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## ON A RESULT OF S. KUREPA

Introduction

In an article published in 1956, S. Kurepa [2] proved the following theorem.
Theorem. There exist Lebesgue measurable sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that the set $A+B=\{a+b: a \in A, b \in B\}$ is nonmeasurable.

Here $a+b$ is the ordinary coordinate wise sum of $a$ and $b$, i.e. if $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and $\left.b=b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ then $a+b=\left(a_{1}+b_{1}, a_{2}+b_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}+b_{n}\right)$.

The proof of this theorem can be found in M. Kuczma's new book "An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities" ([l], pg. 256). Kuczma introduces Kurepa's theorem, saying it "shows a certain irregularity of the operation +". The purpose of this paper is to extend Kurepa's result by showing that a wide class of operations on $R^{n}$ (i.e. functions on $R^{n} \times R^{n}$ into $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) actually share the irregularity of the operation + noted above.

Before presenting our results we mention that the sets $A$ and $B$ in Kurepa's paper (and in Kuczma's book) are constructed using a measurable Hamel basis and that this construction can not be extended to show a similar result for operations different from + : Furthermore, Kurepa's sets $A$ and $B$ both turn out to be sets of Lebesgue measure zero.
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In this paper, $N(a, r)$ will denote the open ball in $R^{n}$ with center a and radius $r$. Furthermore, a set $A \subset R^{n}$ is called a universal null set, if $\mu(A)=0$ for each complete measure space $\left(R^{n}, M_{\mu}, \mu\right)$ that satisfies: $N(a, r) \in M_{\mu}$ for each $a \in R^{n}$ and each $r>0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \mu(N(a, r))=0$ for each $a \in R^{n}$. A point $c \in R^{n}$ will be called rational if all its coordinates are rational numbers.

Results. The following lemma will be used in the proofs of both of our theorems.

Lemma 1. Suppose $f$ is a function on $R^{n} x R^{n}$ into $R^{n}$ and $N, X$ and $Y$ are open balls in $R^{n}$. If $C=\left\{x_{T} \mid \tau<\omega_{C}\right\} \in X$ and $D=\left\{y_{\tau} \mid \tau<\omega_{c}\right\} c Y$ and $N=\left\{t_{\tau} \mid \tau<\omega_{c}\right\}$, where $\omega_{c}$ denotes the least ordinal having the cardinality of the continuum, satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $f\left(\mathrm{x}_{\boldsymbol{T}}, \mathrm{y}_{\boldsymbol{T}}\right)=\mathrm{t}_{\tau}$ for $\boldsymbol{\tau}<\omega_{\mathrm{C}}$.
(ii) $x_{\sigma} \neq x_{T}, y_{\sigma} \neq y_{T}$ and $t_{\sigma} \neq t_{T}$ if $1 \leq \sigma<\tau<\omega_{c}$.
(iii) For each $t \in N$ there is a unique one to one function
$h_{t}: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f\left(x, h_{t}(x)\right)=t$ for all $x \in X$.
Then there exist sets $A$ and $B$ such that $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ and $f(A x B)$ is nonmeasurable in the sense of Lebesgue.

Proof. The collection of all uncountable closed subsets of $N$ has cardinality of the continum, this collection can be written in the form $\left\{F_{\alpha}: \alpha<\omega_{c}\right\}$. We will make repeated use of the fact that each $F_{\alpha}, \alpha<\omega_{c}$, has cardinality of the continuum.

Pick fll, $f_{12}$, distinct elements from $F_{1}$. By the properties of the sets $C$ and $D$ there exists a $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}<\omega_{c}$, such that
$f\left(x_{\sigma_{1}}, y_{\sigma_{1}}\right)=f_{11} . \quad$ Set $a_{1}=x_{\sigma_{1}}$ and $b_{1}=y_{\sigma_{1}}$.
By the hypothesis on $f$, the set $\left\{\sigma: \sigma<\omega_{c}\right.$ and either
$f\left(a_{1}, y_{\sigma}\right)=f_{12}$ or $\left.f\left(x_{\sigma}, b_{1}\right)=f_{12}\right\}$ contains at most two elements. Therefore, again by the properties of the sets $C$ and $D$, there exists a $\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{2}<\omega_{c}$ such that $f\left(x_{\sigma_{2}}, y_{\sigma_{2}}\right) \in F_{2}$ and $f_{12} \in\left\{f\left(x_{\sigma_{i}}, y_{\sigma_{j}}\right): i, j, \in\{1,2\}\right\}$.

