THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR STRICTLY HYPERBOLIC OPERATORS WITH NON-ABSOLUTELY CONTINUOUS COEFFICIENTS

By

Massimo CICOGNANI

Introduction

Let us consider the Cauchy problem

(1)
$$Pu(t,x) = 0 \quad \text{in } [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = u_1(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

for a strictly hyperbolic operator

(2)
$$P = \partial_t^2 - \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{j,k}(t,x) \partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_k} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(t,x) \partial_{x_j} + b_{n+1}(t,x)$$

with $(a_{j,k})$ a real symmetric matrix, $b_j \in C([0,T]; \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of all C^{∞} functions which are bounded together with all their derivatives in \mathbb{R}^n .

It is well known that if $\partial_t a_{j,k} \in L^1([0,T]; \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ then problem (1) is well posed in Sobolev spaces: for every $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $u_1 \in H^{s-1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ there is a unique solution $u \in C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbf{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T]; H^{s-1}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ which satisfies

(3)
$$||u(t)||_{s} + ||\partial_{t}u(t)||_{s-1} \leq C(||u_{0}||_{s} + ||u_{1}||_{s-1}), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

By the finite speed of propagation one obtains the well posedness in C^{∞} .

Our aim is to consider non-absolutely continuous coefficients assuming $a_{j,k} \in C^1(]0,T]; \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and

$$|\partial_t a_{j,k}(t,x)| \le Ct^{-q}, \quad q \ge 1, t > 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

as it is done by Colombini, Del Santo and Kinoshita in [3] for coefficients of P depending only on the time variable t. Here we treat the general case and, beside (4), we permit:

Received May 10, 2001. Revised July 16, 2001.

(5)
$$|\partial_x^{\beta} a_{i,k}(t,x)| \le C_{\beta} t^{-p}, \quad p \in [0,1[,|\beta| > 0, t > 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^n].$$

For q = 1 in (4) and any $p \in [0, 1[$ in (5), we prove the inequality

(6)
$$||u(t)||_{s-h} + ||\partial_t u(t)||_{s-1-h} \le C(||u(0)||_s + ||\partial_t u(0)||_{s-1}), \quad C, h > 0, 0 \le t \le T$$

for every $u \in C([0,T]; H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that Pu = 0. In particular, we obtain the well posedness in C^{∞} of the Cauchy problem (1) with a loss of h derivatives.

In the case q > 1 in (4), we assume boundness and Gevrey regularity $\gamma^{(s)}$ for the coefficients, that is we take p = 0 and $C_{\beta} = CA^{|\beta|}(\beta!)^s$ in (5). Then we prove the well posedness of problem (1) in $\gamma^{(s)}$ for 1 < s < q/(q-1).

We refer to [3] for counter examples that show the sharpness of these results; in particular C^{∞} well posedness does not hold for q > 1.

In (4) and (5) one can substitute t^{-q} and t^{-p} with $|T_0 - t|^{-q}$ and $|T_0 - t|^{-p}$, respectively, $T_0 \in [0, T]$, $t \neq T_0$. So inequality (6) can be applied also to the study of the blowup rate in some nonlinear equations. Consider, for istance, a smooth solution u for t < T of

$$\partial_t^2 u - \alpha \left(\int_0^t \partial_x u(s, x) \, ds \right) \partial_x^2 u = 0, \quad \alpha(y) \ge \alpha_0 > 0$$

such that

$$|\partial_x^{\beta} u(t,x)| \leq C_{\beta} (T-t)^{-1}, \quad t < T.$$

If α' is bounded and $|\alpha^{(k)}(y)| \le A_k e^{\mu|y|}$, $\mu < 1/C_1$, $k \ge 2$, then $a(t,x) := \alpha(\int_0^t \partial_x u(s,x) \, ds)$ satisfies (4) with q=1 and (5) with $p \in]\mu C_1, 1[$, $(T-t)^{-1}$ and $(T-t)^{-p}$ in place of t^{-1} and t^{-p} respectively. So inequality (6) implies $u \in C^{\infty}$ also for t=T. This means that $(T-t)^{-1}$ is not a sufficient breakdown rate of the derivatives $\partial_x^\beta u$ to have blowup of u at t=T, cf. [1].

