Real Analysis Exchange Vol. 19(2), 1993/94, pp. 660-662

Michael Schramm, Department of Mathematics, LeMoyne College, Syracuse, New York 13214, e-mail: schramm@maple.lemoyne.edu

## ON HBV AND THE GARSIA–SAWYER CLASS

We consider continuous functions with domain [a, b] and range [c, d]. Such a function f is said to be in the Garsia-Sawyer Class (GS) if  $\int_{c}^{d} \log^{+}(n_{f}(y)) dy$  is finite, where  $n_{f}(y)$  is the Banach indicatrix of f. Garsia and Sawyer [2] showed that functions in GS have uniformly convergent Fourier series. Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}$  be a sequence of convex functions with the following properties:

- i)  $\varphi_n: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots;$
- ii)  $\varphi_n(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi_n(x) > 0$  for x > 0, n = 1, 2, ...;
- iii)  $\varphi_{n+1}(x) \leq \varphi_n(x)$  for  $x \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \ldots$ ;
- iv)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x) = \infty$  for x > 0.

We have said [3] that f is of  $\Phi$ -Bounded Variation ( $\Phi$ BV) if there is a positive constant c so that  $\sum \varphi_n (c | f(b_n) - f(a_n) |)$  is finite for any collection  $\{[a_n, b_n]\}$  of non-overlapping subintervals of [a, b] (and this is equivalent to requiring such sums to be uniformly bounded). By making appropriate choices of the functions  $\varphi_n$ , we may obtain many of the spaces of generalized bounded variation that have been studied. In particular, if  $\varphi_n(x) = x/n$ , we have the functions of Harmonic Bounded Variation (HBV), introduced by Waterman [4] (in this case we may take c = 1 above). Waterman showed that continuous functions in HBV have uniformly convergent Fourier series, and moreover [5] that  $GS \subseteq HBV$ . HBV is pivotal in this context, since if  $\Phi BV$  properly contains HBV, there is a continuous function in  $\Phi BV$  whose Fourier series diverges at a point. But GS is not closed under addition, so GS is not the same as HBV (an illustration of this fact may be found in [1]). The full story of the relationship between GS and HBV is not yet known. Here we establish a result relating to the way GS is distributed through HBV.

Key Words: Garsia-Sawyer, bounded variation, harmonic bounded variation Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary 26A45 Received by the editors February 16,1994

**Theorem 1** If  $\Phi BV$  is properly contained in HBV, there are

- i) a function in GS that is not in  $\Phi BV$ ;
- ii) a function in HBV  $\Phi$ BV that is not in GS.

PROOF. i) If  $\Phi BV$  is properly contained in HBV, there is a sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  so that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(c\alpha_n) = \infty$  for any c > 0 while  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n/n < \infty$ . We may assume that  $\alpha_{2n-1} = \alpha_{2n}$  and  $\alpha_{2n+1} < \alpha_{2n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Let  $\beta_n = \alpha_{2n-1} = \alpha_{2n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Let f be the piecewise linear function that passes through the points  $(0,0), (1,0), (1/2^n, 0)$ , and  $(3/2^{n+1}, \beta_n)$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , and has no corners other than at these points. Then  $f \in \text{HBV}$  on [0, 1], as  $\sum \alpha_n/n < \infty$ , and we obtain the supremum of the sums  $\sum |f(b_n) - f(a_n)| / n$  by choosing intervals  $\{[a_n, b_n]\}$  in such a way that  $|f(b_n) - f(a_n)| = \alpha_n$ . But  $f \notin \Phi BV$ , since the same choice of  $\{[a_n, b_n]\}$  yields, for any c > 0, the sum  $\sum \varphi_n(c\alpha_n) = \infty$ .