Set $a_{2}=x_{\sigma_{2}}$ and $b_{2}=y_{\sigma_{2}}$ and denote $f\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)$ by $f_{21}$.
Clearly, there exists an element, say $f_{22}$, in $F_{2}$ such that $f_{22} \in\left\{f\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right): i, j \in\{1,2\}\right\}$.

We proceed by transfinite induction. Suppose $\alpha$ is an ordinal number, $\alpha<\omega_{c}$, and that for each $\beta<\alpha$, we have selected points $a_{\beta}, b_{\beta}, f_{\beta l}, f_{\beta 2}$ in $R^{n}$ satisfying:
(0) $a_{\beta} \in C$ and $b_{\beta} \in D$ for each $\beta, \beta<\alpha$,
(p) $\quad f\left(a_{\beta}, b_{\beta}\right)=f_{\beta l}$ and $f_{\beta l} \in F_{\beta}$, for each $\beta, \beta<\alpha$,
(q)

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(a_{\gamma}, b_{\delta}\right) & \neq f_{\beta 2} \text { for each } \gamma, \delta, \beta ; \gamma, \delta, \beta<\alpha \text { and } \\
& f_{\beta 2} \in F_{\beta}, \text { for each } \beta, \beta<\alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

By the hypotheses on $f$ and the fact that the cardinal of $\alpha$ is less than that of the continum it follows that the set

$$
\underset{\sigma, \gamma<\alpha}{U}\left\{\delta: \delta<\omega_{c} \text { and either } f\left(a_{\sigma}, y_{\delta}\right)=f_{\gamma 2} \text { or } f\left(x_{\delta}, b_{\sigma}\right)=f_{\gamma 2}\right\}
$$

has cardinality less than that of the continuum.
Therefore, by the properties of $C$ and $D$, there exists a $\sigma_{\alpha}, \sigma_{\alpha}<\omega_{c}$ such that $f\left(x_{\sigma_{\alpha}}, y_{\sigma_{\alpha}}\right) \in F_{\alpha}$ and $f\left(x_{\sigma_{\alpha}}, b_{\beta}\right) \neq f_{\gamma}$ and $f\left(a_{\beta}, y_{\sigma_{\alpha}}\right) \neq f_{y 2}$ for every $\beta, r ; \beta, \gamma<\alpha$. $\operatorname{set} a_{\alpha}=x_{\sigma_{\alpha}}$ and $b_{\alpha}=y_{\sigma_{\alpha}}$ and denote $f\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}\right)$ by $f_{\alpha l}$; i.e. $f_{\alpha l}=f\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}\right)$.

Clearly, again as the cardinality of $\alpha$ is less than that of the continuum, there exists an element, say $f_{\alpha 2}$, in $F_{\alpha}$ such that $f_{\alpha 2} \notin\left\{f\left(a_{\gamma}, b_{\delta}\right): \gamma, \delta \leq \alpha\right\}$.

Therefore, by transfinite indmetion, wo conclude that there exist four transfinite sequences $\left.\left\{a_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha}<\omega_{c}, b_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha}<\omega_{c}$, $\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\alpha 1}\right\}_{\alpha}<\omega_{c}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\alpha 2}\right\}_{\alpha}<\omega_{c}$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\alpha} \in C \text { and } b_{\alpha} \in D \text { for each } \alpha, \alpha<\omega_{c}, \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}\right)=f_{\alpha l} \in F_{\alpha} \text { for each } \alpha, \alpha<\omega_{c}, \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
f\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\beta}\right) \neq f_{\gamma 2} \text { for each } \alpha, \beta, \gamma ; \alpha, \beta, \gamma<\omega_{C}  \tag{Q}\\
\text { and } f_{\gamma 2} \in F_{\gamma}, \text { for each } \gamma, \gamma<\omega_{c} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Set $A=\left\{a_{\alpha}: \alpha<\omega_{c}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{b_{\alpha}: \alpha<\omega_{c}\right\}$, Then, clearly, $f(A x B)$ is nonmeasurable; in fact $f(A x B)$ has outer Lebesgue measure equal to $m(N)$ and inner Lebesgue measure equal to zero. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Martin's Axiom, which is weaker than the continuum hypothesis, implies that the union of less than $c$, the cardinal of the continum, first category sets is a first category set and that the union of less than $c$ sets of measure zero is a set of measure zero (see the following: D.A. Martin and R.M. Solovay, Internal Cohen extensions, Ann. Math. Logic $2(1970)$, 143-178). Moreover, the hypothesis "the union of less than $c$ first category sets is first category and the union of less than $c$ sets of measure zero is a set of measure zero" is even weaker than Martin's axiom. For the purpose of reference, let (F) denote the hypothesis "the union of less than $c$ first category sets is first category and the union $f$ less than $c$ sets of measure zero is a set of measure zero". Our first theorem.shows, assuming (F), that for each function $f$ in a certain wide class of functions on $R^{n} x R^{n}$ into $R^{n}$, including "+", there exists a pair of universal null sets $A$ and $B$ such that $f(A x B)=\{f(a, b):(a, b) \in A \times B\}$ is nonmeasurable in the
sense of Lebesgue.
Theorem 1. Let $f: R^{n} \times R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$. Suppose $X, Y$ and $N$ are open balls in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) For each $t \in N$ there is a unique function $h_{t}: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f\left(x, h_{t}(x)\right)=t$ for all $x \in X$.
(ii) For each $t \in N, h_{t}$ is a homeomorphism of $X$ into $Y$.