1. Main Results

Let

$$P = \partial_t^2 - \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{j,k}(t,x) \partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_k} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(t,x) \partial_{x_j} + b_{n+1}(t,x)$$

be a linear differential operator in $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, with $(a_{j,k})$ a symmetric matrix of real valued functions, $a_{j,k} \in C^1(]0,T]; C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)), b_j \in C([0,T]; C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. We consider the Cauchy problem for the equation

$$(1.1) Pu(t,x) = 0 in [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$$

with initial data at t = 0

(1.2)
$$u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = u_1(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

under the hypothesis of strict hyperbolicity

(1.3)
$$a(t, x, \xi) := \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} a_{j,k}(t, x) \xi_j \xi_k \ge c_0 |\xi|^2, \quad c_0 > 0$$

and we deal with its well posedness according to the behaviour of $\partial_t a$ as $t \to 0$. Our first result is the following:

Theorem 1. Assume that there exist $p,r \in [0,1[$ and positive constants C_{β} such that

$$(1.4) \quad |\partial_x^{\beta} a_{j,k}(t,x)| \le C_{\beta} t^{-p}, \quad |\beta| > 0; \quad |\partial_x^{\beta} \partial_t a_{j,k}(t,x)| \le C_{\beta} t^{-1-r|\beta|}, \quad |\beta| \ge 0.$$

Then, for every $u_0, u_1 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) has a unique solution $u \in C^1([0,T]; C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

REMARK. A consequence of (1.4) is the finite speed of propagation. So it is not restrective to consider $u_0, u_1 \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and to assume

$$(1.5) |\partial_x^{\beta} b_i(t, x)| \le C_{\beta}, (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n.$$

In Section 2 we shall prove an estimate in Sobolev spaces that implies Theorem 1:

THEOREM 2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 there are positive constants C, h such that for every $u \in C([0,T]; H^{s+1}(\mathbf{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T]; H^s(\mathbf{R}^n))$ which satisfies Pu = 0 we have

$$(1.6) ||u(t)||_{s-h} + ||\partial_t u(t)||_{s-1-h} \le C(||u(0)||_s + ||\partial_t u(0)||_{s-1}), 0 \le t \le T.$$

When $t\partial_t a(t, x, \xi |\xi|^{-2})$ is not bounded, problem (1.1), (1.2) may not be well posed in C^{∞} .

For s > 1, A > 0, we denote by $\gamma_A^{(s)} = \gamma_A^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the space of all functions f satisfying

$$||f||_{s,A} := \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} A^{-|\beta|} (\beta!)^{-s} |\partial_x^{\beta} f(x)| < \infty$$

so $\gamma^{(s)} := \bigcup_{A>0} \gamma_A^{(s)}$ is a Gevrey space.

THEOREM 3. Assume $a_{j,k}, b_j \in C([0,T]; \gamma_A^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ and

$$(1.7) \quad |\partial_x^{\beta} \partial_t a_{j,k}(t,x)| \le C t^{-q} A^{|\beta|} (\beta!)^s, \quad (t,x) \in]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad q > 1, s < q/(q-1).$$

Then there exists $A_0 > A$ such that for every $u_0, u_1 \in \gamma_A^{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) has a unique solution $u \in C^1([0,T];\gamma_{A_0}^{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

As Theorem 1, we shall obtain Theorem 3 from an *a priori* estimate; so we introduce Gevrey-Sobolev spaces adapted to our problem. We fix $\delta \in]0,1[$ such that $1/s = (q-1+\delta)/q$ then for k>0, $t\in [0,T]$, $\mu\in \mathbf{R}$ we denote by $H^{k,t,\mu}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the space of all functions f such that:

$$||f||_{k,t,\mu} := \left\| \exp\left(\frac{k}{\delta} (T^{\delta} - t^{\delta}) \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}\right) f \right\|_{\mu} < \infty,$$

 $||g||_{\mu}$ the norm of g in the usual Sobolev space $H^{\mu}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

