# $\bullet$ <br> involve 

 a journal of mathematicsSpectrum of the Laplacian on graphs of radial functions

Rodrigo Matos and Fabio Montenegro

# Spectrum of the Laplacian on graphs of radial functions 

Rodrigo Matos and Fabio Montenegro

(Communicated by Martin J. Bohner)

We prove that if $M$ is a complete, noncompact hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which is the graph of a real radial function, then the spectrum of the Laplace operator on $M$ is the interval $[0, \infty)$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a simply connected Riemannian manifold. The Laplace operator $\Delta$ : $C_{0}^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$, defined as $\Delta=$ div o grad and acting on $C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$ (the space of smooth functions with compact support), is a second-order elliptic operator and, provided $M$ is complete, it has a unique extension $\Delta$ to an unbounded self-adjoint operator on $L^{2}(M)$ whose domain is $\operatorname{Dom}(\Delta)=\left\{f \in L^{2}(M): \Delta f \in L^{2}(M)\right\}$; see [Grigor'yan 2009, Theorem 11.5]. Since $-\Delta$ is positive and symmetric, its spectrum is the set of $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $\Delta+\lambda I$ does not have a bounded inverse. Sometimes we say "spectrum of M " rather than "spectrum of $-\Delta$ ", and we denote it by $\sigma(M)$. One defines the essential spectrum, $\sigma_{\text {ess }}(M)$, to be those $\lambda$ in the spectrum which are either accumulation points of the spectrum or eigenvalues of infinite multiplicity. The discrete spectrum is the set $\sigma_{d}=\sigma(M) \backslash \sigma_{\text {ess }}(M)$ of all eigenvalues of finite multiplicity which are isolated points of the spectrum.

There is a vast literature on the spectrum of the Laplace operator on complete noncompact manifolds. The first result we mention was published by Tayoshi [1971]. He showed the absence of eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ for a class of surfaces of revolution, determined by nonnegative radial growth.

Donnelly [1981] showed

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(M)=\left[(n-1)^{2} \frac{1}{4} c^{2}, \infty\right)
$$

provided $M$ is a Hadamard manifold whose sectional curvature approaches $-c^{2}$ at infinity. Karp [1984] gave sufficient conditions for a class of manifolds to have

[^0]purely continuous spectrum $\left(\sigma_{d}(M)=\varnothing\right)$ under some curvature conditions. Eight years later, Donnelly and Garofalo [1992] obtained results in a similar direction, using the hypothesis of nonnegative radial sectional curvature, without restrictions on the metric.

Cheng and Zhiqin Lu [1992] proved $\sigma_{\text {ess }}(M)=[0, \infty)$ when $M$ has nonnegative radial sectional curvature and $\operatorname{Li}$ [1994] proved $\sigma_{\text {ess }}(M)=[0, \infty)$, provided $M$ has nonnegative Ricci curvatures and a pole. Zhou [1994] proved $\sigma_{\text {ess }}(M)=[0, \infty)$ when $M$ has nonnegative sectional curvatures, generalizing the work of Escobar and Freire [1992].

Kumura [1997] found a result which generalized [Donnelly 1981]. He showed $\sigma_{\text {ess }}(M)=\left[\frac{1}{4} c^{2}, \infty\right)$ whenever

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{t>n}|\Delta t-c|=0
$$

where $t$ denotes the distance function on $M$.
Wang [1997] showed that the spectrum of a complete, noncompact Riemannian manifold with asymptotically nonnegative Ricci curvature is equal to $[0, \infty)$.

Zhiqin Lu and Detang Zhou [2011] proved that the $L^{p}$ essential spectrum of $M$ is equal to $[0, \infty)$ when

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Ric}_{M}(x)=0
$$

and $M$ is noncompact and complete. We should mention here that almost all the above works were strongly motivated by the decomposition principle [Donnelly and Li 1979], which states that the essential spectrum of a Riemannian manifold is invariant under compact perturbations of the metric, thus it is a function of the geometry of the ends. In [Monte and Montenegro 2015], it was proved that $\sigma_{\text {ess }}(M) \supset\left[(n-1)^{2} \frac{1}{4} c^{2}, \infty\right)$ for a class of Riemannian manifolds, not necessarily complete, whose metric is given by

$$
g_{M}=d r^{2}+\psi^{2}(r w) g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}},
$$

using curvature conditions only in a neighborhood of a ray.
See also [Bessa et al. 2010; 2012; 2015; Donnelly and Li 1979; Kleine 1988; 1989; Tayoshi 1971] for geometric conditions implying the discreteness of the spectrum, $\sigma_{\text {ess }}(M)=\varnothing$.

