

involve

a journal of mathematics

Shock profile for gas dynamics in
thermal nonequilibrium

Wang Xie



Shock profile for gas dynamics in thermal nonequilibrium

Wang Xie

(Communicated by John Baxley)

In this paper, we study the existence and the properties of a shock profile for a system of thermal nonequilibrium gas dynamics. We find a neat condition to ensure the existence of the shock profile. Moreover, we calculate the shock profile solution explicitly.

1. Introduction

The motion of a gas in local thermodynamic equilibrium is governed by the compressible Euler equations. In Lagrangian coordinates, the equations for one-dimensional flow read (see [Courant and Friedrichs 1948])

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p_x = 0, \\ (e + \frac{1}{2}u^2)_t + (pu)_x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1-1)$$

where v , u , p and e are, respectively, the specific volume, velocity, pressure and internal energy of the gas. For an ideal gas,

$$e = \frac{1}{\gamma - 1}pv, \quad (1-2)$$

where $\gamma > 1$ is the adiabatic constant. During rapid changes in the flow the internal energy e may lag behind the equilibrium value corresponding to the ambient pressure and density. The translational energy adjusts quickly, but the rotational and vibrational energy may take an order of magnitude longer. If we suppose that α of the degrees of freedom adjust instantaneously but a further α_f degrees of freedom take longer to relax, we may take (see [Whitham 1974])

$$e = \frac{\alpha}{2}pv + q, \quad (1-3)$$

MSC2010: 35Q31.

Keywords: shock profile, thermal nonequilibrium.

where q is the energy in the lagging degrees of freedom. In equilibrium, q would have the value

$$q_{\text{equil}} = \frac{\alpha_f}{2} p v. \quad (1-4)$$

A simple overall equation to represent the relaxation is (in Lagrangian coordinates)

$$q_t = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left(q - \frac{\alpha_f}{2} p v \right), \quad (1-5)$$

where $\tau > 0$ is the relaxation time. Therefore, in thermal nonequilibrium, we have the following system of equations to model the gas motion:

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p_x = 0, \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha p v + q + \frac{1}{2} u^2 \right)_t + (p u)_x = 0, \\ q_t = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left(q - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_f p v \right). \end{cases} \quad (1-6)$$

If the relaxation time τ is taken to be so short that $q = (\alpha_f/2)p v$ is an adequate approximation to the last equation in (1-6), we have the following equilibrium theory:

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p_x = 0, \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} (\alpha + \alpha_f) p v + \frac{1}{2} u^2 \right)_t + (p u)_x = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1-7)$$

The three characteristic speeds for (1-7) are

$$\lambda_1 = -\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \frac{p}{v}}, \quad \lambda_2 = 0, \quad \lambda_3 = \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \frac{p}{v}}.$$

For the system (1-7), the setup $((v_-, u_-, p_-), (v_+, u_+, p_+), \sigma)$ with two constant states (v_-, u_-, p_-) and (v_+, u_+, p_+) and speed σ is called a shock wave (see [Courant and Friedrichs 1948]) if the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma(v_+ - v_-) = (u_+ - u_-), \\ \sigma(u_+ - u_-) = (p_+ - p_-), \\ \sigma \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} (\alpha + \alpha_f) p_+ v_+ + \frac{1}{2} u_+^2 \right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} (\alpha + \alpha_f) p_- v_- + \frac{1}{2} u_-^2 \right) \right) = (p_+ u_+ - p_- u_-) \end{cases} \quad (1-8)$$

hold, and some other entropy conditions hold, where v_- , v_+ , p_- , p_+ are positive constants, u_- and u_+ are constants. A shock wave is called a 1-shock wave if

$$-\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \frac{p_-}{v_-}} > \sigma > -\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \frac{p_+}{v_+}}. \quad (1-9)$$

A shock wave is called a 3-shock wave if

$$\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f}\right) \frac{p_-}{v_-}} > \sigma > \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f}\right) \frac{p_+}{v_+}}. \quad (1-10)$$

