

F.S. Cater, Department of Mathematics, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon 97207.

REAL NUMBERS WITH REDUNDANT REPRESENTATIONS

Abstract

Let $(P_j)_{j=1,2,3,\dots}$ be a sequence of sets P_j of real numbers such that each P_j is countable and has more than one point. Let A denote the set of all numbers x that can be uniquely expressed $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j$ ($a_j \in P_j$). Let B denote the set of numbers y that can be expressed in at least two ways: $y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j$ ($b_j \in P_j, c_j \in P_j$) such that $b_j \neq c_j$ for at least one index j . Here we prove that if $A \cup B$ is a second category subset of R , then $A \cup B$ is a subset of the closure of B . In particular, if $A \cup B$ is a dense second category subset of R , then B is dense in R ; if B is a nowhere dense subset of R , then A is a first category subset of R . This unifies and generalizes results of M. Petkovsek [P] and of M. Starbird and T. Starbird [SS].

1. In this paper $(P_i)_{i=1,2,3,\dots}$ will be a sequence of sets of real numbers and each P_i will be a finite or denumerably infinite set containing at least two elements. Let A denote the set of real numbers x that can be uniquely expressed as $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$ ($a_i \in P_i$). Let B denote the set of all real numbers y that can be so expressed in at least two ways: to wit as $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i$ where $a_i \in P_i, b_i \in P_i$ and $a_i \neq b_i$ for some index i . Throughout this paper we assume that $A \cup B$ is a nonvoid set. At least one number can be so expressed. We will generalize and unify two propositions suggested in [P] and [SS].

Proposition 1. *Let $A \cup B = R$. Then B is a dense subset of R .*

Proposition 2. *Let B be void. Then $R \setminus A$ is an uncountable dense subset of R .*

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 40A05.

Proposition 1 is proved in [SS]. An earlier version appeared in [P] in which each P_i is assumed to be a finite set containing 0, and any series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$ ($a_i \in P_i$) is assumed to converge. Proposition 2 appeared in [P] under this same hypothesis. Proposition 2 is not addressed in [SS].

We will prove:

Theorem I. *Let $A \cup B$ be a second category subset of R . Then A is a subset of B closure.*

Thus if $A \cup B$ is a dense second category subset of R , B must be dense in R . This generalizes Proposition 1. If B is void or a nowhere dense subset of R , then A must be a first category subset of R . This generalizes Proposition 2. Thus Theorem I unifies Propositions 1 and 2 as well.

Next we consider results when each P_i is assumed to be a finite set. We will prove:

Theorem II. *Let each P_i be a finite set containing at least two elements. Then*

- (1) *$A \cup B$ is the union of countably many closed sets,*
- (2) *If $A \cup B$ is a second category subset of R , then there exist real numbers r and s such that any x in the unit interval $[0, 1]$ can be expressed $x = r + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} sa_i$ ($a_i \in P_i$) in at least one way.*

Of course part (2) is an immediate consequence of part (1).

2. We present some notation and definitions to be used.

For any integer n , let $T(n)$ denote the set of all sums of the form $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i$ ($a_i \in P_i$). Hence $T(1) = A \cup B$.

For any integer n and $\varepsilon > 0$, let $T(n, \varepsilon)$ denote the set of all numbers that can be represented in the form $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i$ ($a_i \in P_i$) such that $|\sum_{i=n+j}^{n+j+k} a_i| < \varepsilon$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $k \geq 0$. Let $|T(n, \varepsilon)|$ denote the set $\{|u| : u \in T(n, \varepsilon)\}$.

For any integer n , $\varepsilon > 0$, and $a \in P_n$, let $S(n, \varepsilon, a)$ denote the set of all sums $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i$ ($a_i \in P_i$) in $T(n, \varepsilon)$ such that $a_n = a$. Thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $T(n, \varepsilon)$ and $S(n, \varepsilon, a)$ are nonvoid for large enough n because $A \cup B$ is a nonvoid set.