Then, assuming (F), there exists a pair of universal null sets $A$ and $B$ such that $f(A x B)$ is Lebesgue nonmeasurable.

Proof. Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ denote respectively the rational points in $X$ and $Y$. Let $R$ and $S$ denote respectively, the collections of all open sets containing $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, which are subsets of $X$ and $Y$ respectively. It is an easy exercise to show that $R$ and $S$ have cardinality of the continuum. Let $\omega_{c}$ denote the least ordinal number having cardinality of the continuum. Then the collections $R$ and $S$ can be written as transfinite sequences: $\left\{R_{\tau}: \tau<\omega{ }_{c}\right\}$ and $\left\{S_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\}$. Also, the set. $N$ can be written in the form $N=\left\{t_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\}$.

We will now choose, using transfinite induction, two
transfinite sequences

$$
\left\{x_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\} \text { and }\left\{y_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\} .
$$

We take $x_{1}, y_{1}$ to be any two points such that $x_{1} \in R_{1}, y_{1} \in S_{1}$ and $f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=t_{1}$. Such a pair exists, as $X \backslash R_{1}$ and $Y \backslash S_{1}$ are both nowhere dense and $h_{t_{1}}$ is a homeomorphism of $X$ onto $h_{t_{1}}(X)$, which is a subset of $Y$.

Now, suppose that $\tau$ is any ordinal number less than $\omega_{c}$ and that $x_{\sigma}$ and $y_{\sigma}$ have been chosen for all ordinals o less than $\tau$, in such a way that:
(1)
(2)

$$
\begin{gather*}
f\left(x_{\sigma}, y_{\sigma}\right)=t_{\sigma} \text { for all } \sigma, \sigma<\tau . \\
x_{\alpha} \neq x_{\sigma} \text { and } y_{\alpha} \neq y_{\sigma} \text { if } 1 \leqq \alpha<\sigma<\tau . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

We now proceed to select an appropriate pair $x_{\tau}, y_{\tau}$. To do
this consider the sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{\tau}=\underset{\sigma \underline{\underline{0}}}{\cup}\left(\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{R}_{\sigma}\right) \cup \underset{\sigma<\tau}{\cup}\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\sigma}\right\} \text { and } \\
F_{\tau}=\underset{\sigma \leq \tau}{\cup}\left(Y \backslash S_{\sigma}\right) \cup \underset{\sigma<\tau}{\cup}\left\{\mathrm{y}_{\sigma}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

$E_{T}$ and $F_{\tau}$ are unions of less than $c$ nowhere dense sets. Therefore, assuming (F), both are sets of the first category.

Therefore, as argued in the $\tau=1$ case, since $h_{t}$ is a homeomorphism of $X$ onto $h_{t_{\tau}}(X)$, there exists

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \in \mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \text {, such that } \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{t}_{\tau}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \in \mathrm{Y} \backslash \mathrm{~F}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} .
$$

Therefore, by transfinite induction, we obtain two complete transfinite sequences

$$
\left\{x_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\} \text { and }\left\{y_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\} \text { satisfying: }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\tau} \in \underset{\sigma \underline{0} \tau}{\cap} \mathrm{R}_{\tau} \text { and } y_{\tau} \in \underset{\sigma \underline{0} \tau}{\cap} \mathrm{~S}_{\tau} \text { for all } \tau, \tau<\omega_{c} \text {. } \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\mathrm{x}_{\tau}, \mathrm{y}_{\tau}\right)=\mathrm{t}_{\tau} \text { for all } \tau, \tau<\omega_{c} . \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\alpha} \neq x_{\sigma} \text { and } y_{\alpha} \neq y_{\sigma} \text { if } 1 \leq \alpha<0<\omega_{c} \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $C=\left\{x_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\}$ and $D=\left\{y_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\}$. Then

$$
f(C \times D) \supset\left\{f\left(x_{\tau}, y_{\tau}\right): \tau<\omega_{c}\right\}=\left\{t_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\}=N .
$$