In this paper, we consider a 3-shock wave, because a 1-shock wave can be handled by the same method. For a 3-shock wave, it follows from (1-8) and (1-10) that

$$v_- < v_+, \quad u_- > u_+, \quad p_- > p_+. \quad (1-11)$$

A shock profile for the 3-shock wave $((v_-, u_-, p_-), (v_+, u_+, p_+), \sigma)$ is a traveling-wave solution for system (1-6) of the form $(v, u, p, q)((x - \sigma t)/\tau)$ satisfying

$$(v, u, p, q)(\pm\infty) = (v_{\pm}, u_{\pm}, p_{\pm}, (\alpha_f/2)p_{\pm}v_{\pm}). \quad (1-12)$$

So we have

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma v' - u' = 0, \\ -\sigma u' + p' = 0, \\ -\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha p v + q + \frac{1}{2}u^2\right)' + (pu)' = 0, \\ -\sigma q' = -(q - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_f p v), \end{cases} \quad (1-13)$$

where $' = d/d\xi$ and $\xi = (x - \sigma t)/\tau$.

In this paper, we are interested in the existence and properties of the shock profile. For a general hyperbolic system with relaxation, the existence of the shock profile has been proved in [Yong and Zumbrun 2000] by using the center manifold method with the assumption that the shock strength is sufficiently small. In this paper, we find the sufficient and necessary condition, which is

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} < 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)},$$

to ensure the existence of the shock profile. Moreover, we can calculate the shock profile solution in some explicit details. This is in sharp contrast to the abstract construction in [Yong and Zumbrun 2000]. Before we state our theorem, we introduce some notation. Let

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \sigma v_- + u_- = \sigma v_+ + u_+, \\ P &= -\sigma u_- + p_- = -\sigma u_+ + p_+, \\ Q &= -\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \alpha_f p_- v_- + \frac{1}{2}u_-^2\right) + p_- u_- \\ &\quad = -\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \alpha_f p_+ v_+ + \frac{1}{2}u_+^2\right) + p_+ u_+, \\ f(v) &= -\sigma^2(1 + \alpha)v + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)(\sigma^2 v_- + p_-) \\ &\quad = -\sigma^2(1 + \alpha)v + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)(\sigma^2 v_+ + p_+). \end{aligned} \quad (1-14)$$

Theorem. Suppose the two constant states (v_-, u_-, p_-) , (v_-, u_-, p_-) and the speed σ satisfy the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions (1-8) and the Lax shock condition (1-10).

(1) If

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} < 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1+\alpha+\alpha_f)}, \quad (1-15)$$

then there exists a solution to the problem (1-13) and (1-12).

(2) If

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} \geq 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1+\alpha+\alpha_f)}, \quad (1-16)$$

the problem (1-13) and (1-12) does not admit a smooth solution.

(3) In case (1), that is, if (1-15) holds, the solution of the problem (1-13) and (1-12) satisfying $v(0) = v_0$ for some constant v_0 satisfying $v_- < v_0 < v_+$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 2f(v_+) (\ln(v_+ - v) - \ln(v_+ - v_0)) - 2f(v_-) (\ln(v - v_-) - \ln(v_0 - v_-)) \\ = -\sigma\xi(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)(v_+ - v_-), \end{aligned} \quad (1-17)$$

$$u(\xi) = m - \sigma v(\xi), \quad p(\xi) = m\sigma + P - \sigma^2 v(\xi) \quad (1-18)$$

for $-\infty < \xi < +\infty$. For this solution, we have

$$v'(\xi) > 0, \quad u'(\xi) < 0, \quad p'(\xi) < 0 \quad (1-19)$$

for $-\infty < \xi < +\infty$, and

$$C_1 v'(\xi) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1+\alpha+\alpha_f}{2f(v_+)}\sigma(v_+ - v_-)\xi\right) \leq C_2(v_+ - v(\xi)) \quad (1-20)$$

for $\xi > 0$, and

$$C_3 v'(\xi) \leq \exp\left(\frac{1+\alpha+\alpha_f}{2f(v_-)}\sigma(v_+ - v_-)\xi\right) \leq C_4(v(\xi) - v_-) \quad (1-21)$$

for $\xi < 0$, where C_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are some positive constants. For $u(\xi)$ and $p(\xi)$, we have similar estimates.

