Real Analysis Exchange Vol. 19(2), 1993/94, pp. 656-659

L. Olsen, Department of Mathematics, University of North Texas, Denton, Texas, 76203, IC72@vaxb.acs.unt.edu

A POTENTIAL THEORETIC PROOF OF AN INEQUALITY OF C. D. CUTLER AND L. OLSEN

Abstract

We present a potential theoretic proof of the following inequality of C. D. Cutler and L. Olsen [C. D. Cutler & L. Olsen, A Variational Principle for the Hausdorff Dimension of Fractal Sets, Math. Scand. (to appear)]: If E is a Borel subset of \mathbb{R}^d , then

$$\dim E \leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(E)} \underline{R}(\mu)$$

where dim E denotes the Hausdorff dimension of E, $\mathcal{P}(E)$ denotes the family of Borel probability measures supported by E, and $\underline{R}(\mu)$ denotes the lower Rényi dimension of the measure μ .

1. Definitions and Statement of Result.

Let X be a separable metric space, $E \subseteq X$ and $s \ge 0$. Then the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^{s}(E)$ of E is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^s(E) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \inf \{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{diam} \, E_i)^s \mid E \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \,\,, \,\, \operatorname{diam} \, E_i < \delta \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N} \} \,.$$

The Hausdorff dimension dim E of E is defined by

$$\dim E = \inf\{s \ge 0 \mid \mathcal{H}^s(E) < \infty\} = \sup\{s \ge 0 \mid \mathcal{H}^s(E) > 0\}.$$

^{*}This research was supported by grant 11-9421-2 PD from the Danish Natural Science Research Council.

Key Words: Rényi dimension, fractal, Hausdorff dimension, packing dimension Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 28A80 Received by the editors February 16, 1994

The s-dimensional packing measure $\mathcal{P}^{s}(E)$ of E is defined in two stages. For $x \in X$ and r > 0, D(x,r) denotes the closed ball in X with center x and radius r. Now put

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{s}(E) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup \{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\text{diam } D(x_{i}, r_{i}))^{s} \mid (D(x_{i}, r_{i}))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a pairwise disjoint family, $x_{i} \in E$, $r_{i} < \delta \}$

Then $\mathcal{P}^s(E) = \inf_{E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{P}}^s(E_i)$. The packing dimension Dim E of E is defined by

Dim
$$E = \inf\{s \ge 0 \mid \mathcal{P}^{s}(E) < \infty\} = \sup\{s \ge 0 \mid \mathcal{P}^{s}(E) > 0\}$$

It is well-known that dim $E \leq \text{Dim } E$ for all $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

We will now define the Rényi dimension. Let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denote the family of Borel probability measures on X. Fix $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and write

$$\begin{split} h_r(\mu) &= \inf \{ -\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(E_i) \log \mu(E_i) \mid (E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &\text{ is a Borel partition of } X, \text{ diam } E_i < r \} \end{split}$$

for r > 0. The upper and lower Rényi dimensions of μ are then defined by $\overline{R}(\mu) = \limsup_{r \searrow 0} -\frac{h_r(\mu)}{\log r}$ and $\underline{R}(\mu) = \liminf_{r \searrow 0} -\frac{h_r(\mu)}{\log r}$ respectively, cf.[0]. Cutler & Olsen [0] proved the following two inequalities.

Theorem 1 ([0, Proposition 5]) Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Then the following assertions hold:

- i) If E is a Borel set, then dim $E \leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(E)} \underline{R}(\mu)$.
- ii) If E is a bounded Borel set, then $\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(E)} \overline{R}(\mu) \leq Dim E$.

The proof of Theorem 1, part i), in [0] is based on a characterization (due to Tricot [0, Theorem 1, p. 62]) of dim E in terms of "local dimensions" of measures supported by E. In this note we present a potential theoretic proof of the inequality in Theorem 1, part i).

2. Proof.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and r > 0, B(x, r) denotes the Euclidean ball in \mathbb{R}^d with center x and radius r. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t \ge 0$. We define the *t*-potential $\Phi_t(\mu; x)$

of μ at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by $\Phi_t(\mu; x) = \int \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^t} d\mu(y)$ where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^d . Next we define the *t*-energy $I_t(\mu)$ of μ by $I_t(\mu) =$ $\int \Phi_t(\mu; x) d\mu(x)$. The reader is referred to [0] for a discussion of the t-potential and the *t*-energy.