In this work we consider complete hypersurfaces which are graphs of radial functions. Our main result is the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a complete hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which is the graph of a real radial function. Then, the spectrum of the Laplace operator on $M$ is $[0, \infty)$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume the domain $\operatorname{Dom} f$ to be connected and symmetric with respect to $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. From the completeness of $M$ we further
deduce $\operatorname{Dom} f$ is an open ball or annulus. The theorem above allows us to construct a bounded hypersurface with the same spectrum of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by taking $M$ to be the graph of the real function $f(x)=\cos \left(\tan \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi|x|\right)\right)$ defined on the unit open ball.

Throughout the following discussion, for simplicity, we deal with the case where $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined in an open ball. Let $X:[0, R) \times \Omega \rightarrow D$ be defined by $X\left(r, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)=r w\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$, where $0<R \leq+\infty$ and $w$ is a coordinate system on $S^{n-1}$ defined on an open set $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Note that $M$ has a natural coordinate system $Y:[0, R) \times \Omega \rightarrow M$, given by $Y\left(r, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)=$ $\left(r w\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right), f(r)\right)$, but we are interested in the spherical coordinate system for $M$ on $p=(0, f(0))$. Consider $t:[0, R) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, given by

$$
t(r)=\int_{0}^{r}\left(1+f^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d \tau
$$

We claim that $t$ is a diffeomorphism. Observe that $t$ is increasing and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow R} t(r)=+\infty
$$

We denote by $r:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, R)$ the inverse diffeomorphism. By the inverse function theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<r^{\prime}(t)=\left(1+f^{\prime}(r)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \leq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the system of spherical coordinates on $M$, denoted $Z:[0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow M$, is defined by

$$
Z\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)=\left(r(t) w\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right), f \circ r(t)\right)
$$

The metric of $M$ on such a system is given by

$$
g_{M}=d t^{2}+r(t)^{2} g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}
$$

Because of this observation, Theorem 1 is a simple consequence of the theorem below.

Theorem 2. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an unbounded interval and $M=I \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with metric given by $g_{M}=d t^{2}+r^{2}(t) g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$, where $0<r^{\prime}(t) \leq c$ for all $t$. Then, the spectrum of the Laplace operator on $M$ is $[0, \infty)$.

Remark. (1) If $M$ has a pole at $p \in M$, then $\exp _{p}: T_{p} M \rightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism so that $M$ isometric to $T_{p} M$ with the pullback metric. Therefore, Theorem 2 implies that if $M$ has a pole $p$ and $g_{M}=d t^{2}+r^{2}(t) g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ with respect to $p$ and $0<r^{\prime}(t)<c$, then $M$ has spectrum equal to $[0, \infty)$.
(2) To the best of our knowledge, this natural result has only been verified in less general settings. For instance, since $r^{\prime}(t)>0$, then $r(t)$ is increasing and there are only two possibilities:
(a) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)=\infty$, or
(b) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)=R$.

In the first case, since $r^{\prime}(t)$ is bounded, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta t=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^{\prime}(t)}{r(t)}=0 .
$$

By [Kumura 1997, Theorem 1.2], it follows that the spectrum of $M$ is purely continuous and equal to $[0, \infty)$. In the second case, if $r^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ we still have $r^{\prime}(t) / r(t) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, the main contribution of this paper is the proof of the case where $r^{\prime}(t)$ does not converge to zero and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)=R<+\infty$. This is the scenario for the graph of the function $f(x)=\cos \left(\tan \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi|x|\right)\right)$ presented above.

In the next section we prove Theorem 2. The Appendix is devoted to the SturmLiouville theory used in this note.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2

We concentrate our efforts for the case where $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)=R$. Our approach is variational, based on the following lemma.

