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## 1. Introduction

One of the very basic problems in submanifold theory is to find relations between extrinsic and intrinsic invariants of submanifolds. Many famous results in differential geometry, such as isoperimetric inequality, Chern-Lashof's theorem among others, are regarded as results in this respect.

Recently, Bang-Yen Chen has introduced new type of Riemannian curvature invariants and obtained sharp inequalities involving these invariants and the square mean curvature for arbitrary submanifolds in real and complex space forms ([5], [6]). Roughly speaking, an isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold into a space form satisfying an equality case of the inequalities is an immersion which produces the least possible amount of tension from the ambient space at each point of the submanifold. It is natural and interesting to investigate such submanifolds, from both geometric and physical point of views.

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Denote by $K(\pi)$ the sectional curvature of $M$ associated with a plane section $\pi \subset T_{p} M, p \in M$. For any orthonormal basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ of the tangent space $T_{p} M$, the scalar curvature $\tau$ at $p$ is defined to be $\tau(p)=\sum_{i<j} K\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)$. Let $L$ be a subspace of $T_{p} M$ of dimension $r \geq 2$ and $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\}$ an orthonormal basis of $L$. We define the scalar curvature $\tau(L)$ of the $r$-plane section $L$ by $\tau(L)=\sum_{\alpha<\beta} K\left(e_{\alpha} \wedge e_{\beta}\right), 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r$.

For an integer $k \geq 0$, denote by $\mathscr{S}(n, k)$ the finite set consisting of unordered $k$-tuples $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ of integers $\geq 2$ satisfying $n_{1}<n$ and $n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k} \leq n$. Denote by $\mathscr{S}(n)$ the set of $k$-tuples with $k \geq 0$ for a fixed $n$.

For each $k$-tuple $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \in \mathscr{S}(n)$, Chen's curvature invariant $\delta\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ introduced in [5, 6] are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)(p)=\tau(p)-\inf \left\{\tau\left(L_{1}\right)+\cdots+\tau\left(L_{k}\right)\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{k}$ run over all $k$ mutually orthogonal subspaces of $T_{p} M$ such that $\operatorname{dim} L_{j}=n_{j}, j=1, \ldots, k$.

In [6] Chen proved that, for every $n$-dimensional submanifold $M^{n}$ in a real space form $R^{m}(\varepsilon)$ of constant sectional curvature $\varepsilon$, the invariant $\delta\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ and the square mean curvature $H^{2}$ of $M^{n}$ satisfy the following sharp inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \leq c\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) H^{2}+b\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \varepsilon \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ and $b\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ are positive constants defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
c\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)=\frac{n^{2}\left(n+k-1-\sum n_{j}\right)}{2\left(n+k-\sum n_{j}\right)},  \tag{1.3}\\
b\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(n(n-1)-\sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{j}\left(n_{j}-1\right)\right) . \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

It was proved in [6] that the same inequality holds for totally real submanifolds in a complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4 \varepsilon$, too.

Let $M$ be a submanifold in a Kaehler manifold $\tilde{M}$. A subspace $V \subset T_{p} M$ is called totally real if $J V \subset T_{p}^{\perp} M$, where $T_{p}^{\perp} M$ denote the normal space of $M$ at $p$. $M$ is called totally real if each tangent space of $M$ is totally real. A submanifold $M$ of $\tilde{M}$ is called a $C R$-submanifold if there exists on $M$ a differentiable holomorphic distribution $\mathscr{H}$ such that its orthogonal complement $\mathscr{H}^{\perp} \subset T M$ is a totally real distribution ([2]).

For a $(2 n+p)$-dimensional $C R$-submanifolds with $2 n$-dimensional maximal holomorphic tangent subspace (i.e, $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}^{\perp}=p$ ) in a complex hyperbolic space $\mathrm{CH}^{m}(-4)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature -4 , we have the following sharp inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \leq c\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) H^{2}-b\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)-3 n+\frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M$ be a real $2 n$-dimensional Kaehler manifold. For a $k$-tuple $\left(2 n_{1}, \ldots, 2 n_{k}\right) \in \mathscr{S}(2 n)$, Chen has also introduced the complex $\delta$-invariants $\delta^{c}\left(2 n_{1}, \ldots, 2 n_{k}\right)$ by

$$
\delta^{c}\left(2 n_{1}, \ldots, 2 n_{k}\right)=\tau-\inf \left\{\tau\left(L_{1}^{c}\right)+\cdots+\tau\left(L_{k}^{c}\right)\right\}
$$

where $L_{1}^{c}, \ldots, L_{k}^{c}$ run over all $k$ mutually orthogonal complex subspaces of $T_{p} M$, $p \in M$, with dimensions $2 n_{1}, \ldots, 2 n_{k}$, respectively.

For $\delta_{n}^{c}:=\delta^{c}(2, \ldots, 2)$ ( 2 appears $n$ times) of a $2 n$-dimensional Kaehler submanifold in the complex Euclidean space, we have the following result from [5].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}^{c} \leq 0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [9] the author investigated $C R$-submanifolds with $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}^{\perp}=1$ in a complex hyperbolic space satisfying the equality case of (1.5) with $\delta(2, \ldots, 2)$ (2 appears $k$ times) and established the explicit representation of such submanifolds in an anti-de Sitter space-time via Hopf's fibration, in terms of Kaehler submanifolds of the complex Euclidean ( $m-1$ )-space which satisfying the equality case of (1.6). This result is a generalization of Chen and Vrancken's result with $n=1$ and $k=1$ ([7]).
$C R$-submanifolds we constructed in [9] have the following properties:
(1) The shape operator $A_{\eta}$ with respect to the unit vector field $\eta \in \mathscr{H}^{\perp}$ has two constant principal curvatures.
(2) The mean curvature vector field is parallel.

