CHARACTERIZATIONS OF REAL HYPERSURFACES OF A COMPLEX HYPERBOLIC SPACE IN TERMS OF RICCI TENSOR AND HOLOMORPHIC DISTRIBUTION

By

Tadashi TANIGUCHI

§0. Introduction.

Let $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\mathbb{C}H^n$ denote the complex projective *n*-space with constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4, and the complex hyperbolic *n*-space with constant holomorphic sectional curvature -4, respectively. Let M be a real hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ or $\mathbb{C}H^n$. M has an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) induced from the complex structure J of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ or $\mathbb{C}H^n$. Real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\mathbb{C}H^n$ have been studied by many authors (cf. [1], [2], [3], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15] and [17]). For real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$, Takagi ([16]) showed that all homogeneous real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ are realized as the tubes of constant radius over compact Hermitian symmetric spaces of rank 1 or 2 (cf. [2] and [5]). He proved that all homogeneous real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ could be classified into six types which are said to be of type A_1, A_2 , B, C, D and E. Kimura ([5]) also proved that a real hypersurfaces M in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is homogeneous if and only if M has constant principal curvatures and ξ is principal. Other interesting results in real hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ are shown by Kimura-Maeda ([8]) and Maeda-Udagawa ([10]):

THEOREM A ([8]). Let M be a real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Then the following inequality holds:

 $\|\nabla S\|^{2} \geq 1/(n-1) \{2n(h-\eta(A\xi)\phi + (\phi A\xi)h + trace((\nabla_{\xi}A)A\phi)\}^{2},$

where S is the Ricci tensor of M and k=trace A. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if M is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere of $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

Let TCP^n be the tangent bundle of CP^n . For a real hypersurface M of CP^n , let TM be the tangent bundle of M. Then, $T^{\circ}M = \{X \in TM | X \perp \xi\}$ is a subbundle of TM. Thus each of TM and $T^{\circ}M$ has a connection induced from

Received January 18, 1993.

 TCP^n . The orthogonal complement of $T^{\circ}M$ in TCP^n with respect to the metric on TCP^n is denoted by $N^{\circ}M$, which is also a subbundle of TCP^n with the induced metric connection. Denote by ∇° and ∇^{\perp} the connections of $T^{\circ}M$ and $N^{\circ}M$, respectively. Let A be the second fundamental form of $T^{\circ}M$ in TCP^n . Then, A is a smooth section of Hom $(TM, \text{Hom}(T^{\circ}M, N^{\circ}M))$. Set $A^{\circ} = A|_{T^{\circ}M}$. We say that A° is η -parallel if $\nabla^{\circ}_{X}A^{\circ} \equiv 0$ for any $X \in T^{\circ}M$.

THEOREM B ([10]). Let M be a real hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Assume that A° is η -parallel. Then M is locally congruent to one of the following:

- (i) a geodesic hypersphere,
- (ii) a tube over a totally geodesic $CP^{k}(1 \leq k \leq n-2)$,
- (iii) a tube over a complex quadric Q_{n-1} ,
- (iv) a real hypersurface in which $T^{\circ}M$ is integrable and its integral manifold is a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ (that is, M is a ruled real hypersurface),
- (v) a real hypersurface in which $T^{\circ}M$ is integrable and its integral manifold is a complex quadric Q_{n-1} .

Note that the cases (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem B are homogeneous but (iv) and (v) are not homogeneous. Although as in ([16]), homogeneous real hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ has been given a complete classification, it is still open for the question of the classification of that of $\mathbb{C}H^n$.

