Parametric \mathcal{I} -approximate derivatives are in Baire class one

Ruth Brackebusch, Department of Mathematics, Indiana University Southeast, New Albany, IN 47150

Lee Larson, Department of Mathematics, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY 40292

It is well-known that if a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is approximately differentiable by any of the common methods, then its approximate derivative is in Baire class one. In fact, this observation has been proved to be true for a large class of generalized derivatives which are definable via difference quotients by the following method [1].

Definition. A function h is a parameter function iff it satisfies:

- (1) h is measurable;
- (2) if G is any measurable set, then h(G) is measurable; and,
- (3) if A_n is any sequence of Borel sets such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} |A_n| = 0$, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |h^{-1}(A_n)| = 0$$

Definition. A generalized difference quotient is a quotient of the form

$$Q(x,t) = \frac{1}{g(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i f(x+h_i(t)),$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, h_i are parameter functions, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and f, g are arbitrary functions.

Definition. The generalized derivative associated with this general difference quotient is

$$f^*(x) = \lim_{t \to 0} Q(x,t)$$

whenever this limit exists, where "lap" stands for the approximate limit.

Using this structure, the following theorem can be proved.

Theorem 1. If $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable functions such that f^* exists everywhere (finite or infinite), then f^* is in Baire class one.

In a simple case the proof of this theorem can be hinted at by the following example. Example. Let

$$Q(x,h) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h}.$$

The idea of the proof in this case is a "balancing act." The set

$$S_{rst} = \left\{ x : \frac{\left| \{h \in (0, 1/r) : Q(x, h) > -1/s \} \right|}{1/r} > 1 - \frac{1}{t} \right\}, \quad r, s, t \ge 1,$$

is open, and

$$\{x: f(x) \ge 0\} = \bigcap_{t=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{r=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{s=1}^{\infty} S_{rst} \in \mathbf{G}_{\delta}$$

But, Theorem 1 can also be used in cases where the "quotient" structure is less obvious.

Example. Q(x,h) = f(x+h) yields that approximately continuous functions are in Baire class one.

A natural question is whether the same methods can be used to prove that similar results hold for the \mathcal{I} -approximate limit [2] instead of the approximate limit. The definitions given above can be paralleled in the following manner.

Definition. A function h is an \mathcal{I} -parameter function iff:

- (1) h is \mathcal{I} -approximately continuous;
- (2) if G has the Baire property, then h(G) has the Baire property; and,
- (3) if A_n is any sequence of open sets such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{I}$, then

$$\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}h^{-1}(A_n)\in\mathcal{I}.$$

Define Q(x,t) as before and $f_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ in the natural way. Using these definitions, the following theorem analogous to Theorem 1 is true.

Theorem 2. If f is a function with the Baire property such that $f_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ exists everywhere (finite or infinite), then $f_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ is in Baire class one.

Corollary. In particular, the following types of "derivatives" are all in Baire class one.

- (1) \mathcal{I} -approximately continuous functions.
- (2) The $n^{\text{th}} \mathcal{I}$ -approximate symmetric derivatives (with infinite values allowed), when n is odd.
- (3) The n^{th} finitely \mathcal{I} -approximately symmetrically differentiable functions, where n is even.

Finally, the following can be said about the extreme \mathcal{I} -approximate derivatives.

Theorem 3. If $\overline{f}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ and $\underline{f}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ are the upper and lower \mathcal{I} -approximate derivatives of a function f, with the Baire property, then $\overline{f}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ and $\underline{f}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ are both in Baire class three.

References

- [1] Lee Larson, A method for showing generalized derivatives are in Baire class one. Contemporary Math., 42:1985.
- [2] W. Wilszynski, A category analogue of the density topology, approximate continuity, and the approximate derivative. *Real Anal. Exch.*, 10:241-265, 1984-85.