REAL HYPERSURFACES OF A NONFLAT COMPLEX SPACE FORM IN TERMS OF THE RICCI TENSOR

By

U-Hang KI and Setsuo NAGAI

Abstract. We know the fact that there are no real hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensors in a nonflat complex space form (cf. [5]). In this paper we investigate real hypersurfaces in a nonflat complex space form using some conditions of the Ricci tensor S which are weaker than $\nabla S = 0$. We characterize Hopf hypersurfaces of a nonflat complex space form.

0 Introduction

A Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c is called a complex space form, which is denoted by $M_n(c)$. A complete and simply connected complex space forms are isometric to a complex projective space CP_n , a complex Euclidean space C^n or a complex hyperbolic space CH_n as c > 0, c = 0 or c < 0.

Let M be a real hypersurface of $M_n(c)$. Then M has an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) induced from the complex structure J and the Kähler metric of $M_n(c)$ (for details see §1). The structure vector ξ is said to be principal if $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ is satisfied, where A is the shape operator of M and $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$. A real hypersurface is said to be a Hopf hypersurface if the structure vector ξ of M is principal.

Typical examples of real hypersurfaces in CP_n are homogeneous ones which are orbits under subgroups of PU(n + 1). The complete classification of them was obtained by Takagi [10] as follows:

THEOREM T [10]. Let M be a homogeneous real hypersurface of CP_n . Then M is a tube of radius r over one of the following Kähler submanifolds:

Revised September 13, 2004.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics subject classification: 53C40, 53C15.

Keywords and phrases: real hypersurfaces, Ricci tensor, the structure vector.

Received July 20, 2004.

- (A₁) a hyperplane CP_{n-1} , where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$,
- (A₂) a totally geodesic CP_k ($1 \le k \le n-2$), where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$,
- (B) a complex quadric Q_{n-1} , where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$,
- (C) $CP_1 \times CP_{(n-1)/2}$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $n \ge 5$ is odd,
- (D) a complex Grassmann $G_{2,5}C$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and n = 9,
- (E) a Hermitian symmetric space SO(10)/U(5), where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and n = 15.

Also Berndt [1] classified all Hopf real hypersurfaces in CH_n with constant principal curvatures as follows:

THEOREM B [1]. Let M be a real hypersurface of CH_n . Then M has constant principal curvatures and ξ is principal if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the following:

- (A_0) a self-tube, that is, a horosphere,
- (A_1) a geodesic hypersphere, or a tube over a hyperplane CH_{n-1} ,
- (A₂) a tube over a totally geodesic CH_k $(1 \le k \le n-2)$,
- (B) a tube over a totally real hyperbolic space RH_n .

Let ∇ and S be the Levi-Civita connection and the Ricci tensor of M, respectively. There are many studies about Ricci tensors of real hypersurfaces (cf. [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9]). Very important fact is that there are no real hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensors S (that is, $\nabla_X S = 0$ for each vector field X tangent to M) in $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$, $n \geq 3$ (cf. [5]). Especially, there exist no Einstein real hypersurfaces M in $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$, $n \geq 3$. So, it is natural to investigate real hypersurfaces M by using some conditions (on the derivatives of S) which are weaker than $\nabla S = 0$.

Recently, the first author, Hwang and Kim proved the following theorem:

THEOREM 0.1. Let M be a real hypersurface in a nonflat complex space form. If the Ricci tensor S of M satisfies $\nabla_{\xi}S = 0$, $\nabla_{\phi\nabla_{\xi}\xi}S = 0$ and $S\xi = g(S\xi, \xi)\xi$, then M is locally congruent to one of the homogeneous real hypersurfaces of Theorem T and Theorem B.

In this paper we pay particular attention to the fact that for each Hopf hypersurface M in $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$ the characteristic vector ξ of M is an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor S of M. So it is natural to consider a problem that if the vector ξ is an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor S of a real hypersurface M in $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$, is M a Hopf hypersurface?

The purpose of this paper is to eatablish the following theorem which gives a partial answer to this problem:

THEOREM 4.1. Let M be a real hypersurface in $M_n(c)$, c > 0. If it satisfies $\nabla_{\phi \nabla_{\xi} \xi} S = 0$ and at the same time satisfies $S\xi = \sigma \xi$ for some constant σ , then M is a Hopf hypersurface.

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the referee for his valuable comments.

1 Preliminaries

Let M be a real hypersurface immersed in a complex space form $(M_n(c), G)$ with almost complex structure J and the Kähler metric G of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, and let C be a unit normal vector field on M. The Riemannian connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ in $M_n(c)$ and ∇ in M are related by the following formulas for any vector fields X and Y on M:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_Y X = \nabla_Y X + g(AY, X)C, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X C = -AX, \tag{1.2}$$

where g denotes the Riemannian metric on M induced from that G of $M_n(c)$ and A is the shape operator of M in $M_n(c)$. An eigenvector X of the shape operator A is called a principal curvature vector. Also an eigenvalue λ of A is called a principal curvature. It is known that M has an almost contact metric structure induced from the almost complex structure J on $M_n(c)$, that is, we define a tensor field ϕ of type (1,1), a vector field ξ , an 1-form η on M by $g(\phi X, Y) = G(JX, Y)$ and $g(\xi, X) = \eta(X) = G(JX, C)$. Then we have

$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad g(\xi,\xi) = 1, \quad \phi\xi = 0.$$
 (1.3)

From (1.1) we see that

$$(\nabla_X \phi) Y = \eta(Y) A X - g(A X, Y) \xi, \qquad (1.4)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = \phi A X. \tag{1.5}$$

Since the ambient space is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, equations of the Gauss and Codazzi are respectively given by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \frac{c}{4} \{ g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z \} + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY,$$
(1.6)

$$(\nabla_X A) Y - (\nabla_Y A) X = \frac{c}{4} \{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi\}$$
(1.7)

for any vector fields X, Y and Z on M, where R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of M. We shall denote the Ricci tensor of type (1,1) by S. Then it follows from (1.6) that

$$SX = \frac{c}{4} \{ (2n+1)X - 3\eta(X)\xi \} + hAX - A^2X,$$
 (1.8)

where h = trace A. Further, using (1.5), we obtain

$$(\nabla_X S) Y = -\frac{3}{4} c \{ g(\phi AX, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi AX \} + (Xh)AY$$
$$+ (hI - A)(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_X A)AY, \qquad (1.9)$$

where I is the identity map.