Montiel ([12]) constructed five examples of homogeneous real hypersurfaces in CH^n using the techniques similar to Cecil and Ryan ([2]). Berndt ([1]) gives a characterization of real hypersurface in CH^n which corresponds to the result in ([5]):

THEOREM C([1]). Let M be a real hypersurface in CH^n . Then M has constant principal curvatures and ξ is principal if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the following:

- (A_0) a horosphere in CH^n ,
- (A_1) a geodesic hypersphere (that is, a tube over a point),
- (A'₁) a tube over a complex hyperplane CH^{n-1} ,
- (A₂) a tube over a totally geodesic CH^{k} ($1 \leq k \leq n-2$),
- (B) a tube over a totally real hyperbolic space $\mathbb{R}H^n$.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the real hypersurfaces of CH^n corresponding to the results in Theorem A and Theorem B. Namely, we first show the following:

THEOREM 1. Let M be a real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}H^n$. Then the following inequality hold.

 $(2.30) \|\nabla S\|^{2} \ge 1/(n-1) \{2n(h-\eta(A\xi)) + (\phi A\xi) \cdot h - trace((\nabla_{\xi}A)A\phi)\}^{2},$

where S is the Ricci tensor of M and h=trace A. Moreover, equality of (2.30) holds if and only if M is locally congruent to one of type (A_0) , (A_1) or (A'_1) .

Similarly as in $\mathbb{C}P^n$, we may define the A° and notion of η -parallelism of A° for a real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}H^n$. Corresponding to Theorem B, we obtained the following result for $\mathbb{C}H^n$.

THEOREM 2. Let M be a real hypersurface of CH^n . Assume that A° is η -parallel. Then M is locally congruent to one of type (A_0) , (A_1) , (A'_1) , (A_2) , (B) or a ruled real hypersurface.

Finally the author would like to express his thanks to Professors M. Okumura and M. Kimura for their valuable suggestions.

§1. Preliminaries

We begin with recalling fundamental formulas on real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space CH^n , endowed with the Bergman metric g of constant holomorphic sectional curvature -4, and J the complex structure of CH^n . Now, let M be a real hypersurface of CH^n and let N be a unit normal vector on M. For any X tangent to M, we put

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)N$$

where ϕX and $\eta(X)N$ are, respectively, the tangent and normal components of JX. Then ϕ is a (1, 1)-tensor and η is a 1-form. Moreover, $\eta(X)=g(X, \xi)$ with $\xi=-JN$ and (ϕ, η, ξ, g) determines an almost contact metric structure on M.

Then we have

(1.1)
$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad g(\xi, \xi) = 1, \quad \phi \xi = 0,$$

(1.2)
$$(\nabla_X \phi) Y = \eta(Y) A X - g(A X, Y) \xi ,$$

(1.3)
$$\nabla_{\mathbf{X}}\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{\phi} A X$$

(1.2) and (1.3) follow from $\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)N$ and $\overline{\nabla}_X N = -AX$, where $\overline{\nabla}$ and ∇ are, respectively, the Levi-Civita connections of CH^n and M, and A is the shape operator of M. Let R be the curvature tensor of M. Then the

Gauss and Codazzi equations are the following:

(1.4)
$$R(X, Y)Z = -g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Z)Y - g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X + g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y$$
$$+ 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY ,$$

(1.5)
$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = -\eta(X)\phi Y + \eta(Y)\phi X + 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi .$$

From (1.1), (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5), we get

(1.6)
$$SX = -(2n+1)X + 3\eta(X)\xi + hAX - A^2X,$$

(1.7)
$$(\nabla_{X}S)Y = 3\{g(\phi AX, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi AX\} + (X \cdot h)AY + (hI - A)(\nabla_{X}A)Y - (\nabla_{X}A)AY,$$

where h=trace A, S is the Ricci tensor of type (1.1) on M and I is the identity map, respectively.

We here recall the notion of an η -parallel Ricci tensor S of M, which is defined by $g((\nabla_X S)Y, Z)=0$ for any X, Y and Z orthogonal to ξ . Also, we consider similarly the η -parallel shape operator A of M in CH^n , which is defined by $g((\nabla_Y A)Y, Z)=0$ for any X, Y and Z orthogonal to ξ . Now we state the following theorems without proof for later use.