From Paley-Wiener theorem it follows that

$$||f||_{k,t,\mu} \le C||f||_{s,A}, \quad f \in \gamma_A^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n), \quad 0 \le kT^{\delta}/\delta \le T_0$$

with T_0 and C positive constants depending on A. Conversely, for every $T_1 < T$ and k > 0 there is $A_1 > 0$ such that

$$H^{k,t,\mu}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset \gamma_A^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}^n), \quad t \in [0,T_1], A > A_1, \mu > n/2.$$

For functions u(t, x) we define the space

$$C_T^j(H^{k,t,\mu}) := \left\{ u; t \to \exp\left(\frac{k}{\delta} (T^\delta - t^\delta) \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}\right) \partial_t^h u(t,\cdot) \text{ is continuous from} \right.$$

$$[0,T] \text{ to } H^{\mu-h}(\mathbf{R}^n), h = 0, \dots, j \right\}.$$

THEOREM 4. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3 there are positive constants k_0, T_0, C such that for every $u \in C_T^1(H^{k,t,\mu+1}), kT^{\delta}/\delta \leq T_0, k \geq k_0$, which satisfies Pu = 0 we have

$$(1.8) \quad \|u(t)\|_{k,t,\mu} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{k,t,\mu-1} \le C(\|u(0)\|_{k,0,\mu} + \|\partial_t u(0)\|_{k,0,\mu-1}), \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

We shall prove Theorem 4 in Section 3. From estimate (1.8) we can solve problem (1.1), (1.2) in $[0, T_1]$, $T_1 = (\delta T_0/k_0)^{1/\delta}$. This is sufficient to prove Theorem 3 since we have $a_{j,k} \in C^1([T_1, T]; \gamma_A^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ that ensures $\gamma^{(s)}$ well posedness in $[T_1, T]$.

2. C^{∞} Well Posedness

In this section we prove Theorem 2 which implies Theorem 1. Writing

$$P = \partial_t^2 + a(t, x, D_x) + b(t, x, D_x), \quad D_x = \frac{1}{i}\partial_x \quad (i = \sqrt{-1}),$$

$$a(t, x, \xi) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} a_{j,k}(t, x)\xi_{j}\xi_{k}, \quad b(t, x, \xi) = i\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t, x)\xi_{j} + b_{n+1}(t, x),$$

the assumptions on P are the following:

$$(2.1) a(t, x, \xi) \ge c_0 |\xi|^2, \quad c_0 > 0,$$

$$(2.2) |\partial_x^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} a(t, x, \xi)| \le C_{\alpha, \beta} t^{-p} \langle \xi \rangle^{2-|\alpha|}, |\alpha| \ge 0, |\beta| > 0,$$

$$(2.3) |\partial_x^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_t a(t, x, \xi)| \le C_{\alpha, \beta} t^{-1 - r|\beta|} \langle \xi \rangle^{2 - |\alpha|}, |\alpha| \ge 0, |\beta| \ge 0,$$

$$(2.4) |\partial_x^{\beta} \partial_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} b(t, x, \xi)| \le C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\alpha|}, |\alpha| \ge 0, |\beta| \ge 0,$$

$$p, r \in [0, 1[, (t, x, \xi) \in]0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}.$$

In particular (2.3) gives also

$$(2.5) |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} a(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha} \log(1 + 1/t) \langle \xi \rangle^{2-|\alpha|}, |\alpha| \geq 0.$$

We modify the symbol a for $\langle \xi \rangle \leq 2/t$ defining

$$a_0(t, x, \xi) = \varphi(t\langle \xi \rangle) \langle \xi \rangle^2 + (1 - \varphi(t\langle \xi \rangle)) a(t, x, \xi),$$

$$\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}), \quad 0 \le \varphi \le 1, \quad \varphi = 1 \text{ in } [0,1], \quad \varphi = 0 \text{ in } [2, +\infty[.$$

Then $\lambda(t) = \sqrt{a_0(t)}$, $0 \le t \le T$, is a family of symbols of pseudodifferential operators in \mathbb{R}^n which satisfies