Definition. We say that the system (P_i) is regular if there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup |T(n, \varepsilon)| = 0.$$

Otherwise we say that the system (P_i) is irregular.

For example, $P_i = \{0, 1/i\}$ provides an irregular system; on the other hand $P_i = \{0, 1/2^i\}$ provides a regular system.

Note that if (P_i) is irregular, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{x : x \in T(n, \varepsilon)\} > 0, \text{ or}$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{-x : x \in T(n, \varepsilon)\} > 0, \text{ or both.}$$

This is true because, if (P_i) is not regular, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{|x| : x \in T(n, \varepsilon)\} > 0.$$

The plan is to dispose of Theorems I and II quickly for irregular systems (P_i) . Then we will concentrate on the regular systems.

3. Theorems I and II can be inferred from Lemma 1 when the system (P_j) is irregular.

Lemma 1. *Let (P_j) be an irregular system and let $A \cup B$ be nonvoid. Then $A \cup B$ is an unbounded interval of one of the forms $(u, \infty), [u, \infty), (-\infty, u), (-\infty, u], (-\infty, \infty)$. Moreover the interior of $A \cup B$ is a subset of B .*

Proof. Let $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j$ ($a_j \in P_j$) be a point in $A \cup B$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup |T(n, \varepsilon)| > 0$ because (P_j) is irregular. Without loss of generality we let $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup T(n, \varepsilon) > 0$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. (The proof for $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup -T(n, \varepsilon) > 0$ will be analogous.)

Fix any number $t > x$. The plan is to prove that $t \in B$, and hence $A \cup B$ will be an interval unbounded on the right. To this end, we will construct a sequence of points $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{ij}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$) in $A \cup B$. Let $b_{0j} = a_j$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Select any positive integer n_0 and let $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}(t - x) > 0$. Let $\delta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup T(n, \varepsilon_1)$. Then $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $\delta_1 > 0$. Choose an index $n_1 > n_0$ and $\sum_{j=-n_1}^{\infty} c_j$ ($c_j \in P_j$) in $T(n_1, \varepsilon_1)$ such that

$$\sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} c_j > \frac{1}{2}\delta_1 \text{ and } \left| \sum_{j=n_1+i}^{n_1+i+k} a_j \right| < \frac{1}{4}\delta_1 \text{ for all } i \geq 0, k \geq 0.$$

Let $b_{1j} = a_j = b_{0j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n_1 - 1$, and $b_{1j} = c_j$ for $j \geq n_1$. Then $\frac{1}{2}\delta_1 < \sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} c_j \leq \varepsilon_1$, $\varepsilon_1 - \frac{1}{4}\delta_1 > 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} t &= x + 2\varepsilon_1 \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n_1-1} a_j - \frac{1}{4}\delta_1 + 2\varepsilon_1 \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n_1-1} a_j + \sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} c_j + \varepsilon_1 - \frac{1}{4}\delta_1 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{1j} + \varepsilon_1 - \frac{1}{4}\delta_1 > \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{1j} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{1j} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_1-1} a_j + \sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} c_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j - \frac{1}{4}\delta_1 + \sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} c_j \\ &> \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j + \frac{1}{4}\delta_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{0j} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_1.\end{aligned}$$

Put $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{2}(t - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{1j})$ and $\delta_2 = \frac{1}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup T(n, \varepsilon_2)$. As in the preceding argument there is a point $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{2j}$ ($b_{2j} \in P_j$) and an index $n_2 > n_1$ such that $\sum_{j=n_2}^{\infty} b_{2j}$ is in $T(n_2, \varepsilon_2)$, $b_{2j} = b_{1j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n_2 - 1$, $t > \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{2j}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{2j} > \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{1j} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_2$.

By induction on k , we construct a sequence of points $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{kj}$ ($b_{kj} \in P_j$) and indices $n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots < n_k < \dots$ such that for each $k \geq 1$

- (1) $t > \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{kj}$,
- (2) $\sum_{j=n_k}^{\infty} b_{kj}$ is in $T(n_k, \varepsilon_k)$ where $\varepsilon_k = \frac{1}{2}(t - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{k-1,j})$,
- (3) $b_{kj} = b_{k-1,j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n_k - 1$,
- (4) $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{kj} > \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{k-1,j} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_k$ where $\delta_k = \frac{1}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup T(n, \varepsilon_k)$.