To write our formulas in convention forms, we denote $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$, $\beta = \eta(A^2\xi)$, $\mu^2 = \beta - \alpha^2$ and ∇f by the gradient vector field of a function f on M. In the following, we use the same terminology and notation as above unless otherwise stated.

If we put $U = \nabla_{\xi} \xi$, then U is orthogonal to the structure vector field ξ . Then it is, using (1.3) and (1.5), seen that

$$\phi U = -A\xi + \alpha\xi, \tag{1.10}$$

which shows that $g(U, U) = \beta - \alpha^2$. By the definition of U, (1.3) and (1.5) it is verified that

$$g(\nabla_X \xi, U) = g(A^2 \xi, X) - \alpha g(A\xi, X).$$
(1.11)

Now, differentiating (1.10) covariantly along M and using (1.4) and (1.5), we find

$$\eta(X)g(AU + \nabla\alpha, Y) + g(\phi X, \nabla_Y U)$$

= $g((\nabla_Y A)X, \xi) - g(A\phi AX, Y) + \alpha g(A\phi X, Y),$ (1.12)

which enables us to obtain

$$(\nabla_{\xi}A)\xi = 2AU + \nabla\alpha \tag{1.13}$$

because of (1.7). From (1.12) we also have

$$\nabla_{\xi} U = 3\phi A U + \alpha A \xi - \beta \xi + \phi \nabla \alpha, \qquad (1.14)$$

where we have used (1.3), (1.5) and (1.11).

If $A\xi - g(A\xi,\xi)\xi \neq 0$, then we can put

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + \mu W, \tag{1.15}$$

where W is a unit vector field orthogonal to ξ . Then from (1.10) it is seen that $U = \mu \phi W$ and hence $g(U, U) = \mu^2$, and W is also orthogonal to U. Thus, we see, making use of (1.5), that

$$\mu g(\nabla_X W, \xi) = g(AU, X). \tag{1.16}$$

2 Real Hypersurfaces Satisfying $S\xi = g(S\xi, \xi)\xi$

Let M be a real hypersurface of a nonflat complex space form $M_n(c)$. If it satisfies

$$S\xi = g(S\xi,\xi)\xi, \tag{2.1}$$

then we have by (1.8)

$$A^{2}\xi = hA\xi + (\beta - h\alpha)\xi, \qquad (2.2)$$

where we have put $g(S\xi,\xi) = \sigma$,

$$\beta - h\alpha = \frac{c}{2}(n-1) - \sigma.$$
(2.3)

In what follows we assume that $\mu \neq 0$ on M, that is, ξ is not a principal curvature vector field and we put $\Omega = \{p \in M \mid \mu(p) \neq 0\}$. Then Ω is an open subset of M, and from now on we discuss our arguments on Ω .

From (1.15) and (2.2), we see that

$$AW = \mu\xi + (h - \alpha)W \tag{2.4}$$

and hence

$$A^2 W = hAW + (\beta - h\alpha)W$$
(2.5)

because of $\mu \neq 0$.

Now, differentiating (2.4) covariantly along Ω , we find

$$(\nabla_X A)W + A\nabla_X W = (X\mu)\xi + \mu\nabla_X\xi + X(h-\alpha)W + (h-\alpha)\nabla_X W.$$
(2.6)

By taking the inner product with W in the last equation, we obtain

U-Hang KI and Setsuo NAGAI

$$g((\nabla_X A)W, W) = -2g(AX, U) + Xh - X\alpha$$
(2.7)

since W is a unit vector field orthogonal to ξ . We also have by applying ξ to (2.6)

$$\mu g((\nabla_X A) W, \xi) = (h - 2\alpha) g(AU, X) + \mu(X\mu),$$
(2.8)

where we have used (1.16), which together with the Codazzi equation (1.7) gives

$$\mu(\nabla_W A)\xi = (h - 2\alpha)AU - \frac{c}{2}U + \mu\nabla\mu, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$\mu(\nabla_{\xi}A)W = (h - 2\alpha)AU - \frac{c}{4}U + \mu\nabla\mu. \qquad (2.10)$$

Replacing X by ξ in (2.6) and taking account of (2.10), we find

$$(h-2\alpha)AU - \frac{c}{4}U + \mu\nabla\mu + \mu\{A\nabla_{\xi}W - (h-\alpha)\nabla_{\xi}W\}$$
$$= \mu(\xi\mu)\xi + \mu^{2}U + \mu(\xi h - \xi\alpha)W.$$
(2.11)

By the way, from $\phi U = -\mu W$ we have

$$g(AU, X)\xi - \phi \nabla_X U = (X\mu)W + \mu \nabla_X W.$$

Replacing X by ξ in this and using (1.10) and (1.14), we get

$$\mu \nabla_{\xi} W = 3AU - \alpha U + \nabla \alpha - (\xi \alpha) \xi - (\xi \mu) W, \qquad (2.12)$$

which implies

$$W\alpha = \xi\mu. \tag{2.13}$$

From the last equations, it follows that

$$3A^{2}U - 2hAU + A\nabla\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\nabla\beta - h\nabla\alpha + \left(\alpha h - \beta - \frac{c}{4}\right)U$$
$$= 2\mu(W\alpha)\xi + \mu(\xi h)W - (h - 2\alpha)(\xi\alpha)\xi, \qquad (2.14)$$