THEOREM D([15]). Let M be a real hypersurface of CH^n . Then the Ricci tensor of M is η -parallel and ξ is principal if and only if M is locally congruent to one of homogeneous real hypersurfaces of type (A_0) , (A_1) , (A'_1) , (A) and (B).

THEOREM E([15]). Let M be a real hypersurface of CH^n . Then the shape operator A of M in CH^n is η -parallel and ξ is principal if and only if M is locally congruent to one of homogeneous real hypersurfaces of type (A₀), (A₁), (A'₁), (A₂) and (B).

It is easily seen that if the shape operator is η -parallel, then so is the Ricci tensor, under the condition such that ξ is principal.

THEOREM F([3]). Let M be a real hypersurface of CH^n . Then the following are equivalent: (i) M is locally congruent to one of homogeneous real hypersurfaces of type (A_0) , (A_1) , (A'_1) and (A_2) .

(ii) $(\nabla_X A)Y = \eta(Y)\phi X + g(\phi X, Y)\xi$ for any $X, Y \in TM$.

PROPOSITION A([17]). Assume that ξ is a principal curvature vector and the corresponding principal curvature is α . If AX=rX for $X \perp \xi$, then we have $A\phi X=(\alpha r-2)/(2r-\alpha)\phi X$.

§ 2. Characterizations of real hypersurfaces of CH^n in terms of Ricci tensor.

We have the following

PROPOSITION 1. Let M be a real hypersurface of CH^n $(n \ge 3)$. If the Ricci tensor S of M satisfies for some λ

(2.1)
$$(\nabla_X S)Y = \lambda \{g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi X\} \quad for any X, Y \in TM,$$

then λ is constant and ξ is a principal vector.

PROOF. Suppose that the condition (2.1) holds. First of all we shall show that grad $\lambda = 3\lambda\phi A\xi$. Erom (2.1), (1.2) and (1.3), we have

$$(2.2) \quad (\nabla_{W}(\nabla_{X}S))Y - (\nabla_{\nabla_{W}X}S)Y \\ = (W \cdot \lambda) \{g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi X\} + \lambda \{\eta(X)g(AW, Y)\xi - 2\eta(Y)g(AW, X)\xi \\ + g(\phi X, Y)\phi AW + g(\phi AW, Y)\phi X + \eta(X)\eta(Y)AW\},$$

from which we get

$$(2.3) \quad (\nabla_{X}(\nabla_{W}S))Y - (\nabla_{\nabla_{X}W}S)Y \\ = (X \cdot \lambda) \{g(\phi W, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi W\} + \lambda \{\eta(W)g(AX, Y)\xi - 2\eta(Y)g(AX''W)\xi \\ + g(\phi W, Y)\phi AX + g(\phi AX, Y)\phi W + \eta(W)\eta(Y)AX\}.$$

It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$(2.4) \qquad (R(W, X)S)Y$$

$$= (W \cdot \lambda) \{g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi X\} - (X \cdot \lambda) \{g(\phi W, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi W\}$$

$$+ \lambda \{\eta(X)g(AW, Y)\xi - \eta(W)g(AX, Y)\xi + g(\phi X, Y)\phi AW - g(\phi W, Y)\phi AX$$

$$+ g(\phi AW, Y)\phi X - g(\phi AX, Y)\phi W + \eta(Y)(\eta(X)AW - \eta(W)AX)\},$$

where R is the curvature tensor of M.