2. Proofs

To prove our theorem, we start by integrating (1-13) to get

$$\begin{cases} \sigma v + u = m, \\ -\sigma u + p = P, \\ -\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha p v + q + \frac{1}{2}u^2\right) + pu = Q, \end{cases} \quad (2-1)$$

where m , P and Q are given by (1-14). By the third equation of (2-1), we have

$$q = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}pv + \frac{u^2}{2}\right) + \frac{pu - Q}{\sigma}. \quad (2-2)$$

Substituting (2-2) into the fourth equation of (1-13), using (2-1) and (2-2), we get

$$f(v)\frac{dv}{d\xi} = \frac{1}{\sigma}\left(\frac{\alpha + \alpha_f}{2}pv + \frac{u^2}{2} - \frac{pu - Q}{\sigma}\right), \quad (2-3)$$

where $f(v)$ is given by (1-14). So

$$f(v_-) = v_- \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\sigma^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \frac{p_-}{v_-} \right). \quad (2-4)$$

In view of (1-10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\alpha}{2}\sigma^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \frac{p_-}{v_-} &> -\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f}\right) \frac{p_-}{v_-} + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \frac{p_-}{v_-} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \alpha_f}\right) \frac{p_-}{v_-} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2-5)$$

Therefore

$$f(v_-) > 0.$$

By (1-14), we get

$$f(v_+) = v_+ \left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\sigma^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right) p_+ / v_+\right). \quad (2-6)$$

Let

$$\bar{v} = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha}{1 + \alpha} \frac{\sigma^2 v_+ + p_+}{\sigma^2}. \quad (2-7)$$

Then

$$f(\bar{v}) = 0. \quad (2-8)$$

So, if

$$v_+ < \bar{v}, \quad (2-9)$$

then, because f is a decreasing function,

$$f(v_+) > 0. \quad (2-10)$$

In the next lemma, we will give a neat condition to ensure (2-10).

Lemma. (1) If $\frac{p_-}{p_+} < 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)}$, then $v_+ < \bar{v}$ and thus $f(v_+) > 0$.

(2) If $\frac{p_-}{p_+} = 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)}$, then $v_+ = \bar{v}$ and $f(v_+) = 0$.

(3) If $\frac{p_-}{p_+} > 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1+\alpha+\alpha_f)}$, then $v_+ > \bar{v}$ and $f(v_+) < 0$.

Proof. First, we use (1-8) to show that

$$\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha_f)(p_+ v_+ - p_- v_-) = (v_- - v_+) \frac{1}{2}(p_+ + p_-). \quad (2-11)$$

In fact, by the third equation of (1-8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha_f)(p_+ v_+ - p_- v_-) &= \frac{1}{\sigma}(p_+ u_+ - p_- u_-) - \frac{1}{2}(u_+^2 - u_-^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma}(p_+ u_+ - p_- u_-) - \frac{1}{2}(u_+ + u_-)(u_+ - u_-). \end{aligned} \quad (2-12)$$

By the second equation of (1-8), we have $(u_+ - u_-) = (1/\sigma)(p_+ - p_-)$. This, together with (2-12), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha_f)(p_+ v_+ - p_- v_-) &= \frac{1}{\sigma}(p_+ u_+ - p_- u_- - \frac{1}{2}(p_+ - p_-)(u_+ + u_-)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma}(\frac{1}{2}p_+ u_+ - \frac{1}{2}p_- u_- - \frac{1}{2}p_+ u_- + \frac{1}{2}p_- u_+) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma}(u_+ - u_-)(p_+ + p_-). \end{aligned} \quad (2-13)$$

This proves (2-11). Dividing by $p_- v_+$ both sides of (2-11), we get

$$\frac{\alpha + \alpha_f}{2} \left(\frac{p_+}{p_-} - \frac{v_-}{v_+} \right) = \left(\frac{v_-}{v_+} - 1 \right) \frac{(p_+/p_-) + 1}{2}.$$

We solve for v_-/v_+ from this to get

$$\frac{v_-}{v_+} = \frac{(\alpha + \alpha_f)(p_+/p_-) + (p_+/p_-) + 1}{(\alpha + \alpha_f) + (p_+/p_-) + 1}. \quad (2-14)$$

It is easy to verify that $v_+ < \bar{v}$ is equivalent to

$$\sigma^2 < \left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right) \frac{p_+}{v_+}. \quad (2-15)$$

From the first and second equations of (1-8), we know that

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{p_- - p_+}{v_+ - v_-}. \quad (2-16)$$

So $v_+ < \bar{v}$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{p_- - p_+}{v_+ - v_-} < \left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right) \frac{p_+}{v_+}. \quad (2-17)$$

Now we use (2-14) to show (2-17) if (1-15) is true.