A submanifold is said to be linearly full in $\mathrm{CH}^{m}(-4)$ if it does not lie in any totally geodesic complex hypersurface of $\mathrm{CH}^{m}(-4)$.

The purpose of this paper is to determine linearly full $C R$-submanifolds with $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}^{\perp}=1$ in $C H^{m}(-4)(m>n+1)$ satisfying the equality case of $(1.5)$ with a general $k$-tuple $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$, under the condition that the shape operator $A_{\eta}$ with respect to $\eta \in \mathscr{H}^{\perp}$ has constant principal curvatures.
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## 2. Preliminaries

For a submanifold $M^{n}$ of a complex space form $\tilde{M}^{n}(4 \varepsilon)$, we denote by $\nabla$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connection on $M$ and $\tilde{M}^{n}(4 \varepsilon)$, respectively. The formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are given respectively by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y)  \tag{2.1}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} \xi=-A_{\xi} X+D_{X} \xi \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $h, A$ and $D$ are the second fundamental form, the shape operator and the normal connection. Denote by $R$ and $\tilde{R}$ the Riemann curvature tensors of $M^{n}$ and $\tilde{M}^{n}(4 \varepsilon)$. Then the equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci are given
respectively by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle R(X, Y) Z, W\rangle= \\
+\varepsilon\left\{A_{h(Y, Z)} X, W\right\rangle-\left\langle A_{h(X, Z)} Y, W\right\rangle  \tag{2.3}\\
\quad(\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z)^{\perp}=\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} h\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y} h\right)(X, Z)  \tag{2.4}\\
R^{D}(X, Y ; \xi, \eta)=\tilde{R}(X, Y ; \xi, \eta)+\left\langle\left[A_{\xi}, A_{\eta}\right](X), Y\right\rangle \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $X, Y, Z, W$ (respectively, $\eta$ and $\xi$ ) are vector tangent (respectively, normal) to $M, R^{D}(X, Y)=\left[D_{X}, D_{Y}\right]-D_{[X, Y]}$, and $\bar{\nabla} h$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} h\right)(Y, Z)=D_{X} h(Y, Z)-h\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-h\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M$ be a $C R$-submanifold. Denote by $T^{\perp} M=J \mathscr{H}^{\perp} \oplus v$ the orthogonal decomposition of the normal bundle, where $\mathscr{H}^{\perp}$ is the totally real distribution and $v$ a complex subbundle of $T^{\perp} M$. We have from [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{J Z} W=A_{J W} Z, \quad A_{J \xi} X=-A_{\xi} J X \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for vector fields $Z, W$ in $\mathscr{H}^{\perp}, \xi$ in $v, U$ in $T M$ and vector field $X$ in the holomorphic distribution $\mathscr{H}$.

## 3. Main Results

Consider the complex number $(m+1)$-space $C_{1}^{m+1}$ endowed with the pseudoEuclidean metric $g_{0}$ given by (for the details, cf. [8]) $g_{0}=-d z_{0} d \bar{z}_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} d z_{j} d \bar{z}_{j}$, where $\bar{z}_{k}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $z_{k}$. On $C_{1}^{m+1}$ we define $(z, w)=$ $-z_{0} \bar{w}_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} z_{k} \bar{w}_{k}$. Put $H_{1}^{2 m+1}(-1)=\left\{z=\left(z_{0} . z_{1} \ldots . z_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}_{1}^{m+1}:(z, z)=-1\right\}$. Then $H_{1}^{2 m+1}(-1)$ is a real hypersurface of $C_{1}^{m+1}$ whose tangent space at $z \in$ $H_{1}^{2 m+1}(-1)$ is given by $T_{z} H_{1}^{2 m+1}(-1)=\left\{w \in C_{1}^{m+1}: \operatorname{Re}(z, w)=0\right\}$. It is known that $H_{1}^{2 m+1}(-1)$ together with the induced metric $g$ is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature -1 , which is known as an anti-de Sitter space time.

We put $H_{1}^{1}=\{\lambda \in C: \lambda \bar{\lambda}=1\}$. Then we have an $H_{1}^{1}$-action on $H_{1}^{2 m+1}(-1)$ given by $z \mapsto \lambda z$. At each point $z$ in $H_{1}^{2 m+1}(-1)$, the vector $i z$ is tangent to the flow of the action. Since (,) is Hermitian, we have $(i z, i z)=-1$. Note that the orbit is given by $x(t)=e^{i t} z$ and $d x(t) / d t=i x(t)$. Thus the orbit lies in the negative definite plane spanned by $z$ and $i z$. The quotient space $H_{1}^{2 m+1}(-1) / \sim$, under the identification induced from the action, is the complex hyperbolic space $\mathrm{CH}^{m}(-4)$ with constant holomorphic sectional curvature -4 . The almost complex structure $J$ on $\mathrm{CH}^{m}(-4)$ is induced from the canonical almost com-
plex structure $J$ on $C_{1}^{m+1}$, the multiplication by $i$, via the totally geodesic fibration: $\pi: H_{1}^{2 m+1}(-1) \rightarrow$ CH $^{m}(-4)$.

The main result is the following.

Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a linearly full $(2 n+1)$-dimensional $C R$-submanifolds with $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}^{\perp}=1$ in CH $^{m}(-4)(m>n+1)$ satisfying the equality case of $(1.5)$. Then the shape operator $A_{\eta}$ with respect to the unit vector field $\eta \in \mathscr{H}^{\perp}$ has constant principal curvatures if and only if up to rigid motions, the immersion is the composition $\pi \circ z$, where $z$ is locally, one of the following.
(1) $z: \hat{M}=\boldsymbol{R}^{2} \times U \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}_{1}^{m+1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(u, t, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)=e^{i t}\left(-1-\frac{1}{2}|\Psi|^{2}+i u,-\frac{1}{2}|\Psi|^{2}+i u, \Psi\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is a domain of $C^{n}$ and $\Psi: U \rightarrow C^{m-1}$ is a holomorphic isometric immersion in $C^{m-1}$ satisfying the equality case of (1.6).
(2) $z: \boldsymbol{R}^{2 n+2} \supset U \rightarrow C_{1}^{m+1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& z\left(s, t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(g(x, y) e^{-\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) i s}, \frac{\alpha \sqrt{\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)}}{1-\alpha^{2}} e^{\left(\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) / \alpha\right) i t}, \phi(x, y) e^{-\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) i s}\right), \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha=\sqrt{k /(2 n-k)}, \quad-|g|^{2}+|\phi|^{2}=-1 /\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)$ and $z_{1}=\left(g(x, y) e^{-\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) i s}\right.$, $\left.0, \phi(x, y) e^{-\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) i s}\right)$ is a $C R$-submanifold with pseudo-Riemannian metric in $C_{1}^{m}$ which satisfies the following conditions:

There exists an orthonormal basis $\left\{E_{1}, \ldots, E_{2 n}, \tilde{E}_{2 n+1}\right\}$ such that $E_{2 l}=i E_{2 l-1}$ $(l=1, \ldots, n), \tilde{E}_{2 n+1}=\left(1 / \sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}}\right) \partial / \partial s$ and the second fundamental form $\tilde{h}$ takes the following form.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{h}\left(E_{2 r-1}, E_{2 r-1}\right)=\sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}} i \tilde{E}_{2 n+1}+\phi_{r} \tilde{\xi}_{r},  \tag{3.3}\\
\tilde{h}\left(E_{2 r}, E_{2 r}\right)=\sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}} i \tilde{E}_{2 n+1}-\phi_{r} \tilde{\xi}_{r},  \tag{3.4}\\
\tilde{h}\left(E_{2 r-1}, E_{2 r}\right)=i \phi \tilde{\xi}_{r}, \quad \tilde{h}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=\tilde{h}\left(X_{i}, \tilde{E}_{2 n+1}\right)=0, \quad(i \neq j)  \tag{3.5}\\
\tilde{h}\left(\tilde{E}_{2 n+1}, \tilde{E}_{2 n+1}\right)=-\sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}} i \tilde{E}_{2 n+1} \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $X_{j} \in \tilde{L}_{j}:=\operatorname{Span}\left\{E_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{j-1}+1}, \ldots, E_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{j}}\right\}(j=1, \ldots, n), n_{1}=\cdots=n_{n}=$ $2 n / k, \phi_{r}$ are functions and $\tilde{\xi}_{r}$ are unit normal vector fields perpendicular to $i \tilde{E}_{2 n+1}$.

Another purpose is to prove the following general property.

Theorem 2. Let $M$ be $a(2 n+p)$-dimensional $C R$-submanifolds with $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}^{\perp}=p$ in $C H^{m}(-4)$ satisfying the equality case of (1.5). Then $M$ has parallel mean curvature vector fields $\vec{H}$ i.e., $D \vec{H}=0$ and, moreover, $M$ is foliated by geodesics or circles of $\mathrm{CH}^{m}(-4)$. In particular, if $m>n+1, p=1$ and $M$ is linearly full, then $M$ is non-minimal and foliated by circles of $\mathrm{CH}^{m}(-4)$. If $p>1$, then $M$ is minimal and foliated by geodesics of $\mathrm{CH}^{m}(-4)$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1

For a subspace $L \in T_{p} M$ of dimension $r$ we put $\Psi(L)=\sum_{i<j}\left\langle J v_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle^{2}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, where $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L$.

We have the following general inequalities from [6].

Lemma 3. Let $x: M \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C H}^{m}(-4)$ be a $(2 n+p)$-dimensional $C R$-submanifold with $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}^{\perp}=p$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \leq c\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) H^{2}-b\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)-3 n+\frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality sign of (4.1) holds for some $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \in \mathscr{S}(2 n+p)$ if and only if, there exists an orthonormal basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m}$ at $p$, such that
(a) $L_{j}:=\operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{j-1}+1}, \ldots, e_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{j}}\right\}$ satisfy $\Psi\left(L_{j}\right)=n_{j} / 2$,
(b) the shape operators of $M$ in $\mathrm{CH}^{m}(-4)$ at $p$ take the following forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{r}= & \left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
A_{1}^{r} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & A_{k}^{r} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{r} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mu_{r}
\end{array}\right), \\
& r=2 n+2, \ldots, 2 m,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{r}:=A_{e_{r}}$ and each $A_{j}^{r}$ is a symmetric $n_{j} \times n_{j}$ submatrix such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{1}^{r}\right)=\cdots=\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{k}^{r}\right)=\mu_{r} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rest of this paper we shall assume that $M$ is a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional $C R$-submanifolds with $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}^{\perp}=1$ in a complex hyperbolic space satisfying the equality case of (4.1). Under the hypothesis, we have $\vec{H} \in J \mathscr{D}^{\perp}$ in the same way as [9]. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m}\right\}$ be an orthonormal frame field on $M$ mentioned in