Lemma 3 [Davies 1995, Lemma 4.1.2]. A number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ lies in the spectrum of a self-adjoint operator $H$ if and only if there exists a sequence of functions $f_{n} \in \operatorname{Dom} H$ with $\left\|f_{n}\right\|=1$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|H f_{n}-\lambda f_{n}\right\|=0
$$

To deduce Theorem 2 from Lemma 3 we will construct, for each $\lambda>0$, a sequence of radial smooth functions $f_{p}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta f_{p}+\lambda f_{p}\right\|_{L^{2}(M)} \leq \frac{c}{p}\left\|f_{p}\right\|_{L^{2}(M)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any natural $p$, where $c$ is a constant which does not depend on $p$. It will follow that $g_{p}=f_{p} /\left\|f_{p}\right\|$ has norm one and

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\Delta g_{p}+\lambda g_{p}\right\|_{L^{2}(M)}=0
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3, $\lambda$ belongs to the spectrum. To construct the function $f_{p}$, we fix $t_{0}>0$ and prove that there are $t_{1}(\lambda)>t_{0}$ and a radial function $u=u(t)$ solution of the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta u+\lambda u=0 & \text { in }\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]  \tag{3}\\ u\left(t_{0}\right)=u\left(t_{1}\right)=0, & \\ u>0 & \text { in }\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Using Sturm-Liouville theory, we showed that $u$ can be extended to the whole interval $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and it has infinite zeros $t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{p}<\cdots$. The next step is to consider (for each $p$ ) a smooth bump function $h_{p}$ whose support is the interval [ $t_{0}, t_{3 p}$ ]. We then define $f_{p}=u h_{p}$ and show that each $f_{p}$ in this sequence satisfies (2). The function $t \mapsto r^{n-1}(t)$ has a geometric meaning and plays an important role in the proof, thus deserving a special notation. In the sequence of the paper, we let $v(t)=r^{n-1}(t)$.

We observe that the first equation in (3) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda v(t) u(t)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u=u(t)$ is a radial function. By Theorem 9 in the Appendix, given positive $t_{0}$ and $\lambda$, (4) has a solution defined on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and satisfying $u\left(t_{0}\right)=0$.

Moreover, Corollary 8 allows us to consider a sequence of zeros $t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots$ of $u$.

For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we choose a smooth bump function $h=h_{p}: \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq h \leq 1$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}h(t)=0, & t \in\left(-\infty, t_{0}\right] \cup\left[t_{3 p}, \infty\right), \\ h(t)=1, & t \in\left[t_{p}, t_{2 p}\right] .\end{cases}
$$

Such a function can be defined in the following way: let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be nonnegative with $\operatorname{supp} \varphi=[0,1]$ and $\int \varphi=1$. Let

$$
h_{p}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} \varphi_{p}(s) d s,
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{p}(t)=\frac{1}{t_{p}-t_{0}} \varphi\left(\frac{t-t_{0}}{t_{p}-t_{0}}\right)-\frac{1}{t_{3 p}-t_{2 p}} \varphi\left(\frac{t-t_{2 p}}{t_{3 p}-t_{2 p}}\right) .
$$

This construction is useful since it leads to the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|h_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \max \left\{\frac{\|\varphi\|_{\infty}}{t_{p}-t_{0}}, \frac{\|\varphi\|_{\infty}}{t_{3 p}-t_{2 p}}\right\} \leq \frac{C}{p}, \\
& \left\|h_{p}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \max \left\{\frac{\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left(t_{p}-t_{0}\right)^{2}}, \frac{\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left(t_{3 p}-t_{2 p}\right)^{2}}\right\} \leq \frac{C}{p^{2}} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we have made use of Corollary 11 in the Appendix.
Consider $f=f_{p}=u h_{p}$. We are going to prove that such a function satisfies the inequality in (2). Computing $\Delta f+\lambda f$, we obtain

$$
\Delta f+\lambda f=2 u^{\prime} h^{\prime}+u h^{\prime \prime}+(n-1) \frac{r^{\prime}}{r} h^{\prime} u .
$$

