

Chris Bernhardt , Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Fairfield University, Fairfield, CT, 06439, cbernhardt@fair1.fairfield.edu

Ethan Coven, Department of Mathematics, Wesleyan University, Middletown,  
CT, 06459, ecoven@eagle.wesleyan.edu

## ON THE EXISTENCE OF FUNDAMENTAL REPRESENTATIVES OF CYCLIC PERMUTATIONS IN MAPS OF AN INTERVAL

### Abstract

If a cyclic permutation which is not a double has a representative in a piecewise weakly monotone map of an interval, then it has a fundamental representative in that map.

Let  $\pi$  be a cyclic permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $f$  be a continuous map of a compact interval to itself, a *map of an interval* for short. A *representative*  $\pi$  in  $f$  is a set  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  such that if  $P$  is labeled so that  $p_1 < \dots < p_n$ , then each  $f(p_i) = p_{\pi(i)}$ . S. Baldwin's forcing relation [0] on cyclic permutations (an extension of Sharkovskii's Theorem) is defined in terms of representatives.  $\pi$  *forces*  $\theta$  if every map of an interval which has a representative of  $\pi$  also has a representative of  $\theta$ . ( $\pi$  and  $\theta$  may be permutations of different numbers of elements.)

In [0] the authors developed an efficient (polynomial-time) algorithm for deciding the forcing relation. An important ingredient of that algorithm is the notion of *fundamental representative*, defined below. We showed that to decide whether  $\pi$  forces  $\theta$ , it is sufficient to consider only maps of an interval known as truncated horseshoe maps, and that any cyclic permutation which has a representative in a truncated horseshoe map also has a fundamental representative in it. This leads to the question: for which maps  $f$  of an interval

---

Key Words: interval maps, Markov graph, forcing relation

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 58F03; Secondary: 58F20, 58F08

Received by the editors September 7, 1993

and which cyclic permutations  $\pi$  is it true that if  $\pi$  has a representative in  $f$ , then it also has a fundamental representative in  $f$ ?

Informally, a representative  $P$  of  $\pi$  in  $f$  is fundamental if the monotonicity of  $f$  on certain intervals containing points of  $P$  is the same as the monotonicity of  $L_\pi$  on the corresponding intervals containing points of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $L_\pi : [1, n] \rightarrow [1, n]$  is obtained by “connecting the dots” of  $\{(i, \pi(i)) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  with straight lines. In order to make the definition of fundamental precise, we introduce some additional concepts and terminology.

Let  $g : I \rightarrow I$  be a map of an interval and let  $J_1, \dots, J_m$  be nondegenerate, compact subintervals of  $I$  such that each  $\max J_k \leq \min J_{k+1}$ . The *Markov graph* of  $g$  with respect to  $(J_1, \dots, J_m)$  is the directed graph with vertices  $J_1, \dots, J_m$  and an edge  $J_k \rightarrow J_\ell$  if and only if  $g(J_k) \supseteq J_\ell$ . The Markov graph of  $\pi$  is the Markov graph of  $L_\pi$  with respect to  $([1, 2], \dots, [n-1, n])$ . (It may be defined in terms of  $\pi$  alone.)

For  $\delta > 0$  small enough and for  $k = 0, \dots, n$ , there is a unique integer  $\lambda_k$  such that  $L_\pi^k[1, 1 + \delta] \subseteq [\lambda_k, \lambda_k + 1]$ . The loop

$$[\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + 1] \rightarrow [\lambda_1, \lambda_1 + 1] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow [\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_{n-1} + 1] \rightarrow [\lambda_n, \lambda_n + 1]$$

in the Markov graph of  $\pi$  is called the *fundamental loop* of  $\pi$ . (Since  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_n = 1$ , it really is a loop, not just a path.)

A representative  $P$  of  $\pi$  in  $f$  is *fundamental* if for  $\delta > 0$  small enough and for  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ ,  $f$  is *weakly monotone*, i.e., nondecreasing or nonincreasing, but not constant on  $f^k[\min P, \min P + \delta]$ , nondecreasing or nonincreasing according to whether  $L_\pi$  is increasing or decreasing on  $[\lambda_k, \lambda_k + 1]$ .

Finally,  $\pi$  is a *double* if  $n$  is even and for  $k = 1, \dots, n/2$ ,  $\pi(2k-1)$  and  $\pi(2k)$  are consecutive integers. (In this case,  $\pi$  is a “double” of the permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n/2\}$  defined by  $i \mapsto j$  if  $\{\pi(2i-1), \pi(2i)\} = \{2j-1, 2j\}$ .)

**Theorem 1** *Suppose that  $f$  is a piecewise weakly monotone map of an interval and that  $\pi$  is not a double. If  $\pi$  has a representative in  $f$ , then it has a fundamental representative in  $f$ .*

PROOF. We may assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  with  $p_1 < \dots < p_n$  be a representative of  $\pi$  in  $f$ . Let  $g : [p_1, p_n] \rightarrow [p_1, p_n]$  be a continuous piecewise weakly monotone map such that every fundamental representative of  $\pi$  in  $g$  also is a fundamental representative of  $\pi$  in  $f$ . To form  $g$ , first we “truncate”  $f$  to form  $f_P : [p_1, p_n] \rightarrow [p_1, p_n]$ . On  $[p_k, p_{k+1}]$ , let

$$f_P(x) = \begin{cases} \min(f(p_k), f(p_{k+1})) & f(x) < \min(f(p_k), f(p_{k+1})) \\ \max(f(p_k), f(p_{k+1})) & f(x) > \max(f(p_k), f(p_{k+1})) \\ f(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then let  $g : [p_1, p_n] \rightarrow [p_1, p_n]$  be obtained from  $f_P$  by “pouring water”  $[0]$  into the graph of  $f_P$  on the intervals  $[p_1, p_2], \dots, [p_{n-1}, p_n]$ . On  $[p_k, p_{k+1}]$ , let

$$g(x) = \min\left(\max_{p_k \leq y \leq x} f_P(y), \max_{x \leq y \leq p_{k+1}} f_P(y)\right).$$