Let $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n-1}$ be local fields of orthonormal vectors on M. From (2.4) and (1.1), we find

$$(2.5) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} g((R(e_i, X)S)Y, e_i)$$
$$= (e_i \cdot \lambda) \{g(\phi X, Y)g(\xi, e_i) + \eta(Y)g(\phi X, e_i)\} + \lambda \{\eta(X)g(AY, \xi) - g(AX, Y) + g(\phi Y, \phi AX) - g(A\phi Y, \phi X) - \eta(Y)g(AX, \xi) + (\operatorname{trace} A)\eta(X)\eta(Y)\}.$$

Exchanging X and Y in (2.5), we see

Tadashi TANIGUCHI

(2.6)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} g((R(e_i, Y)S)X, e_i)$$

$$= (e_i \cdot \lambda) \{g(\phi Y, X)g(\xi, e_i) + \eta(X)g(\phi Y, e_i)\} + \lambda \{\eta(Y)g(AX, \xi) - g(AY, X)\}$$

+
$$g(\phi X, \phi AY) - g(A\phi X, \phi Y) - \eta(X)g(AY, \xi)$$
+(trace $A)\eta(X)\eta(Y)$ }.

Here we see that

(the left hand side of (2.5))=
$$\sum g(R(e_i, X)(SY), e_i) - \sum g(R(e_i, X)Y, Se_i)$$

= $g(SX, SY) - \sum g(R(e_i, X)Y, Se_i)$

and

$$-\sum g(R(e_i, X)Y, Se_i) = \sum g(R(X, Y)e_i, Se_i) + \sum g(R(Y, e_i)X, Se_i)$$
$$= \operatorname{trace} (S \cdot R(X, Y)) - \sum g(R(e_i, Y)X, Se_i)$$
$$= -\sum g(R(e_i, Y)X, Se_i)$$

that is, the left hand side of (2.5) is symmetric with respect to X, Y. And hence equations (2.5) and (2.6) yield

$$(2.7) \quad 0 = 2(\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda})g(\boldsymbol{\phi}X, Y) + (\boldsymbol{\phi}X \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda})\eta(Y) - (\boldsymbol{\phi}Y \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda})\eta(X) + 3\boldsymbol{\lambda}\{\eta(X)\eta(AY) - \eta(Y)\eta(AX)\}.$$

Putting $Y = \phi X$ in (2.7), we get

$$0=2(\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda})g(\boldsymbol{\phi}X,\boldsymbol{\phi}X)-\{-X\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\eta}(X)\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda}\}\boldsymbol{\eta}(X)+3\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\eta}(X)\boldsymbol{\eta}(A\boldsymbol{\phi}X).$$

Contracting with respect to X in the above equations, we have

$$4(n-1)(\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda})=0$$

thus

$$\xi \cdot \lambda = 0$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (2.7), we have

$$\phi X \cdot \lambda + 3\lambda \{\eta(X)\eta(A\xi) - \eta(AX)\} = 0.$$

Putting $X = \phi X$ in above equation, we have

 $X \cdot \lambda = 3\lambda g(\phi A \xi, X)$,

that is,

(2.8)
$$\operatorname{grad} \lambda = 3\lambda \phi A \xi$$
.

Using (2.8), we can write (2.4) in the following.

$$(2.9) \qquad (R(W, X)S)Y = 3\lambda \{g(\phi A\xi, W)(g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi X) - g(\phi A\xi, X)(g(\phi W, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi W)\} + \lambda \{\eta(X)g(AW, Y)\xi - \eta(W)g(AX, Y)\xi + g(\phi X, Y)\phi AW - g(\phi W, Y)\phi AX + g(\phi AW, Y)\phi X - g(\phi AX, Y)\phi W + \eta(X)\eta(Y)AW - \eta(W)\eta(Y)AX\}.$$

From (2.9),

(2.10)
$$\sum g((R(e_i, X)S)\xi, \phi e_i) = 3(2n-3)\lambda g(\phi A\xi, X),$$

(2.11)
$$\sum g((R(e_i, \phi e_i)S)\xi, X) = -6\lambda g(\phi A\xi, X).$$

On the other hand by Gauss equation (1.4), the left hand side of (2.10) is

(2.12)
$$\sum g((R(e_i, X)S)\xi, \phi e_i) = 2ng(\phi S\xi, X) - g(A\phi AS\xi, X) + g(AS\phi A\xi, X).$$