Lemma 3 such that $e_{2 n+2}$ is parallel to the mean curvature vector field and $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 n+1}\right\}$ diagonalize the shape operator $A_{2 n+2}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $J e_{2 n+1}=e_{2 n+2}$. In the same way as [9] we have $J e_{2 n+1}$ is a parallel normal vector field, i.e., $D\left(J e_{2 n+1}\right)=0$. Then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\langle P X, Y\rangle+2\left\langle A_{2 n+2} P A_{2 n+2} X, Y\right\rangle=\left(X \mu_{2 n+2}\right)\left\langle e_{2 n+1}, Y\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\left(Y \mu_{2 n+2}\right)\left\langle e_{2 n+1}, X\right\rangle+\mu_{2 n+2}\left\langle P A_{2 n+2} X, Y\right\rangle-\mu_{2 n+2}\left\langle P A_{2 n+2} Y, X\right\rangle \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P X$ is tangential components of $J X$.
From now on we shall assume that all principal curvatures of $A_{2 n+2}$ are constant. Then we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 4. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal frame field of $\mathscr{H}$ with $A_{2 n+2} e_{i}=\lambda_{i} e_{i}$ as above. Then for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, 2 n\}$ we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1, \lambda_{j} \neq \lambda_{i}}^{2 n}\left(\frac{-1+\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}\left(1+2\left\langle P e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}} \sum_{2 n+3}^{m}\left(h_{i i}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}-\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right)\right)=0 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{i j}^{r}=\left\langle A_{r} e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle$.
Proof. The proof is given in the same way as the proof of lemma 2 in [3].

Lemma 5. If $\mu_{2 n+2}^{2}=4$, then $A_{2 n+2}$ has exactly two distinct principal curvatures.
Proof. Let $\sigma(\mathscr{H})$ be the spectrum of $A_{2 n+2} \mid \mathscr{H}$. For $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathscr{H})$ we denote by $T_{\lambda}$ the sub-bundle of $\mathscr{H}$ formed by the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda$. By using (4.3) for $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathscr{H}), X \in T_{\lambda}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 \lambda-\mu_{2 n+2}\right) A_{2 n+2} P X=\left(-2+\lambda \mu_{2 n+2}\right) P X \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that there exists $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathscr{H})$ with $\lambda \neq \alpha / 2$. We obtain from (4.5) that $A_{2 n+2} P X=(\alpha / 2) P X$ for $X \in T_{\lambda}$. Hence $\alpha / 2$ is an eigenvalue. Let $E_{j}$ be the eigenvectors corresponding to $\lambda_{j} \neq \alpha / 2$.

By the way, we have $\tilde{R}\left(X, Y ; J e_{2 n+1}, \xi\right)=R^{D}\left(X, Y ; J e_{2 n+1}, \xi\right)=0$ for any $\xi \in v$ by virtue of $D\left(J e_{2 n+2}\right)=0$. Hence, Ricci equation implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[A_{2 n+2}, A_{\xi}\right]=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.7) and (4.6) that $\left\langle A_{r} E_{j}, E_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle A_{r} X, X\right\rangle-\left\langle A_{r} E_{j}, X\right\rangle^{2}=0$, for eigenvector $X \in T_{\alpha / 2}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1, \lambda_{j} \neq \alpha / 2}^{2 n} \frac{-1+(\alpha / 2) \lambda_{j}}{\alpha / 2-\lambda_{j}}\left(1+2\left\langle P X, E_{j}\right\rangle^{2}\right)=-\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{j=1, \lambda_{j} \neq \alpha / 2}^{2 n}\left(1+2\left\langle P X, E_{j}\right\rangle^{2}\right) \neq 0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts (4.4). Therefore we obtain that $\sigma(\mathscr{H})=\{\alpha / 2\}$.
Lemma 6. If $\mu_{2 n+2}^{2} \neq 4, A_{2 n+2}$ has at most three distinct principal curvatures.
Proof. If $\sharp \sigma(\mathscr{H}) \geq 2$, then we have the following orthogonal decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}=T_{\alpha_{1}} \oplus J T_{\alpha_{1}} \oplus \cdots T_{\alpha_{s}} \oplus J T_{\alpha_{s}} \oplus T_{\lambda} \oplus T_{\mu_{2 n+2}-\lambda} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\left(\mu_{n+2}+\sqrt{\mu_{n+2}^{2}-4} / 2\right), T_{\lambda}$ and $T_{\mu_{2 n+2}-\lambda}$ are $J$-invariant, and $\lambda \neq \alpha_{j}$ from (4.5). We may assume that we can choose the eigenvalue $\beta \in \sigma(\mathscr{H})$ with $\beta>0$ and that there are no further eigenvalues between $\beta$ and $1 / \beta$. Hence, for all eigenvalues $\gamma \in \sigma(\mathscr{H})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-1+\beta \gamma}{\beta-\gamma} \leq 0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand by virtue of (2.7) and (4.6), we have $\left\langle A_{r} e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle=0$ for $r \geq 2 n+2$ and $\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) \notin T_{\lambda} \oplus T_{\mu_{2 n+2}-\lambda} \times T_{\lambda} \oplus T_{\mu_{2 n+2}-\lambda}$. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1, \lambda_{j} \neq \alpha_{l}}^{2 n} \sum_{r=2 n+3}^{m} \frac{1}{\alpha_{l}-\lambda_{j}}\left(\left\langle A_{r} X, X\right\rangle\left\langle A_{r} e_{j}, e_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle A_{r} e_{j}, X\right\rangle^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each eigenvector $X$ corresponding to $\alpha_{l}(l=1, \ldots, s)$. Further, for each eigenvector $Y$ corresponding to $\lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1, \lambda_{j} \neq \lambda}^{2 n} \sum_{r=2 n+3}^{m} \frac{1}{\lambda-\lambda_{j}}\left(\left\langle A_{r} Y, Y\right\rangle\left\langle A_{r} e_{j}, e_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle A_{r} Y, e_{j}\right\rangle^{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{r=2 n+3}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{2 \lambda-\mu_{2 n+2}}\left\langle A_{r} Y, Y\right\rangle \sum_{j=1, \lambda_{j} \neq \lambda}^{t}\left\langle A_{r} \tilde{E}_{j}, \tilde{E}_{j}\right\rangle\right)=0, \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{E}_{j}$ are eigenvectors corresponding to $\mu_{2 n+2}-\lambda$ and $t=\operatorname{dim} T_{\mu_{2 n+2}-\lambda}$. It follows from (4.4), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11) that $-1+\beta \gamma=0$. Hence we obtain that $T_{\lambda}=\phi, T_{\mu_{2 n+2}-\lambda}=\phi$ and $s=2$. Therefore $\sharp \sigma(\mathscr{H})=2$.