Now put $d_j = b_{kj}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n_k - 1$. By (3), d_j is well defined, and indeed $d_j \in P_j$ for each $j \geq 1$. Let $x_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{kj}$. Then $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \dots < x_k < \dots < t$ by (4) and (1). We claim that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = t$.

To prove this claim, suppose to the contrary that $t' = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k$ and $t' < t$. Then $\varepsilon_k \geq \frac{1}{2}(t - t')$, for each k . Put $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(t - t')$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup T(n, \varepsilon)$. Then $\delta_{k+1} \geq \delta$ for each k because $\varepsilon_k \geq \varepsilon$. Choose k so large that $x_k > t' - \frac{1}{4}\delta$. By (4)

$$x_{k+1} > x_k + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{k+1} \geq x_k + \frac{1}{4}\delta > t',$$

which is impossible. This contradiction proves that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = t$.

But $\varepsilon_k = \frac{1}{2}(t - x_{k-1})$ by (2), so $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$. Thus $|x_k - \sum_{j=1}^{n_k-1} b_{kj}| \leq |\sum_{j=n_k}^{\infty} b_{kj}| \leq \varepsilon_k$ also by (2), and it follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k-1} b_{kj} = t$. By the definition of d_j , it follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k-1} d_j = t$. Moreover

$$|\sum_{j=n_k}^n d_j| = |\sum_{j=n_k}^n b_{kj}| \leq \varepsilon_k \text{ for } n_k \leq n < n_{k+1} \text{ by (2)}$$

and it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n d_j = t$.

Thus t is in $A \cup B$. Recall that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{1j} > \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{0j}$. Let p be an index so large that $\sum_{j=1}^p b_{1j} > \sum_{j=1}^p b_{0j}$. We repeat the construction with p in place of n_0 and $\sum_j b_{0j}$ or $\sum_j b_{1j}$ in place of $\sum_j a_j$ to express t as the sum of two series, one with a partial sum $\sum_{j=1}^p b_{0j}$ and the other with a partial sum $\sum_{j=1}^p b_{1j}$. Clearly t is in B .

Finally, let $u = \inf(A \cup B)$. The preceding arguments show that any number $t > u$ is in B . So $A \cup B$ is (u, ∞) or $[u, \infty)$, and $(u, \infty) \subset B$. \square

Our next lemma is much like [SS, Lemma 1], and regularity plays no role in it.

Lemma 2. *Let $T(1) = A \cup B$ be a second category subset of R . Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $T(n, \varepsilon)$ is a second category set for all but finitely many indices n .*

Proof. Evidently $A \cup B$ is a subset of the union

$$\bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} \bigcup_{a_j \in P_j} ((\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j) + T(n, \varepsilon)).$$

There are countably many sets in this union because each P_j is countable. Then one of these sets, say $\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_j + T(N, \varepsilon)$ is a second category set. But it is only a translate of $T(N, \varepsilon)$, so $T(N, \varepsilon)$ is a second category set.

Now $T(N, \varepsilon) \subset \bigcup_{a \in P_N} (a + T(N+1, \varepsilon))$, and there are countably many sets in this union because P_N is countable. Thus some one of the $a + T(N+1, \varepsilon)$ is a second category set. It follows that $T(N+1, \varepsilon)$ is a second category set. Likewise we prove that $T(N+2, \varepsilon), T(N+3, \varepsilon), T(N+4, \varepsilon), \dots$ are second category sets. \square

In Lemmas 3, 4 and 5 we will consider two different kinds of regular systems.

