

G. RANGAN, THE RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS,
MADRAS - 600 005, INDIA,

AND

V. SELVAMUTHU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOVERNMENT ARTS
COLLEGE (MEN), NANDANAM, MADRAS - 600 035, INDIA.

**DARBOUX CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS
ON NON ARCHIMEDEAN VALUED FIELDS**

1. **INTRODUCTION:** It is well known that the only non-discrete locally-compact valued fields other than the fields \mathbb{R} of real numbers and \mathbb{C} of complex numbers are the local fields which are the p -adic fields \mathbb{Q}_p , their finite extensions and the field of formal Laurent series over the finite field \mathbb{Z}_p . Analysis over the fields \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} has been studied extensively. To have a complete picture therefore it is but natural to consider analysis over valued fields other than \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . The valuation of any such field satisfies the following stronger form of the usual triangle inequality.

$$|x + y| \leq \text{Max} (|x|, |y|)$$

which is known as the ultrametric inequality and the valued fields in which the valuation satisfies the above inequality are known as non-archimedean valued fields. In the sequel K denotes a non-trivial non-archimedean complete valued field. This ultrametric inequality causes fascinating deviations from the classical analysis

(over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}). For example a series $\sum a_n$ with a_n in K converges if and only if the n^{th} -term a_n tends to zero in K . Each disc in K is open and closed and each point of a disc is a centre. Geometrically any triangle in K is isosceles, the non-archimedean valued fields cannot be ordered and so on. These and other deviations make the search for analogues and for differences of classical results in non-archimedean analysis interesting. In this note we prove analogues of Bolzano's theorem and Intermediate value theorem in the non-archimedean case for the Darboux Continuous functions.

Of the many properties of real valued continuous functions defined on a compact interval in the real line the Intermediate value property is well-known which itself is an offshoot of Bolzano's theorem (p.85, Apostol [1]). The proofs of those theorems like that of the Mean value Theorem make essential use of the fact that the real field is ordered. On the other hand in the p -adic fields or more generally non-archimedean valued fields there is no order compatible with the algebra and the topological structure of the field (see p.128, van Rooij [2]). It is therefore necessary to find a suitable analogue of the notion of betweenness before attempting at an analogue of the above two theorems for the non-archimedean case. In [3] and [4] Schikhof has made an attempt to define such a notion of betweenness, monotonic functions etc. in the non-archimedean analysis and we make use of some of these notions in this note.

2. DEFINITIONS AND MAIN THEOREMS: We now recall some definitions and results from Schikhof [3].

Let x, y be elements of K . The smallest ball in K containing x and y is denoted by $[x, y]$. It then follows easily that for all x, y in K , $[x, y] = [y, x]$ and

$$z \in [x, y] \iff |z-x| \leq |x-y| \iff z = \alpha x + (1-\alpha)y$$

for some $\alpha \in K$, $|\alpha| \leq 1$. If $x \neq y$ then $\alpha = (z-y)/(x-y)$.

DEFINITION 1. A subset C of K is called convex if x and y in C implies $[x, y] \subset C$.

It is easy to see that the empty set, singletons, balls and the whole space K are convex sets and they are the only convex sets of K .

DEFINITION 2. Let X be a subset of K . A set $C \subset X$ is called convex in X (or relatively convex) if x, y in C implies that $[x, y] \cap X \subset C$ or equivalently C is the intersection of X with a convex subset of K .

The relation \sim defined on K^* -the non-zero elements of K by $x \sim y$ if 0 does not belong to $[x, y]$ is an equivalence relation. It is easy to see that $K^+ = \{x \in K : |1-x| < 1\}$ is a multiplicative subgroup of the commutative group K^* and

$$(1) \quad 0 \notin [x, y] \iff |x-y| < |x| \iff |xy^{-1}-1| < 1 \iff |x^{-1}y-1| < 1.$$

$x \sim y$ implies $|x| = |y|$ but not conversely.

It may be noted that the relation \sim defined on the non-zero reals R^* in the above manner where $[x,y]$ is the smallest closed interval containing x and y is also an equivalence relation and $x \sim y$ means x and y have the same sign i.e. both positive or both negative. The equivalence relation partitions R^* into two equivalence classes namely positive and negative real numbers. In such a case $x \sim y$ implies that $xy < 0$. But in the non-archimedean case there are more than two equivalence classes defined by the equivalence relation \sim .

One usually calls a real valued function defined on a closed interval having the intermediate value property as Darboux function or Darboux continuous function. This definition of Darboux function requires that the image of a connected set be connected. The only connected subsets for the real line are intervals which are also convex sets. Motivated by this, Darboux continuity is defined in the non-archimedean case as follows:

DEFINITION 3. Let X be a subset of K and $f: X \rightarrow K$ be a function defined on X with values in K . f is called weakly Darboux continuous if for every relatively convex set C in X the set $f(C)$ is convex in $f(X)$. f is called Darboux continuous if for every relatively convex set C in X , the set $f(C)$ is convex in K .