which enables us to obtain

$$\xi\beta = 2\alpha(\xi\alpha) + 2\mu(W\alpha). \tag{2.15}$$

Differentiating (2.2) covariantly and making use of (1.5), we get

$$(\nabla_X A)A\xi + A(\nabla_X A)\xi + A^2\phi AX - hA\phi AX$$

= $(Xh)A\xi + h(\nabla_X A)\xi + X(\beta - h\alpha)\xi + (\beta - h\alpha)\phi AX,$ (2.16)

which together with (1.7) implies that

$$\frac{c}{4} \{ u(Y)\eta(X) - u(X)\eta(Y) \} + \frac{c}{2}(h - \alpha)g(\phi Y, X) - g(A^{2}\phi AX, Y)
+ g(A^{2}\phi AY, X) + 2hg(\phi AX, AY) - (\beta - h\alpha)\{g(\phi AY, X) - g(\phi AX, Y)\}
= g(AY, (\nabla_{X}A)\xi) - g(AX, (\nabla_{Y}A)\xi) + (Yh)g(A\xi, X) - (Xh)g(A\xi, Y)
+ Y(\beta - h\alpha)\eta(X) - X(\beta - h\alpha)\eta(Y),$$
(2.17)

where we have defined an 1-form u by u(X) = g(U, X) for any vector field X. If we replace X by μW to the both sides of (2.17) and take account of (1.13), (2.4), (2.5), (2.8) and (2.9), then we obtain

$$(3\alpha - 2h)A^{2}U + 2\left(h^{2} + \beta - 2h\alpha + \frac{c}{4}\right)AU + (h - \alpha)\left(\beta - h\alpha - \frac{c}{2}\right)U$$

$$= \mu A\nabla\mu + (\alpha h - \beta)\nabla\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(h - \alpha)\nabla\beta + \mu^{2}\nabla h$$

$$- \mu(Wh)A\xi - \mu W(\beta - h\alpha)\xi.$$
(2.18)

Using (1.15), the equation (2.16) can be written as

$$A(\nabla_X A)\xi + (\alpha - h)(\nabla_X A)\xi + \mu(\nabla_X A)W$$

= $(Xh)A\xi + X(\beta - h\alpha)\xi + (\beta - h\alpha)\phi AX + hA\phi AX - A^2\phi AX.$

Thus, replacing X by $\alpha \xi + \mu W$ in this and making use of (1.5), (1.13), (1.15) and (2.7)–(2.9), we find

$$2hA^{2}U + 2\left(\alpha h - \beta - h^{2} - \frac{c}{4}\right)AU + \left(h^{2}\alpha - h\beta + \frac{c}{2}h - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha\right)U$$
$$= g(A\xi, \nabla h)A\xi - \frac{1}{2}A\nabla\beta + \frac{1}{2}(h - 2\alpha)\nabla\beta + \beta\nabla\alpha$$
$$-\mu^{2}\nabla h + g(A\xi, \nabla(\beta - h\alpha))\xi.$$
(2.19)

3 Real Hypersurfaces Satisfying $\nabla_{\phi \nabla_{\xi} \xi} S = 0$ and $S \xi = g(S \xi, \xi) \xi$

We continue now, our arguments under the same hypothesis $S\xi = g(S\xi, \xi)\xi$ as in section 2. Furthermore, suppose that $\nabla_{\phi \nabla_{\xi} \xi} S = 0$, that is, $\nabla_W S = 0$ since we now suppose that $\mu \neq 0$.

Then, by replacing X by W, we have from (1.9)

$$-\frac{3}{4}c(h-\alpha)(u(Y)\xi+\eta(Y)U)+\mu(Wh)AY+\mu h(\nabla_W A)Y$$
$$=\mu A(\nabla_W A)Y-\mu(\nabla_W A)AY, \qquad (3.1)$$

where we have used (1.5) and (2.4). If we replace Y by W and make use of (2.7) and (2.9), then we find

$$(Wh)AW = hAU - \frac{c}{2}U - 2A^2U + \frac{1}{2}\nabla\beta - \alpha\nabla h + A\nabla h - A\nabla\alpha \qquad (3.2)$$

because of $\mu \neq 0$.

In the following we assume that σ is constant on M and then $\beta - h\alpha =$ constant. In this case we notice here that the following fact:

Remark 3.1. $h - \alpha \neq 0$ on Ω .

In fact, if not, then we have $h = \alpha$ and hence $\beta - \alpha^2 = \text{constant}$, because $\sigma = \text{constant}$. Thus (3.2) implies $Wh = W\alpha = 0$ and hence

$$2A^2U = \alpha AU - \frac{c}{2}U. \tag{3.3}$$

Further, (2.14) and (2.18) turns out respectively to

$$2A^{2}U - 2\alpha AU + \left(\alpha^{2} - \beta - \frac{c}{4}\right)U = -A\nabla\alpha + (\xi\alpha)A\xi, \qquad (3.4)$$

$$\alpha A^2 U + 2\left(\beta - \alpha^2 + \frac{c}{4}\right)AU = 0.$$
 (3.5)

It is, using (3.3)–(3.5), verified that $\alpha \neq 0$ on this set.

Combining (3.3) with (3.5), we see that

$$\alpha A U = 2\left(\alpha^2 - \beta - \frac{c}{4}\right)U \tag{3.6}$$

and thus AU = vU because of $\alpha \neq 0$, where we have put

$$\alpha v = 2\left(\alpha^2 - \beta - \frac{c}{4}\right). \tag{3.7}$$

From this and (3.3), we obtain

Real hypersurfaces of a nonflat complex space form

$$v^2 + \beta - \alpha^2 + \frac{c}{2} = 0.$$
 (3.8)

Therefore $v = \text{constant} \neq 0$ because of (3.3). Hence it is, using (3.7), seen that $\alpha = \text{constant}$ and thus

$$3v^2-2\alpha v+\alpha^2-\beta-\frac{c}{4}=0,$$

which together with (3.7) and (3.8), produces a contradiction. Consequently $h - \alpha \neq 0$ on Ω is proved. In what follows we assume that $h - \alpha \neq 0$ is satisfied everywhere.