Lemma 3. *Let the system (P_j) be regular. Let there be an $\alpha > 0$ such that for any ε with $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$, the set $\{a : S(n, \varepsilon, a) \text{ is nonvoid}\}$ is a finite set for all but finitely many indices n . Then there is a $\beta > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < \beta$, the set $T(n, \varepsilon)$ is a closed set for all but finitely many indices n .*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that for any $\beta > 0$ there is an $\varepsilon > 0$, depending on β , such that $0 < \varepsilon < \beta$ and $T(n, \varepsilon)$ is a nonclosed set for infinitely many indices n . Choose β such that $0 < \beta < \alpha$. Let ε satisfy $0 < \varepsilon < \beta$, and $T(n, \varepsilon)$ is a nonclosed set for infinitely many indices n . Let N be an index such that $\{a : S(n, \varepsilon, a) \text{ is nonvoid}\}$ is a finite set for $n \geq N$. Choose $k > N$ such that $T(k, \varepsilon)$ is not a closed set. Let (x_i) be a sequence of points in $T(k, \varepsilon)$ converging to $x \notin T(k, \varepsilon)$. Say $x_i = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} b_{ij}$ ($b_{ij} \in P_j$).

Now $|b_{ij}| < \varepsilon$ for each i and j . We select a subsequence $(x_i^{(k)})$ of (x_i) where $x_i^{(k)} = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} b_{ij}^{(k)}$ and $(b_{ik}^{(k)})_i$ converges, say to d_k . We select a subsequence $(x_i^{(k+1)})$

of $(x_i^{(k)})$ so that $(b_{ik}^{(k+1)})_i$ and $(b_{i,k+1}^{(k+1)})_i$ converge, say to d_k and d_{k+1} . Again we select a subsequence $(x_i^{(k+2)})$ of $(x_i^{(k+1)})$ so that $(b_{ik}^{(k+2)})_i$, $(b_{i,k+1}^{(k+2)})_i$ and $(b_{i,k+2}^{(k+2)})_i$ converge, say to d_k, d_{k+1} and d_{k+2} . We continue in this manner to find d_j for all $j \geq k$.

Note that each b_{ij} ($j \geq k$) lies in a finite set $\{a : S(j, \varepsilon, a) \text{ is nonvoid}\}$, and this set also contains d_j . Hence $d_j \in P_j$ for all $j \geq k$. Because for fixed v , $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_{ij}^{(v)} = d_j$ ($v \geq j \geq k$), we see that $b_{ij}^{(v)} = d_j$ for large enough i ($v \geq j \geq k$).

Now $\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} d_j$ cannot sum to x , for otherwise it is easy to see that $\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} d_j = x$ must lie in $T(k, \varepsilon)$. Let $\delta > 0$ be a number such that

$$|x - \sum_{j=k}^p d_j| \geq 2\delta$$

for infinitely many indices p .

Fix an index $q > k$. We find an index $p > q$ and an $x_i^{(p)}$ such that

- (1) $b_{ij}^{(p)} = d_j$ for $k \leq j \leq p$, and
- (2) $|\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} b_{ij}^{(p)} - \sum_{j=k}^p d_j| \geq \delta$.

From (1) and (2) we obtain $|\sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} b_{ij}^{(p)}| \geq \delta$. Because q is arbitrarily large, we get

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup T(p, \varepsilon) \geq \delta > 0.$$

But $\varepsilon < \beta$ and β is arbitrarily small, so we see that (P_j) is an irregular system, contrary to hypothesis. \square

Next we find some numbers that are the sum of more than one of our series.

Lemma 4. *Let (P_j) be a regular system satisfying all the hypotheses of Lemma 3. Furthermore let $A \cup B$ be a second category set. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an index $N(\varepsilon)$ such that for any $n \geq N(\varepsilon)$, $T(n, \varepsilon)$ contains a point x that can be represented in two different ways as a sum $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a_j$ ($a_j \in P_j$).*

Proof. Take any $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemmas 2 and 3 there is a λ and an index $N(\varepsilon)$ such that $0 < \lambda < \varepsilon$ and such that $T(n, \lambda)$ is a closed second category set for all $n \geq N(\varepsilon)$. Fix $n \geq N(\varepsilon)$. Now $T(n, \lambda) \subset T(n, \varepsilon)$, so it suffices to prove that $T(n, \lambda)$ contains the desired point x .