Using the inequalities in (5), together with the fact that $r$ is increasing and $r^{\prime}$ is bounded, we have

$$
|\Delta f+\lambda f| \leq \frac{c}{p}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|+|u|\right) \chi_{\left[t_{0}, t_{3} p\right]} .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Delta f+\lambda f|^{2} & \leq \frac{c}{p^{2}}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+|u|^{2}\right) \chi_{\left[t_{0}, t_{3 p}\right]}, \\
\int_{M}|\Delta f+\lambda f|^{2} d M & \leq \frac{c}{p^{2}}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{3 p}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} v d t+\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{3 p}}|u|^{2} v d t\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying (4) by $u$ and using integration by parts we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{3 p}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} v(t) d t=\lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{3 p}}|u|^{2} v(t) d t \\
\left\|\Delta f_{p}+\lambda f_{p}\right\|_{L^{2}(M)} \leq \frac{c}{p}\left\|u \cdot \chi_{\left[t_{0}, t_{p} p\right.}\right\|_{L^{2}(M)} \leq \frac{c}{p}\left\|u \cdot \chi_{\left[t_{p}, t_{2} p\right.}\right\|_{L^{2}(M)} \leq \frac{c}{p}\left\|f_{p}\right\|_{L^{2}(M)},
\end{gathered}
$$

where the second inequality comes from Lemma 4 below.
Lemma 4. There is a positive constant $C$ independent on $p$ such that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{3 p}} u^{2} v d t \leq C \int_{t_{p}}^{t_{2 p}} u^{2} v d t
$$

where $u$ is solution of (4) and $t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots$ are zeros of $u$.
This result is a manifestation of the oscillatory behavior of $u$. Before justifying its veracity, we state a useful way of estimating $u$ between two zeros.

Lemma 5. Let u be a solution of (4), and choose $t_{k}, t_{k+1}$ to be consecutive zeros for u. Define

$$
\alpha_{k}(t)=a_{k} \sin \left(\lambda^{1 / 2} R^{n-1} \int_{t_{k}}^{t} v^{-1}(s) d s\right)
$$

and

$$
\beta_{k}(t)=b_{k} \sin \left(\lambda^{1 / 2} v\left(t_{k}\right) \int_{t_{k}}^{t} v^{-1}(s) d s\right),
$$

where $a_{k}=v\left(t_{k}\right) b_{k} /\left(R^{n-1} \lambda^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $b_{k}=u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right) / \lambda^{1 / 2}$. Then $\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \leq|u|$ on $\left(t_{k}, \tilde{t}_{k}\right)$ and $|u| \leq\left|\beta_{k}\right|$ on $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$, where $\tilde{t}_{k}$ is the next zero of $\alpha_{k}$ after $t_{k}$.

To make the exposition more fluid, we postpone the proof until the Appendix.
Proof of Lemma 4. Observe that multiplying (4) by $v(t) u^{\prime}$ we get

$$
\left(v(t) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} v(t) u^{\prime}+\lambda v^{2} u u^{\prime}=0
$$

and so,

$$
\left(\left(v(t) u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda v^{2}\left(u^{2}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

Integrating from $t_{0}$ to $t_{k}$, we have

$$
v\left(t_{k}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2}-v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}=-\lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{k}} v^{2}(s)\left(u^{2}(s)\right)^{\prime} d s
$$

Integrating the right hand side by parts, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(t_{k}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2}-v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}=2 \lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{k}} v v^{\prime} u^{2} d s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $r, r^{\prime}>0$, we have $v, v^{\prime}>0$. Also, $r(t)<R$ and as a consequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2}>\frac{v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}}{R^{2(n-1)}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \geq 1$.
To obtain an estimate in the other direction, we observe that the function $\beta=$ $\beta_{0}(t)$ in Lemma 5 satisfies $\beta^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v(t) \beta^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\lambda v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}}{v(t)} \beta(t)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying by $v(t) \beta^{\prime}$ we get, as in the preceding computations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v(t)^{2}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\beta^{2}\right)^{\prime}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $\overline{t_{1}}$ is the next root of $\beta$ after $t_{0}$, integrating the last equation we find

$$
\begin{align*}
v\left(\overline{t_{1}}\right)^{2} \beta^{\prime}\left(\overline{t_{1}}\right)^{2} & =v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} \\
& =v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