Differentiating (2.1) covariantly, we find

$$(\nabla_X S)\xi + S\nabla_X \xi = \sigma \nabla_X \xi$$

because $\sigma = \text{constant}$ is assumed, which together with hypothesis $\nabla_W S = 0$ yields

$$S\nabla_W \xi = \sigma \nabla_W \xi. \tag{3.9}$$

By the way we have $\mu \nabla_W \xi = (h - \alpha)U$ with the aid of (1.5) and (2.4), (3.9) implies $SU = \sigma U$ because of Remark 3.1. Hence (1.8) leads to

$$A^{2}U = hAU + \left(\beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c\right)U.$$
(3.10)

From (2.3) we have

$$\nabla \beta = \alpha \nabla h + h \nabla \alpha. \tag{3.11}$$

Thus (2.15) is reduced to

$$2\mu(W\alpha) = (h - 2\alpha)(\xi\alpha) + \alpha(\xi h). \tag{3.12}$$

Using (1.15), (3.10) and (3.12), the equation (2.14) turns out to be

$$hAU + 2(\beta - h\alpha + c)U = (\xi h)A\xi - A\nabla\alpha + h\nabla\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\beta.$$
 (3.13)

From (2.19) and (2.10), we also find

$$\left(2\beta - 2h\alpha + \frac{c}{2}\right)AU + \left\{h(h\alpha - \beta) + \frac{c}{4}(3\alpha - 8h)\right\}U + g(A\xi, \nabla h)A\xi$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}A\nabla\beta - \beta\nabla\alpha + \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}h\right)\nabla\beta + \mu^{2}\nabla h.$$
(3.14)

Because of (3.2) and (3.10), we see that

$$(Wh)AW = -hAU - 2(\beta - h\alpha + c)U + A\nabla h - A\nabla \alpha + \frac{1}{2}\nabla\beta - \alpha\nabla h,$$

which together with (3.10) and (3.11) gives

$$A\nabla h = (Wh)AW + (\xi h)A\xi.$$
(3.15)

Making use of (3.13) and (3.15), we have from (3.14)

$$(4\beta - 4h\alpha + h^{2} + c)AU + \left(\frac{3}{2}c\alpha - 2ch\right)U$$

= $\alpha(Wh)AW - \{(\alpha - h)(\xi h) + 2\mu(Wh)\}A\xi$
+ $\left(2\alpha h - 2\beta - \frac{1}{2}h^{2}\right)\nabla\alpha + \left(2\beta - \frac{3}{2}h\alpha\right)\nabla h.$ (3.16)

If we use (2.2), (2.5) and (3.10), then above equation implies

$$\frac{3}{4}c\left\{(4\beta - 4h\alpha + h^2 + c)AU + \left(\frac{3}{2}c\alpha - 2ch\right)U\right\}$$
$$= \left(2\alpha h - 2\beta - \frac{1}{2}h^2\right)\left\{A^2\nabla\alpha - hA\nabla\alpha - (\beta - h\alpha)\nabla\alpha\right\}$$
$$+ \left(2\beta - \frac{3}{2}h\alpha\right)\left\{A^2\nabla h - hA\nabla h - (\beta - h\alpha)\nabla h\right\},$$

which together with (3.15) yields

$$\frac{3}{4}c\left\{(4\beta - 4h\alpha + h^2 + c)AU + \frac{c}{2}(3\alpha - 4h)U\right\}$$
$$= \left(2\alpha h - 2\beta - \frac{1}{2}h^2\right)\left\{A^2\nabla\alpha - hA\nabla\alpha - (\beta - h\alpha)\nabla\alpha\right\}$$
$$+ \left(2\beta - \frac{3}{2}h\alpha\right)(\beta - h\alpha)\left\{(Wh)W + (\xi h)\xi - \nabla h\right\}.$$
(3.17)

On the other hand, we have from (3.13)

$$A^{2}\nabla\alpha - hA\nabla\alpha + (h^{2} + 2\beta - 2h\alpha + 2c)AU + h\left(\beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c\right)U$$
$$= (\xi h)A^{2}\xi - \frac{1}{2}A\nabla\beta,$$

where we have used (3.10), or using (3.11) and (3.14),

$$A^{2}\nabla\alpha - hA\nabla\alpha + (\beta - h\alpha)\nabla\alpha$$

= $\left(4h\alpha - 4\beta - h^{2} - \frac{5}{2}c\right)AU + \frac{c}{4}(5h - 3\alpha)U$
 $-\frac{1}{2}h^{2}\nabla\alpha + \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}h\alpha\right)\nabla h + (\xi h)A^{2}\xi - g(A\xi, \nabla h)A\xi.$ (3.18)

If we take the inner product ξ with this and make use of (1.15) and (2.2), then we obtain

$$\mu\alpha(Wh) = \left(2h\alpha - 2\beta - \frac{1}{2}h^2\right)(\xi\alpha) + \left(2\beta - \frac{1}{2}h\alpha - \alpha^2\right)(\xi h).$$
(3.19)

Substituting (3.18) into (3.17) and taking account of (3.16), we find

$$\frac{3}{2}c\left\{cAU + \frac{c}{2}(3\alpha - 4h)U + (h - \alpha)\left(2\alpha h - 2\beta - \frac{1}{2}h^{2}\right)U\right\} = h(h - \alpha)(\beta - h\alpha)\{\nabla h - (\xi h)\xi - (Wh)W\}.$$
(3.20)

Applying A to both sides of this and using (3.10) and (3.15), we have

$$\left\{\frac{c}{2}(3\alpha - 2h) + (h - \alpha)\left(2\alpha h - 2\beta - \frac{1}{2}h^2\right)\right\}AU + c\left(\beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c\right)U = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

LEMMA 3.1. Let M be a real hypersurface of $M_n(c)$ $(c \neq 0)$. If it satisfies $\nabla_W S = 0$ and $S\xi = \sigma\xi$ for some constant σ , then we have

$$AU = \lambda U \tag{3.22}$$

on Ω , where $\mu^2 \lambda = g(AU, U)$.