We now show that  $f \in GS$ . The range of f is  $[0, \beta_1]$ , and  $n_f(y)$  is a step function whose value changes only when  $y = \beta_2, \beta_3, \ldots$ . If  $\beta_{n+1} < y < \beta_n$ , we have  $n_f(y) = 2n$  (we need not be concerned with the values of  $n_f$  on the (countable) set  $0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots$ ). Thus

$$\int_0^{\beta_1} \log^+(n_f(y)) \, dy = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\beta_k}^{\beta_1} \log^+(n_f(y)) \, dy = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k \log(2n)(\beta_n - \beta_{n+1}).$$

Applying summation by parts to the last expression, we obtain:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} \log(2n)(\beta_n - \beta_{n+1})$$
  
=  $\beta_1 \log(2) - \beta_{k+1} \log(2(k+1)) + \sum_{n=2}^{k+1} \beta_n (\log(2n) - \log(2(n-1)))$ 

Now  $\beta_k \log(k) < \beta_k \sum_{n=1}^k 1/n \le \sum_{n=1}^k \beta_n/n$ , which sums are uniformly bounded since  $f \in \text{HBV}$  (these sums are obtained with the proper choice of intervals in the definition of HBV). Thus  $\beta_{k+1} \log(2(k+1))$  is bounded. By the Mean Value Theorem, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{k+1} \beta_n (\log(2n) - \log(2(n-1))) = \sum_{n=2}^{k+1} \beta_n / \xi_n$$

where  $2(n-1) < \xi_n < 2n$ . But then  $\sum_{n=2}^{k+1} \beta_n / \xi_n < \sum_{n=2}^{k+1} \beta_n / (2(n-1))$ , which sums are also uniformly bounded. It follows that  $f \in \text{GS}$ .

ii) Let g be any function in HBV\GS (for instance, the one constructed in [1]). Clearly, g cannot be monotone, so there exist  $a^* \neq b^* \in [a, b]$  with  $g(a^*) = g(b^*)$ . We construct a function  $g^*$  as follows. Let  $g^*(x) = g(x)$  for  $x \in [a, a^*] \cup [b^*, b]$ . On the interval  $[a^*, (a^* + b^*)/2]$ , the values of  $g^*$  will be those of g on all of  $[a^*, b^*]$ , and on  $[(a^* + b^*)/2, b^*]$ , we let  $g^*(x) = g(a^*)$ . Then  $g^* \in \text{HBV}$ , and since  $n_g(y) = n_{g^*}(y)$  for all y except possibly  $y = g(a^*)$ , we have  $g^* \notin \text{GS}$ . Furthermore, as long as we maintain continuity, we may alter the values of  $g^*$  on  $[(a^* + b^*)/2, b^*]$  in any manner at all without negating the latter fact.

Now we will do just that. With f as in the first half of the proof, let

$$f^*(x) = \begin{cases} f\left(\frac{2}{b^* - a^*}\left(x - \frac{a^* + b^*}{2}\right)\right) & \frac{a^* + b^*}{2} < x < b^*\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f^* \in \text{HBV} \setminus \Phi BV$ . Since  $f^*, g^* \in \text{HBV}$ , we have  $f^* + g^* \in \text{HBV}$ . Since  $f \notin \Phi BV$ , we have  $f^* + g^* \notin \Phi BV$  (the sums that demonstrate that  $f \notin \Phi BV$  are obtainable from  $f^* + g^*$  with the appropriate choice of intervals). Finally, it follows from the comment at the end of the previous paragraph that  $f^* + g^* \notin GS$ .

## References

- R. Fleissner and J. Foran, A note on the Garsia-Sawyer class, Real Analysis Exch. 6 (1980-81), 245-246
- [2] A. M. Garsia and S. Sawyer, On some classes of continuous functions with convergent Fourier series, J. of Math. and Mech. 13 (1964) 589-601
- [3] M. Schramm, Functions of  $\Phi$ -bounded variation and Riemann-Stieltjes integration, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 287 (1985) 49-63
- [4] D. Waterman, Bounded variation and Fourier series, Real Analysis Exch.,3 (1977-78) 61-86.
- [5] D. Waterman, On convergence of Fourier series of functions of generalized bounded variation, Studia Math., 44 (1972) 107-117