Lemma 7. If $m>n+1$ and $M$ is linearly full, then $n_{1}=\cdots=n_{k}, n_{1}+\cdots+$ $n_{k}=2 n$ and, moreover, with respect to some suitable orthonormal frame field
$\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m}\right\}$, the second fundamental form of $M$ in $\mathrm{CH}^{m}(-4)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
h\left(e_{2 r-1}, e_{2 r-1}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{k}{2 n-k}} J e_{2 n+1}+\phi_{r} \xi_{r},  \tag{4.12}\\
h\left(e_{2 r}, e_{2 r}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{k}{2 n-k}} J e_{2 n+1}-\phi_{r} \xi_{r},  \tag{4.13}\\
h\left(e_{2 r-1}, e_{2 r}\right)=\phi_{r} J \xi_{r}, \quad h\left(e_{2 n+1}, e_{2 n+1}\right)=\frac{2 n}{\sqrt{k(2 n-k)}} J e_{2 n+1}  \tag{4.14}\\
h\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)=h\left(f_{i}, e_{2 n+1}\right)=0 \quad(i \neq j), \tag{4.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $r=1, \ldots, n, \phi_{r}$ are functions, $\xi_{r} \in v$ and $f_{j} \in L_{j}:=\operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{j-1}+1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.e_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{j}}\right\}$.

Proof. If $n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}<2 n$, then we have $A_{2 n+2} e_{2 n-1}=\mu_{2 n+2} e_{2 n-1}$, $A_{2 n+2} J e_{2 n-1}=\mu_{2 n+2} J e_{2 n-1}$. We obtain from (4.3) that $2+2 \mu_{2 n+2}^{2}=2 \mu_{2 n+2}^{2}$. It is a contradiction. Therefore $n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}=2 n$.

Suppose that $A_{2 n+2}$ has exactly three distinct principal curvatures, $\mu_{2 n+2}$, $\left(\mu_{n+2}+\sqrt{\mu_{n+2}^{2}-4}\right) / 2$ and $\left(\mu_{n+2}-\sqrt{\mu_{n+2}^{2}-4}\right) / 2$. If each submatrix $A_{2 n+2}^{i}$ has only one eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$, then we have $n_{i} \lambda_{i}=n_{i} / \sqrt{n_{i}-1}=\mu_{2 n+2}$ for any $i$. Hence $\sharp \sigma(\mathscr{H})=1$. But it is a contradiction. If there exists a submatrix $A_{2 n+2}^{j}$ which has two eigenvalues $\left(\mu_{n+2}+\sqrt{\mu_{n+2}^{2}-4}\right) / 2$ and $\left(\mu_{n+2}-\sqrt{\mu_{n+2}^{2}-4}\right) / 2$ whose multiplicities are $l$ and $m(l>m)$ respectively. Then we get $(l-m) \sqrt{\mu_{2 n+2}^{2}-4}=$ $(2-l-m) \mu_{2 n+2}$. But it does not hold, since $l, m>2$. If $\mathscr{H}=T_{\alpha_{1}} \oplus J T_{\alpha_{1}}$, where $\alpha_{1} \neq \mu_{2 n+2},\left(\mu_{n+2} \pm \sqrt{\mu_{n+2}^{2}-4}\right) / 2$, then it follows from (2.7) and (4.6) that $M$ is contained in a totally geodesic complex hyperbolic space CH $^{n+1}(-4)$, since $J e_{2 n+1}$ is parallel. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $A_{2 n+2}$ has exactly two distinct eigenvalues.

Let $X$ be the eigenvector corresponding to the second eigenvalue $\alpha \neq \mu_{2 n+2}$. From (4.5) we obtain that $P X$ is also an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\beta=\left(-2+\alpha \mu_{2 n+2}\right) /\left(2 \alpha-\mu_{2 n+2}\right)$. Since $A_{2 n+2}$ has exactly two distinct eigenvalues, we have $\beta=\mu_{2 n+2}$ or $\beta=\alpha$.

Suppose that $A_{2 n+2}$ has two distinct eigenvalues $\mu_{2 n+2}$ and $\left(-2+\mu_{2 n+2}^{2}\right) /$ $\mu_{2 n+2}$, i.e. $\mu_{2 n+2}=\beta$. Then, using (2.7) and (4.6), we obtain that $M$ is contained in a totally geodesic complex hyperbolic space $\mathrm{CH}^{n+1}(-4)$. This is a contradiction.

