Real Analysis Exchange Vol. 18(1), 1992/93, pp. 232-236

T. Natkaniec, Instytut Matematyki WSP, ul. Chodkiewicza 30, 85-064 Bydgoszcz, Poland, e-mail: wspb11@pltumk11.bitnet

Products of Darboux Functions

Let us establish some terminology to be used. R denotes the set of all reals. I denotes a non-degenerate closed interval. If A is a planar set, we denote its x-projection by dom(A) and y-projection by rng(A).

We shall consider real functions defined on a real interval. No distinction is made between a function and its graph. The symbols $C^-(f, x)$ and $C^+(f, x)$ denote the left and right cluster sets of f at the point x. The symbol C(f)denotes the set of all continuity points of f. The notation [f > 0] means the set $\{x : f(x) > 0\}$. Likewise for $[f = 0], [f \neq 0]$, etc. For subsets $A, B \subset R$ let $\mathcal{D}^*(A, B)$ denote the class of all functions $f : A \longrightarrow B$ such that $cl_A f^{-1}(y) = A$ for each $y \in B$. Let us remark that if A is an F_{σ} set and A is c dense-in-itself, then the class $\mathcal{D}^*(A, R)$ contains Baire 2 functions (see [2]).

The function f is said to be Darboux if f(C) is connected whenever C is a connected subset of the domain of f. If each open set containing f also contains a continuous function with the same domain as f, then f is almost continuous [2]. It is clear that if $f: I \longrightarrow R$ is almost continuous, then f is connected and, therefore, it has the Darboux property. Moreover, if f meets each closed subset F of $I \times R$ with $int(dom(F)) \neq \emptyset$, then f is almost continuous [2].

We shall use the following set-theoretical assumption.

A(c) – the union of less than 2^{ω} many first category subsets of R is of the first category again.

Note that this statement is a consequence of Martin's Axiom and therefore also the Continuum Hypothesis (see e.g. [2]).

It is well known that each real-valued function defined on a real interval can be expressed as a sum of two Darboux functions [2]. This fact was improved by Fast in the following way: if \mathcal{F} is a collection of *c*-many real functions then there exists a function *g* such that f + g is Darboux for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ [2]. In 1967, Mišik proved that for each countable family \mathcal{F} of Baire α functions (where $\alpha > 1$) there exists a Baire α function *g* such that f + g has the Darboux property for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ [2]. In 1984, Pu and Pu proved the analogous result for finite

Received by the editors September 26, 1991

families of Baire 1 functions [2]. In 1974, Kellum proved that Fast's theorem holds if "*Darboux*" is replaced by "*almost continuity*". In the present paper we state some similar results with respect to products of functions.

First, let us remark that a general function may not be a product of Darboux functions (and therefore also almost continuous functions) [2], see for example the function f given by f(x) = 1 for $x \neq 0$ and f(0) = -1. Products of two Darboux functions, Darboux Baire 1 functions and almost continuous functions are characterized in [2], [2] and [2], respectively.

Theorem 1 If \mathcal{F} is a countable family of Baire α functions and $\alpha > 1$, then there exists a non-zero Darboux Baire α function g such that:

- 1. fg has the Darboux property for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$,
- 2. the set $[g \neq 0]$ has Lebesgue measure zero and is of the first category (hence g and all fg, for $f \in \mathcal{F}$, are measurable and have the Baire property).

Proof. Let us assume that $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n : n \in N\}$ is a given family of Baire α functions defined on I. For $n \in N$, let G_n be the union of all subintervals J of I such that $J \cap [f_n \neq 0]$ has the cardinality less than 2^{ω} (in fact, since f_n is Borel measurable, this set must be countable). Let us define $H_n = \overline{G_n} \cap [f_n \neq 0]$. Since each H_n is of the first category, $A = \bigcup_{n \in N} H_n$ is of the first category too. Let $(I_n, t_n)_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of all sets of the form $J \times \{n\}$, where J is a subinterval of I with rational end-points and $n \in N$. Inductively we can choose a sequence $(C_n)_{n \in N}$ of Cantor sets (having measure zero) such that for each $n \in N$ the following conditions are fulfilled.

(i) If
$$I_n \subset \overline{G_{t_n}}$$
, then $C_n \subset I_n \cap [f_{t_n} = 0] \setminus (A \cup \bigcup_{i < n} C_i)$.

(ii) If $I_n \setminus \overline{G_{i_n}} \neq \emptyset$, then $C_n \subset I_n \cap [f_{i_n} \neq 0] \setminus (A \cup \bigcup_{i < n} C_i)$.

For any $n \in N$, let us put $T_n = \bigcup \{C_i : t_i = n\}$. Then each T_n is of the type F_{σ} and c-dense in *I*. Moreover, all T_n have measure zero, are of the first category and satisfy the following conditions.

