

T. E. Armstrong¹, Department of Mathematics & Statistics,
University of Maryland-Baltimore County, Catonsville, Maryland 21228.

**A CHARACTERIZATION OF NON-ATOMIC PROBABILITIES ON
[0,1] WITH NOWHERE DENSE SUPPORTS**

For a countably additive Borel probability measure μ on $[0, 1]$, let $\{T_i(\mu) : i \in N\}$ be an enumeration of the connected components of $[0, 1] \setminus \text{supp}(\mu)$. These are the intervals of constancy of the cumulative distribution function F_μ . For all i let $y_i(\mu)$ be the value of F_μ on $T_i(\mu)$.

Proposition 1 μ is non-atomic with $\text{supp}(\mu)$ nowhere dense iff $\{y_i(\mu) : i \in N\}$ is dense in $[0, 1]$.

Proof: Suppose that μ is non-atomic with nowhere dense support. Since μ is non-atomic F_μ is continuous and $\{F_\mu(x) : x \in \text{supp}(\mu)\} = [0, 1]$. If $0 \leq y_1 < y_2 \leq 1$ are $F_\mu(x_1)$ and $F_\mu(x_2)$ with $x_1 < x_2$ in $\text{supp}(\mu)$ there is an interval $T_i(\mu)$ between x_1 and x_2 since $\text{supp}(\mu)$ is nowhere dense. Thus $y_1 < y_i(\mu) < y_2$. This establishes density of $\{y_i(\mu) : i \in N\}$ in $[0, 1]$.

Assume density of $\{y_i(\mu) : i \in N\}$. μ must be non-atomic for otherwise there would be an $x \in [0, 1]$ so that $F_\mu(x^-) = \lim_{z \uparrow x} F_\mu(z) < F_\mu(x)$. In this case no $y_i(\mu)$ would be in $(F_\mu(x^-), F_\mu(x))$ contradicting density. $\text{supp}(\mu)$ must be nowhere dense for if $\phi \neq (x_1, x_2) \subset \text{supp}(\mu)$ then $F_\mu(x_1) < F_\mu(x_2)$ so $y_i(\mu) \in (F_\mu(x_1), F_\mu(x_2))$ for some $i \in N$ hence $T_i(\mu)$ is in (x_1, x_2) which is impossible since $T_i(u) \cap \text{supp}(u) = \phi$. Thus $\text{supp}(\mu)$ is nowhere dense.

□

The intervals $\{T_i(\mu) : i \in N\}$ are non-overlapping and are ordered by $T_i(\mu) < T_j(\mu)$ iff $x_i \in T_i(\mu)$ and $x_j \in T_j(\mu)$ implies $x_i < x_j$. The mapping $y_i \rightarrow T_i(\mu)$ is an order isomorphism. $\{y_i(\mu) : i \in N\}$ has maximum 1 (minimum 0) iff $\{T_i(\mu) : i \in N\}$ has a maximum containing 1 (minimum containing 0) iff $1 \notin \text{supp}(\mu)$ ($0 \notin \text{supp}(\mu)$). Allowing for different possible order types the converse is true. If K is a perfect nowhere dense subset of $[0, 1]$ and the countable dense subset $\{y_i : i \in N\}$ of $[0, 1]$ has extrema of the same type as the components $\{T_i : i \in N\}$ of $[0, 1] \setminus K$ there is an order isomorphism $T_i \leftrightarrow y_i$ (see Theorem 1 page 160 of Fraenkel [1961]). For such an isomorphism define $F(x) = y_i$ if $x \in T_i$ to obtain a non-decreasing function from $[0, 1] \setminus N \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which has a right continuous extension (which is continuous

¹Supported by NSF grant DMS 8803556

by density of $\{y_i : i \in N\}$ to a surjection $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow$ which is F_μ for some Borel probability μ . This yields this proposition.

Proposition 2 *Let K be a perfect nowhere dense subset of $[0, 1]$ and let $\{y_i : i \in N\} = Y$ be a dense subset of $[0, 1]$ with the same order type as the components of $[0, 1] \setminus K$. There is a Borel probability μ with $\text{supp}(\mu) = K$ and $\{y_i\} = \{y_i(\mu)\}$ for all i .*

It should be remarked that μ is uniquely determined by specification of a particular order isomorphism between Y and components of $[0, 1] \setminus K$. The possible μ are in 1-1 correspondence with the order automorphisms of Y .

Proposition 3 *If \mathcal{F} is the algebra of m -measurable sets for m a non-atomic probability measure with support $[0, 1]$, $\{N_n : n \in N\}$ is a sequence of perfect nowhere dense sets in $[0, 1]$ with $1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(N_n)$ and m_n is the restriction of m to N_n normalized to be a probability then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n(F) = m(F)$ if $F \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof: Let $\{N_n\}$ be a sequence of perfect nowhere dense sets with $1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(N_n)$. For each n , m_n is defined, for $F \in \mathcal{F}$, by $m_n(F) = m(F \cap N_n)/m(N_n)$. Since $m([0, 1] \setminus N_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is immediate from this that $m_n(F) \rightarrow m(F)$ for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

□

Proposition 3, as is seen from the proof, is valid in great generality. \mathcal{F} need only be an algebra, m only finitely additive and $\{N_n : n \in N\}$ a sequence in \mathcal{F} with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(N_n) = 1$. Cannizzo however singles out the perfect nowhere dense sets in $[0, 1]$ since for countably additive non-atomic Baire probability measures here or in any Polish or compact Hausdorff space such a sequence of perfect nowhere dense sets always exists.