Hence $A_{2 n+2}$ has two distinct eigenvalues $\mu_{2 n+2}$ and $\alpha=\beta$. Then from (4.3) we obtain that $\alpha^{2}-\mu_{2 n+2} \alpha=-1$. Moreover, using (4.5), we obtain that $T_{\alpha}$ is
$J$-invariant. Further, let us suppose that the multiplicity of $\mu_{2 n+2}$ is greater than one. Let $X \in T_{\mu_{2 n+2}}$ and $\left\langle X, e_{2 n+1}\right\rangle=0$. Then it follows from (4.5) that $A_{2 n+2} P X=\left(\left(-2+\mu_{2 n+2}^{2}\right) / \mu_{2 n+2}\right) P X=\alpha P X$. Hence, $P X \in T_{\alpha}$. Therefore we obtain that $A_{2 n+2} P^{2} X=\alpha P^{2} X$, i.e. $A_{2 n+2} X=\alpha X$. But it is a contradiction, since $\mu_{2 n+2} \neq \alpha$. Therefore, the multiplicity of $\mu_{2 n+2}$ is one. Moreover $n_{1}=\cdots=n_{k}$ by virtue of lemma 3.

Consequently, from lemma 3, replace $e_{2 n+1}$ by $-e_{2 n+1}$ if necessary, we obtain that $\alpha=1 / \sqrt{n_{1}-1}$ and $\mu_{2 n+2}=n_{1} / \sqrt{n_{1}-1}$.

Let $\hat{M}=\pi^{-1}(M)$ denote the inverse image of $M$ via the Hopf fibration $\pi: H_{1}^{2 m+1} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C H}^{m}(-4)$. Then $\hat{M}$ is a principal circle bundle over $M$ with timelike totally geodesic fibers. Let $z: \hat{M} \rightarrow H_{1}^{2 m+1}(-1) \subset C_{1}^{m+1}$ denote the immersion of $\hat{M}$ in $C_{1}^{m+1}$. Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $\hat{\nabla}$ denote the metric connections of $C_{1}^{m+1}$ and $\hat{M}$, respectively. We denote by $X^{*}$ the horizontal lift of a tangent vector $X$ of $C H^{m}(-4)$. Then we have (cf. [8])

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} \cdot Y^{*}=\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{*}+(h(X, Y))^{*}+\langle J X, Y\rangle V+\langle X, Y\rangle z  \tag{4.16}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} \cdot V=\tilde{\nabla}_{V} X^{*}=(J X)^{*}  \tag{4.17}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{V} V=-z \tag{4.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

for vector fields $X, Y$ tangent to $M$, where $z$ is the position vector of $\hat{M}$ in $C_{1}^{2 m+1}$ and $V=i z \in T_{z} H_{1}^{2 m+1}(-1)$.

Let $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{2 n+1}, \xi_{r}^{*}$ be the horizontal lifts of $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 n+1}, \xi_{r}$, respectively and let $E_{2 n+2}=i z$, and let $\left\{\omega_{i}^{j}\right\}$ be connection forms of $\hat{M}$. Then, in the same way as [7, 9], from lemma 7, (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 r-1}} E_{2 r-1} & =\sum_{j=1}^{2 n} \omega_{2 r-1}^{j}\left(E_{2 r-1}\right) E_{j}+\alpha i E_{2 n+1}+\phi_{r} \xi_{r}^{*}-i E_{2 n+2},  \tag{4.19}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 r-1}} E_{2 r} & =\sum_{j=1}^{2 n} \omega_{2 r}^{j}\left(E_{2 r-1}\right) E_{j}-\alpha E_{2 n+1}+i \phi_{r} \xi_{r}^{*}+E_{2 n+2},  \tag{4.20}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 r}} E_{2 r-1} & =\sum_{j=1}^{2 n} \omega_{2 r-1}^{j}\left(E_{2 r}\right) E_{j}+\alpha E_{2 n+1}+i \phi_{r} \xi_{r}^{*}-E_{2 n+2},  \tag{4.21}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 r}} E_{2 r} & =\sum_{j=1}^{2 n} \omega_{2 r}^{j}\left(E_{2 r}\right) E_{j}+i \alpha E_{2 n+1}-\phi_{r} \xi_{r}^{*}-i E_{2 n+2}, \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 r-1}} E_{2 n+1}=\alpha E_{2 r},  \tag{4.23}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 r}} E_{2 n+1}=-\alpha E_{2 r-1},  \tag{4.24}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 n+1}} E_{2 n+1}=\frac{2 n}{k} \alpha i E_{2 n+1}-i E_{2 n+2},  \tag{4.25}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 r-1}} E_{2 n+2}=\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 n+2}} E_{2 r-1}=E_{2 r},  \tag{4.26}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 r}} E_{2 n+2}=\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 n+2}} E_{2 r}=-E_{2 r-1},  \tag{4.27}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 n+1}} E_{2 n+2}=\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 n+2}} E_{2 n+1}=i E_{2 n+1},  \tag{4.28}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 n+2}} E_{2 n+2}=i E_{2 n+2},  \tag{4.29}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{X_{i}} X_{j} \in \operatorname{Span}\left\{E_{1}, \ldots, E_{2 n}\right\} \quad(i \neq j), \tag{4.30}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\quad r=1, \ldots, n, \quad \alpha=\sqrt{k /(2 n-k)} \quad$ and $\quad X_{j} \in L_{j}:=\operatorname{Span}\left\{E_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{j-1}+1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.E_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{j}}\right\}$.

By using the above equations, we obtain the following lemmas.
Lemma 8. $\quad \hat{\nabla}_{E_{2 n+1}-\alpha E_{2 n+2}}\left(E_{2 n+1}-\alpha E_{2 n+2}\right)=0, \quad \hat{\nabla}_{X^{\prime}} Y^{\prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1} \quad$ for $\quad X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}$, where $\mathscr{D}_{1}:=\operatorname{Span}\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{2 n}, \alpha E_{2 n+1}-E_{2 n+2}\right\}$.