There is a compact interval $J \subset T(n, \lambda)$ because $T(n, \lambda)$ is a closed second category set. Let $u \in J$, $v \in J$ and $u > v$. Say $u = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_j$ and $v = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} c_j$ ($b_j \in P_j, c_j \in P_j$). Select an index p so that $\sum_{j=n}^{p-1} b_j > \sum_{j=n}^{p-1} c_j$. Then $u \in \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} b_j +$

$T(p, \lambda)$ and $v \in \sum_{j=n}^{p-1} c_j + T(p, \lambda)$. Each set $\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} a_j + T(p, \lambda)$ ($a_j \in P_j$) is a closed set and

$$J \subset T(n, \lambda) \subset \bigcup_{a_j \in P_j} \left(\left(\sum_{j=n}^{p-1} a_j \right) + T(p, \lambda) \right).$$

Moreover, there are only countably many of the closed sets in the union, and two different ones contain u and v respectively. By [E] there is an $x \in J$ that lies in two distinct such sets, and has two distinct series representations, $x = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a_j$ ($a_j \in P_j$). Finally, $x \in T(n, \lambda) \subset T(n, \varepsilon)$. \square

The next lemma is reminiscent of [SS, Proposition 1], and regularity plays no role in it.

Lemma 5. *For each $\alpha > 0$, let there be an ε , $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$, such that the set $\{a : S(n, \varepsilon, a) \text{ is nonvoid}\}$ is an infinite set for infinitely many indices n . Let $A \cup B$ be a second category set. Then for any $\beta > 0$ there are finitely many indices n for which $T(n, \beta)$ contains a point x that can be represented in two different ways as a sum $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a_j$ ($a_j \in P_j$).*

Proof. Choose $\beta > 0$. Choose ε so that $0 < \varepsilon < \beta$, and use Lemma 2 to choose an index N , such that the set $\{a : S(n, \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon, a) \text{ is nonvoid}\}$ is infinite for infinitely many n and $T(n, \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon)$ is a second category set for $n \geq N$. Fix $n \geq N$ satisfying these conditions. Now $\{a : S(n, \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon, a) \text{ is nonvoid}\}$ is an infinite subset of the interval $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ and must have an accumulation point. Also $T(n+1, \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon)$ is a second category set whose closure contains a compact interval I . Choose points $a_n, b_n \in P_n$ such that $0 < |a_n - b_n| < \frac{1}{2}m(I)$. Then the intersection of the intervals $a_n + I$ and $b_n + I$ contains a compact interval J . Thus J is a subset of the closures of $a_n + T(n+1, \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon)$ and of $b_n + T(n+1, \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon)$. Put $\delta = \min(\varepsilon, m(J))/4$.

Let $\mu > 0$ and let c_1, \dots, c_m be numbers. We say that the sequence $c_1, \dots, c_m, \dots, c_k$ μ -extends c_1, \dots, c_m if $|\sum_{j=p}^q c_j| < \mu$ for $m+1 \leq p \leq q \leq k$.

Again by Lemma 2, $T(k, \delta)$ is a second category set for large enough k . We truncate an appropriate sum in $T(n+1, \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon)$ to $\varepsilon/4$ -extend a_n to $a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{k(1)-1}$ such that $\sum_{j=n}^{k(1)-1} a_j$ is in the middle third of J and $T(k(1), \delta)$ is a second category set. Let J_1 be a compact interval lying in the closure of $(\sum_{j=n}^{k(1)-1} a_j) + T(k(1), \delta)$. It follows that J_1 is in the closure of $(\sum_{j=n}^{k(1)-1} a_j) + T(k(1), \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon)$ and $J_1 \subset J$. Likewise we use a member of $T(n+1, \varepsilon/4)$ to $\varepsilon/4$ -extend b_n to $b_n, b_{n+1}, \dots, b_{k(2)-1}$ ($k(2) > k(1)$) and find a compact interval $J_2 \subset J_1$ such that J_2 lies in the closure of $(\sum_{j=n}^{k(2)-1} b_j) + T(k(2), \varepsilon/8)$. (This time put $\delta = \min(\varepsilon, m(J_1))/8$.)