We take $k=1$ and estimate the right side of (6) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(v^{2}\right)^{\prime} u^{2} d t & \leq \lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(v^{2}\right)^{\prime} \beta^{2} d t \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{\overline{t_{1}}}\left(v^{2}\right)^{\prime} \beta^{2} d t \\
& =-\lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{\overline{t_{1}}} v^{2}\left(\beta^{2}\right)^{\prime} d t  \tag{11}\\
& =-\frac{1}{v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{\overline{t_{1}}} v^{2}\left(\lambda v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} \beta^{2}\right)^{\prime} d t
\end{align*}
$$

By (9) we infer

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{1}{v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{\overline{t_{1}}} v^{2}\left(\lambda v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} \beta^{2}\right)^{\prime} d t & =\frac{1}{v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{\overline{t_{1}}} v^{2}\left(v^{2}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{\prime} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{\overline{t_{1}}}\left(v^{4}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{\prime}-\left(v^{2}\right)^{\prime} v^{2}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t  \tag{12}\\
& <\frac{v^{4}\left(\overline{t_{1}}\right)\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\overline{t_{1}}\right)-v^{4}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)}{v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, using (10) and that $\beta^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$, we find

$$
\lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(v^{2}\right)^{\prime} u^{2} \leq\left(v\left(\overline{t_{1}}\right)^{2}-v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}\right) u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} d t
$$

Then, by (6),

$$
v\left(t_{1}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)^{2}-v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} \leq\left(v\left(\overline{t_{1}}\right)^{2}-v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}\right) u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}
$$

Since $v(t)$ is increasing, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
v\left(t_{1}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)^{2} & \leq v\left(\overline{t_{1}}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq v\left(t_{2}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{v\left(t_{2}\right)^{2}}{v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}} u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}
$$

Using the same argument, one shows by induction that

$$
u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{v\left(t_{k+1}\right)^{2} v\left(t_{k}\right)^{2}}{v\left(t_{1}\right)^{2} v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}} u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2}
$$

Since $r(t)<R$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{R^{4(n-1)}}{v\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} v\left(t_{1}\right)^{2}} u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using Lemma 5, it's easy to check that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{3 p}} u^{2} v d t & =\sum_{k=0}^{3 p-1} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} u^{2} v(t) d t  \tag{15}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{3 p-1} u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \sin ^{2}\left(\lambda^{1 / 2} v\left(t_{k}\right) \int_{t_{k}}^{t} \frac{d s}{v(s)}\right) v(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Letting

$$
\tau=\lambda^{1 / 2} v\left(t_{k}\right) \int_{t_{k}}^{t} \frac{d s}{v(s)}
$$

the change of variables formula shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{3 p-1} u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \sin ^{2}\left(\lambda^{1 / 2} v\left(t_{k}\right) \int_{t_{k}}^{t} \frac{d s}{v(s)}\right) v(t) d t \\
&=\frac{1}{\lambda^{3 / 2}} \sum_{k=0}^{3 p-1} \frac{u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2}}{v\left(t_{k}\right)} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin ^{2}(\tau) v^{2}(\tau(t)) d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{\pi R^{2(n-1)}}{2 \lambda^{3 / 2} r^{n-1}\left(t_{0}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{3 p-1} u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2}  \tag{1}\\
&=C \sum_{k=0}^{3 p-1} u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By (7) and (14), the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{3 p-1} u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2} & \leq 3 C p u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=p}^{2 p-1} u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{3 p}} u^{2} v d t \leq C \sum_{k=p}^{2 p-1} u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the last inequality comes from (7), for some suitable constant $C>0$. Again by the change of variables formula (this time applied to each $\alpha_{k}$ ) and by Lemma 5 , one sees that if $\tilde{t}_{k}$ is the next zero of $\alpha_{k}$ after $t_{k}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{t_{p}}^{t_{2 p}} u^{2} v(t) d t & =\sum_{k=p}^{2 p-1} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} u^{2} v(t) d t \\
& \geq \sum_{k=p}^{2 p-1} \int_{t_{k}}^{\tilde{t}_{k+1}} \alpha_{k}^{2} v(t) d t  \tag{19}\\
& \geq C \sum_{k=p}^{2 p-1} u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (18) we conclude that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{3 p}} u^{2} r^{n-1} d t \leq C \int_{t_{p}}^{t_{2 p}} u^{2} r^{n-1} d t
$$

for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and for a constant $C=C(\lambda, R)$, independent of $p$.

