James Foran, Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri - Kansas City, Kansas City, Missouri 64110

## Nowhere Monotone Functions

Let M denote the class of functions of a real variable which are monotone on a measurable set of positive measure. Burkill and Mirsky asked in [1,p.408] whether there is a differentiable function which is not in M. Motivation for this question comes from [2] where it is shown that every continuous function is monotone on some perfect set, from [3,p.412] where a differentiable function which is not monotone on any interval is constructed, and from [5] where, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it is shown that there is an infinitely differentiable function which is not monotone on any set with measure greater than  $\varepsilon$ . That every differentiable function belongs to M is a consequence of the theorems below. An example of a continuous function not in M is given in [5] by constructing a continuous function which is nowhere approximately derivable and proving that any function which is almost everywhere not approximately derivable is not in M. That there exist functions of bounded variation which are not in M is shown by the example below. However, it follows from Theorem 2 that any bounded variation function which is not in M must be singular (i.e., its derivative is equal to 0 almost everywhere).

Theorem 1. If f(x) is continuous and satisfies Lusin's

44

condition (N) on an interval I (i.e., the image of every set of measure 0 is of measure 0), then f(x) is monotone on a perfect set of positive measure.

<u>Theorem</u> 2. If f(x) is a function of a real variable, let  $E_f = \{x | f'(x) \text{ exists and } 0 < | f'(x) | < \infty \}$ . Then  $E_f$  is measurable and, if  $E_f$  is of positive measure, then f(x)is monotone on a subset of  $E_f$  of positive measure.

<u>Remark</u>. If f is not a constant function, but is differentiable, then f satisfies the hypotheses of both of these theorems. Hence, differentiable functions are always monotone on sets of positive measure.

<u>Proof of Theorem</u> 1. If f is not identically constant, there exist a,b  $\in$  I such that f takes on its minimum at a and its maximum at b. Without loss of generality, a < b. For each y  $\in$  f([a,b]), let x(y) = inf{x  $\in$  [a,b]: y = f(x)}. Let A = {x | x = x(y)}. Following [4,p.283], A = [a,b] \cup E\_n n

 $E_n = \{x \in [a + \frac{1}{n}, b]: \exists t \in [a, b] \text{ with } f(t) = f(x) \text{ and } x - t \geq \frac{1}{n} \}.$ From the continuity of f, it follows that each  $E_n$  is closed and thus A is measurable. Again, from the continuity of f, it follows that f is monotone increasing on A. For if  $x_1, x_2 \in A, x_1 < x_2$ , and  $f(x_1) \geq f(x_2)$ , then by the intermediate value property it follows that  $\exists x_3 \in [a, x_1]$  such that  $f(x_3) = f(x_2)$ . But this contradicts the fact that

$$x_2 = \inf\{x \in [a,b]: f(x) = f(x_2)\}.$$

Since f(A) = f([a,b]) is of positive measure and f satisfies Lusin's condition (N), it follows that A is of positive measure. Thus the theorem is proved.

<u>Proof of Theorem</u> 2. That E<sub>f</sub> is measurable follows from the fact that for every function f of a real variable,

$$\overline{D}f(x) = \overline{\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}} \text{ and } \underline{D}f(x) = \underline{\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}}$$

are measurable functions. (cf. [4,p.112]. Then

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{f}} = \{\mathbf{x} | \overline{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ and } \overline{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty) \}$$

is Lebesque measurable. Without loss of generality, suppose  $E^+ = \{x \mid 0 < f'(x) < \infty\}$  is of positive measure. For each natural number n and integer k, let  $E_{nk}$  be the set of  $x \in E^+ \cap [\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]$  which satisfy  $\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y} > 1/n$  whenever  $|x-y| \leq 1/n$ . Then, for  $x_1, x_2 \in E_{kn}, x_1 < x_2$  implies  $|x_1-x_2| \leq 1/n$  which in turn implies that  $f(x_2) - f(x_1) > (x_2-x_1)/n$ . Thus f is monotone increasing on each  $E_{kn}$ . Since  $E^+ = \bigcup \bigcup E_{kn}$  it follows that one of the  $E_{kn}$  is of positive measure. Thus f is monotone on a set of positive measure and the theorem is proved.