Suppose ( $R^{n}, M_{\mu}, \mu$ ) is any complete measure space that satisfies: $N(\bar{a}, \bar{r}) \in M_{\mu}$ for each $\bar{a} \in R^{n}$ and each $\bar{r}>0$ and $\lim \mu(N(\bar{a}, \bar{r}))=0$ for each $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$. The set $D_{1}$ can be written in $\bar{r} \rightarrow 0+$
the form $D_{1}=\left\{u_{n}: n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. For each $n$, there exists an open ball $B_{n}, B_{n} \subset X$, such that $u_{n} \in B_{n}$ and $\mu\left(B_{n}\right)<\epsilon / 2^{n}$. Let $G=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}$. Then $G \in R$ and $\mu(G)<\epsilon$. Therefore $G=R_{\tau}$ for some $\tau, \tau<\omega_{c}$. By (I), this implies that $x_{\alpha} \in G$ for each $\alpha, r \leqq \alpha<\omega_{c}$, which in turn implies that

$$
C \backslash G \subset\left\{x_{\alpha}: \alpha<\tau\right\},
$$

which, by (F), is a set of measure zero.
Therefore $\mu(C) \leqq \mu(G)++\mu(C \backslash G)<\epsilon+0$. Hence $C$ is a universal null set. A similar argument shows that $D$ is a universal null set.

By the definitions of $C$ and $D$ and the hypotheses on $f$ it immediately follows, using Lemma 1 , that there exist sets $A$ and $B$ such that $A \subset C, B \subset D$ and $f(A \times B)$ is nonmeasurable. $A$ and $B$ are universal null sets since they are subsets of $C$ and $D$ respectively.

Remark l. Clearly the operation + , i.e. the function $f$ defined by the formula $f(x, y)=x+y$ for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 and therefore, assuming (F), there exists a pair of universal null sets $A$ and $B$ in $R^{n}$ such that. $A+B$ is nonmeasurable. Clearly coordinate-wise.multiplication also works.

The purpose of our second theorem is to extend Kurepa's theorem without using (F). The following lemma, which extends a result of Utz [6], will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 2. Suppose $s$ is a real number and $s \neq 0$. Suppose further that $\left\{f_{t} \mid t \in M\right\}$ is a collection of functions on $R$ into $R$ satisfying:
(*) There exists $a v, v>0$ and $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in R$ such that $f_{t}(\bar{x}) \in N(\bar{y}, v / 2)$
for every $t \in M$ and $f_{t}^{\prime}(x) \in(s-|s| / 10, s+|s| / 10)$ for every $t \in M$ and every $x \in[\bar{x}-v, \bar{x}+v]$.

Then there exists a compact set $C, C \subset[\bar{x}-v, \bar{x}+v]$, of measure zero and a compact set $D, D \subset[\bar{y}-v, \bar{y}+v]$, of measure zero such that the cardinality of ( $C x D$ ) $\cap$ (the graph of $f_{t}$ ) is $c$ for each $t \in M$.

Proof. If $n_{o}, n_{o}>2$, is a sufficiently large natural number, then the cardinality of (CxD) $\cap$ (the graph of $f_{t}$ ) is for each $t \in M$, where $C$ is the "Cantor-like" subset of $[\bar{x}-v, \bar{x}+v]$ formed by taking out the middle $n_{o}^{t h}$ open intervals at each step of the "Cantor-like" construction and D is the "Cantor-like" subset of $[\bar{y}-v, \bar{y}+v]$ formed by taking out the middle $n_{o}^{t h}$ open intervals at each stage. We remark that $C$ and $D$ are compact sets of Lebesgue measure zero. This fact is proved, using the "nested square theorem", by showing that if $n_{0}$ is sufficiently large and $t \in M$ is given, then there exists a stage $n_{1}$ in the construction of $C x D$ such that the graph of $f_{t}$ intersects the interiors of at least two, call them $C_{11}, C_{12}$, of the $4^{n_{1}}$ squares in this stage of the construction. There exists a stage $n_{2}, n_{2}>n_{1}$ such that $f_{t}$ intersects the interiors of at least two, call them $C_{111}, C_{112}$, of the subsquares of $C_{11}$ in this stage of the construction of $C \times D$. Similarly, there is a stage $n_{2}^{\prime}>n_{1}$ such that $f_{t}$ intersects the interior of at least two, call them $C_{121}, C_{122}$, of the subsquares of $C_{12}$ in this stage of the construction. Continuing in this way, for each sequence $\left\{m_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, where $m_{i} \in\{1,2\}$, we get a nested sequence of squares, namely $C_{m_{1}}, C_{m_{1}} m_{2}, C_{m_{1}} m_{2} m_{3}, \ldots$, whose intersection yields a point in the set (CxD) $\cap$ (the graph of $f_{t}$ ). Since there are $c$ such that sequences $\left\{m_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, and each yields a
different point, we obtain our result, i.e. the cardinality of (CxD) $\cap$ (the graph of $f_{t}$ ) is $c$ for each $t \in M$.

