

## DERIVATIVES AND THE CARATHÉODORY SUPERPOSITION

Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be the set of reals. The density topology  $T_d$  ([1], [8], [10]) on  $\mathbf{R}$  consists of all measurable subsets  $A$  of  $\mathbf{R}$  such that, for every  $x \in A$ ,  $x$  is a density point of  $A$ . Let  $I \subset \mathbf{R}$  be an interval. A function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is density continuous ([5], [6], [7]) if it is continuous as a map from  $(I, T_d)$  into  $(\mathbf{R}, T_d)$ .

A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of maps of the topological space  $(\mathbf{R}, T_d)$  into  $\mathbf{R}$  (with the natural topology) is said to be  $T_d$ -equicontinuous at a point  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  ([9], p. 188), if, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a neighborhood  $V \in T_d$  of  $x$  such that  $|f(u) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for each  $u \in V$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $T_d$ -equicontinuous on  $\mathbf{R}$  if it is  $T_d$ -equicontinuous at each point.

In the paper [2] I proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 0.** Suppose that  $D \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  is a nonempty open set and  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a locally bounded function such that all sections  $f^y(t) = f(t, y)$  ( $t, y \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $(t, y) \in D$ ) are derivatives and all sections  $f_x(t) = f(x, t)$  ( $x, t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $(x, t) \in D$ ) are equicontinuous. Then for every continuous function  $g : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $(x, g(x)) \in D$  for  $x \in I$  and  $I$  is an interval, the function  $h(x) = f(x, g(x))$  is a derivative.

In this paper we approach the derivative structure of the function  $h$  in terms of density continuity.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $D \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  is a nonempty open set and  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a locally bounded function such that all sections  $f^y$  are derivatives and all sections  $f_x$  are  $T_d$ -equicontinuous. Then for every continuous and density continuous function  $g : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $I$  is an interval and  $(x, g(x)) \in D$  for  $x \in I$ , the superposition  $h(x) = f(x, g(x))$  is a derivative.

**Proof.** First, we remark that the function  $h$  is measurable in the Lebesgue sense ([4]). We shall prove that  $h$  is a derivative at each point  $x \in I$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \int_x^t h(u) du / (t - x) = h(x).$$

Fix  $x \in I$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $f$  is locally bounded and  $g$  is continuous at  $x$ , there are numbers  $s, s_1 > 0$  such that  $s_1 < s$ ,

$$(1) \quad M = \sup \{|f(u, v)| : |u - x| \leq s, |v - g(x)| \leq s\} < \infty,$$

$$\text{and } |g(t) - g(x)| < s \text{ for } t \in I \cap (x - s_1, x + s_1).$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $M > 0$  and  $[x, x + s] \subset I$ . Since the section  $f^{g(x)}$  is a derivative at  $x$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \int_x^t f(u, g(x)) du / (t - x) = f(x, g(x)).$$

Consequently, there is  $t_0 > x$  such that,  $t_0 < x + s_1$  and

$$(2) \quad \left| \int_x^t f(u, g(x)) du / (t - x) - f(x, g(x)) \right| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for } x < t \leq t_0.$$

Since all sections  $f_u$  are  $T_d$ -equicontinuous, there exists a  $T_d$ -neighborhood  $V \in T_d$  of  $g(x)$  such that,  $V \subset (g(x) - s, g(x) + s)$ , and

$$(3) \quad |f(u, y) - f(u, g(x))| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for each } u \in I, y \in V.$$

Observe that  $g^{-1}(V) \in T_d$  and  $x$  is a density point of  $g^{-1}(V)$ . There is  $t_1 > x$  such that,  $t_1 < t_0$ , and

$$(4) \quad m((x, t) \cap g^{-1}(V)) / (t - x) > 1 - \varepsilon/6M$$

for  $x < t \leq t_1$  ( $m$  denotes Lebesgue measure). If  $x < t < t_1$ , then

$$(5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left| \int_x^t h(u)du/(t-x) - h(x) \right| = \\ \left| \int_x^t f(u, g(u))du/(t-x) - f(x, g(x)) \right| = \\ \left| \int_x^t (f(u, g(u)) - f(x, g(x)))du/(t-x) \right| = \\ \left| \int_x^t (f(u, g(u)) - f(u, g(x)))du/(t-x) + \right. \\ \left. \int_x^t (f(u, g(x)) - f(x, g(x)))du/(t-x) \right| \leq \\ \int_x^t |f(u, g(u)) - f(u, g(x))|du/(t-x) + \\ \left| \int_x^t (f(u, g(x)) - f(x, g(x)))du/(t-x) \right|. \end{array} \right.$$

Using (2) we have for  $x < t < t_1$  the following:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_x^t f(u, g(x)) - f(x, g(x)) du / (t-x) \right| = \\ & \left| \int_x^t f(u, g(x)) du / (t-x) - f(x, g(x)) \right| < \varepsilon/3. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (1), (3) and (4) we have for  $x < t < t_1$ ,

$$(7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_x^t |f(u, g(u)) - f(u, g(x))| du = \\ \int_{(x,t) \cap g^{-1}(V)} |f(u, g(u)) - f(u, g(x))| du + \\ \int_{(x,t) \setminus g^{-1}(V)} |f(u, g(u)) - f(u, g(x))| du \leq \\ \varepsilon(t-x)/3 + 2M \varepsilon(t-x)/6M = 2\varepsilon(t-x)/3. \end{array} \right.$$

Thus, from (5), (6) and (7) we obtain

$$\left| \int_x^t h(u)du/(t-x) - h(x) \right| < \varepsilon$$

and consequently,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x^+} \int_x^t h(u)du/(t-x) = h(x).$$

Analogously we may show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x^-} \int_x^t h(u)du/(t-x) = h(x).$$

This completes the proof.