PROOF. Let Ω_0 be a set of points in M such that $||AU - \lambda U|| \neq 0$ on Ω and suppose that Ω_0 be nonempty. If $\beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c \neq 0$, then we have from (3.21)

$$\frac{c}{2}(3\alpha-2h)+(h-\alpha)\left(2\alpha h-2\beta-\frac{1}{2}h^2\right)\neq 0$$

and hence (3.22) is valid. Thus it is, using (3.21), seen that

$$\beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c = 0 \tag{3.23}$$

and therefore $h(h^2 - \alpha h - c) = 0$ on Ω_0 . So we have

$$h^2 - \alpha h - c = 0 \tag{3.24}$$

on Ω_0 . In fact, if not, then we have h = 0. Thus (3.10) and (3.23) are respectively to

$$AU=0, \quad \beta+\frac{3}{4}c=0.$$

Hence (3.13) becomes $2(\beta + c)U + A\nabla\alpha = 0$. But, by (3.14) we have $\nabla\alpha = \alpha U$. Combining the last two equations, we obtain $\beta + c = 0$, a contradiction. Thus (3.24) is accomplished.

Differentiating (3.24), and using (3.23), we find

$$2h\nabla h = \alpha \nabla h + h \nabla \alpha = \nabla \beta. \tag{3.25}$$

From this and (3.15) we obtain

$$A\nabla\beta = 2h\{(Wh)AW + (\xi h)A\xi\}.$$
(3.26)

If we take account of (3.23)-(3.26), then (3.14) turns out to be

$$-cAU + \frac{c}{4}(3\alpha - 5h)U = (h - \alpha)(\xi h)A\xi - \mu(Wh)A\xi + h(Wh)AW$$
$$+ (\mu^{2} + \alpha h - c)\nabla h - \beta\nabla\alpha.$$
(3.27)

On the other hand, we have from (3.13)

$$h^{2}AU + \frac{c}{2}hU = (\alpha - h)(\xi h)A\xi + (\alpha - 2h)(Wh)AW + c\nabla h$$

because of (3.24)-(3.26). Comparing with the last two equations, it follows that

$$(h^{2}-c)AU + \frac{3}{4}c(\alpha - h)U$$

= $(\alpha - h)(Wh)AW - \mu(Wh)A\xi + (\beta - \alpha^{2} + \alpha h)\nabla h - \beta\nabla\alpha.$

Applying this by hA and making use of (2.2), (2.5) and (3.23), we find

$$\begin{cases} h^2(h^2-c) + \frac{3}{4}ch(\alpha-h) \\ \} A U \\ = h(\alpha-h)(Wh) \left\{ hAW - \frac{3}{4}cW \right\} - \mu h(Wh) \left(hA\xi - \frac{3}{4}c\xi \right) \\ + h(\beta - \alpha^2 + \alpha h)A\nabla h - \beta hA\nabla \alpha, \end{cases}$$

which together with (3.15) and (3.23)-(3.25) implies that

$$\left\{ (\alpha h + c)\alpha h - \frac{3}{4}c^2 \right\} A U$$

= $h(\alpha - h)(Wh) \left(hAW - \frac{3}{4}cW \right) - \mu h(Wh) \left(hA\xi - \frac{3}{4}c\xi \right)$
+ $\frac{3}{4}c(h - \alpha)\{(Wh)AW + (\xi h)A\xi\}.$ (3.28)

Furthermore, using (2.2) and (2.5), we have from (3.28)

$$\left\{ (\alpha h + c)\alpha h - \frac{3}{4}c^2 \right\} A U = 0$$

because U is orthogonal to ξ and W. Hence we have

$$(\alpha^3 + c\alpha)h + c\alpha^2 - \frac{3}{4}c^2 = 0$$

on Ω_0 . Since $c \neq 0$, it follows that

$$h = \frac{\frac{3}{4}c^2 - c\alpha^2}{\alpha(\alpha^2 + c)}.$$
 (3.29)

From this and (3.24) we have $12\alpha^4 + 52c\alpha^2 - 9c^2 = 0$ on Ω_0 . So we see that $\nabla \alpha = 0$ and hence $\nabla h = 0$ because of (3.29). Thus (3.27) becomes $AU = \frac{1}{4}(3\alpha - 5h)U$ on Ω_0 . Therefore Ω_0 is void. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.2. Under the same assumptions as those stated in Lemma 3.1, we have $\xi \alpha = 0$, $W \alpha = 0$, $\xi h = 0$ and W h = 0 on Ω .

PROOF. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, it is sufficient to show that the following two cases:

Case 1. $\beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c = 0$ and $h^2 - h\alpha - c = 0$,

Case 2. $\frac{c}{2}(3\alpha - 2h) + (h - \alpha)(2\alpha h - 2\beta - \frac{1}{2}h^2) \neq 0.$

Case 1: By taking the inner product with ξ in (3.14), we obtain

$$\mu(h-\alpha)(Wh) = \left(2\alpha^2 - 3h\alpha + \frac{7}{4}c\right)(\xi h) + \left(h\alpha - \frac{3}{4}c\right)(\xi \alpha).$$
(3.30)

From (3.19) we have

$$\mu\alpha(Wh) = -\frac{1}{2}(h\alpha - 2c)(\zeta\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}(3h\alpha - 2\alpha^2 - 3c)(\zeta h).$$
(3.31)

Using (3.24), (3.30) and (3.31), we are led to

$$\{(\xi h)^2 + (\xi \alpha)^2\}(25h\alpha + 14c - 3\alpha^2) = 0.$$
(3.32)

So, on the set of points satisfying $25h\alpha + 14c - 3\alpha^2 \neq 0$,

$$\xi h = \xi \alpha = 0$$

On account of Remark 3.1 and (3.30), we deduce that

$$Wh=0.$$

Further, from (3.12), we get $W\alpha = 0$ since $\mu \neq 0$.

