

Aleksander Maliszewski*, Mathematics Department, Pedagogical University,
ul. Chodkiewicza 30, 85–064 Bydgoszcz, Poland
e-mail: wspb05@pltumk11.bitnet

THE LATTICE GENERATED BY DERIVATIVES

Abstract

In [4] Z. Grande asked, “What is the smallest lattice of functions containing all derivatives?” In this paper I prove that the answer is the family of all Baire one functions and that this family is the smallest lattice of functions containing all non-degenerate derivatives. Moreover it is proved that the lattice generated by bounded (non-degenerate) derivatives is the family of all bounded Baire one functions.

First we need some notation. The real line $(-\infty, \infty)$ is denoted by \mathbb{R} and the set of positive integers by \mathbb{N} . Throughout this article m is a fixed positive integer. The word *function* means mapping from \mathbb{R}^m into \mathbb{R} unless otherwise explicitly stated. The words *measure*, *summable* etc. refer to Lebesgue measure and integral in \mathbb{R}^m . We denote by $a \vee b$ ($a \wedge b$) the larger (the smaller) of the real numbers a and b . The Euclidean metric in \mathbb{R}^m will be denoted by ρ . For every set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, let $\text{diam } A$ be its diameter (i.e., $\text{diam } A = \sup \{\rho(x, y) : x, y \in A\}$), χ_A its characteristic function and $|A|$ its outer Lebesgue measure. The symbol $\int_A f$ will always mean the Lebesgue integral. We say that f is a *Baire one function* if it is a pointwise limit of some sequence of continuous functions. For any function f we write $\|f\|$ for $\sup \{|f(t)| : t \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$.

The word *interval* (resp. *cube*) will always mean a non-degenerate compact interval (resp. cube) in \mathbb{R}^m , i.e., the Cartesian product of m non-degenerate compact intervals (resp. compact intervals of equal length) in \mathbb{R} . By an *interval function* we mean a mapping from the family of all intervals into \mathbb{R} .

We say that the intervals I, J are *contiguous* if they do not overlap (i.e., $I \cap J$ is not a non-degenerate interval) and $I \cup J$ is an interval. We say that

*Supported by a KBN Research Grant 2 1144 91 01, 1992–94

Key Words: Baire one function, derivative of an interval function, lattice of functions
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary 26A24. Secondary 26B05, 28A15.
Received by the editors March 11, 1993

an interval function F is *additive* if $F(I \cup J) = F(I) + F(J)$ whenever I and J are contiguous intervals.

We say that a sequence of intervals $\{I_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is *o-convergent* to a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ if

1. $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$,
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } I_n = 0$,
3. $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\text{diam } I_n)^m}{|I_n|} < \infty$.

We will write $I_n \overset{o}{\rightarrow} x$. (Cf., e.g., [4].)

Let F be an arbitrary interval function and $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We define

$$o\text{-}\limsup_{I \rightarrow x} F(I) = \sup \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(I_n) : I_n \overset{o}{\rightarrow} x \right\}.$$

Similarly we define

$$o\text{-}\liminf_{I \rightarrow x} F(I) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(I_n) : I_n \overset{o}{\rightarrow} x \right\}.$$

If the two limits above coincide, we denote their value by $o\text{-}\lim_{I \rightarrow x} F(I)$.

We say that the function f is an *o-derivative* if there exists an additive interval function F (called the *primitive* of f) such that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$o\text{-}\lim_{I \rightarrow x} \frac{F(I)}{|I|} = f(x).$$

Recall that *o-derivatives* are Baire one functions. (Cf. [4, Lemma 2.1, p. 151] and [4, Lemma 3.1].)

We say that $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an *o-Lebesgue point* of the function, f , if f is locally summable at x and $o\text{-}\lim_{I \rightarrow x} \frac{\int_I |f - f(x)|}{|I|} = 0$. We say that f is an *o-Lebesgue function* if each $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an *o-Lebesgue point* of f .

We say that $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an *o-dispersion point* of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ if

$$o\text{-}\lim_{I \rightarrow x} \frac{|A \cap I|}{|I|} = 0.$$

We say that A is *d_o-open* if each $x \in A$ is an *o-dispersion point* of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus A$. The family of all *d_o-open* sets forms a topology on \mathbb{R}^m ; the so-called *o-density topology* (cf. [4]). The terms "*d_o-closed*", "*d_o-interior*" (*d_o-int*) etc. will refer to this topology. We say that the function f is *o-approximately continuous* if it is continuous with respect to this topology. Recall that:

- for every measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, $|A \setminus d_o\text{-int } A| = 0$,
- each o -Lebesgue function is both an o -approximately continuous function and an o -derivative,
- each bounded o -approximately continuous function is an o -Lebesgue function.