Lemma 9. Let $X^{\prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}$. Then $\hat{\nabla}_{E_{2 n+1}-\alpha E_{2 n+2}} X^{\prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}, \hat{\nabla}_{X^{\prime}}\left(E_{2 n+1}-\alpha E_{2 n+2}\right)=0$.
Lemma 10. $Z:=E_{2 n+1}-\alpha E_{2 n+2}$ is a constant vector in $C_{1}^{m+1}$ along each integral manifold of $\mathscr{D}_{1}$.

Proof. If follows from (4.23), (4.24), (4.26) and (4.27) that $\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 r-1}}\left(E_{2 n+1}-\alpha E_{2 n+2}\right)=\tilde{\nabla}_{E_{2 r}}\left(E_{2 n+1}-\alpha E_{2 n+2}\right)=0$. Using (4.25), (4.28) and (4.29), we get $\tilde{\nabla}_{\alpha E_{2 n+1}-E_{2 n+2}}\left(E_{2 n+1}-\alpha E_{2 n+2}\right)=\left((2 n / k) \alpha^{2}-\alpha^{2}-1\right) i E_{2 n+1}=0$.

If $\alpha=1$, we have $k=n$ and $n_{1}=\cdots=n_{n}=2$. In this case, $\hat{M}$ is represented by (1) of Theorem 1 by virtue of main theorem in [9].

Suppose that $\alpha \neq 1$. Then from lemma 8, 9, there exist coordinates $\left\{s, t, x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right\}$ such that $\partial / \partial s, \partial / \partial x_{1}, \ldots, \partial / \partial y_{n}$ are tangent to integral manifolds of $\mathscr{D}_{1}, \partial / \partial s=\alpha E_{2 n+1}-E_{2 n+2}$ and $\partial / \partial t=E_{2 n+1}-\alpha E_{2 n+2}$. Then $\hat{M}$ is locally a Riemannian product $\hat{M}_{1} \times \hat{M}_{2}$, where $\hat{M}_{1}$ is a integral manifold of $\mathscr{D}_{1}$ and $\hat{M}_{2}$ is a integral curve of $E_{2 n+1}-\alpha E_{2 n+2}$. Moreover, $z: \hat{M} \rightarrow C_{1}^{m+1}$ is a product immersion. We put $Z_{0}:=\left.Z\right|_{t=0}$.

We may assume $Z_{0}=\left(0, \sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$, up to rigid motions. In the same
way as $[7,9]$, since $\left(z, Z_{0}\right)$ is constant, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(s, 0, x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=\left(f, c, \Psi_{1}, \ldots, \Psi_{m-1}\right) \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant determined by the initial conditions and $f, \Psi_{1}, \ldots, \Psi_{m-1}$ are functions.

Since $\quad z_{s}+\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) i z=\alpha E_{2 n+1}-E_{2 n+2}+\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) E_{2 n+2}=\alpha\left(E_{2 n+1}-\alpha E_{2 n+2}\right)=$ $\alpha Z$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}+\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) i f=0, \quad \frac{\partial \Psi_{j}}{\partial s}+\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) i \Psi_{j}=0  \tag{4.32}\\
& c\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) i=\alpha \sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}}, \quad\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) i z_{2}=\alpha \frac{\partial z_{2}}{\partial t} \tag{4.33}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $z_{2}$ is a position vector of $\hat{M}_{2}$ in $C_{1}^{m+1}$. By solving differential equations (4.32) and (4.33), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& z\left(s, t, x_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) e^{-\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) i s}, \frac{\alpha \sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}}}{\alpha^{2}-1} i e^{\left(\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) / \alpha\right) i t}, \phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) e^{-\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) i s}\right) . \tag{4.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $(z, z)=-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-|g|^{2}+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{1-\alpha^{2}}+|\phi|^{2}=-1 \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $\quad \tilde{E}_{2 n+1}=1 / \sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}}\left(\alpha E_{2 n+1}-E_{2 n+2}\right) \quad$ and $\quad \tilde{E}_{2 n+2}=\left(1 / \sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}}\right)$. $\left(E_{2 n+1}-\alpha E_{2 n+2}\right)$. It follows from (4.19)-(4.29) that the second fundamental form of $\hat{M}_{1}$ in $C_{1}^{m}$ satisfies (3.3)-(3.6).

Conversely, suppose that $\hat{M}$ satisfies the conditions in (2) of Theorem 1. Let $\tilde{E}_{2 n+2}$ be a unit vector field of $\hat{M}_{2}$. We put $E_{2 n+1}=\left(\alpha \sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}} /\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)\right)$. $\tilde{E}_{2 n+1}-\left(\sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}} /\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)\right) \tilde{E}_{2 n+2} \quad$ and $\quad E_{2 n+2}=\left(\sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}} /\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)\right) \tilde{E}_{2 n+1}-$ $\left(\alpha \sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}} /\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)\right) \tilde{E}_{2 n+2}$. Then, $\left\{E_{1}, \ldots, E_{2 n}, E_{2 n+1}, E_{2 n+2}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis $\hat{M}$ and the second fundamental form of $\hat{M}$ in $C_{1}^{m+1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{h}\left(E_{2 r-1}, E_{2 r-1}\right)=\alpha i E_{2 n+1}-i E_{2 n+2}+\phi_{r} \tilde{\xi}_{r},  \tag{4.36}\\
\tilde{h}\left(E_{2 r}, E_{2 r}\right)=\alpha i E_{2 n+1}-i E_{2 n+2}-\phi_{r} \tilde{\xi}_{r},  \tag{4.37}\\
\tilde{h}\left(E_{2 r-1}, E_{2 r}\right)=i \phi_{r} \tilde{\xi}_{r}, \quad \tilde{h}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=h\left(X_{i}, E_{2 n+1}\right)=0, \quad(i \neq j),  \tag{4.38}\\
\tilde{h}\left(E_{2 n+1}, E_{2 n+1}\right)=\frac{2 n}{k} \alpha i E_{2 n+1}-i E_{2 n+2}, \tag{4.39}
\end{gather*}
$$