- (*iii*) $T_n \subset I \setminus A$,
- (iv) $T_n \setminus \overline{G_n} \subset [f_n \neq 0].$

Now for any $n \in N$, let $T_{1,n}, T_{2,n}$ be two disjoint F_{σ} subsets of the set $T_n \setminus \overline{G_n}$ both *c*-dense in $I \setminus \overline{G_n}$. Let us fix Baire 2 functions $g_{0,n} \in \mathcal{D}^*(G_n \cap T_n, R)$, $g_{1,n} \in \mathcal{D}^*(T_{1,n}, R)$ and $h_n \in \mathcal{D}^*(T_{2,n}, R)$. We define $g: I \longrightarrow R$ as follows:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} g_{0,n}(x) & \text{for } x \in G_n \cap T_n, \\ g_{1,n}(x) & \text{for } x \in T_{1,n}, \\ h_n(x)/f_n(x) & \text{for } x \in T_{2,n}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We shall verify that g fulfills the conditions (1) and (2). First, let us fix a subinterval $J \,\subset I$. If $J \subset \overline{G_0}$, then $g(J) \supset g_{0,0}(J \cap G_0 \cap T_0) = R$. Otherwise, $J \setminus \overline{G_0} \neq \emptyset$ and $g(J) \supset g_{1,0}(J \cap T_{1,0}) = R$. Thus $g \in \mathcal{D}^*(I, R)$ and consequently, $g \not\equiv 0$. Now fix $n \in N$ and a subinterval J of I. If $J \subset \overline{G_n}$, then $(f_n g)|J \equiv 0$. If $J \subset I \setminus \overline{G_n}$, then $(f_n g)|J \supset h_n|(J \cap T_{2,n})$ and hence $(f_n g)|J \in \mathcal{D}^*(J, R)$. Additionally, let us remark that $f_n g|(\overline{G_n} \setminus G_n) \equiv 0$. These three conditions imply easily Darboux property of $f_n g$.

Since $[g \neq 0] \subset \bigcup_{n \in N} T_n$, the function g equals zero except a first category set of Lebesgue measure zero.

Finally, it is easy to verify that g is a Baire α function.

Theorem 2 Let us assume A(c). If \mathcal{F} is a family of Baire 1 functions of the power less than 2^{ω} , then there exists a non-zero function $g \in \mathcal{DB}_1$ such that fg has the Darboux property for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Let us assume that $\kappa < 2^{\omega}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\}$ is a family of Baire 1 functions. Then for each $\alpha < \kappa$ the set $C(f_{\alpha})$ of all continuity points of f_{α} is residual and, by A(c), $B = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} C(f_{\alpha})$ is residual too. We choose a Cantor set $C \subset B$ and a non-zero function $g \in \mathcal{DB}_1$ such that $[g \neq 0] \subset C$ (see e.g. [2], p.13). Note that for a given $\alpha < \kappa$ the product $f_{\alpha}g$ is a Baire 1 function. Using the Young's criterion (see [2], p. 9), we shall verify that $f_{\alpha}g$ has the Darboux property. Fix $x_0 \in I$. We consider two cases.

- (a) $x_0 \in C(f_\alpha)$. Since $g \in \mathcal{DB}_1$, there exist sequences $x_n \nearrow x_0$, $y_n \searrow x_0$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} g(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g(y_n) = g(x_0)$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} (f_\alpha g)(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (f_\alpha g)(y_n) = (f_\alpha g)(x_0)$.
- (b) $x_0 \notin C(f_\alpha)$. Then $g(x_0) = 0$, and since [g = 0] is dense in *I*, we can select two sequences $x_n \nearrow x_0$, $y_n \searrow x_0$ with $g(x_n) = g(y_n) = 0$ for $n \in N$. Thus $\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} (f_\alpha g)(x_n) = \lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} (f_\alpha g)(y_n) = 0 = (f_\alpha g)(x_0)$.

Consequently, $f_{\alpha}g$ has the Darboux property.

Theorem 3 Let us assume A(c). If \mathcal{F} is a family of real functions of the power less than 2^{ω} , then there exists an almost continuous function $g \not\equiv 0$ such that fg is almost continuous for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Let us assume that $\kappa < 2^{\omega}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\}$. For $\alpha < \kappa$, let G_{α} be the union of all subintervals J of I such that $J \cap [f_{\alpha} \neq 0]$ is of the first category. Then $A = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} ((\overline{G_{\alpha}} \setminus G_{\alpha}) \cup (G_{\alpha} \cap [f_{\alpha} \neq 0]))$ is of the first category again. Let $(F_{\alpha})_{\alpha < 2^{\omega}}$ be a sequence of all closed subset of $I \times R$ with $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom}(F_{\alpha})) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\varphi : \kappa \times 2^{\omega} \longrightarrow 2^{\omega}$ be a bijection. For each $\gamma < 2^{\omega}$ such that $\gamma = \varphi(\alpha, \beta)$, we choose $z_{\gamma}, t_{\gamma} \in R^2$ such that:

Products of Darboux Functions

(i) if $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom}(F_{\beta})) \setminus \overline{G_{\alpha}} \neq \emptyset$, then $z_{\gamma}, t_{\gamma} \in F_{\beta}$, $\operatorname{dom}(z_{\gamma}) \in [f_{\alpha} \neq 0] \cap \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom}(F_{\beta})) \setminus (\overline{G_{\alpha}} \cup A \cup \operatorname{dom}\{z_{\nu}, t_{\nu} : \nu < \gamma\}),$ $\operatorname{dom}(t_{\gamma}) \in [f_{\alpha} \neq 0] \cap \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom}(F_{\beta}))$ $\setminus (\overline{G_{\alpha}} \cup A \cup \operatorname{dom}\{z_{\mu}, t_{\nu} : \nu < \gamma, \mu \leq \gamma\} \cup \{0\}),$