If $2h\alpha + 14c - 3\alpha^2 \equiv 0$, then $\alpha \neq 0$ since $c \neq 0$. So, we have

$$h = \frac{3\alpha^2 - 14c}{25\alpha}.\tag{3.33}$$

Combining this with (3.24), we see that

$$(3\alpha^2 - 14c)^2 - 25\alpha^2(3\alpha^2 - 14c) - 625c\alpha^2 \equiv 0.$$

Therefore we have $\nabla \alpha = 0$. So we have $\nabla h = 0$ by (3.33). Case 2: Putting $\beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c = c'$, (3.21) is reduced to

$$\left\{\frac{c}{2}(3\alpha - 2h) + (h - \alpha)\left(\frac{3}{2}c - 2c' - \frac{1}{2}h^2\right)\right\}AU + cc'U = 0.$$

From this we have

$$AU = \lambda U, \quad \lambda = \frac{-2cc'}{c(3\alpha - 2h) + (h - \alpha)(3c - 4c' - h^2)}$$

Therefore we are led to the following equation by (3.10):

$$(4c'+h^2)\left\{(4c'+h^2)\alpha^2 - 2h(4c'+h^2)\alpha + h^2(4c'+h^2) - c^2\right\} = 0. \quad (3.34)$$

If $4c' + h^2 \equiv 0$, then h = constant. So, using (3.19), we are led to $\xi \alpha = 0$ since $c \neq 0$. Furthermore, from (3.12), we have $W\alpha = 0$.

If $4c' + h^2 \neq 0$, then from (3.34) we have

$$(4c'+h^2)\alpha^2 - 2h(4c'+h^2)\alpha + h^2(4c'+h^2) - c^2 = 0.$$
 (3.35)

Differentiating both sides of (3.35), we obtain

$$(\alpha - h)(4c' + h^2)\nabla\alpha + \{h\alpha^2 - (4c' + h^2)\alpha + 2h(2c' + h^2)\}\nabla h = 0.$$
(3.36)

By taking the inner products with ξ in (3.14), we obtain

$$\mu\alpha(Wh) - \mu h(W\alpha) = \left(-\alpha^2 + h\alpha + 2c' - \frac{3}{2}c\right)(\xi h) + \left(h\alpha - 2c' + \frac{3}{2}c - h^2\right)(\xi \alpha).$$
(3.37)

By our assumption (3.19) is reduced to

$$\mu\alpha(Wh) = \left(\frac{3}{2}h\alpha - \alpha^2 + 2c' - \frac{3}{2}c\right)(\xi h) - \left(\frac{1}{2}h^2 + 2c' - \frac{3}{2}c\right)(\xi \alpha).$$
(3.38)

Using (3.36) and (3.37), we obtain

$$2\mu(h^{2} + 2c')(\alpha - h)(Wh) = \left\{-2h(h^{2} + 4c')\alpha + (h^{2} + 4c')\left(h^{2} + h\alpha + 2c' - \frac{3}{2}c\right) - c^{2}\right\}(\xi h) + (h^{2} + 4c')\left(h\alpha - 2c' + \frac{3}{2}c - h^{2}\right)(\xi \alpha).$$
(3.39)

Making use of (3.35), we have from (3.38) and (3.39)

$$\left[-2(h^{2}+2c')\alpha^{3}+2h(3h^{2}+7c')\alpha^{2}+\left\{-4h^{4}-\left(8c'+\frac{3}{2}c\right)h^{2}+c^{2}\right\}\alpha\right.$$
$$\left.+\left(3c-4c'\right)h(h^{2}+2c')\right](\xi h)-\left\{h^{2}\left(2h^{2}-\frac{3}{2}c+8c'\right)\alpha\right.$$
$$\left.+h(c^{2}-10c'h^{2}-2h^{4}+3ch^{2}-8c'^{2}+6cc')\right\}(\xi \alpha)=0.$$
(3.40)

From (3.36) we have

$$(\alpha - h)(h^2 + 4c')(\xi \alpha) + \{h\alpha^2 - (4c' + 3h^2)\alpha + 2h(2c' + h^2)\}(\xi h) = 0.$$
 (3.41)

From (3.40) and (3.41) we obtain

$$\{(\xi h)^{2} + (\xi \alpha)^{2}\} \times \left[(\alpha - h)(h^{2} + 4c') \left\{ -2(h^{2} + 2c')\alpha^{3} + 2h(3h^{2} + 7c')\alpha^{2} - 4h^{4}\alpha - \left(8c' + \frac{3}{2}c \right)h^{2}\alpha + c^{2}\alpha + (3c - 4c')h(h^{2} + 2c') \right\} + \left\{ h\alpha^{2} - (4c' + 3h^{2})\alpha + 2h(2c' + h^{2}) \right\} \left\{ h^{2} \left(2h^{2} - \frac{3}{2}c + 8c' \right)\alpha + h(c^{2} - 10c'h^{2} - 2h^{4} + 3ch^{2} - 8c'^{2} + 6c'c) \right\} \right] = 0.$$
(3.42)

If $(\xi h)^2 + (\xi \alpha)^2 \neq 0$, then from (3.42) we have

$$(-12h^{2}c' - 2h^{4} - 16c'^{2})\alpha^{4}$$

$$+ \left(-\frac{3}{2}h^{3}c + 72hc'^{2} + 58h^{3}c' + 10h^{5}\right)\alpha^{3}$$

$$+ \left(2h^{2}c^{2} + 3h^{4}c + \frac{9}{2}h^{2}c + 4c'c^{2} - 88c'h^{4}\right)$$

$$- 6h^{4} - 14h^{6} - 24c'h^{2} - 128c'^{2}h^{2} + 6c'ch^{2}\right)\alpha^{2}$$

$$+ \left(-18c'ch - 8c'c^{2}h + 6h^{5} + 62c'h^{5} - 3c^{2}h - 2h^{3}c^{2}\right)$$

$$+ 24c'^{2}h + 10h^{7} + 88c'h^{3} - 9ch^{3} - \frac{3}{2}ch^{5} + 30c'h^{3}\right)\alpha$$

$$+ 6c'ch^{4} + 4c'c^{2}h^{2} - 4h^{8} - 24c'h^{6} - 32c'h^{4} + 2c^{2}h^{4} + 3ch^{6} = 0. \quad (3.43)$$