**Remark.** In my paper [3] I proved that there is a function  $f : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that all its sections  $f_x, f^y$  are continuous,  $f(x, x) = 1$  for  $x > 0$  and  $f(0, 0) = 0$ . Note that the function  $g(x) = x$  is continuous and density continuous, but the superposition  $h(x) = f(x, g(x))$  is not a derivative.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $L > 0$  be a constant. There are a function  $g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfying the Lipschitz condition with constant  $L$  and a function  $f : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  having all constant sections  $f^y$  and all  $T_d$ -equicontinuous sections  $f_x$  such that the superposition  $h(x) = f(x, g(x))$  is not a derivative.

**Proof.** Let  $(b_n)_{n=0}$  be a decreasing sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$  and  $b_n > 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$  and

$$(8) \quad (b_{n-1} - b_n)2^{2n-2} < L \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

(for example  $b_n = L/(8n!)$ ). Put

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} b_0 & \text{for } x \geq 1 \\ b_n & \text{for } x \in [2^{-2n}, 2^{-2n+1}], n = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0 & \text{for } x \leq 0 \\ \text{linear on the intervals } [2^{-2n+1}, 2^{-2n+2}], n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

From (8) it follows that  $g$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition with the constant  $L$ . There are intervals  $I_n = [b_n - a_n, b_n + a_n]$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) such that  $I_n \cap I_k = \emptyset$  for  $k \neq n$  and 0 is a density point of the set

$$E = \mathbf{R} \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n.$$

Let  $z : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be continuous at each point  $x \neq 0$  and such that  $z(b_n) = 1$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $z(x) = 0$  for  $x \in E$ . Define

$$f(x, y) = z(y) \text{ for } (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2.$$

All sections  $f^y$  are constant and all sections  $f_x$  are  $T_d$ -equicontinuous. Moreover, the function  $h(x) = f(x, g(x))$  is such that  $h(0) = 0$  and  $h(x) = 1$  for  $x \in [2^{-2n}, 2^{-2n+1}], n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since 0 is not a density point of the set

$$\mathbf{R} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [2^{-2n}, 2^{-2n+1}]$$

and  $h(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $h$  is not a derivative at 0.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $L > 0$  be a constant. There are a function  $g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfying the Lipschitz condition with constant  $L$  and a function  $f_1 : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  having continuous sections  $(f_1)_x, (f_1)_y$  and  $T_d$ -equicontinuous sections  $(f_1)_x$ , such that the superposition  $h(x) = f(x, g(x))$  is not a derivative.

**Proof.** Let  $f, g$  be the same as in the proof of Theorem 2. The sets

$$A = \{(x, y) : x > 0, y \leq g(x)/4\},$$

$$B = \{(x, y) : x > 0, y \geq g(x)/2\}$$

are closed in the space  $C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x > 0\}$  with the natural topology. There exists a continuous function  $f_2 : C \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f_2(x, y) = 0$  for  $(x, y) \in A$  and  $f_2(x, y) = 1$  for  $(x, y) \in B$ . Define

$$f_1(x, y) = \begin{cases} f_2(x, y)f(x, y) & \text{for } (x, y) \in C \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

All sections  $(f_1)_x, (f_1)_y$  are continuous, the sections  $(f_1)_x$  are  $T_d$ -equicontinuous and the superposition  $h(x) = f_1(x, g(x))$  is not a derivative.

**Example.** Let  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , be a sequence of intervals such that  $a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots \rightarrow 0$  and 0 is a density point of the set  $\mathbf{R} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ . For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  there exists a closed interval  $J_n \subset (a_n, b_n)$ . There is a function  $f : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  continuous at each point  $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$  such that

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in I_n \text{ and } y \leq 1/2n, n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in J_n \text{ and } y \geq 1/n, n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Then the sections  $f_x(x \in \mathbf{R})$  are not  $T_d$ -equicontinuous, but for every continuous function  $g : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , where  $I$  is an interval, the function  $h(x) = f(x, g(x))$  is a derivative.

## References

- [1.] Bruckner, A.M., *Differentiation of real functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. 659, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- [2.] Grande, Z., *A theorem about the Carathéodory's superposition*, (in preparation).
- [3.] Grande, Z., *Quelques remarques sur les fonctions presque continues*, *Problemy Mat.* 10(1990), 60-70.
- [4.] Grande, Z., *La mesurabilité des fonctions de deux variables et de la superposition  $F(x, f(x))$* , *Dissert. Math.* 159(1978), 1-45.
- [5.] Ostaszewski, K., *Continuity in the density topology*, *Real Anal. Exch.* 7(2) (1982), 259-270.
- [6.] Ostaszewski, K., *Continuity in the density topology II*, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, (2) (1983), 398-414.
- [7.] Ostaszewski, K., *Semigroups of density-continuous functions*, *Real Anal. Exch.* 14 (1), (1988-89), 104-114.
- [8.] Oxtoby, J.C., *Measure and category*, Springer 1971.
- [9.] Royden, H.L., *Real Analysis*, 3rd. ed., New York 1988.
- [10.] Tall, F.D., *The density topology*, *Pacific J. Math.* 62 (1), (1976), 275-284.

*Received December 7, 1990*