Then  $g = f$  on  $P$ , so  $P$  is a representative of  $\pi$  in  $g$ . Furthermore,  $g$  is weakly monotone but not constant on each  $[p_k, p_{k+1}]$ , nondecreasing or nonincreasing according to whether  $L_\pi$  is increasing or decreasing on  $[k, k+1]$ . Hence a representative of  $\pi$  which is fundamental in  $g$  is also fundamental in  $f$ . So we show that  $\pi$  has a fundamental representative in  $g$ .

The map  $[k, k+1] \mapsto [p_k, p_{k+1}]$  is an isomorphism of the Markov graph of  $\pi$  onto the Markov graph of  $g$  with respect to  $([p_1, p_2], \dots, [p_{n-1}, p_n])$ . Therefore, corresponding to the fundamental loop of  $\pi$ , there is a loop

$$[p_{\lambda_0}, p_{\lambda_0+1}] \rightarrow [p_{\lambda_1}, p_{\lambda_1+1}] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow [p_{\lambda_{n-1}}, p_{\lambda_{n-1}+1}] \rightarrow [p_{\lambda_0}, p_{\lambda_0+1}]$$

in the Markov graph of  $g$  with respect to  $([p_1, p_2], \dots, [p_{n-1}, p_n])$ .

Then  $J = \bigcap_{k=0}^n g^{-k}[p_{\lambda_k}, p_{\lambda_k+1}]$  is an interval and  $g^n$  is weakly monotone but not constant on  $J$ . Since  $g^n(J) \supseteq J$ ,  $g^n(z) = z$  for some  $z \in J$ . The orbit  $\{z, \dots, g^{n-1}(z)\}$  of any such  $z$  is a representative of  $\pi$  in  $g$ . Since the monotonicity of  $g$  on  $[p_k, p_{k+1}]$  is the same of that of  $L_\pi$  on  $[k, k+1]$ , such an orbit is fundamental if for  $\delta > 0$  small enough and  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ ,  $g$  is weakly monotone but not constant on  $g^k[z, z + \delta]$ . Now  $L_\pi^n(1) = 1 \in \bigcap_{k=0}^n L_\pi^{-k}[\lambda_k, \lambda_k + 1]$ , so  $L_\pi^n$  is increasing on this interval. Therefore  $g^n$  is nondecreasing on  $J$ .

Let  $z$  be the largest fixed point of  $g^n$  in  $J$  such that  $g^n(x) \leq x$  for all  $x \leq z$ . If  $z = p_2$ , then  $g^n[p_1, p_2] = [p_1, p_2]$ , hence for  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_{k+1}$  is the only integer such that  $g[p_{\lambda_k}, p_{\lambda_k+1}] \supseteq [p_\lambda, p_{\lambda+1}]$ . Then the (unique) edge which originates at  $[p_{\lambda_k}, p_{\lambda_k+1}]$  terminates at  $[p_{\lambda_{k+1}}, p_{\lambda_{k+1}+1}]$ , and so the (unique) edge which originates at  $[\lambda_k, \lambda_k + 1]$  terminates at  $[\lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+1} + 1]$ . The fundamental loop of  $\pi$  has length  $n$ , and because  $\pi$  is not a double, the fundamental loop of  $\pi$  is *simple*, i.e., does not consist of multiple repetitions of a shorter loop  $[0]$ , Lemma 3.6. But there are only  $n-1$  vertices in the Markov graph of  $\pi$ , so  $z \neq p_2$ . Therefore  $z < p_2$ , and for  $\delta > 0$  small enough,  $g^n$  is nondecreasing but not constant on  $[z, z + \delta]$ . Hence the orbit of  $z$  is a fundamental representative of  $\pi$  in  $f$ .  $\square$

If  $f$  is not piecewise weakly monotone, the concept of a representative of  $\pi$  being fundamental in  $f$  need not make sense. If  $\pi$  is a double, the proof breaks down. For then the fundamental loop of  $\pi$  is the repetition of shorter loops, and we conclude not that  $g$  has a representative of  $\pi$ , but rather that it has a representative of a cyclic permutation of fewer than  $n$  points. In fact, the result need not be true when  $\pi$  is a double. Let  $\pi = (1324)$  – a double of

(12) – and let  $f : [1, 4] \rightarrow [1, 4]$  be the map obtained by “connecting the dots” of

$$\{(1, 3), (2, 4), (3 - \delta, 2 - \delta), (3 + \delta, 2 + \delta), (4, 1)\}$$

with straight lines, where  $\delta > 0$  is small. Then  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  is the unique representative of  $\pi$  in  $f$ . But it is not fundamental. (That (12) is itself a double is irrelevant.)

## References

- [1] Ll. Alsedà, J. Llibre, and M. Misiurewicz, *Combinatorial dynamics and entropy in dimension one*, World Scientific, River Edge NJ, 1993
- [2] S. Baldwin, *Generalizations of a theorem of Sarkovskii on orbits of continuous real-valued functions*, Discrete Math, **57**, (1987), 111–127
- [3] C. Bernhardt, *The ordering of permutations induced by continuous maps of the real line*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems, **7**, (1987), 155–160
- [4] C. Bernhardt and E. M. Coven, *A polynomial-time algorithm for deciding the forcing relation on cyclic permutations*, Contemp. Math, **135**, (1992), 85–93