(ii) if $int(dom(F_{\beta})) \subset \overline{G_{\alpha}}$, then $t_{\gamma} = (0,0), z_{\gamma} \in F_{\beta}$ and

$$\operatorname{dom}(z_{\gamma}) \in G_{\alpha} \cap [f_{\alpha} = 0] \setminus \operatorname{dom}\{z_{\nu}, t_{\nu} : \nu < \gamma\},$$

Now we define the function $g: I \longrightarrow R$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rng}(z_{\gamma}) & \text{if } x = \operatorname{dom}(z_{\gamma}), \, \gamma < 2^{\omega} \\ \operatorname{rng}(t_{\gamma})/f_{\alpha}(x) & \text{if } x \neq 0, \, x = \operatorname{dom}(t_{\gamma}), \, \varphi(\gamma) = (\alpha, \beta), \, \alpha, \beta, \gamma < 2^{\omega}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since g meets every blocking set, g is almost continuous [2]. Moreover, it is easy to observe that $g \in \mathcal{D}^*(I, R)$ and therefore, $g \not\equiv 0$. Finally we will verify that for $\alpha < \kappa$ the function $f_{\alpha}g$ is almost continuous. Notice that $(f_{\alpha}g)|J \equiv 0$ for each component J of the set $\operatorname{int}(\overline{G_{\alpha}})$. If J is a component of $I \setminus \overline{G_{\alpha}}$, then $(f_{\alpha}g)|J$ is almost continuous and, moreover, $(f_{\alpha}g)|J \in \mathcal{D}^*(J, R)$. Finally we observe that the set $C = \overline{G_{\alpha}} \setminus G_{\alpha}$ is closed and nowhere dense. Thus $f_{\alpha}g$ fulfills the following conditions:

(a) $f_{\alpha}g(x) = 0$ for $x \in C$,

(b)
$$0 \in C^-((f_\alpha g)|(I \setminus C), x) \cap C^+((f_\alpha g)|(I \setminus C), x)$$
 for $x \in C$,

(c) $(f_{\alpha}g)|J$ is almost continuous for any component J of the set $I \setminus C$.

By Lemma 3 [2], we conclude that the function $f_{\alpha}g$ is almost continuous. Note that the following example shows that Theorems 2 and 3 can not be

improved for families \mathcal{F} with $card(\mathcal{F}) = 2^{\omega}$.

Example 1 There exists a family \mathcal{F} of 2^{ω} -many Baire 1 functions such that for every non-zero function g there is some $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that fg does not have the Darboux property.

Indeed, let h = 1 and \mathcal{F}_0 be the family of all characteristic functions χ_x of singletons, and let $\mathcal{F} = \{h\} \cup \mathcal{F}_0$. Let us assume that $g: I \longrightarrow R$ is a function such that fg has the Darboux property for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Since g = gh, g has the Darboux property. Let us fix $x \in I$. Since $(\chi_x g)(y) = g(x)\chi_x(y) = 0$ for all $y \neq x$ and $\chi_x g$ has the Darboux property, $g \equiv 0$.

References

- [1] A.M. Bruckner, Differentiation of Real Functions, Springer-Verlag, (1978).
- J. Ceder, On representing functions by Darboux functions, Acta Scient. Math. (Szeged), 26 (1965), 283-285.
- [3] J. Ceder, On factoring a function into a product of Darboux functions, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 31 (1982), 16-22.
- [4] J. Ceder, A necessary and sufficient condition for a Baire α function to be a product of two Darboux functions, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 36 (1987), 78-84.
- [5] H. Fast, Une remarque sur la propriété de Weierstrass, Coll. Math. 7 (1974), 125-128.
- [6] K.R. Kellum, Sums and limits of almost continuous functions, Coll. Math. 31 (1974), 125-128.
- [7] S. Marcus, Sur le representation d'une fonction arbitraire par des fonction jouissant de la proprieté de Darboux, Trans. AMS 95 (1966), 484-494.
- [8] L. Mišik, Zu zwei Sätzen von W. Sierpiński, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. 12 (1967), 849-860.
- T. Natkaniec, On compositions and products of almost continuous functions, Fund. Math. 139(1991), 59-74.
- [10] H.W. Pu and H.H. Pu, On representations of Baire functions in a given family as sums of Baire Darboux functions with a common summand, Čas. pro Pěst. Mat. 112 (1987), 320-326.
- [11] W. Sierpiński, Sur une propriété de fonctions réelles quelconques, La Mathematiche (Catania) 8 (1953), 43-48.
- [12] J. Shoenfield, Martin's Axiom, Amer. Math. Monthly 82 (1975), 610-617.
- [13] J. Stallings, Fixed point theorems for connectivity maps, Fund. Math. 47 (1959), 249-263.