By (2-14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{p_- - p_+}{v_+ - v_-} &= \frac{(p_-/p_+) - 1}{1 - (v_-/v_+)} (p_+/v_+) \\
 &= \frac{(p_-/p_+) - 1}{1 - \frac{(\alpha + \alpha_f)(p_+/p_-) + (p_+/p_-) + 1}{(\alpha + \alpha_f) + (p_+/p_-) + 1}} \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right) \\
 &= \frac{(p_-/p_+) - 1}{(\alpha + \alpha_f)(1 - (p_+/p_-))} [(\alpha + \alpha_f) + (p_+/p_-) + 1] \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right) \\
 &= \frac{(p_-/p_+) - 1}{1 - (p_+/p_-)} \left(1 + \frac{(p_+/p_-) + 1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right) \\
 &= \frac{(p_-/p_+)(p_-/p_+ - 1)}{(p_-/p_+) - 1} \left(1 + \frac{(p_+/p_-) + 1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{p_-}{p_+} \right) \left(1 + \frac{(p_+/p_-) + 1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{p_-}{p_+} + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \left(1 + \frac{p_-}{p_+} \right) \right) \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right) \\
 &= \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \left(\frac{p_-}{p_+} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right). \tag{2-18}
 \end{aligned}$$

So, if (1-15) holds, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \frac{p_-}{p_+} + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \\
 &< \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \left(2 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \right) + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \left(\frac{2\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f) + 2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \right) + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \frac{(\alpha + \alpha_f)(1 + \alpha)}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{2(1 + \alpha)}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{2}{\alpha}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2-19}$$

Inequality (2-17) follows from (2-18) and (2-19). This proves item (1) of the Lemma. Items (2) and (3) follow from the same arguments. \square

Proof of the Theorem. Let

$$G(v, u, p) = (\alpha + \alpha_f)pv + u^2 - \frac{2(pu - Q)}{\sigma}. \quad (2-20)$$

It follows from (2-1) and (2-20) that

$$\begin{aligned} G(v, u, p) &= (\alpha + \alpha_f)(m\sigma + P - \sigma^2 v)v + (m - \sigma v)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\sigma}((m - \sigma v)(m\sigma + P - \sigma^2 v) - Q), \end{aligned} \quad (2-21)$$

where m, P, Q are given in (1-14). Therefore, $G(v, u, p)$ is a function of the single variable v , and we simply write it $G(v)$ from now on. It is a quadratic function. Moreover, by (1-14), we have

$$G(v_+) = G(v_-) = 0. \quad (2-22)$$

Therefore,

$$G(v) = -\beta(v - v_-)(v - v_+) \quad (2-23)$$

for some constant β . By comparing (2-23) with (2-21), we get $\beta = \sigma^2(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)$. Hence,

$$G(v) = -\sigma^2(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)(v - v_-)(v - v_+). \quad (2-24)$$

So

$$G(v) > 0 \quad (2-25)$$

as $v_- < v < v_+$.

In case (1) of the Theorem, we choose a constant v_0 satisfying $v_- < v_0 < v_+$ and set $v(0) = v_0$. Then we have from (2-3) that

$$\int_{v_0}^v \frac{2\sigma f(s)}{G(s)} dv = \xi, \quad (2-26)$$

and consider the expression

$$\int_{v_0}^v \frac{2\sigma f(s)}{G(s)} dv = F(v),$$

where $F(v) = \xi$.