Using Sylvester's elimination method to (3.35) and (3.43), we deduce that

$$(-24cc' - 7c^{2} + 16c'^{2})h^{20} + (-576c'^{2}c + 72c'c + 384c'^{3} - 48c'^{2} + 21c^{2} + 36c^{3} - 120c'c^{2})h^{18} + f(h) = 0,$$
(3.44)

where f(h) is the polynomial of h of degree ≤ 16 . (We use a computer to calculate this.)

We can check that the coefficients of h^{20} and h^{18} does not vanish simulteneously since $c \neq 0$. (We use a computer to check this.)

By the above argument, we know that (3.44) is a non-trivial algebraic equation of h. So, we arrive at h = constant. From (3.41), we have $\xi \alpha = 0$. These

are contradictions. So, we have $\xi \alpha = \xi h = 0$. Furthermore, using (3.12) and (3.39), we arrive at $W\alpha = Wh = 0$. We have thus proved the lemma.

4 Proof of the Theorem

We continue our discussion under the same assumption of §3. First, we prove the following two lemmas:

LEMMA 4.1. Let λ be a principal curvature corresponding to U. Then λ does not vanish identically on $\Omega = \{ p \in M \mid \mu(p) \neq 0 \}.$

PROOF. From Lemma 3.1 and (3.10) the following equation holds on Ω :

$$\lambda^2 = \lambda h + \beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c. \tag{4.1}$$

By Lemma 3.2, (3.15) becomes

$$A\nabla h = 0, \quad \lambda(Uh) = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Because of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, (3.13) and (3.16) are reduced respectively to

$$\{h\lambda + 2(\beta - h\alpha + c)\}U = -A\nabla\alpha + \frac{1}{2}(h\nabla\alpha - \alpha\nabla h), \qquad (4.3)$$

$$\theta U = \left(2\alpha h - 2\beta - \frac{1}{2}h^2\right)\nabla\alpha + \left(2\beta - \frac{3}{2}h\alpha\right)\nabla h, \qquad (4.4)$$

where we define θ by $\theta = (4\beta - 4h\alpha + h^2 + c)\lambda + \frac{3}{2}c\alpha - 2ch$.

From (3.11) and Lemma 3.2, we have $\xi\beta = 0$. Therefore it is seen, using Lemma 3.2, that

$$\xi\theta=0.$$

From this and Lemma 3.1, we see, making use of (4.4), that

$$\theta \, du(\xi, X) = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

for any vector fields X on Ω , where u is defined by u(X) = g(U, X), and exterior derivation du of u is given by

$$du(\xi, X) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \xi u(X) - Xu(\xi) - u([\xi, X]) \}.$$

On the other hand, using (1.15) and $AU = \lambda U$, the equation (1.14) turns out to be

$$\nabla_{\xi} U = \mu(\alpha - 3\lambda) W - \mu^2 \xi + \phi \nabla \alpha,$$

which together with (1.11) and (2.2) implies that

$$du(\xi, X) = (h - 3\lambda)\mu w(X) + g(\phi \nabla \alpha, X), \qquad (4.6)$$

where w(X) = g(W, X).

If $\lambda = 0$, then by (3.1) we have

$$\beta - h\alpha = -\frac{3}{4}c. \tag{4.7}$$

Thus (4.3) and (4.4) becomes respectively

$$cU = -2A\nabla\alpha + h\nabla\alpha - \alpha\nabla h, \qquad (4.8)$$

$$(3c\alpha - 4ch)U = (3c - h^2)\nabla\alpha - (3c - h\alpha)\nabla h.$$
(4.9)

Because of Lemma 3.1 and (4.2), we see, using (4.9), that

$$(3c - h^2)A\nabla\alpha = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

If the set of points satisfying $A\nabla \alpha \neq 0$ is not empty, then on that set we have

h = constant

because of (4.10). So, from (4.9), we are led to

 $\nabla \alpha = 0.$

This is a contradiction. So, we obtain

$$A\nabla \alpha = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega. \tag{4.11}$$

Thus (4.7) becomes

 $cU = h\nabla \alpha - \alpha \nabla h.$

So, we have

$$du(\xi, X) = 0$$

because of Lemma 3.2. Therefore (4.6) means that

$$\phi \nabla \alpha = \mu (h - 3\lambda) W.$$

Since $\xi \alpha = 0$, it follows that

Real hypersurfaces of a nonflat complex space form

$$\nabla \alpha = hU. \tag{4.12}$$

So, from (4.8), we have

$$\alpha \nabla h = (h^2 - c) U. \tag{4.13}$$

Combining last two equations with (3.2) and (3.11), we obtain

$$A\nabla\beta = 0, \quad A\nabla\mu = 0.$$

Thus (2.18) with AU = 0 and (4.7) implies

$$-\frac{5}{4}c(h-\alpha)U = \frac{3}{4}c\nabla\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(h-\alpha)\{\alpha\nabla h + h\nabla\alpha\} + \left(h\alpha - \frac{3}{4}c - \alpha^2\right)\nabla h.$$
(4.14)

Substituting (4.12) and (4.13) in the right-hand side of (4.14), we are led to

$$(h-\alpha)^2 = c. \tag{4.15}$$

Combining this with (4.12) and (4.13), we have

$$\alpha(h-\alpha)=0.$$

Since $h - \alpha \neq 0$, we have

$$\alpha = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

So, (4.12) implies that h = 0. These are contradictions. We have thus proved the lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. $\theta = 0$ on Ω .