(2.6)
$$\lambda(t, x, \xi) \ge c\langle \xi \rangle, \quad c > 0$$

$$(2.7) |\partial_x^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \lambda(t, x, \xi)| \le C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\alpha|} [1 + H(t \langle \xi \rangle) t^{-p|\beta|} (\log(1 + 1/t))^{1+|\alpha|}],$$

$$H(y) = 0 \text{for } y < 1, H(y) = 1 \text{for } y \ge 1.$$

In particular, if we denote as usual by $S^m_{\rho,\delta}$ the class of all symbols $q(x,\xi)$ such that $|\partial_x^{\beta}\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}q(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|},\ 0\leq\delta<\rho\leq1$, we have that $\{\lambda(t);\ 0\leq t\leq T\}$ is bounded in $S^{1+\varepsilon}_{\rho,p}$ and $\{\lambda^{-1}(t);\ 0\leq t\leq T\}$ is bounded in $S^{-1}_{\rho,p}$ for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every $\rho\in]p,1[$.

Another consequence is that the symbol $r(t, x, \xi)$ of the operator $a_0 - \lambda^2$ verifies $t^{1-\varepsilon}r \in C([0, T]; S^1_{1,p})$ for every $\varepsilon \in]0, 1-p[$.

From (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5) we get:

$$(2.8) |\partial_x^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_t \lambda(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{1 - |\alpha|} H(t \langle \xi \rangle) t^{-1 - \delta |\beta|} (\log(1 + 1/t))^{1 + |\alpha|},$$

$$\delta = \max\{p, r\},$$

which implies the boundness in $S_{1,\delta}^{1+\varepsilon'}$ of $\{t^{1-\varepsilon}\partial_t\lambda(t); 0 \le t \le T\}$ for any given $\varepsilon \in]0,1[,\varepsilon'\in]\varepsilon,1[$, by using $t^{-\varepsilon}(\log(1+1/t))^{1+|\alpha|} \le C_\alpha t^{-\varepsilon'} \le C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{\varepsilon'}$ on the support of $H(t\langle \xi \rangle)$.

Now we factorize the principal part of the operator $P = \partial_t^2 + a + b$:

(2.9)
$$P = (\partial_t - i\lambda)(\partial_t + i\lambda) + a - a_0 + a_1,$$
$$a_1 = -i[\partial_t, \lambda] + a_0 - \lambda^2 + b.$$

Obviously $t^{p+m}(a(t)-a_0(t)), \ 0 \le t \le T$, is a bounded and continuous family in $S_{1,0}^{2-m}$ for any $m \ge 0$ while $t^{1-\varepsilon}a_1(t), \ 0 \le t \le T$ is bounded and continuous in $S_{1,\delta}^{1+\varepsilon'}$ for every $\varepsilon \in]0,1-\delta[,\ \varepsilon' \in]\varepsilon,1[$. Hereafter we fix $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon' < 1-\delta$.

We have not $a - a_0 + a_1 \in L^1([0, T]; S^1_{\rho, \delta})$ that by Gronwall's method would give the classical energy inequality

$$||u(t)||_s + ||\partial_t u(t)||_{s-1} \le C(||u(0)||_s + ||\partial_t u(0)||_{s-1}), \quad C > 0, 0 \le t \le T$$

for every $u \in C([0,T]; H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that Pu = 0.

Anyway a weaker condition in this direction holds true: $a - a_0 = \varphi(t\langle\xi\rangle)(a - \langle\xi\rangle^2)$ is bounded by $C\langle\xi\rangle^2\log(1+1/t)$ and vanishes for $t\langle\xi\rangle > 2$ so we can find a smooth function $\psi_0(t,\xi)$ such that

$$|a-a_0|\langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \le \psi_0$$
, $(\log(1+1/t))^{-1}\psi_0 \in C([0,T]; S_{1,0}^1)$

and

$$\int_0^T |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \psi_0(t,\xi)| \ dt \le C_{\alpha} \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\alpha|} \int_0^{2/\langle \xi \rangle} \log(1+1/t) \ dt \le h_{\alpha} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \log(1+\langle \xi \rangle).$$