We say that the function f is o -non-degenerate at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ if x is an o -dispersion point of the pre-image of the set $(f(x) - \varepsilon, f(x) + \varepsilon)$ by f for no $\varepsilon > 0$. We say that f is o -non-degenerate if it is o -non-degenerate at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

We will need a few lemmas. The first one is the well-known Lusin-Menchoff property of the o -density topology (cf. [4]).

Lemma 1 *Given a measurable set B and a closed set $A \subset d_o\text{-int } B$, we can find an o -approximately continuous function g such that $0 \leq g \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^m , $g = 1$ on A and $g = 0$ off of B .*

The next three lemmas are proved in [4].

Lemma 2 *Assume that a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, a sequence of o -approximately continuous functions $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $c \in (0, 1]$ satisfy the following conditions:*

- i) $h_n(x) = 0$ if $x \notin H_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- ii) $|\{x \in H_n : h_n(x) = 0\}| \geq c \cdot |H_n|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- iii) for every $x \notin \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ and every $\tau > 0$ there exists a cube $I \ni x$ such that $\text{diam } I < \tau$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ either $|H_n \cap I| = 0$ or $H_n \subset I$,
- iv) for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $x \in H_j$ there is a $p > j$ such that for each $n > p$, $\text{diam } H_n < [\varrho(x, H_n)]^2$.

Set $h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n$. Then h is o -non-degenerate.
[4, Lemma 4]

Lemma 3 *The sum of an o -approximately continuous function with an o -non-degenerate function is o -non-degenerate.*
[4, Lemma 5]

Lemma 4 *Whenever u is a Baire one function there exist a Baire one function v , a sequence of pairwise disjoint, compact sets $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and a sequence $\{c_n\}$ of non-negative real numbers such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- i) $u - v$ is an o -Lebesgue function,
 - ii) v is o -approximately continuous at all points of $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$,
 - iii) $v(x) = 0$ if $x \in H_n \setminus d_o\text{-int } H_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
 - iv) $|v| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \cdot \chi_{H_n}$,
 - v) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \cdot \chi_{H_n}$ is a Baire one function,
 - vi) v is bounded provided that u is bounded,
 - vii) for every $x \notin \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ and every $\tau > 0$ there exists a cube $I \ni x$ such that $\text{diam } I < \tau$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ either $H_n \cap I = \emptyset$ or $H_n \subset I$,
 - viii) for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $x \in H_j$ there is a $p > j$ such that for each $n > p$, $\text{diam } H_n < [\varrho(x, H_n)]^2$.
- [4, Lemma 10]

Finally we will use a part of Proposition 3 of [4].

Lemma 5 Let $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^m and let $\{K_n\}$ be a sequence of non-negative numbers such that the function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \cdot \chi_{H_n}$ is a Baire one function. Then there is a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ of positive numbers satisfying the following condition:

(•) for every sequence of functions $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

- i) f_n is an o -derivative,
- ii) $f_n(x) = 0$ if $x \notin H_n$,
- iii) $\|f_n\| \leq K_n$,
- iv) $|\int_I f_n| \leq \varepsilon_n$ for every interval I ,

then the function $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ is an o -derivative.

The main tool will be the following lemma.

Lemma 6 Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be non-empty, bounded and measurable. Suppose v is a function such that $v \cdot \chi_A$ is o -approximately continuous and $\|v \cdot \chi_A\| = c < \infty$, and suppose $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist o -approximately continuous functions f_1, f_2, f_3 and f_4 such that

$$(*) \quad v \cdot \chi_A = (f_1 \wedge f_2) \vee (f_3 \wedge f_4)$$

and for $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

- i) $f_j(x) = 0$ if $x \notin A$,
- ii) $\|f_j\| \leq 12c$,
- iii) $|\{x \in A : f_j(x) = 0\}| \geq |A|/12$,
- iv) $|\int_I f_j| \leq \varepsilon$ for every interval I .

PROOF. Write A as the union $A = \cup_{n=1}^k A_n$ of measurable, pairwise disjoint, non-empty sets of diameter less than

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{24m \cdot (1 \vee c) \cdot (1 \vee \text{diam } A)^{m-1}}.$$

For $n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ express the set A_n as the union $A_n = \cup_{j=1}^4 A_{n,j}$ of measurable, pairwise disjoint, non-empty sets of equal measure. For $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ find closed, disjoint sets $A_{n,j,1}, A_{n,j,2} \subset d_o\text{-int } A_{n,j}$ such that $|A_{n,j,l}| \geq |A_{n,j}|/3$ ($l \in \{1, 2\}$). Use Lemma 1 to find an σ -approximately continuous function $\psi_{n,j}$ such that

- $\psi_{n,j}(x) = 1$ if $x \in A_{n,j,2}$,
- $\psi_{n,j}(x) = 0$ if $x \notin A_{n,j}$ or $x \in A_{n,j,1}$,
- $0 \leq \psi_{n,j} \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^m .

