Real Analysis Exchange Vol. 14(1988-89) R.M. Shortt, Department of Mathematics, Wesleyan University, Middletown, Connecticut 06457

A CRITERION FOR MEASURABILITY OF COUNTABLE-TO-ONE FUNCTIONS

Let X be a subset of R and denote by $B(X) = \{B \cap X : B\}$ Borel subset of R} the σ -field of all <u>measurable subsets</u> of X. Given subsets X and Y of R, a function f : X + Y is <u>measurable</u> if $f^{-1}(C) \in B(X)$ for each $C \in B(Y)$. If f is a one-one correspondence, and both f and f^{-1} are measurable, then f is a <u>Borel-isomorphism</u> (or generalised homeomorphism as in [1]), and X and Y are <u>Borel-isomorphic</u>. The following result is well known [1; p. 434]:

<u>1.1 Lemma</u>: Let X be a subset of R, and let f : X + R be measurable. Then f extends to a measurable function g : R + R.

A subset of R is <u>analytic</u> if it is the image of a Borel subset of R under a measurable map. A measurable subset of an analytic set is again analytic. For basic facts about these sets, vide [1].

<u>1.2 Theorem</u>: Let f : X + Y be a one-one correspondence between subsets X and Y of R. Suppose that X is analytic. In order that f be a Borel-isomorphism, it is necessary and sufficient that for each $A \subseteq X$, the sets A and f(A) be Borel-isomorphic.

<u>Proof</u>: Necessity is obvious. Suppose now that f has the indicated property. Given any A ε B(X), we know that A and X - A are analytic. Thus f(A) and f(X-A) = Y - f(A) are

498

analytic. By Lusin's first separation theorem [1; p. 485], there is some Borel subset B of R such that $f(A) \subseteq B$ and $Y - f(A) \subseteq R - B$. It follows that $f(A) \in B(Y)$. We have shown that f^{-1} is measurable. Since Y = f(X) is analytic, a symmetrical argument shows that f is measurable.

Q.E.D.

Under the continuum hypothesis (CH), the condition of analyticity is not needed. This will follow from

<u>1.3 Theorem (CH)</u>: Let $f : X \rightarrow R$ be a countable-to-one function defined on a subset X of R. In order that f be measurable, it is necessary and sufficient that for each $A \subseteq X$, the set f(A) be a measurable image of A.

<u>Proof</u>: Necessity is obvious. To prove sufficiency, we show the contrapositive. Suppose that f is not measurable. List all measurable, countable-to-one functions on X as f_0 $f_1 \ldots f_{\alpha} \ldots \alpha < \omega_1$. We construct the elements of a set $A \subseteq X$ by transfinite induction: suppose that the points x_{β} have been chosen for all $\beta < \alpha$, where α is a countable ordinal. Choose x_{α} from the set

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X} : \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \neq \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\} - \mathbf{f}^{-1}\{\mathbf{f}_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}_{\beta}) : \beta < \alpha\} - \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}^{-1}\{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{\beta}) : \beta < \alpha\},\$$

whose uncountability is easily seen. Finally, put A = $\{x_{\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. We assert that f(A) is not a measurable image of A. Were it so, there would be some measurable function g: A + R with g(A) = f(A). By lemma 1.1, g is the restriction of some one of the functions f_{α} . Then $x_{\alpha} \in A$, but we shall demonstrate that $g(x_{\alpha}) = f_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha})$ is not a member of f(A). For suppose $f_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) = f(x_{\beta})$ for some $\beta < \omega_1$. It is easy to check that this violates the conditions under which x_{α} and x_{β} were chosen.

Q.E.D.

<u>1.4 Corollary (CH)</u>: Let f : X + Y be a one-one correspondence between arbitrary subsets X and Y of R. In order that f be a Borel-isomorphism, it is necessary and sufficient that for each $A \subseteq X$, the sets A and f(A) be Borel-isomorphic.

In Theorem 1.3, the hypothesis that f be countable-to-one cannot be eliminated. To see this, let f be the indicator function of a non-Borel subset of X = R. I do not know whether the assumption of CH is necessary in the previous results.

[1] Kuratowski, K., <u>Topology</u>, <u>Vol. I</u>, Academic Press-PWN, New York-Warsaw 1966

Received August 1, 1988

500