$X_{i} \in L_{i}, \phi_{r}$ are functions and $\tilde{\xi}_{r}$ are unit normal vector fields perpendicular to $i E_{2 n+1}, i E_{2 n+2}$. Therefore, we obtain that $e_{1}=\pi_{*}\left(E_{1}\right), \ldots, e_{n}=2 \pi_{*}\left(E_{2 n}\right), e_{2 n+1}=$ $\pi_{*}\left(E_{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfy (4.12)-(4.15). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

In the rest of this section we shall determine normal $C R$-submanifolds with $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}^{\perp}=1$ in a complex hyperbolic space satisfying the equality case of (1.7).
$\left(P, e_{2 n+1}, \omega^{1}, g\right)$ defines an almost contact metric structure on $(M, g)$, where $\omega^{1}(X):=\left\langle e_{2 n+1}, X\right\rangle$ and $g$ is an induced metric ( $\left.(10]\right) . M$ is said to be normal if the tensor field $S$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
S(X, Y)= & {[P X, P Y]+P^{2}[X, Y]-P[X, P Y] } \\
& -P[P X, Y]+2 d \omega_{1}(X, Y) e_{2 n+1} \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
$$

vanishes ([1]).
Theorem 11. Let $M$ be a linearly full $(2 n+1)$-dimensional CR-submanifolds with $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}^{\perp}=1$ in CH $^{m}(-4)(m>n+1)$ satisfying the equality case of (15). Then $M$ is normal if and only if $\hat{M}$ is represented by (1) or (2) of Theorem 1.

Proof. It is known that $M$ is normal if and only if $P A_{2 n+2}=A_{2 n+2} P([10])$. From (4.3) we obtain that the shape operator $A_{2 n+2}$ has at most three distinct constant eigenvalues $\mu_{2 n+2},\left(\mu_{n+2}+\sqrt{\mu_{n+2}^{2}-4}\right) / 2$ and $\left(\mu_{n+2}-\sqrt{\mu_{n+2}^{2}-4}\right) / 2$. The assertion follows immediately from Theorem 1.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2

CASE 1. $p=1$. In this case, in the same way as [9] we obtain that $J e_{2 n+1}$ is a parallel normal vector field, i.e., $D\left(J e_{2 n+1}\right)=0$. Putting $Y=e_{2 n+1}$ in (4.3), we get $X \mu_{2 n+1}=\omega^{1}(X) e_{2 n+1} \mu_{2 n+2}$.

Differentiating this relation covariantly and using relation $\left(\nabla_{Y} \omega^{1}\right)(X)=$ $\left\langle P A_{2 n+2} Y, X\right\rangle$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y\left(e_{2 n+1} \mu_{2 n+2}\right) \omega^{1}(X)-X\left(e_{2 n+1} \mu_{2 n+2}\right) \omega^{1}(Y) \\
& \quad+e_{2 n+1} \mu_{2 n+2}\left\langle\left(P A_{2 n+2}+A_{2 n+2} P\right) Y, X\right\rangle=0 . \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $Y=e_{2 n+1}$ in (5.1), we get $X\left(e_{2 n+1} \mu_{2 n+2}\right)=e_{2 n+1}\left(e_{2 n+1} \mu_{2 n+2}\right) \omega^{1}(X)$. Combining this and (5.2) yields $\left(e_{2 n+1} \mu_{2 n+2}\right)\left\langle\left(P A_{2 n+2}+A_{2 n+2} P\right) Y, X\right\rangle=0$.

Putting $X=\sum_{l=1}^{l=n_{1} / 2} J e_{2 l-1}$ and $Y=\sum_{l=1}^{l=n_{1} / 2} e_{2 l-1}$ in this relation, we have $e_{2 n+1} \mu_{2 n+1} \operatorname{trace}\left(A_{1}^{2 n+1}\right)=0$. If $M$ is nonminimal, then $e_{2 n+1} \mu_{2 n+2}=0$, since $\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{1}^{2 n+1}\right) \neq 0$ from Lemma 33. Since $X \mu_{2 n+1}=0$ for any vector $X$ perpen-
dicular to $e_{2 n+1}$, we obtain that $\mu_{2 n+2}$ is constant and hence $D \vec{H}=0$. If $M$ is minimal, then $M$ is is contained in a totally geodesic complex hyperbolic space $\mathrm{CH}^{n+1}(-4)$ by (2.7), (4.3) and (4.6).

CASE 2. $p \geq 2$. In this case, by applying (2.7) we have $\mu_{2 n+2} e_{2 n+2}=$ $A_{J_{2 n+1}} e_{2 n+2}=A_{J_{2 n+2}} e_{2 n+1}=0$. Therefore, in this case $M$ is minimal.

Finally, we obtain from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{2 n+1}} e_{2 n+1}=\mu_{2 n+2} J e_{2 n+1}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_{2 n+1}} J e_{2 n+1}=-\mu_{2 n+2} e_{2 n+1} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the integral curve of $\mathscr{H}^{\perp}$ are geodesic or circle of $\boldsymbol{C H}^{m}(-4)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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