Similarly using Gauss equation (1.4), we see that the left hand side of (2.11) is

(2.13)
$$\sum g((R(e_i, \phi e_i)S)\xi, X) = 4ng(\phi S\xi, X) - 2g(A\phi AS\xi, X) + 2g(SA\phi A\xi, X)$$

From (2.10) and (2.12), we have

$$(2.14) \qquad -3(2n-3)\lambda\phi A\xi = 2n\phi S\xi - A\phi AS\xi + AS\phi A\xi$$

From (2.11) and (2.13), we have

$$(2.15) \qquad -3\lambda\phi A\xi = 2n\phi S\xi - A\phi AS\xi + SA\phi A\xi$$

From (2.14) and (2.15), we have

(2.16)
$$6\lambda(2-n)\phi A\xi = AS\phi A\xi - SA\phi A\xi.$$

On the other hand, from (1.6), we have $SX = -(2n+1)X + 3\eta(X)\xi + hAX - A^2X$ and $ASX - SAX = 3\eta(X)A\xi - 3\eta(AX)\xi$. Hence $AS(\phi A\xi) - SA(\phi A\xi) = 0$, which, together with (2.16), implies that $(2-n)\lambda\phi A\xi = 0$. Therefore if $n \ge 3$ we conclude that $\lambda\phi A\xi = 0$. This, together with (2.8), implies λ is constant. If λ is not non-zero, we have $\phi A\xi = 0$, which is equivalent to that ξ is a principal vector. If $\lambda = 0$, then $\nabla S = 0$, which is impossible by [4]. Q.E.D.

Using Proposition 1, we have the following

PROPOSITION 2. Let M be a real hypersurface of CH^n . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The Ricci tensor S of M satisfies (2.1) $(\nabla_X S)Y = \lambda \{g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi X\}$ for any X, Y $\in TM$, where λ is a function.
- (2) M is locally congruent to one of type the following:
 - (A_0) a horosphere,
 - (A₁) a geodesic hypersphere in CH^n ,
 - (A'₁) a tube over a complex hyperplane CH^{n-1} .

PROOF. From proposition 1, we know that the ξ is a principal vector satisfying (1). Moreover, equation (2.1) shows that the Ricci tensor of our real hypersurfaces M is η -parallel. Therefore Theorem D asserts that M is one of the homogeneous real hypersurfaces of type (A_0) , (A_1) , (A_1) , (A_2) and (B).

Next we shall check (2.1) for real hypersurfaces above one by one.

Let M be of type (A_0) :

Principal curvatures and their multiplicities of type (A_0) are given by the following table.

principal curvatures	1	2
multiplicities	2n - 2	1.

The shape operator A is as

(2.17)
$$AX = X + \eta(X)\xi \quad \text{for } X \in TM.$$

Substituting the condition (ii) in Theorem F and (2.17) into (1.7), we can see that our real hypersurface M satisfies (2.1), that is,

(2.18)
$$(\nabla_X S)Y = 2n \{g(\phi X, Y) + \eta(Y)\phi X\}.$$

Let M be of type (A_1) :

Setting $t = \operatorname{coth}(\theta)$. Then principal curvatures and their multiplicities of type (A₁) are given by the following table.

principal curvatures	t	t + (1/t)
multiplicities	2n - 2	1.

The shape operator A is as

(2.19)
$$AX = tX + (1/t)\eta(X)\xi \quad \text{for } X \in TM.$$

Substituting the condition (ii) in Theorem F and (2.19) into (1.7), we can see that our real hypersurface M satisfies (2.1), that is,

(2.20)
$$(\nabla_X S)Y = 2nt \{g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi X\}.$$

Let M be of type (A'_1) :

Setting $t=\tanh(\theta)$. Then principal curvatures and their multiplicities of type (A'_1) are given by the following table.

principal curvatures	t	t + (1/t)
multiplicities	2n - 3	1.