Also, by the Lemma, and (2-24), we have, if

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} < 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)},$$

then $f(v)/G(v) > 0$ for $v_- < v < v_+$, and

$$\int_{v_0}^{v_+} \frac{2\sigma f(v)}{G(v)} dv = +\infty, \quad (2-27)$$

$$\int_{v_0}^{v_-} \frac{2\sigma f(v)}{G(v)} dv = -\infty. \quad (2-28)$$

Therefore, $\xi = F(v)$ is an increasing mapping of (v_-, v_+) onto (∞_-, ∞_+) , which clearly maps v_0 to 0. Thus the inverse mapping $\xi \rightarrow v(\xi)$ is a differentiable function (with a positive derivative) and is one-to-one and onto from $(-\infty, +\infty)$ to (v_-, v_+) with $v(0) = v_0$. Moreover, it follows from (2-26) and (2-27) that

$$v(-\infty) = v_-, \quad v(+\infty) = v_+.$$

Therefore the substitution $s = v(t)$ gives

$$\xi = \int_0^\xi \frac{2\sigma f(v(t))}{G(v(t))} v'(t) dt$$

and differentiation gives

$$1 = \frac{2\sigma f(v(\xi))}{G(v(\xi))} v'(\xi),$$

and so we have a solution v of (2-3), which proves part (1) of the Theorem.

We prove part (2) as follows. If

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} \geq 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1+\alpha+\alpha_f)}, \quad (2-29)$$

by the Lemma, we know that $v_- < \bar{v} \leq v_+$. In this case, we use the proof by contradiction to prove (2) as follows. Suppose that the problem (1-12) and (1-13) has a solution $v(\xi)$. Since, in this case, $v_- < \bar{v} \leq v_+$, and $f'(v) < 0$, we have $f(v_-) > 0 \geq f(v_+)$. We may write (2-3) as

$$2\sigma f(v) \frac{dv}{d\xi} = G(v). \quad (2-30)$$

Since $v(-\infty) = v_-$ and $f(v) > 0$ for $v_- < v < \bar{v}$ and $G(v) > 0$ for $v_- < v < v_+$, we have $dv/d\xi > 0$ when $v_- < v < \bar{v} \leq v_+$. For a constant v_1 satisfying $v_- < v_1 < \bar{v}$, there exists $\xi_1 \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ such that $v(\xi_1) = v_1$. It follows from (2-30) that

$$\int_{v_1}^v \frac{2\sigma f(w)}{G(w)} dw = \xi - \xi_1. \quad (2-31)$$

By (1-14), we know that $f(v)$ is a linear function of v in the form

$$f(v) = -k(v - \bar{v}), \quad (2-32)$$

where $k = \sigma^2(1 + \alpha)$. It follows from (2-23), and the fact that $v_- < v < \bar{v} \leq v_+$ (when (2-29) holds), that

$$\int_{v_1}^{\bar{v}} \frac{2\sigma f(w)}{G(w)} dw < +\infty. \quad (2-33)$$

We let

$$\bar{\xi} = \xi_1 + \int_{v_1}^{\bar{v}} \frac{2\sigma f(w)}{G(w)} dw.$$

By (2-33),

$$-\infty < \bar{\xi} < \infty. \quad (2-34)$$

It follows from (2-31) that, as

$$v(\xi) \rightarrow \bar{v}, \quad \xi \rightarrow \bar{\xi}. \quad (2-35)$$

This will lead to a contradiction by the following argument. By (2-30), (2-32) and (2-22), we have

$$\frac{dv}{d\xi} = \frac{G(v)}{2\sigma f(v)} = \frac{\beta}{2\sigma} \frac{(v - v_-)(v - v_+)}{v - \bar{v}}. \quad (2-36)$$

Therefore, if

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} > 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)},$$

then $\bar{v} < v_+$, and then by (2-35) and (2-36), we have $dv(\xi)/d\xi \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\xi \rightarrow \bar{\xi}$. This is a contradiction due to (2-34) because the solution $v(\xi)$ is smooth, so its derivative cannot tend to $+\infty$ for finite ξ .

If

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} = 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)},$$

then $\bar{v} = v_+$, and then (2-36) reduces to

$$\frac{dv}{d\xi} = \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}(v - v_-). \quad (2-37)$$

So $dv/(v - v_-) = (\beta/2\sigma) d\xi$. In this case, (2-31) becomes

$$\int_{v_1}^v \frac{dw}{w - v_-} dw = \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}(\xi - \xi_1). \quad (2-38)$$

This implies that

$$\ln(v - v_-) - \ln(v_1 - v_-) = (\beta/2\sigma)(\xi - \xi_1).$$

Solving this for v , we obtain

$$v(\xi) = v_- + (v_1 - v_-)e^{(\beta/2\sigma)(\xi-\xi_1)}.$$

Hence, $v(\xi) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, it is impossible that $v(+\infty) = v_+$. This is a contradiction. Thus, part (2) of the Theorem is proved by the above argument.