Concerning a_1 we have that

$$\partial_t \lambda = (2\lambda)^{-1} [\langle \xi \rangle \varphi'(t\langle \xi \rangle)(\langle \xi \rangle^2 - a) + (1 - \varphi(t\langle \xi \rangle))\partial_t a]$$

is bounded by $C\langle \xi \rangle^2 \log(1+1/t)$ for $t\langle \xi \rangle \leq 2$ and by $t^{-1}\langle \xi \rangle$ for $t\langle \xi \rangle > 2$ while the symbol of $a_0 - \lambda^2 + b$ is bounded by $Ct^{-1+\epsilon}\langle \xi \rangle$. So we can find $\psi_1(t,\xi)$ such that

$$|a_1|\langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \leq \psi_1, \quad t^{1-\varepsilon}\psi_1 \in C([0,T]; S_{1,0}^{\varepsilon'})$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{T} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \psi_{1}(t,\xi)| dt \leq C_{\alpha} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \left[1 + \langle \xi \rangle \int_{0}^{2/\langle \xi \rangle} \log(1 + 1/t) dt + \int_{2/\langle \xi \rangle}^{T} \frac{1}{t} dt \right]$$

$$\leq h_{\alpha} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \log(1 + \langle \xi \rangle).$$

Now we use the factorization (2.9) to reduce the equation Pu = 0 to a first order system. For $u \in C([0,T]; H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ let us define

$$U = {}^{t}(u_1, u_2), \quad u_1 = (\partial_t + i\lambda)u, \quad u_2 = \langle D_x \rangle u - mu_1,$$

m the operator with symbol $m(t, x, \xi) = \frac{(1 - \varphi(t\langle \xi \rangle/3))\langle \xi \rangle}{2i\lambda(t, x, \xi)}$ to have $\langle \xi \rangle = 2i\lambda m$ for $t\langle \xi \rangle > 6$ and $(\text{supp } m) \cap (\text{supp } a - a_0) = \emptyset$.

Then it is easy to see that the equation Pu = 0 is equivalent to a first order 2×2 system LU = 0,

(2.10)
$$L = \partial_t + K(t, x, D_x), \quad K = D + A, \quad A = A_0 + A_1,$$

where

(2.11)
$$D = \begin{pmatrix} -i\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & i\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad t^{p}A_{0} \in C([0, T]; S_{1,0}^{1}),$$
$$A_{0}(t, x, \xi) = 0 \text{ for } t\langle \xi \rangle > 6, \quad t^{1-\varepsilon}A_{1} \in C([0, T]; S_{1,\delta}^{\varepsilon'})$$

and there are two positive functions $\psi_0(t,\xi), \psi_1(t,\xi)$ such that:

(2.12)
$$|A_{0}| \leq \psi_{0}, \quad (\log(1+1/t))^{-1}\psi_{0} \in C([0,T]; S_{1,0}^{1}),$$

$$|A_{1}| \leq \psi_{1}, \quad t^{1-\varepsilon}\psi_{1} \in C([0,T]; S_{1,0}^{\varepsilon'}),$$

$$\int_{0}^{T} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\psi(t,\xi)| dt \leq h_{\alpha}\langle\xi\rangle^{-|\alpha|} \log(1+\langle\xi\rangle), \quad \psi = \psi_{0} + \psi_{1}.$$

Since it is

 $C^{-1}(\|u(t)\|_{s+1} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{s-\varepsilon}) \le \|U(t)\|_s \le C(\|u(t)\|_{s+1+\varepsilon} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_s), \quad 0 \le t \le T,$ we prove Theorem 2 by the following result:

Theorem 2.1. There are positive constants C, h such that for every $U \in C([0,T]; H^{s+1}(\mathbf{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T]; H^s(\mathbf{R}^n))$ which satisfies LU = 0 we have

$$||U(t)||_{s-h} \le C||U(0)||_{s}, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove (2.13) for s = 0 since $\langle D_x \rangle^s L \langle D_x \rangle^{-s}$ satisfies the same hypotheses as L.