## Appendix: Elements of Sturm-Liouville theory

For the convenience of the reader, we present some facts about Sturm-Liouville problems used in the previous section. Our motivation relies on the study of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v(t) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda v(t) u=0 \quad t \geq t_{0}>0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v(t)=r^{n-1}(t)$ for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the following we assume the function $r(t)$ to be positive; moreover:
(I) $0<r^{\prime}(t) \leq c$.
(II) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)=R<+\infty$.

We start with a classical terminology.
Definition 6. Equation (20) is said to be oscillatory if any of its solutions has arbitrarily large zeros.

The following theorem is a practical criterion for oscillation.
Theorem 7. Let $v(t)$ be a positive continuous function on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and $\lambda>0$. Then, the equation

$$
\left(v(t) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda v(t) u=0
$$

for $t \geq t_{0}$ is oscillatory, provided $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} v(t) d t=+\infty$ and $\int_{t_{0}}^{t} v(t) d t \leq C t^{a}$, for some positive constants $C$ and $a$.

The proof is discussed in [do Carmo and Zhou 1999, Theorem 2.1]. Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)=R$, we easily have the following.

Corollary 8. Equation (20) is oscillatory.
Theorem 9. For positive $v$, any solution $u$ of (20) on a interval $\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\delta\right]$ with initial values $u\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$ and $u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{1}$ can be extended to $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$.

Again, the proof is presented in [do Carmo and Zhou 1999, Theorem 2.2].
The next propositions appear in the literature as Sturm comparison theorems; see [Hartman 1982, Theorem 3.1]. These are standard results, but for the sake of self-containment we decided to present their proofs. They emerge as useful ways to compare solutions for ordinary differential equations, as we did in Section 2.

Proposition 10. Let $x, y$ be nontrivial solutions for

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(p(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) x=0 \\
\left(p_{1}(t) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q_{1}(t) y=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p(t) \geq p_{1}(t)>0$ and $q_{1}(t) \geq q(t)$ for every $t \in I$. If $t_{1}<t_{2}$ are consecutive zeros of $x$, then either $y$ has a zero on $J=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ or there is a $d \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $y=d x$ on $J$.

Proof. As a starting point, note that if $y\left(t_{i}\right)=0$, then by uniqueness we have $y=d x$ for $d=y^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right) / x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)$. Uniqueness also implies that the set of zeroes of $x$ does not have a cluster point, so the interval $J$ is well-defined. Therefore, it is enough to consider the case where $x$ and $y$ are linearly independent. Observe that if $y$ does not have a zero on $J$, then

$$
\left(x \frac{\left(p(t) x^{\prime} y-p_{1}(t) x y^{\prime}\right)}{y}\right)^{\prime}=\left(q_{1}-q\right) x^{2}+\left(p-p_{1}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{p_{1}\left(x^{\prime} y-x y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y^{2}}
$$

Integrating from $t_{1}$ to $t_{2}$, we have

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(q_{1}-q\right) x^{2} d t+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(p-p_{1}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} p_{1} \frac{\left(x^{\prime} y-x y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y^{2}} d t=0
$$

Then, if $y$ is not multiple of $x$, the Wronskian $\left(x y^{\prime}-x^{\prime} y\right)$ is nonzero on $J$ and we get a contradiction with the last equation.

As a consequence, we obtain a universal estimate from below to the distance between two consecutive zeros of a solution of (20).

