = g_i|(\mathbf{R} \setminus D)$$
 for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  
 $D_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_j(f_i)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

then every function  $f + f_i$  (i = 1, ..., k) has the Darboux property. Of course, it suffices to observe that in the proof of Theorem 1 the construction of the function f for the system  $(f_1, ..., f_k)$  can be the same as for the system  $(g_1, ..., g_k)$ .

**Remark 3.** Preserve all assumptions and notation of Theorem 1 and its proof. Moreover, suppose that the functions  $g_i$ , i = 1, ..., k, are upper semicontinuous everywhere or lower semicontinuous everywhere. If  $z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus C(g_i)$  for some  $i \leq k$ ,  $g_i(z) = c \in \mathbb{R}$ , and [u, v] is a closed interval containing z, then there exists a point  $w \in (u, v) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^k C(g_j)$  such that  $f(w) + g_i(w) = c$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 1 there exists a function  $g : \mathbf{R} \to \overline{\mathbf{R}}$  such that  $c \notin g(\mathbf{R} \setminus C(g)), \ g|C(g) = g_i|C(g_i), \ D_j(g) = D_j(g_i)$  for j = 1, 2, ..., and g is upper (lower) semicontinuous everywhere whenever  $g_i$  is the same. For every n = 1, 2, ... there are disjoint finite open intervals  $K_{nm}, \ m = 1, 2, ...$ , with ends belonging to  $\bigcap_{j=1}^k C(g_j)$  such that

$$D_1 \setminus D_1(g_i) = \bigcup_m (D_1 \cap K_{1m}), \text{ and}$$

$$D_n \setminus D_{n-1} \setminus D_n(g_i) = \bigcup_m (D_n \cap K_{nm}) \text{ for } n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Let  $E = \{x \in D : g_i(x) = c\}$ . Set

$$\bar{g}(x) = \begin{cases} c+2^{-n} & \text{for } x \in c\ell \ E \cap K_{nm} \cap D_n & (n,m=1,2,\ldots) \\ g(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

whenever  $g_i$  is upper semicontinuous, or

$$\bar{g}(x) = \begin{cases} c - 2^{-n} & \text{for } x \in c\ell \ E \cap K_{nm} \cap D_n & (n, m = 1, 2, \ldots) \\ g(x) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

whenever  $g_i$  is lower semicontinuous.

Note that 
$$D_n = \bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n D_n(g_j) \cup D_n(\bar{g}), n = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } c \notin \bar{g}(D)$$
. Moreover  $\bar{g}$  is

upper (lower) semicontinuous everywhere. Since  $z \in D$ , it follows from (v+) and from the construction of f that there are points  $u_0, v_0 \in (u, v) \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus D)$  such that

$$f(u_0) + g_i(u_0) < c \text{ and } f(v_0) + g_i(v_0) > c.$$

With no loss of generality, we may assume that  $u_0 < v_0$ . If

$$\{x \in (u_0, v_0) : f(x) + g_i(x) = c\} \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus D) = \emptyset$$
 then  
 $\{x \in (u_0, v_0) : f(x) + \overline{g}(x) = c\} = \emptyset,$ 

contrary to Remark 2.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f_1, \ldots, f_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be cliquish functions. There is a Baire 1 function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \neq 0\}$  is of Lebesgue measure zero and all sums  $f + f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ , are Darboux functions.

**Proof:** For  $i = 1, \ldots, k$  let

$$g_i(x) = \lim_{r o 0+} \inf\{f_i(t) : |t-x| < r\}, ext{ and } h_i(x) = \lim_{r o 0+} \sup\{f_i(t) : |t-x| < r\}$$

for  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Evidently,  $g_i$   $(h_i)$ , i = 1, ..., k, are lower (upper) semicontinuous,  $g_i \leq f_i \leq h_i$ ,  $g_i(x) = f_i(x) = h_i(x)$  for  $x \in C(f_i)$ , and the sets  $\{x : g_i(x) = -\infty\}$  and

 $\{x: h_i(x) = \infty\}$  are nowhere dense. By Theorem 1, there exists a Darboux Baire 1 function  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\{x: f(x) \neq 0\}$  is of measure zero and all sums  $f + g_i$ ,  $f + h_i$ , i = 1, ..., k, are Darboux functions. Fix  $i \leq k$ . Let [a, b] be a closed interval such that  $f(a) + f_i(a) \neq f(b) + f_i(b)$ , for example  $f(a) + f_i(a) < f(a) + f_i(a) < f(a) + f_i(a) < f(a) + f_i(a) + f$  $f(b) + f_i(b)$ . Let c be a number such that  $f(a) + f_i(a) < c < f(b) + f_i(b)$ . If  $\min(f(a) + h_i(a), f(b) + h_i(b)) < c < \max(f(a) + h_i(a), f(b) + h_i(b))$ , then there is a point  $u \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(u) + h_i(u) = c$ . If  $u \in C(f_i)$ , then  $h_i(u) = f_i(u)$ and  $c = f(u) + f_i(u)$ . If  $u \in (a, b) \setminus C(f_i)$  then, by Remark 3, there is a point  $v \in (a,b) \cap C(g_i) \cap C(h_i) = (a,b) \cap C(f_i)$  such that  $f(v) + f_i(v) = f(v) + h_i(v) = f(v) + h_i(v) = f(v) + h_i(v)$  $f(u) + h_i(u) = c$ . In the case where  $c \leq \min(f(a) + h_i(a), f(b) + h_i(b))$  we remark that  $f(a) + g_i(a) < c$ . If  $b \in C(f_i)$ , then  $f(b) + g_i(b) = f(b) + f_i(b) > c$  and there is a point  $u \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(u) + g_i(u) = c$ . If  $u \in C(f_i)$ , then  $f(u) + g_i(u) = c$ .  $f_i(u) = f(u) + g_i(u) = c$ . If  $u \in (a, b) \setminus C(f_i)$ , then by Remark 3, there is a point  $v \in (a,b) \cap C(f_i)$  such that  $f(v) + f_i(v) = f(v) + g_i(v) = c$ . In the case where  $c \leq \min(f(a) + h_i(a), f(b) + h_i(b))$  and  $b \notin C(f_i)$ , Remark 3 implies that there is a point  $w \in (a, b) \cap C(f_i)$  with  $f(w) + f_i(w) = f(w) + g_i(w) > c$ . Consequently, as above, there is a point  $u \in (a, w)$  such that  $f(u) + f_i(u) = c$ .

**Remark 4.** Theorem 2 is false for an infinite family A of cliquish functions. (See [2], Example in 3.)

## References

- W. W. Bledsoe, Neighbourly functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1972), 114-115.
- [2] H. W. Pu and H. H. Pu, On representations of Baire functions in a given family as sums of Baire Darboux functions with a common summand, Čas. Pest. Matem. 112 (1987), 320-326.

Received February 27, 1991