We can prove part (3) as follows. We have already proved that, if

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} < 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1+\alpha+\alpha_f)},$$

the problem (1-13) and (1-12) has a solution. In this case, $v'(\xi) > 0$ is an easy consequence of the above argument in (i). So $v_- < v(\xi) < v_+$ for $-\infty < \xi < +\infty$. Next, we prove (1-17). We may write (2-26) as, in view of (2-26) and (2-24),

$$\int_{v_0}^v \frac{2f(w)}{(w-v_-)(w-v_+)} dw = -\sigma(1+\alpha+\alpha_f)\xi. \quad (2-39)$$

It is easy to verify that, by noting that $f(w) = (1+\alpha/2)(\sigma m + P) - \sigma^2(1+\alpha)w$ (see (1-14)),

$$\frac{2f(w)}{(w-v_-)(w-v_+)} = \frac{-2f(v_-)}{(w-v_-)} \frac{1}{(v_+ - v_-)} + \frac{2f(v_+)}{(w-v_+)} \frac{1}{(v_+ - v_-)}. \quad (2-40)$$

Equation (1-17) then follows from (2-39) and (2-40). From (1-17), we can easily get the bounds for $v_+ - v(\xi)$ in (1-20). Similarly, we can get the bounds for $v(\xi) - v_-$ in (1-21). By (1-17), we have

$$\left(\frac{2f(v_+)}{v_+ - v} + \frac{2f(v_-)}{v - v_-} \right) v'(\xi) = -\sigma(1+\alpha+\alpha_f)(v_+ - v_-).$$

Therefore, the bounds for $v'(\xi)$ in (1-20) and (1-21) can be derived from the bounds of $v_+ - v(\xi)$ and $v(\xi) - v_-$ which we have just proved. This finishes the proof of the Theorem. \square

Acknowledgement

This work was done under the supervision of Professor Tao Luo. The author would like to thank the referee for the suggestions which have helped to improve the presentation of the paper greatly.

References

- [Courant and Friedrichs 1948] R. Courant and K. O. Friedrichs, *Supersonic flow and shock waves*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **1**, Interscience, New York, 1948. MR 10,637c Zbl 0041.11302
- [Whitham 1974] G. B. Whitham, *Linear and nonlinear waves*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **42**, Wiley, New York, 1974. MR 58 #3905 Zbl 0373.76001

[Yong and Zumbrun 2000] W.-A. Yong and K. Zumbrun, “Existence of relaxation shock profiles for hyperbolic conservation laws”, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **60**:5 (2000), 1565–1575. MR 2001b:35208
Zbl 0959.35116

Received: 2012-05-24

Revised: 2012-10-05

Accepted: 2012-10-10

xwang1994@gmail.com

*Sidwell Friends Upper School, 3825 Wisconsin Avenue,
Washington, DC 20016, United States*

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhs@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ccli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyj@potsdam.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA plemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	UMass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA cjohnson@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2013 is US \$105/year for the electronic version, and \$145/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2013 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2013

vol. 6

no. 3

Potentially eventually exponentially positive sign patterns MARIE ARCHER, MINERVA CATRAL, CRAIG ERICKSON, RANA HABER, LESLIE HOGBEN, XAVIER MARTINEZ-RIVERA AND ANTONIO OCHOA	261
The surgery unknotting number of Legendrian links BIANCA BORANDA, LISA TRAYNOR AND SHUNING YAN	273
On duals of p -frames ALI AKBAR AREFIJAMAAL AND LEILI MOHAMMADKHANI	301
Shock profile for gas dynamics in thermal nonequilibrium WANG XIE	311
Expected conflicts in pairs of rooted binary trees TIMOTHY CHU AND SEAN CLEARY	323
Hyperbolic construction of Cantor sets ZAIR IBRAGIMOV AND JOHN SIMANYI	333
Extensions of the Euler–Satake characteristic for nonorientable 3-orbifolds and indistinguishable examples RYAN CARROLL AND CHRISTOPHER SEATON	345
Rank numbers of graphs that are combinations of paths and cycles BRIANNA BLAKE, ELIZABETH FIELD AND JOBBY JACOB	369