Corollary 11. Let $\left\{t_{p}\right\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of zeros of $u$. There is a universal constant $C>0$ such that $t_{p+1}-t_{p}>C$ for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. Given $p \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\varphi(t)=\sin \left(2^{(n-1) / 2} \lambda^{1 / 2}\left(t-t_{p}\right)\right)$. Then, $\varphi$ has a zero at $t=t_{p}$ and

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2} R\right)^{n-1} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\lambda R^{n-1} \varphi=0
$$

Now, $\left(\frac{1}{2} R\right)^{n-1}<v(t)<R^{n-1}$ for $t$ sufficiently large, lets say for $t>c_{0}$. As a consequence, if $p$ is sufficiently large, we can apply Proposition 10 for $u$ and $\varphi$ to conclude that the next zero of $\varphi$ is on $\left(t_{p}, t_{p+1}\right)$.

Since the next zero of $\varphi$ after $t_{p}$ is on $t=t_{p}+\pi /\left(2^{(n-1) / 2} \lambda\right)$, we have

$$
t_{p+1}-t_{p}>\frac{\pi}{2^{(n-1) / 2} \lambda}
$$

for $t_{p}>c_{0}$, from which the corollary follows.
Proposition 12. Let $x, y$ be nontrivial solutions for

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(p(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) x=0 \\
\left(p_{1}(t) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q_{1}(t) y=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

on an interval $[a, b]$, where $p \geq p_{1}>0, q_{1}>q$ and $x(a)=0$. Suppose that $c \in(a, b]$ is such that $x(c) \neq 0, y(c) \neq 0$ and $x$ has the same number of zeros as $y$
on ( $a, c$ ). Then

$$
\frac{p(c) x^{\prime}(c)}{x(c)} \geq \frac{p_{1}(c) y^{\prime}(c)}{y(c)}
$$

Proof. We only deal with the case where $y$ is different from $d x$, otherwise there is nothing to prove. Let $a=a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be the zeros of $x$ on $[a, c)$ and $b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n-1}$ be the zeros of $y$ on $(a, c)$. By Proposition 10, we have

$$
a_{i}<b_{i}<a_{i+1}
$$

for $i=0, \ldots, n-1$. Consequently, $y$ has no zero on $\left(a_{n}, c\right)$. Now, we can use the same idea from the proof of Proposition 10 to conclude that

$$
\left(\left(p x^{\prime} y-p_{1} x y^{\prime}\right) \frac{x}{y}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

on $\left(a_{n}, c\right)$. Integrating both sides from $a_{n}$ to $c$ and using that $x\left(a_{n}\right)=0$, we get

$$
\left(p x^{\prime} y-p_{1} x y^{\prime}\right)(c) \frac{x(c)}{y(c)} \geq 0
$$

and since we can always assume that $x(c) y(c)>0$, we find

$$
\frac{p(c) x^{\prime}(c)}{x(c)} \geq \frac{p_{1} y^{\prime}(c)}{y(c)}
$$

Proof of Lemma 5. Observe that $\alpha_{k}\left(t_{k}\right)=0, \alpha_{k}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=u_{k}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)$ and

$$
\left(v(t) \alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda \frac{R^{2(n-1)}}{v(t)} \alpha_{k}=0
$$

Since

$$
\frac{R^{2(n-1)}}{v(t)} \geq R^{n-1} \geq v(t)
$$

for all $t \geq t_{k}$, we can apply Proposition 12 to $u$ and $\alpha_{k}$ and establish that

$$
\frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{u(t)} \geq \frac{\alpha_{k}^{\prime}(t)}{\alpha_{k}(t)}, \quad t \in\left(t_{k}, \tilde{t}_{k}\right)
$$

So, taking $\epsilon>0$ and integrating the inequality above from $t_{k}+\epsilon$ to $t$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\frac{|u(t)|}{\left|u\left(t_{k}+\epsilon\right)\right|}\right) & \geq \log \left(\frac{\left|\alpha_{k}(t)\right|}{\left|\alpha_{k}\left(t_{k}+\epsilon\right)\right|}\right) \\
\frac{|u(t)|}{\left|\alpha_{k}(t)\right|} & \geq \frac{\left|u\left(t_{k}+\epsilon\right)\right|}{\left|\alpha_{k}\left(t_{k}+\epsilon\right)\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Sending $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using that $u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=\alpha_{k}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right) \neq 0$, we find $\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \leq|u|$.
The proof of the other inequality follows the same ideas and is omitted.
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