By a similar computation we can see that our real hypersurface M satisfies (2.1), that is,

(2.21)
$$(\nabla_X S)Y = 2nt \{g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi X\}.$$

Let M be of type (A_2) :

Setting $t = \tanh(\theta)$. Then principal curvatures and their multiplicities of type (A₂) are given by the following table.

principal curvatures t (1/t) t+(1/t)

multiplicities $2k \quad 2(n-k-1) \quad 1$.

Now, we put k=p, n-k-1=q so, p+q=n-1.

Let X be a principal curvature vector orthogonal to ξ with principal curvature t. Note that $A\phi X = t\phi X$ (cf, proposition A). Substituting the condition (ii) in Theorem F into (1.7), we find

(2.22)
$$(\nabla_X S)\phi X = \{(2p+2)t + 2q(1/t)\}\xi.$$

On the other hand, let X be a principal curvature vector orthogonal to ξ with principal curvature (1/t). By similar computations we see

(2.23)
$$(\nabla_X S)\phi X = \{2pt + (2q+2)(1/t)\}\xi$$

From (2.22) and (2.20), we conclude that our manifold does not satisfy (2.1). Let M be of type (B):

Setting $t = \cos^2(2\theta)$. Then principal curvatures and their multiplicities of type (B) are given by the following table.

principal curvature
$$(\sqrt{t}-1)/(\sqrt{t-1})$$
 $(\sqrt{t}+1)/(\sqrt{t-1})$ $2\sqrt{t-1}/\sqrt{t}$
multipricities $n-1$ $n-1$ 1.

We put $(\sqrt{t}-1)/(\sqrt{t-1})=r_1$, $(\sqrt{t}+1)/(\sqrt{t-1})=r_2$, $2\sqrt{t-1}/\sqrt{t}=\alpha$.

From proposition A if X be a principal curvature vector orthogonal to ξ with principal curvature r_1 , then $A\phi X = r_2\phi X$. With respect to such X, the next formula (cf. [6])

(2.24)
$$(\nabla_X A)\phi X = (\alpha - r_2)r_1\xi$$

being satisfied, we see

$$(2.25) \qquad (\nabla_X A)A\phi X = (\alpha - r_2)r_1r_2\xi .$$

With respect to this X, substituting (2.24) and (2.25) into (1.7), we find

(2.26)
$$(\nabla_X S)\phi X = (3 + h \cdot \alpha - h \cdot r_2 - \alpha^2 + r_2^2)r_1\xi.$$

On the other hand, if X be a corresponding principal curvature vector to principal curvature r_2 , then from proposition A $A\phi X = r_1\phi X$. With respect to this X, the next formula (cf. [6])

(2.27)
$$(\nabla_X A)\phi X = (\alpha - r_1)r_2\xi$$

being satisfied, we see

(2.28)
$$(\nabla_X A) A \phi X = (\alpha - r_1) r_1 r_2 \xi .$$

With respect to this X, substituting (2.27) and (2.28) into (1.7), we find

(2.29)
$$(\nabla_X S)\phi X = (3+h\cdot\alpha - h\cdot r_1 - \alpha^2 + r_1^2)r_2\xi$$

From (2.26) and (2.29) we conclude that our manifold does not satisfy (2.1). Q. E. D.

Motivated by Proposition 2, we prove the following.

THEOREM 1. Let M be a real hypersurface in CH^n . Then the following inequality hold.

$$(2.30) \qquad \|\nabla S\|^{2} \ge 1/(n-1) \{2n(h-\eta(A\xi)) - (\phi A\xi) \cdot h - \operatorname{trace}((\nabla_{\xi} A)A\phi)\}^{2}$$

where S is the Ricci tensor of M and h=trace A. Moreover, the equality of (2.30) holds if and only if M is locally congruent to one of type (A_0) , (A'_1) or (A_1) .