PROOF. If not, then from (4.5) we have

$$du(\xi,X)=0.$$

By (4.6), we obtain

$$\nabla \alpha = (h - 3\lambda) U. \tag{4.17}$$

Hence (4.3) is reduced to

$$\alpha \nabla h = \{h^2 - 7\lambda h + 6\lambda^2 - 4(\beta - h\alpha + c)\}U.$$
(4.18)

Applying A to both sides of (4.18), we have

$$4(\beta - h\alpha) = h^2 - 7h\lambda + 6\lambda^2 - 4c \qquad (4.19)$$

since $A\nabla h = 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ on Ω .

Combining (4.19) with (4.1), we are led to

$$2\lambda^2 - 3\lambda h + h^2 - c = 0. (4.20)$$

Differentiating both sides of (4.20), we obtain

$$(4\lambda - 3h)\nabla\lambda + (2h - 3\lambda)\nabla h = 0.$$
(4.21)

On the other hand, from (4.1) we have

$$(2\lambda - h)\nabla\lambda = \lambda\nabla h. \tag{4.22}$$

Combining (4.22) with (4.21), we are led to

$$(h-\lambda)^2 \nabla \lambda = 0$$

Furthermore, we have

 $\nabla \lambda = 0$

since $h \neq \lambda$ by (4.20) and $c \neq 0$. So, from (4.22) we obtain

$$\nabla h = 0 \tag{4.23}$$

since $\lambda \neq 0$ by Lemma 4.1. Thus (4.4) becomes

$$(4\beta - 4h\alpha + h^{2} + c)\lambda + \frac{3}{2}c\alpha - 2ch = (h - 3\lambda)\left(2\alpha h - 2\beta - \frac{1}{2}h^{2}\right). \quad (4.24)$$

Differentiating both sides of (4.24), we have

$$\nabla \alpha = 0 \tag{4.25}$$

since $c \neq 0$.

From (4.4), (4.23) and (4.25), we are led to

$$\theta = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. We have thus proved the lemma.

Finally, we prove

THEOREM 4.1. Let M be a real hypersurface in $M_n(c)$, c > 0. If it satisfies $\nabla_{\phi \nabla_{\xi} \xi} S = 0$ and at the same time satisfies $S\xi = \sigma \xi$ for some constant σ , then M is a Hopf hypersurface.

PROOF. By Lemma 4.2 and (4.1), we have

$$\lambda(4\lambda^2 - 4h\lambda + h^2 - 2c) = \frac{c}{2}(4h - 3\alpha), \qquad (4.26)$$

$$\left(2\alpha h - 2\beta - \frac{1}{2}h^2\right)\nabla\alpha + \left(2\beta - \frac{3}{2}h\alpha\right)\nabla h = 0.$$
(4.27)

Applying A to both sides of (4.27) and using (4.2), we obtain

$$\left(2\alpha h-2\beta-\frac{1}{2}h^2\right)A\nabla\alpha=0.$$

Now, suppose that $A\nabla \alpha \neq 0$, then we have

$$2\alpha h-2\beta-\frac{1}{2}h^2=0.$$

From this and our auumption $\sigma = \text{constant}$, we have

$$\nabla h = 0. \tag{4.28}$$

Differentiating both sides of (4.1), we obtain

$$(h-2\lambda)\nabla\lambda = 0. \tag{4.29}$$

From (4.28) and (4.29), we are led to

$$\nabla \lambda = 0. \tag{4.30}$$

Thus from (4.26) we see that

 $\nabla \alpha = 0.$

This contradicts to $A\nabla \alpha = 0$. So, we have

$$A\nabla\alpha = 0, \quad U\alpha = 0 \tag{4.31}$$

since $\lambda \neq 0$.

Using (4.2) and (4.31) and applying U to both sides of (4.3), we have

$$h\lambda + 2(\beta - h\alpha + c) = 0. \tag{4.32}$$

From (4.1) and (4.32), we obtain

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{1}{2}h\lambda - \frac{1}{4}c. \tag{4.33}$$

Substituting (4.33) to both sides of (4.26), we are led to

$$\alpha = h + 2\lambda \tag{4.34}$$

since $c \neq 0$.

Combining (4.34) with (4.32), we have

$$g(U, U) = \beta - \alpha^2 = -7\lambda^2 - \frac{9}{4}c < 0.$$

This is a contradiction. The theorem is now proved by all the above arguments.

References

- [1] J. Berndt, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space, J. Reine Angew. Math. 395 (1989), 132-141.
- [2] J. T. Cho and U-Hang Ki, Real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space in terms of the Jacobi operators, Acta Math. Hungar 80 (1998), 155-167.
- [3] Tae Yong Hwang, U-Hang Ki and Nam-Gil Kim, Ricci tensors of real hypersurfaces in a nonflat complex space form, preprint.
- [4] Eun-Hee Kang and U-Hang Ki, Real hypersurfaces satisfying $\nabla_{\xi} S = 0$ of a complex space form, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 35 (1998), 819–835.
- [5] U-Hang Ki, Real hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor of a complex space form, Tsukuba J. Math. 13 (1989), 73-81.
- U-Hang Ki, N.-G. Kim and S.-B. Lee, On certain real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, J. Korean Math. Soc. 29 (1992), 63-77.
- [7] U-Hang Ki, Soo Jin Kim and Seong-Baek Lee, The structure Jacobi operator on real hypersurfaces in a nonflat complex space form, preprint.
- [8] S. Maeda, Ricci tensors of real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1994), 1229-1235.
- [9] Y. J. Suh, On real hypersurfaces of a complex space from with η -parallel Ricci tensor, Tsukuba J. Math. 14 (1990), 27-37.
- [10] R. Takagi, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973), 495-506.

U-Hang Ki Department of Mathematics Kyungpook National University Daegu 702-701, Korea

Setsuo Nagai Department of Mathematics Faculty of Education Toyama University Toyamashi 930-8555, Japan