Construct also an σ -approximately continuous function φ_j such that

- $\varphi_j(x) = 0$ if $x \in \cup_{n=1}^k A_{n,j,1}$,
- $\varphi_j(x) = 1$ if $x \notin \cup_{n=1}^k A_{n,j}$,
- $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^m .

For $n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ set

$$\gamma_{n,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{\int_{A_n}(v \cdot \varphi_j)}{\int_{A_n} \psi_{n,j}} & \text{if } |A_n| > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define $f_j = v \cdot \chi_A \cdot \varphi_j - \sum_{n=1}^k \gamma_{n,j} \cdot \psi_{n,j}$. Then clearly f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 are approximately continuous and condition i) holds. Observe that for each $x \in A$, $f_j(x) \neq v(x)$ for at most one $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. So (*) is satisfied.

For $n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ if $|A_n| > 0$, then $|\gamma_{n,j}| \leq \frac{c \cdot |A_n \setminus A_{n,j,1}|}{|A_{n,j,2}|} \leq 11c$. Thus condition ii) is fulfilled. Next observe that for $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ we have that

$\{x \in A : f_j(x) = 0\} \supset \cup_{n=1}^k A_{n,j,1}$ and $|A_{n,j,1}| \geq |A_n|/12$ for $n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Hence iii) holds. Let I be an arbitrary interval. Let B denote the union of those A_n for which $A_n \setminus I \neq \emptyset$. Observe that

$$|B \cap I| \leq 2m \cdot \max \{ \text{diam } A_n : n \in \{1, \dots, k\} \} \cdot (\text{diam } A)^{m-1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{12 \cdot (1 \vee c)}.$$

So for $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$\left| \int_I f_j \right| = \left| \int_{A \cap I} f_j \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^k \left| \int_{A_n} f_j \right| + \left| \int_{\cup_{A_n \setminus I \neq \emptyset} A_n \cap I} f_j \right| \leq \int_{B \cap I} |f_j| < \varepsilon.$$

(We used that $\int_{A_n} f_j = 0$ for $n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$). This proves iv). □

Theorem 7 *For each Baire one function $u : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there are σ -non-degenerate σ -derivatives $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u = (f_1 \wedge f_2) \vee (f_3 \wedge f_4)$. Moreover if u is bounded, then the σ -derivatives f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 can also be chosen to be bounded.*

PROOF. Let the function v , the sequence of compact sets $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and the sequence of non-negative real numbers $\{c_n\}$ be as in Lemma 4. Apply Lemma 5 with $K_n = 12c_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and find a sequence of positive numbers $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ satisfying the conditions of this lemma. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ use Lemma 6 with $A = H_n$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$, to get σ -approximately continuous functions $f_{n,1}, f_{n,2}, f_{n,3}$ and $f_{n,4}$ fulfilling its conclusions. (Conditions ii) and iii) of Lemma 4 imply the σ -approximate continuity of $v \cdot \chi_{H_n}$.) Set $\tilde{f}_j = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_{n,j}$ ($j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$). By condition (\bullet) of Lemma 5 we get that $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2, \tilde{f}_3$ and \tilde{f}_4 are σ -derivatives.

For $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ use Lemma 2 for the family $\{f_{n,j} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ to prove that \tilde{f}_j is σ -non-degenerate. (The assumptions of this lemma follow by conditions i) and iii) of Lemma 6 and conditions vii) and viii) of Lemma 4.) Since $u - v$ is σ -approximately continuous and \tilde{f}_j is σ -non-degenerate, $f_j = (u - v) + \tilde{f}_j$ is σ -non-degenerate. (Also cf. Lemma 3). Clearly by condition $(*)$ of Lemma 6,

$$u = (u - v) + v = (u - v) + [(\tilde{f}_1 \wedge \tilde{f}_2) \vee (\tilde{f}_3 \wedge \tilde{f}_4)] = (f_1 \wedge f_2) \vee (f_3 \wedge f_4).$$

If u is bounded, we can choose the function v to be bounded. Then for each $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ the functions from the family $\{f_{n,j} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ have a common bound. So \tilde{f}_j and f_j are bounded, which completes the proof. □

References

- [1] A. M. Bruckner, *Differentiation of real functions*, Lect. Notes in Math. #659, Springer, Berlin 1978.
- [2] R. Carrese, *On the algebra generated by derivatives of interval functions*, Real Anal. Exchange **14** (1988–89), 307–320.
- [3] Z. Grande, *Some problems in differentiation theory*, Real Anal. Exchange **10** (1984–85), 334–342.
- [4] J. Lukeš, J. Malý, L. Zajíček, *Fine topology methods in real analysis and potential theory*, Lect. Notes in Math. #1189, Springer, Berlin 1986.
- [5] A. Maliszewski, *Algebra generated by non-degenerate derivatives*, Real Anal. Exchange **18** (1992–93), 599–611.
- [6] A. Maliszewski, *Integration of derivatives of additive interval functions*, submitted.