PROOF. We define a tensor T on M by the following:

 $T(X, Y) = (\nabla_X S)Y - \lambda \{g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi X\}.$

Let $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n-1}$ be local fields of orthonormal vector on M. Now we calculate the length of T. From (1.1) we have

$$(2.31) ||T||^2 = ||\nabla S||^2 - 4\lambda \sum g((\nabla_{e_i} S)\xi, \phi e_i) + 4(n-1)\lambda^2 \ge 0.$$

Regarding (2.31) as quadratic inequality with respect to λ , we calculate the discriminant of the quadric equation and we have

(2.32)
$$1/(n-1)(\sum g((\nabla_{e_i}S)\xi, \phi e_i))^2 \leq \|\nabla S\|^2$$
.

It follows from (1.1), (1.5) and (1.7) that

$$\begin{split} & \sum g((\nabla_{e_i}S)\xi, \phi e_i) \\ &= 3g(\phi A e_i, \phi e_i) - g(\operatorname{grad} h, \phi A \xi) + h \cdot g((\nabla_{e_i}A)\xi, \phi e_i) \\ &- g(A(\nabla_{e_i}A)\xi, \phi e_i) - g((\nabla_{e_i}A)A\xi, \phi e_i) \\ &= 3g(A\phi e_i, \phi e_i) - g(\operatorname{grad} h, \phi A \xi) + (2n-2) \cdot h - \operatorname{trace} ((\nabla_{\xi}A)A\phi) \\ &- g(A\phi e_i, \phi e_i) - 2\eta(A\xi) + 2g(A\xi, \xi) - (2n-2)\eta(A\xi) \\ &= 2n(h - \eta(A\xi)) - (\phi A\xi) \cdot h - \operatorname{trace} ((\nabla_{\xi}A)A\phi) , \end{split}$$

that is,

(2.33)
$$\sum g((\nabla_{e_i}S)\xi, \phi e_i) = 2n(h - \eta(A\xi)) - (\phi A\xi) \cdot h - \operatorname{trace}((\nabla_{\xi}A)A\phi)$$

479

Q. E. D.

Therefore we substitute (2.33) into (2.32) and get inequality (2.30). And, Proposition 2 shows that the equality of (2.30) holds if and only if M is locally congruent to one of type (A₀), (A₁) or (A'₁). Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 1 ([4]). There are no real hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor of complex hyperbolic space CH^n .

PROOF. From Proposition 2, if M is not type (A_0) , (A_1) or (A'_1) , then $\|\nabla S\|^2 > 0$. Thus it follows $\nabla S \neq 0$. If M is type (A_0) , (A_1) or (A'_1) then, from $\phi A = A\phi$, $\phi \xi = 0$ and $\nabla_{\xi} A = 0$,

 $\|\nabla S\|^2 = 1/(n-1) \{2n(h-\eta(A\xi))\}^2$.

If M be of type (A₀), then

$$\|\nabla S\|^2 = 16n^2(n-1) > 0$$
.

If M be of type (A_1) , then

 $\|\nabla S\|^2 = 16n^2(n-1) \coth^2(\theta) > 0$.

If M be of type (A'_1) , then

 $\|\nabla S\|^2 = 16n^2(n-1) \tanh^2(\theta) > 0$.

Thus, it follows $\nabla S \neq 0$.

§ 3. Characterizations of real hypersurfaces in \mathbb{CH}^n in terms of holomorphic distribution.

Now let M be a real hypersurface of CH^n . Let TCH^n and TM be the tangent bundles of CH^n and M, respectively. Let $T^{\circ}M$ be a subbundle of TM defined by $T^{\circ}M = \{X \in TM | X \perp \xi\}$. Thus each of TM and $T^{\circ}M$ has a connection induced from TCH^n . The orthogonal complement of $T^{\circ}M$ in TCH^n with respect to the metric on TCH^n is denoted by $N^{\circ}M$, which is also a subbundle of TCH^n with the induced metric connection. Denote by ∇° and ∇^{\perp} the connections of $T^{\circ}M$ and $N^{\circ}M$, respectively. We have

 $\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla^\circ_X Y + A^\circ(X, Y)$ for any $X, Y \in T^\circ M$.