We look for lower bounds of the operator K = D + A in (2.10). As it concerns the diagonal part D, from (2.7) we have that the symbol $d(t, x, \xi)$ of the operator $D(t) + D^*(t)$ satisfies $t^{1-\varepsilon}d \in C([0, T]; S^0_{1,\delta})$ so it follows

$$(2.14) 2 \operatorname{Re}\langle DU(t), U(t)\rangle \ge -Ct^{-1+\varepsilon}\langle U(t), U(t)\rangle, \quad C > 0$$

for every $U \in C([0,T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Next we make the change of variable

$$V = w(t, D_x)U, \quad w(t, \xi) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \psi(s, \xi) \ ds\right),$$

 $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_1$ the function in (2.12). We have

$$(2.15) ||U(t)||_{-h_0} \le 2||V(t)||_0, U(0) = V(0), h_0 > 0, 0 < t \le T$$

and LU = 0 if and only if $L_1V = 0$ with

(2.16)
$$L_{1} = wLw^{-1} = \partial_{t} + K_{1}(t, x, D_{x}),$$

$$K_{1} = D + (\psi I + A) + R_{1},$$

$$t^{1-\varepsilon}(\log(1 + \langle \xi \rangle))^{-1}R_{1} \in C([0, T]; S_{1,\delta}^{0})$$

Now the symbol of $\psi I + A$ satisfies

$$\begin{split} t^{1-\varepsilon}(\psi_0 I + A_0) &\in C([0,T]; S^1_{1,0}), \quad \psi_0 I + (A_0 + A_0^*)/2 \geq 0 \quad \text{for large } |\xi|, \\ t^{1-\varepsilon}(\psi_1 I + A_1) &\in C([0,T]; S^{\varepsilon'}_{1,\delta}), \quad \psi_1 I + (A_1 + A_1^*)/2 \geq 0 \quad \text{for large } |\xi|, \\ \varepsilon &< \varepsilon' < 1 - \delta, \quad \delta = \max\{p,r\}, \end{split}$$

so the sharp Garding inequality gives

$$(2.17) 2 \operatorname{Re}\langle (\psi I + A) V(t), V(t) \rangle \ge -Ct^{-1+\varepsilon}\langle V(t), V(t) \rangle, \quad C > 0$$

for every $V \in C([0,T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

For the operator R_1 we have

$$(2.18) 2 \operatorname{Re}\langle R_1 V(t), V(t)\rangle \ge -h_1 t^{-1+\varepsilon} \langle \log(1+\langle D_x \rangle) V(t), V(t)\rangle, h_1 > 0$$

that leads us to make the further change of variable (cf. [2]):

$$W = (1 + \langle D_x \rangle)^{-\alpha(t)} V = (1 + \langle D_x \rangle)^{-\alpha(t)} w(t, D_x) U, \quad \alpha(t) = h_1 t^{\varepsilon} / \varepsilon,$$

 h_1 the constant in (2.18). It is

$$\|U(t)\|_{-h} \le 2^{\alpha(T)+1} \|W(t)\|_{0}, \quad U(0) = W(0), \quad h = h_{0} + h_{1} T^{\varepsilon} / \varepsilon, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

 h_0 the constant in (2.15), and LU=0 if and only if $L_2W=0$ with

(2.20)
$$L_{2} = (1 + \langle D_{x} \rangle)^{-\alpha(t)} L_{1} (1 + \langle D_{x} \rangle)^{\alpha(t)} = \partial_{t} + K_{2}(t, x, D_{x}),$$

$$K_{2} = D + (\psi I + A) + (h_{1} t^{-1+\varepsilon} \log(1 + \langle D_{x} \rangle) + R_{1}) + R_{2},$$

$$t^{1-\varepsilon} R_{2} \in C([0, T]; S_{1,\delta}^{0}).$$

Now $h_1 t^{-1+\epsilon} \log(1 + \langle D_x \rangle) + R_1$ is a positive operator by (2.18) while $t^{1-\epsilon} R_2(t)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0 < t \le T$. From this, (2.14) and (2.17) we get

$$2 \operatorname{Re}\langle K_2 W(t), W(t) \rangle \ge -Ct^{-1+\varepsilon}\langle W(t), W(t) \rangle, \quad C > 0$$

for every $W \in C([0,T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$, hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|W(t)\|_0^2 \le Ct^{-1+\varepsilon} \|W(t)\|_0^2$$

for every $W \in C([0,T];H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T];H^0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that $L_2W=0$. This gives

$$||W(t)||_0^2 \le \exp(Ct^{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon)||W(0)||_0^2$$

that is (2.13) with s = 0 by (2.19).

