

Piotr Mikusiński, Department of Mathematics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816

Krzysztof Ostaszewski, Department of Mathematics, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY 40292<sup>1</sup>

## Embedding Henstock integrable functions into the space of Schwartz distributions

**Introduction.** In this work we show how the space of Henstock integrable functions of several variables can be viewed as a subspace of a certain space of Schwartz distributions. Also, we show how probability distribution functions are multipliers for Henstock integrable functions, and generate continuous linear functionals on the space of Henstock integrable functions.

**1.1. Definition.** Let  $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be the unit cube in the  $m$ -dimensional Euclidean space. A function  $f : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  will be termed *Henstock integrable*, with

$$\int \int \dots \int_{I_0} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_m \quad (1)$$

written for the value of the integral, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive function  $\delta : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (usually called a *gauge*) such that whenever

$$\pi = \left\{ ((x_1^i, x_2^i, \dots, x_m^i), I_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\} \quad (2)$$

is a partition of  $I_0$ , consisting of pairs of points in  $I_0$  and nonoverlapping subintervals of  $I_0$  whose union is the whole  $I_0$ , and such that for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $(x_1^i, x_2^i, \dots, x_m^i) \in I_i$  and  $I_i$  is contained in the ball centered at  $(x_1^i, x_2^i, \dots, x_m^i)$  of radius  $\delta(x_1^i, x_2^i, \dots, x_m^i)$ , we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_1^i, x_2^i, \dots, x_m^i) \lambda(I_i) - \int \int \dots \int_{I_0} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_m \right| < \varepsilon; \quad (3)$$

here  $\lambda(I)$  stands for the  $m$ -dimensional volume of an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ . Quite often we will simply write

$$\int_{I_0} f d\lambda \quad (4)$$

---

<sup>1</sup> This author was partially supported by the University of Louisville Research Grants

for the Henstock integral of  $f$  over  $I_0$ .

A partition  $\pi$  as in (2), satisfying conditions listed between (2) and (3) will be called  $\delta$ -fine.

We will also denote by  $\tilde{f}$  the indefinite Henstock integral of a function  $f$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= \int_0^{x_1} \int_0^{x_2} \dots \int_0^{x_m} f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_m = \\ &= \int \int \dots \int_{I_0} f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \chi_{[0, x_1] \times [0, x_2] \times \dots \times [0, x_m]} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_m, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $\chi_E$  denotes the characteristic functions of a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

**1.2.** The class of Henstock integrable functions on  $I_0$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is a linear topological space. In [8] and [9] it is shown that the space equipped with the Alexiewicz norm is barrelled, but it is not a Banach space. [6] and [8] discuss the dual of the space. The work in [8] is done in the two-dimensional case, but easily extends to the multidimensional one. [6] considers the dual of  $\mathcal{H}$  for functions of one variable.

Our intention is to describe the completion of the space and to further discuss its dual.

Let us note that every Henstock integrable function  $f: I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Schwartz distribution (see [4], section 2.12).

**1.3. Definition.** Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the space of all *distributions of order  $m$*  with support in  $I_0$ , i.e.,  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  if there exists a continuous function  $F: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0 \text{ if } \min\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\} \leq 0, \quad (6)$$

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_m) = F(x_1, x_2, \dots, 1, \dots, x_m) \text{ if } x_i \geq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad (7)$$

$$f = \frac{\partial^m F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2 \dots \partial x_m}, \quad (8)$$

where the derivatives are understood in the distributional sense.

For  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $F$  as in (6), (7), and (8) define

$$\int_0^{x_1} \int_0^{x_2} \dots \int_0^{x_m} f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_m = F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \quad (9)$$

for  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in I_0$ . Note that, for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  there exists exactly one function  $F$  satisfying (6), (7), and (8). Thus the integral (9) is uniquely defined. Moreover

$$\|f\| = \sup_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in I_0} |F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)| \quad (10)$$

is a norm on  $\mathcal{F}$ . We will call it the *Alexiewicz norm*, as it is the same as the Alexiewicz norm introduced in [8] on the space of Henstock integrable function.

**1.4. Proposition.**  *$\mathcal{F}$  is complete.*

**1.5. Observation.**  *$\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$ .*

**1.6. Theorem.**  *$\mathcal{F}$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

**1.7. Remark.** In the one-dimensional case it is known that every Henstock integrable function is almost everywhere a derivative of its indefinite integral. This implies that in that case,  $\mathcal{H}$  is of the first category in  $\mathcal{F}$ . An easy example of an element of  $\mathcal{F}$  which is not in  $\mathcal{H}$  is in that case a distributional derivative of a nowhere differentiable continuous function.

**2.1.** We will turn now to our discussion of the dual of the space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We have the following, as presented in [6] and [8]:

*In the one-dimensional case  $T$  is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if either of the following holds (all integrals used below are Henstock integrals):*

*(a) There exists a finite signed Borel measure  $\mu_T$  on  $(0, 1]$  such that*

$$T(f) = \int_0^1 \tilde{f}(t) d\mu_T(t), \quad (11)$$

*where, as usually*

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt. \quad (12)$$

*(b) There exists a function  $g_T : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of essentially bounded variation such that*

$$T(f) = \int_0^1 f(t) g_T(t) dt. \quad (13)$$

Being of essentially bounded variation is equivalent to having a signed finite Borel measure as a distributional derivative. If  $\mu_g$  stands for that distributional derivative then integration by parts yields

$$\int_0^1 \tilde{f}(t) d\mu_T(t) = \int_0^1 \tilde{f}(t) d\mu_g(t) + \tilde{f}(1)\mu_g((0, 1]). \quad (14)$$

Notice that the expression  $\tilde{f}(1)\mu_g((0, 1])$  is itself a continuous linear functional of  $f$ .