Example. A continuous function of bounded variation defined

on [0,1] which is not monotone on any measurable set of positive measure.

Construction. Let C be the Cantor ternary set; i.e.,

 $C = \{x \in [0,1]: x = \sum x_i/3^i \text{ where each } x_i = 0 \text{ or } 2\}.$ Let c(x) be the Cantor singular function; i.e., if  $x \in C$ and  $x = \sum x_i/3^i$ , then  $c(x) = \sum x_i/2^i$  and c(x) is linear on intervals contiguous to C. Let

$$y_{i}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = \sum x_{j}/3^{j} & \text{where } x_{i} = 0 & \text{or } x_{i} = 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } x = \sum x_{j}/3^{j} & \text{where } x_{i} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Let  $h(x) = \min(c(x), 1-c(x))$  and extend h(x) to the entire real line so that h(x) = h(x-1). Let  $f_i(x) = 8^{-i}y_i(x) \cdot h(3^ix)$ . It is readily observed that each  $f_i(x)$  is continuous. Furthermore, since  $y_i(x) = 0$  except on  $3^i$  intervals, it follows that  $f_i(x)$  has variation in [0,1] of magnitude  $2 \cdot 8^{-i} \cdot 3^i$ . Thus  $Var(f_i) = 2 \cdot (3/8)^i$ . Let  $f(x) = \sum f_i(x)$ . Then  $Var(f) \le \sum Var(f_i) = 2 \cdot 3/5 < \infty$ . Let P be a set of positive measure, E be the set of real numbers which have infinitely many 1's in their ternary expansion, and P' = PNE. Then, since  $|E^C| = 0$ , |P'| = |P|. Let x be a point of density of P' and let

 $I = [a,b] = [.x_1x_2...x_n^0, ..x_1x_2...x_n^1]$ 

satisfy  $x \in I$  and  $|P' \cap I| > 8/9 |I|$ . Then, by the construction of  $f_i$ , for i = 1, 2, ... n each  $f_i$  is constant on I.

47

Since  $|P' \cap I| > 8/9 |I|$  there are points  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in P'$  such that

$$a_1 \in (a + 1/9 d, a + 2/9 d)$$
  
 $a_2 \in (a + 1/3 d, a + 2/3 d)$   
 $a_3 \in (a + 7/9 d, a + 8/9 d)$ 

where d = b-a. Then  $f_{n+1}(a_1) = f_{n+1}(a_3)$  and  $f_{n+1}(a_2) - f_{n+1}(a_1) = \frac{1}{2} 8^{-n-1}$ . Hence

$$|f(a_3) - f(a_1)| \le 2 \cdot \sum_{n+2}^{\infty} 8^{-i} = 2/7 \cdot 8^{-n-1}$$

and

$$|f(a_2) - f(a_1)| > \frac{1}{2} 8^{-n-1} - 2 \sum_{n+2}^{\infty} 8^{-i} = 5/14 \cdot 8^{-n-1}.$$

It follows that f is not monotone on P and since P was an arbitrary perfect set of positive measure, it follows that f is not monotone on any measurable set of positive measure.

## References

- H. Burkill and L. Mirsky, Monotonicity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 41 (1973), pp. 391-410.
- F.M. Filipczak, Sur les fonctions continues relativement monotone, <u>Fund</u>. <u>Math</u>. 58 (1966), pp. 75-87.
- E.W. Hobson, The Theory of Functions of a Real Variable, Vol. 2, 2nd Ed., Cambridge University Press, 1926.
- 4. S. Saks, Theory of the Integral, translated by L.C. Young, Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1937.
- 5. Catherine V. Smallwood, Monotone Restrictions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 41 (1973), pp. 384-390.

Received March 15, 1976