We use $2^{-q}\varepsilon$ -extensions to find an increasing sequence of indices $n+1 = k(0) < k(1) < k(2) < k(3) < \dots$ and a contracting sequence of compact intervals $J_1 \supset$

$J_2 \supset J_3 \supset J_4 \supset \cdots$ and series $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a_j$ and $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_j$ such that J_q is in the closure of

$$\left(\sum_{j=n}^{k(q)-1} a_j \right) + T(k(q), 2^{-q-1}\varepsilon) \text{ for } q = 1, 3, 5, 7, \dots,$$

J_q is in the closure of

$$\left(\sum_{j=n}^{k(q)-1} b_j \right) + T(k(q), 2^{-q-1}\varepsilon) \text{ for } q = 2, 4, 6, 8, \dots, \text{ and}$$

$$a_n, \dots, a_{k(q)}, \dots, a_{k(q+2)-1} 2^{-q-1}\varepsilon - \text{extends } a_n, \dots, a_{k(q)-1} \text{ for } q = 1, 3, 5, 7, \dots,$$

$$b_n, \dots, b_{k(q)}, \dots, b_{k(q+2)-1} 2^{-q-1}\varepsilon - \text{extends } b_n, \dots, b_{k(q)-1} \text{ for } q = 2, 4, 6, 8, \dots,$$

It follows from this and $|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$, $|b_n| \leq \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$, that the series $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a_j$ and $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_j$ converge, and indeed their sums are in $T(n, \varepsilon)$.

On the other hand, the diameter of J_q cannot exceed the diameter of $T(k(q), 2^{-q-1}\varepsilon)$, so $m(J_q) \leq 2^{-q}\varepsilon$. Thus $\bigcap_q J_q$ is a singleton; say $\bigcap_q J_q = \{x\}$.

Moreover x lies in the closure of $(\sum_{j=n}^{k(q)-1} a_j) + T(k(q), 2^{-q-1}\varepsilon)$ and $|(\sum_{j=n}^{k(q)-1} a_j) - x| \leq 2^{-q}\varepsilon$ because $x \in J_q$. It follows that $x = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a_j$. Likewise $x = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_j$. But $a_n \neq b_n$, so x is the desired point. Recall that $\varepsilon < \beta$, so $x \in T(n, \varepsilon) \subset T(n, \beta)$. \square

Lemma 6. *Let (P_j) be a regular system. Let $A \cup B$ be a second category set. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are infinitely many integers $n > 0$ for which the set $T(n, \varepsilon)$ contains a point x that can be represented in two different ways as a sum $x = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a_j$ ($a_j \in P_j$).*

Proof. Lemmas 4 and 5. \square

Proof of Theorem I. Take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $y \in A \cup B$. In view of Lemma 1 we can (and do) assume that (P_j) is regular. Let $y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j$ ($c_j \in P_j$). Then there is an index p such that $|\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} c_j - y| < \varepsilon$ and $T(p, \varepsilon)$ contains a point x as described in Lemma 6. Let $w = x + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} c_j$. It follows that $|w - y| \leq 2\varepsilon$, and $w \in B$. Hence $y \in B$ closure, and $A \cup B \subset B$ closure. \square

Proof of Theorem II. We deduce from Lemma 3 that $A \cup B$ is the union of countably many translates of closed sets of the form $T(n, \varepsilon)$. This proves part (1). In part (2), at least one of these closed sets is a second category set and contains an interval. We omit the rest of the proof of part (2). \square

References

- [E] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977, Theorem 6.1.27, page 440.
- [P] M. Petkovsek, *Ambiguous Numbers are Dense*, American Mathematical Monthly 97 (1990) pages 408-411.
- [SS] M. Starbird and T. Starbird, *Required Redundancy in the Representation of Reals*, will appear in the Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.

Received February 19, 1991