Theorem 2. Suppose $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right): R^{n} \times R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ satisfies the following conditions.
(a) The $2 \mathrm{n}^{2}$ partial derivatives ( n functions and 2 n variables) exist and are continuous in some neighbourhood of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in R^{n} \times R^{n}$. ( $\beta$ ) $\left|\begin{array}{ccc}D_{1} f_{1} & \cdots & D_{n} f_{l} \\ \vdots & & \\ D_{1} f_{n} & \cdots & \cdots \\ D_{n} f_{n}\end{array}\right|\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and $\left|\begin{array}{cccc}D_{n+1} f_{1} & \cdots & D_{2 n} f_{1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_{n+1} f_{n} & \cdots & D_{2 n} f_{n}\end{array}\right|\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \neq 0$.
Then there exist measurable sets $A, B \subset R^{n}$ such that $m(A)=m(B)=0$ and $f(A \times B)$ is nonmeasurable.

Proof. f can be viewed as an $n x l$ column matrix. The $n \times n$ matrices $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}} \ldots \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}\right]$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}=\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{2}} \cdots \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}\right]$ are both invertible at $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ by the hypotheses of this theorem. By the implicit function theorem, there is a continuously differentiable function $g(x, t)$, defined for $x$ near $x_{0}$ and $t$ near $f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ such that $f(x, g(x, t))=t$. By implicit differentiation, we have $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}=-\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right]^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right]$. Therefore $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$ is invertible at the point ( $x_{o}, t_{0}$ ), where $t_{0}=f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. Since $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}=\left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{2}} \cdots \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{n}}\right]$ and $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ it follows that there exist $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\frac{\partial g_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(x_{0}, t_{o}\right)=s$ and $s \neq 0$.

By the implicit function theorem there exist $X, Y$ and $N$, open balls in $R^{n}$, containing $x_{0}, y_{0}$ and $t_{0}$ respectively, such that:

For each $t \in N$ and $x \in X, g(x, t)$ is the unique element in $Y$ satisfying $f(x, g(x, t))=t$.

Since $g$ is continuously differentiable, there exists a
positive real number $v$ and a neighborhood $M$ of $t_{o}, M \in N$ such that:

$$
g_{j}\left(x_{0}, t\right) \in N(\hat{y}, v / 2) \text { for every } t \in M \text { and } \frac{\partial g_{j}(x, t)}{\partial x_{i}} \in(s-|s| / 10,
$$

$s+|s| / 10)$ for each $t \in M$ and each $x \in \bar{N}_{i}\left(x_{0}, v\right)$, where $\hat{y}(\epsilon R)$ denotes the $j{ }^{\text {th }}$ component of $y_{o}, s=\frac{\partial g_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ and $\bar{N}_{i}\left(x_{0}, v\right)=\left\{x \in \bar{N}\left(x_{0}, v\right) \mid\right.$ the $k^{\text {th }}$ component of $x$ is equal to the $k^{\text {th }}$ component of $x_{0}$ for each $k$ different from $i\}$, where $\bar{N}\left(x_{0}, v\right)$ is the closed ball with center $x_{0}$ and radius $v$.

Therefore, by Lemma 2 there exist sets $C$ and $D$ such that: $C \subset \bar{N}_{i}\left(x_{o}, v\right), D \in \bar{N}(\hat{y}, v)$ and $C$ and $D$ are compact sets, both having one dimensional Lebesgue measure zero, and
(the graph of $g_{t j}$ ) $\cap(C x D)$ has cardinality $c$ for each $t \in M$, where $g_{t j}(x)=g_{j}(x, t)$ for each $x$.