Proposition 1 is not valid in the absence of countable additivity. One must interpret $\text{supp}(\mu)$ for a finitely additive Borel measure as the intersection of all closed sets of full measure but $\text{supp}(\mu)$ may fail to be of full measure with $\mu(\text{supp}(\mu)) = 0$ a possibility. The cumulative distribution function F_μ is defined as usual but may fail to be continuous from the left. If F_μ is continuous then μ is non-atomic but it may be the case that μ is non-atomic yet have F_μ failing left or right continuity. This Lemma gives some indication of arbitrariness of F_μ when countable additivity is not required. This is extended in Proposition 5.

Lemma 5 *If x is in $[0, 1]$ there is a non-atomic finitely additive Borel probability measure μ on $[0, 1]$ with F_μ the indicator function for $(x, 1]$ if $x < 1$ or for $[x, 1]$ if $x > 0$.*

Proof: First assume that $x = 0$. It must be shown that there is a non-atomic Borel probability μ with $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$ and with $\mu([0, \epsilon]) = 1$ if $\epsilon > 0$. It is easily seen that if $(x_n : n \in N)$ is a strictly decreasing sequence in $[0, 1]$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$ then any finitely additive non-atomic probability ν on 2^N induces a non-atomic probability μ on 2^A where $A = \{x_n : n \in N\}$ under the map $n \rightarrow x_n$. Extend μ to a finitely additive Borel probability measure on $[0, 1]$ with $\mu([0, 1] \setminus A) = 0$. It is immediate that $\mu\{0\} = 0$ and that $\mu([0, x_n]) = 1$ since $\mu(\{x_m : m \geq n\}) = 1$ for any $n \in N$. Since $x_n \rightarrow 0$ the result follows.

For general $x < 1$ in the preceding argument one should use a strictly decreasing sequence (x_n) with $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ to obtain μ with $F_\mu = I_{(x, 1]}$. A similar construction works to give μ with $F_\mu = I_{[x, 1]}$ if $x > 0$.

□

deFinetti [1972] realized that a non-atomic finitely additive measure μ could have $\mu((x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon)) \geq \lambda > 0$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. In general when such an x exists μ was called *agglutinated*. Agglutination is equivalent to the presence of a jump in F_μ so μ is non-agglutinated iff F_μ is continuous. It is easily seen that positive linear combinations of measures as in Proposition 4 yield measures μ so that the entire variation of F_μ is taken up in jumps and that any increasing F on $[0, 1]$ with $F(0) \geq 0$ and $F(1) = 1$ whose jumps sum to 1 is F_μ for μ a countable convex combination of measures in Proposition 4. Such μ could be called *totally agglutinated* yet may be non-atomic. As a result of the following proposition both Propositions 1 and 2 retain their validity if non-atomic countably additive measures are replaced by non-agglutinated finitely additive measures.

Proposition 5 *If F is an increasing function on $[0, 1]$ with $F(0) \geq 0$ and $F(1) = 1$ there is a finitely additive non-atomic Borel measure μ on $[0, 1]$ with $F_\mu = F$ which gives probability 1 to the rationals.*

Proof: In Proposition 4, as may be seen by the proof one may find for any x non-atomic probabilities μ_x and μ_x^+ with $F_{\mu_x} = I_{(x, 1]}$ and $F_{\mu_x^+} = I_{[x, 1]}$ which give measure 1 to the rationals (basing the proof of Proposition 4 on sequences of rationals). As a result, if the jumps of F equal 1 a μ exists with $F = F_\mu$ and with μ a countable convex combination of such μ_x, μ_x^+ . To establish the proposition it is only necessary to consider the case with F continuous. In this case for any rational $r \in [0, 1]$ let x_r be such that $F(x_r) = r$. F is the uniform limit of $\{F_n : n \in N\}$ where $F_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{n} I_{[x_k/n]}$. Each F_n is F_{μ_n} where μ_n is a Borel probability giving measure 1 to the rationals. Let δ be a $\{0, 1\}$ -valued probability measure on 2^N annihilating

singletons. For A Borel let $\mu(A) = \int_N \mu_n(A) \delta(dn)$. If \mathcal{U} is the free ultrafilter on N corresponding to δ then $\mu(A) = \lim_{n \in \mathcal{U}} \mu_n(A)$. As a result if $t \in [0, 1]$ then $F_\mu(t) = \mu([0, t]) = \lim_{n \in \mathcal{U}} F_{\mu_n}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu_n}(t) = F(t)$. Since $\mu_n(Q \cap [0, 1]) = 1$ for all n we have $\mu(Q \cap [0, 1]) = 1$. Since F_μ is continuous μ is non-atomic. □

References

- [1] T. Armstrong and K. Prikry, *Liapounoff's Theorem for non-atomic bounded, finitely additive, finite dimensional vector valued measures*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc, **266** (1981), pp. 499–514.
- [2] A. Cannizzo, *Un problème sur une classe de mesures non-Lebesquennes*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **II** (1985), pp. 226–233.
- [3] B. deFinetti, *Probability, Induction and Statistics*, Wiley, New York, 1972.
- [4] A. A. Fraenkel, *Abstract Set Theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1961.

Received January 5, 1989