As noted by Schikhof (p.13 [13]) a Darboux continuous function need not be continuous and a continuous function need not be Darboux continuous. Now we prove the analogue of Bolzano's Theorem for the non-archimedean case.

THEOREM 1. Let X be a subset of K and $f : X \rightarrow K$ be Darboux continuous. Let a, b be in X and $f(a) \not\sim f(b)$. Then there exists a point c in $[a, b] \cap X$ such that $f(c) = 0$.

Proof: By definition $f(a) \not\sim f(b)$ implies that 0 belongs to $[f(a), f(b)]$. Since the ball $[a, b]$ is convex in K , $[a, b] \cap X$ is convex in X . Hence by Darboux continuity of f the set $f([a, b] \cap X)$ is convex in K and it contains $f(a)$ and $f(b)$. It follows that $[f(a), f(b)] \subset f([a, b] \cap X)$ because the ball $[f(a), f(b)]$ is the smallest convex set in K containing $f(a)$ and $f(b)$. This implies that 0 belongs to $f([a, b] \cap X)$ and hence there exists a point c in $[a, b] \cap X$ such that $f(c) = 0$.

REMARK. In non-archimedean analysis even continuous functions may not possess this property as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE. Consider the p -adic field Q_5 associated with the prime $p = 5$, with the normalised valuation $|\cdot|$ on Q_5 . Define

$$A = \left\{ x \in Q_5 \mid |x-1| < \frac{1}{5} \right\}$$

$$B = \left\{ x \in Q_5 \mid |x-6| < \frac{1}{5^2} \right\}$$

On $A \cup B$ define f by

$$f(x) = \chi_A(x) + 2 \chi_B(x)$$

where χ_A and χ_B are the characteristic functions of A and B respectively. Then for $x \in A \cup B$, $f(x) \neq 0$ and f is continuous.

$f(1) \not\sim f(6)$, but for no point c in $A \cup B$ does $f(c) = 0$.

The following is the analogue of the Intermediate value Theorem (p.85, Apostol [1]) for the non-archimedean case.

THEOREM 2. Let X be a subset of K and $f : X \rightarrow K$ be Darboux continuous. Let a, b belong to X and $f(a) \not\sim f(b)$. Define

$$S = \{ x \in K : |x| < \min (|f(a)|, |f(b)|) \}$$

Then for every $\alpha \in S$, there exists a point c in $[a, b] \cap X$ such that $f(c) = \alpha$.

Proof: Without loss of generality we can assume that $|f(a)| \leq |f(b)|$ so that

$$S = \{ x \in K : |x| < |f(a)| \}$$

Since $f(a) \not\sim f(b)$, it follows from the discussion following definition 2 above that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(a) - f(b)| &\geq |f(a)| \text{ and } |f(b)| \\ &\geq \max (|f(a)|, |f(b)|) \end{aligned}$$

But by the ultra metric inequality of $| \cdot |$ we have

$$|f(a) - f(b)| \leq \max (|f(a)|, |f(b)|)$$

and therefore $f(a) \not\sim f(b)$ implies that,

$$|f(a) - f(b)| = \max (|f(a)|, |f(b)|) = |f(b)|.$$

Now for any $x \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \in S \implies |x| < |f(a)| \implies |x-f(a)| &= |f(a)| \leq |f(b)| \\
 &= \max(|f(a)|, |f(b)|)
 \end{aligned}$$

implying thereby that x is in $[f(a), f(b)]$ or equivalently $S \subset [f(a), f(b)]$. Since $f(b) \in [f(a), f(b)]$ but $f(b) \notin S$, S is a proper subset of $[f(a), f(b)]$. Further for any α in S , $|\alpha| < |f(a)| \leq |f(b)|$. Defining

$$F(x) = f(x) - \alpha$$

we see that F is Darboux continuous and $F(a) \not\sim F(b)$. Otherwise $F(a) \sim F(b)$ would imply that

$$|F(a)-F(b)| < |F(a)| \quad (= |F(b)|)$$

i.e.

$$|f(a)-f(b)| < |f(a)| \quad (= |f(b)|)$$

which implies that $f(a) \sim f(b)$ contradicting our hypothesis. Thus F satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1 above and hence there exists a point c in $[a, b] \cap X$ such that $F(c) = 0$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. A.M. Apostol, *Mathematical Analysis, Second Edition*, Addison-Wesley (1977).
2. A.C.M. Van Rooij, *Non-archimedean Analysis*, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* 19 (1971), 120-160.
3. W. Schikhof, *Non-archimedean monotone functions (Lecture notes)* mathematisch Institute, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, Report No. 7916.
4. W. Schikhof, *Ultrametric Calculus*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
Received May 2, 1988