Furthermore, $\left.g_{t j}\right|_{\bar{N}_{i}\left(x_{0}, v\right)}$ is a 1 to 1 function for each $t \in M$.
Therefore, if $t \in M$, there exist two transfinite sequences $\left\{c_{\tau}^{t}\right\}_{\tau}<\omega_{c}$ and $\left\{d_{\tau}^{t}\right\}_{\tau}<\omega_{c}$ such that: $c_{\tau}^{t} \in C$ and $d_{\tau}^{t} \in R \times R \times \ldots \times R \times D \times R \times \ldots R$ (where we have $n$ factors and $D$ appears in the $j^{\text {th }}$ place) for each $\tau\left\langle\omega_{c} ; c_{\tau}^{t} \neq c_{\tau}{ }^{t}, d_{\tau}^{t} \neq d_{\tau}^{t}\right.$, for each $\tau, \tau^{\prime}\left\langle\omega_{c}, \tau \neq \tau^{\prime}\right.$ and $f\left(c_{\tau}^{t}, d_{\tau}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)=\dot{\mathrm{t}}$ for each $\tau<\omega_{c}$.

It now follows, by a simple argument involving transfinite induction, that there exist two transfinite sequences $\left\{e_{\tau}\right\}_{\tau}<\omega_{C}$ and $\left\{f_{\tau}\right\}_{\tau} \leq \omega_{C}$ such that: $e_{\tau} \neq e_{\tau}$, and $f_{\tau} \neq f_{\tau}$, for each $\tau<\tau<\omega_{c},\left\{e_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\} \subset C$,
$\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\} \subset R \times R \times \ldots \times R \times D \times R \times \ldots R$ and $f\left(e_{\tau}, f_{\tau}\right)=t_{\tau}$ for each $\tau<\omega_{c}$, where $M=\left\{t_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\}$.

By Lemma 1 it follows that there exist sets $A$ and $B$, with $A \subset\left\{e_{\tau}: T<\omega_{c}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{f_{\tau}: \tau<\omega_{c}\right\}$, such that $f(A \times B)$ is nonmeasurable. Furthermore $A$ and $B$ are both measurable since they are subsets of sets of measure zero.

Remark 2. One of the referees provided the following interesting application of Theorem 2. Let $M_{k}$ be the set of all kx matrices with real entries and $n=k^{2}$. If $f(x, y)=x y$, for $x, y, x y \in M_{k}$ (i.e. $x y$ is the matrix multiplication of $x$ and $y$ ), then there are Lebesgue measurable sets $A$ and $B$ of measure zero for which $f(A x B)$ is nonmeasurable in the sense of Lebesgue.

Remark 3. The method of constructing sets $C$ and $D$, in the proof of Theorem 1 is modelled after a construction in [4], on page 74.

Remark 4. Notice that the sets $A$ and $B$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 2 are both nowhere dense in $R^{n}$, and hence both have the Baire property and that $f(A x B)$ does not have the Baire property ([3], page 24). This shows that Theorem 2 on page 257 in [l], i.e. the Baire. set analogue of the Theorem of S. Kurepa, can be extended to functions $f: R^{n} x R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2 in this paper.

Remark 5. The remarks about the continum hypothesis, Martin's Axiom and (F) were brought to the authors attention by $F$. Galvin.

Remark 6. A. Abian and F. Galvin have pointed out that the $\mathrm{n}=1$ case of Kurepa's Theorem goes back to Sierpiński (see [5]). Galvin has shown that the $n=1$ case of Kurepa's result implies the general case of Kurepa's result.

Remark 7. The author wishes to thank the referees for several remarks that helped improve the exposition in this paper.

Remark 8. We conclude by noting that in the proof of Theorem 2 it is not necessary to show that there exist "Cantor-like" sets C and D. Namely, since $\bar{N}_{i}\left(x_{0}, v\right)$ has $n$-dimensional Lebesque measure zero, it is sufficient to observe that (the graph of $\left.g_{t j}\right) \cap\left(\bar{N}_{i}\left(x_{0}, v\right) x D\right)$ clearly has cardinality $c$ for each $t \in M$ if $D$ is a "Cantor-like" subset of $\bar{N}(\hat{y}, v)$ formed by taking out the middle $n_{o}^{t h}$ open intervals at each stage of its construction, where $n_{0}$ is sufficiently large. The remainder of the proof of Theorem 2 goes through unchanged.
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