3. $\gamma^{(s)}$ Well Posedness

In this section we prove Theorem 4 which implies Theorem 3. We need to introduce a class pseudodifferential operators in Gevrey spaces:

DEFINITION 3.1. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, s > 1, A > 0 we denote by $\Gamma_{s,A}^m$ the space of all symbols $a(x, \xi)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad |a|_{\Gamma_{s,A,l}^m} := \sup_{(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, |\alpha+\beta| \le l, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{x}^{\beta+\gamma} a(x,\xi)| A^{-|\gamma|} (\gamma!)^{-s} \langle \xi \rangle^{-m+|\alpha|}$$
is finite for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Set
$$a_{\Lambda}(x, D_x) = e^{\Lambda} a(x, D_x) e^{-\Lambda}$$
, $\Lambda = k \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}$, and denote by
$$|a|_{S_r^m} := \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, |\alpha + \beta| \le l} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} a(x, \xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^{-m + |\alpha|}$$

the usual norms in $S_{1,0}^m$. In [4] Kajitani proved the following result:

Proposition 3.2. For every A > 0 there is $T_0 > 0$ such that

(3.2)
$$|k| < T_0, \quad a \in \Gamma_{s,A}^m \Rightarrow a_{\Lambda} \in S_{1,0}^m, \quad a_{\Lambda} = a + r, \quad r \in S_{1,0}^{m-1+1/s}$$

and for every $l \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$ there are $C_l > 0$ and $l' \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$ such that

$$|r|_{S_l^{m-1+1/s}} \le C_l |a|_{\Gamma_{s,A,l'}^m}.$$

In particular we have that $a(x,D_x)$, $a \in \Gamma_{s,A}^m$, is a continuous operator from $H^{k,t,\mu}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $H^{k,t,\mu-m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $H^{k,t,\mu}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \exp\left(-\frac{k}{\delta}(T^\delta - t^\delta)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}\right)H^\mu(\mathbf{R}^n)$, for $0 < kT^\delta/\delta \le T_0$, $0 \le t \le T$.

Now we can begin the proof of Theorem 4. In this section the assumptions on the operator

$$P = \partial_t^2 + a(t, x, D_x) + b(t, x, D_x)$$

are the following:

$$(3.4) a(t, x, \xi) \ge c_0 |\xi|^2, c_0 > 0$$

(3.5)
$$a \in C([0, T]; \Gamma_{s, A}^2)$$

- (3.6) $t^q \partial_t a, 0 < t \le T$, is a continuous and bounded family in $\Gamma_{s,A}^2$, q > 1, s < q/(q-1)
- (3.7) $b \in C([0,T];\Gamma^1_{s,A}).$

Here we define

(3.8)
$$a_0(t, x, \xi) = \varphi(t^q \langle \xi \rangle) \langle \xi \rangle^2 + (1 - \varphi(t^q \langle \xi \rangle)) a(t, x, \xi),$$
$$\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}), \quad 0 \le \varphi \le 1, \quad \varphi = 1 \text{ in } [0, 1], \quad \varphi = 0 \text{ in } [2, +\infty[$$

and take $\delta > 0$ so that $1/s = (q - 1 + \delta)/q$ to have

(3.9)
$$t^{1-\delta}(a-a_0) \in C([0,T];\Gamma_{s,A}^{1+1/s})$$

using $\langle \xi \rangle \leq 2t^{-q}$ in the support of $\varphi(t^q \langle \xi \rangle)$.

We have also

$$\lambda = \sqrt{a_0} \in C([0,T];\Gamma^1_{s,A}), \quad \lambda^{-1} \in C([0,T];\Gamma^{-1}_{s,A})$$

and from (3.6) we get

$$(3.10) t^{1-\delta} \partial_t \lambda \in C([0,T]; \Gamma_{s,A}^{1+1/s})$$

by $\langle \xi \rangle \leq 2t^{-q}$ in supp $\varphi'(t^q \langle \xi \rangle)$ and $t^{-q} \leq \langle \xi \rangle$ in supp $(1 - \varphi(t^q \langle \xi \rangle))$.