We will need the following two results in order to prove Theorem 1, part i).

Theorem 2 (Frostman) Let E be a Souslin subset of \mathbb{R}^d . If $0 \le t < \dim E$, then there exists a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with support in E such that $I_t(\mu) < \infty$.

Proof. See [0, Corollary 6.6].

Lemma 1 Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, E be a Borel subset of \mathbb{R}^d and $A, t \geq 0$. If

$$\log \mu(B(x,r)) \le A + t \log r$$

for all $x \in E$ and r > 0, then $\underline{R}(\mu) \ge \mu(E)t$

Remark. Lemma 1 represents a slight generalization of [0, Lemma 2], and the proof is similar to the proof of [0, Lemma 2]. However, we include the proof here for sake of completeness.

Proof. Choose $r_0 > 0$ such that $t \log r + A < 0$ for $0 < r < r_0$. Let $0 < r < r_0$ $\min(r_0, 1)$ and $(E_i)_i$ be a partition of \mathbb{R}^d with diam $E_i < r$ for all *i*. Write $I = \{i \mid E_i \cap E \neq \emptyset\}$. For $i \in I$ choose a point $x_i \in E_i \cap E$ and observe that $E_i \subseteq B(x_i, r)$. Hence

(1)
$$\log \mu(E_i) \le \log \mu(B(x_i, r)) \le t \log r + A \text{ for } i \in I.$$

It follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{i} \mu(E_i) \log \mu(E_i) &\geq -\sum_{i \in I} \mu(E_i) \log \mu(E_i) \geq -\sum_{i \in I} \mu(E_i)(t \log r + A) \\ &= -\mu(\cup_{i \in I} E_i)(t \log r + A) \geq -\mu(E)(t \log r + A) \end{aligned}$$

Since the partition $(E_i)_i$ was arbitrary this inequality implies that

$$h_r(\mu) \ge -\mu(E)(t\log r + A)$$
 for $0 < r < \min(r_0, 1)$.

Hence $\underline{R}(\mu) = \liminf_{r \searrow 0} -\frac{h_r(\mu)}{\log r} \ge t\mu(E)$. We are now ready to give a potential theoretic proof of Theorem 1, part i).

Potential theoretic proof of Theorem 1, part i). We may clearly assume that dim E > 0. Now fix $0 \le t < \dim E$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. It follows from Theorem 2 that there exists a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ satisfying $\int \Phi_t(\mu; x) d\mu(x) = I_t(\mu) < \infty$. Hence

(2)
$$\int \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^t} d\mu(y) = \Phi_t(\mu; x) < \infty \quad \text{for } \mu - \text{a.a. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ write $E_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \int \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^t} d\mu(y) \leq n\}$. Clearly $E_n \nearrow \cup_m E_m$, and $\mu(\cup_m E_m) = 1$ by (2). We can thus choose an integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\mu(E_N) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. Next observe that all $x \in E_N$ and r > 0 satisfy,

$$N \geq \int \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{t}} d\mu(y) \geq \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{t}} d\mu(y)$$

$$\geq \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{1}{r^{t}} d\mu(y) = \mu(B(x,r))r^{-t}.$$

Hence $t \log r + \log N \ge \log \mu(B(x,r))$ for $x \in E_N$ and r > 0. An application of Lemma 1 now yields

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}(E)} \underline{R}(\nu) \ge \underline{R}(\mu) \ge \mu(E_N)t \ge (1-\varepsilon)t$$

which completes the proof since $t < \dim E$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ were arbitrary. \Box

References

- C. D. Cutler & L. Olsen, A Variational Principle for the Hausdorff Dimension of Fractal Sets Math. Scand., (to appear).
- [2] K. J. Falconer, The Geometry of Fractal Sets, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No 85, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York/London, 1985.
- [3] C. Tricot, Two definitions of fractional dimension, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 91 (1982), 57-74.
- [4] L.-S. Young, Dimension, entropy and Lyapunov Exponents, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., 2 (1982),109-124.