As observed in [8] the description (a) easily extends to the multidimensional case. However, (b) uses the class of multipliers for the Henstock integrable functions (i.e., functions which multiplied by a Henstock integrable function produce a Henstock integrable function), which is not known in the multidimensional case.

To simplify our considerations let us restrict ourselves to the two-dimensional case, with  $I_0 = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . This does not effect generality of the results.

**2.2. Definition.** A function  $g : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of *strongly bounded variation* (see [4]) if for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $g(x, \cdot)$  is of bounded variation, for every  $y \in [0, 1]$ ,  $g(\cdot, y)$  is of bounded variation, and

$$\sup \sum_{i=1}^n |g(a_i, c_i) - g(a_i, d_i) - g(b_i, c_i) + g(b_i, d_i)| < +\infty, \quad (15)$$

where the least upper bound is taken over all partitions of  $I_0$  into a finite collection of nonoverlapping nondegenerate closed intervals  $[a_i, b_i] \times [c_i, d_i]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

Let us note that [4] contains the definition of a function of strongly bounded variation in the general multidimensional case.

**2.3. Theorem.** (Kurzweil [4]) *Every function of strongly bounded variation is a multiplier for Henstock integrable functions.*

**2.4.** It is not known whether the above is a complete characterization of multipliers. Our intention is to points out a specific subclass of the class of functions of strongly bounded variation.

**2.5. Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  stand for the class of two-dimensional distribution functions of finite signed Borel measures on  $(0, 1] \times (0, 1]$ . For example, if  $\mu$  is a positive measure then  $g_\mu \in \mathcal{D}$  corresponding to it is

$$g_\mu(x, y) = \mu((0, x] \times (0, y]). \quad (16)$$

The value of  $g_\mu(x, y)$  for  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$  is unessential to us, we will assume it to be zero.

In general, for a signed finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $(0, 1] \times (0, 1]$  we will denote its distribution function by  $g_\mu$ .

Also, we will denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  the class of finite signed Borel measures on  $(0, 1] \times (0, 1]$ .  $\mathcal{M}^+$  will denote the class of positive measures in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**2.6. Proposition.** *The elements of  $\mathcal{D}$  are of strongly bounded variation.*

**2.7. Corollary.** *A distribution function of a finite signed Borel measure is a multiplier for Henstock integrable functions.*

**2.8. Corollary.** *If  $g : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is equivalent to a distribution function of a finite signed Borel measure then  $g$  is a multiplier for Henstock integrable functions.*

**2.9. Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{C}_0$  denote the class of all continuous  $F : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F$  is continuous and  $F(x, y) = 0$  whenever  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ .

**2.10. Proposition.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int_{I_0} f(x, y) g_\mu(x, y) dx dy = \\ \tilde{f}(1, 1) g_\mu(1, 1) - \int_0^1 \tilde{f}(t, 1) dg_\mu(t, 1) - \int_0^1 \tilde{f}(1, t) dg_\mu(1, t) + \int \int_{I_0} \tilde{f} d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

**2.11. Remark.** For  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  the expression

$$\int \int_{I_0} f(x, y) g_\mu(x, y) dx dy \quad (17)$$

is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{H}$ . We do not know, however, if (17) gives the general form of a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{H}$ . As we stated in 2.1, [8] shows that the general form of a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{H}$  is

$$\int \int_{I_0} \tilde{f} d\mu, \quad (18)$$

where  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . Proposition 2.10 suggests the hypothesis that (58) is in fact another general form of a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{H}$ . We were not able to either prove or disprove it.

Also the following two problems are very natural.

**2.12. Problem.** Given a function  $g : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of strongly bounded variation, is there a  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $g$  is equivalent to  $g_\mu$ ?

**2.13. Problem.** Given a multiplier  $g$  for Henstock integrable functions, is there a  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $g$  is equivalent to  $g_\mu$ ?

#### References:

1. S.I. Ahmed, and W. F. Pfeffer, A Riemann integral in a locally compact Hausdorff space, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **41A** (1986), 115-137.
2. R. Henstock, *Theory of integration*, Butterworths, 1963.
3. R. Henstock, Integration, variation, and differentiation in division spaces, *Proc. Royal Irish Acad.*, **78A** (1978), 69-85.
4. J. Kurzweil, On multiplication of Perron-integrable functions, *Czech. Math. J.*, **23**(98) (1973), 542-566.
5. J. Kurzweil, *Nichtabsolut konvergente Integrale*, Teubner Texte zur Mathematik, No. 26, Leipzig, 1980.
6. K. Ostaszewski, A topology for the spaces of Denjoy integrable functions, Proceedings of the Sixth Summer Real Analysis Symposium, *Real Analysis Exchange*, **9**(1) (1983-84), 79-85.
7. K. Ostaszewski, *Henstock Integration in the Plane*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc., (63)**353**, September 1986.
8. K. Ostaszewski, The space of Henstock integrable functions of two variables, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, (11)**1** (1988), 15-22.
9. B.S. Thomson, Spaces of conditionally integrable functions, *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2)**2** (1970), 358-360.