So we can write

$$P = (\partial_t - i\lambda)(\partial_t + i\lambda) + r, \quad t^{1-\delta}r \in C([0, T]; \Gamma_{s, A}^{1+1/s})$$

and define

$$U = {}^{t}(u_1, u_2), \quad u_1 = (\partial_t + i\lambda)u, \quad u_2 = \langle D_x \rangle u - mu_1,$$

 $m(t, x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle / 2i\lambda(t, x, \xi)$ to have that the equation Pu = 0 is equivalent to a first order 2×2 system LU = 0,

(3.11)
$$L = \partial_t + K(t, x, D_x), \quad K = D + R,$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} -i\lambda & 0\\ 0 & i\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad t^{1-\delta}R \in C([0, T]; \Gamma_{s, A}^{1/s}).$$

Denoting by $||u||_{k,t,\mu}$ the norm of u in $H^{k,t,\mu}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, it is

$$C^{-1}(\|u(t)\|_{k,t,\mu+1}+\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{k,t,\mu})\leq \|U(t)\|_{k,t,\mu}\leq C(\|u(t)\|_{k,t,\mu+1}+\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{k,t,\mu}),$$

 $0 \le t \le T$, $0 < kT^{\delta}/\delta \le T_0$, T_0 the constant in Proposition 3.2, thus we prove Theorem 4 by the following result:

THEOREM 3.3. There are positive constants k_0 , C such that for every $U \in C^1_T(H^{k,t,\mu+1})$, $kT^{\delta}/\delta \leq T_0$, $k \geq k_0$, which satisfies LU = 0 we have

$$||U(t)||_{k,t,\mu} \le C||U(0)||_{k,0,\mu}, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove (3.12) for $\mu = 0$ since $\langle D_x \rangle^{\mu} L \langle D_x \rangle^{-\mu}$ satisfies the same hypotheses as L and this is equivalent to prove

$$||V(t)||_0 \le C||V(0)||_0, \quad 0 \le t \le T$$

for every $V \in C([0,T]; H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T]; H^0(\mathbf{R}^n))$ such that $L_{\Lambda}V = 0$, $L_{\Lambda} = e^{\Lambda}Le^{-\Lambda}$, $\Lambda = \frac{k}{\delta}(T^{\delta} - t^{\delta})\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}$.

From Proposition 3.2 and (3.11) we have

$$L_{\Lambda} = \partial_t + kt^{-1+\delta} \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s} + D + R_1, \quad t^{1-\delta} R_1 \in C([0,T]; S_{1,0}^{1/s}), \quad kT^{\delta}/\delta \leq T_0,$$

so we can take k large enough, say $k \ge k_0$, to make $kt^{-1+\delta} \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s} + R_1(t)$ a positive operator while $D(t) + D^*(t)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $0 \le t \le T$. This gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|V(t)\|_0^2 \le C \|V(t)\|_0^2, \quad 0 \le t \le T \le (\delta T_0/k)^{1/\delta}$$

for every $V \in C([0,T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T]; H^0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that $L_{\Lambda}V = 0$ which proves (3.13).

REMARK. It is possible to prove Theorem 4 also for the critical index s = q/(q-1). This needs the use of the Sharp Garding inequality as in the proof of Theorem 2 after an *ad hoc* version of Proposition 3.2 for more general functions Λ .

Bibliography

- [1] Alinhac, S.: Remarks on the blowup rate of classical solutions to quasilinear multidimensional hyperbolic systems. J. Math. Pures Appl. 79, 8 (2000), 839-854.
- [2] Cicognani, M.: A remark about hyperbolic equations with Log-Lipschitz coefficients. J. Partial Differ. Equations 10, 3 (1997), 284–288.
- [3] Colombini, F.; Del Santo, D.; Kinoshita, T.: Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for a hyperbolic equation with non-Lipschitz coefficients. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 1 (2002), 327-358.
- [4] Kajitani, K.: Cauchy problem for nonstrictly hyperbolic systems in Gevrey classes. J. of Math. of Kyoto Univ. 23 (1983), 599-616.

Massimo Cicognani
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Bologna
Piazza di Porta S. Donato, 5
40127 Bologna, Italy
and
Facoltà di Ingegneria II
Via Genova 181
47023 Cesena, Italy
e-mail: cicognan@dm.unibo.it