Let A be the second fundamental form of $T^{\circ}M$ in TCH^{n} . A is a smooth section of Hom $(TM, \text{Hom }(T^{\circ}M, N^{\circ}M))$. Set $A=A|_{T^{\circ}M}$. The covariant derivative of A is defined by

$$(\nabla_X A)(Y, Z) := \nabla_X^{\perp}(A^{\circ}(Y, Z)) - A^{\circ}(\nabla_X Y, Z) - A^{\circ}(Y, \nabla_X^{\circ} Z)$$

for any $X \in TM$, $Y, Z \in T^{\circ}M$.

Now we prepare without proof the following fundamental relations.

Proposition B ([10]).

- (i) $A^{\circ}(X, Y) = g(AX, Y)N g(\phi AX, Y)\xi$,
- (ii) $\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} \phi = 0$,
- (iii) $\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\xi} = g(AX, \boldsymbol{\xi})N,$
- (iv) $\nabla_X N = -g(AX, \xi)\xi$,

where X, $Y \in T^{\circ}M$.

PROPOSITION C ([10]). For any X, Y, $Z \in T^{\circ}M$,

$$(\nabla_X^\circ A^\circ)(YZ) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)N + \Psi(X, Y, \phi Z)\xi$$
,

where Ψ is the trilinear tensor defined by

$$\Psi(X, Y, Z) = g((\nabla_X A)Y, Z) - \eta(AX)g(\phi AY, Z)$$
$$-\eta(AY)g(\phi AX, Z) - \eta(AZ)g(\phi AX, Y).$$

We show the following fundamental result.

PROPOSITION 3. Let M be a real hypersurface of CH^n . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) The holomolphic distribution $T^{\circ}M = \{X \in TM | X \perp \xi\}$ is integrable,
- (ii) $g((\phi A + A\phi)X, Y) = 0$ for any $X, Y \in T^{\circ}M$.

PROOF. The distribution $T^{\circ}M$ is integrable

$$\longleftrightarrow [X, Y] \in T^{\circ}M \quad \text{for any } X, Y \in T^{\circ}M$$

$$\longleftrightarrow g([X, Y], \xi) = 0$$

$$\longleftrightarrow g(\nabla_{X}Y - \nabla_{Y}X, \xi) = 0$$

$$\longleftrightarrow g(Y, \phi AX) - g(X, \phi AY) = 0$$

$$\longleftrightarrow g((\phi A + A\phi)X, Y) = 0 \quad \text{for any } X, Y \in T^{\circ}M.$$

Q. E. D.

Recall the definition of η -parallel of A. We say that A° is η -parallel if $\nabla_{X}^{\circ}A^{\circ} \equiv 0$ for any $X \in T^{\circ}M$. Using the notions defined above, we obtained the following result.

THEOREM 2. Let M be a real hypersurface of CH^n . Assume that A° is η -parallel. Then M is locally congruent to ond of type (A_0) , (A_1) , (A'_1) , (A_2) , (B)

or a ruled real hypersurface (that is, a real hypersurface in which $T^{\circ}M$ is integrable and its integral manifold is totally geodesic CH^{n-1} .)

PROOF. By proposition C, A° is η -parallel if and only if $\Psi(X, Y, Z)=0$ for any X, Y, $Z \in T^{\circ}M$, that is,

(3.1)
$$g((\nabla_X A)Y, Z) = \eta(AX)g(\phi AY, Z) + \eta(AY)g(\phi AX, Z) + \eta(AZ)g(\phi AX, Y)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in T^{\circ}M$. Since the Codazzi equation (1.5) tells us that $g((\nabla_X A)Y, Z)$ is symmetric for any $X, Y, Z \in T^{\circ}M$, exchanging X and Y in (3.1), we obtain

(3.2)
$$\eta(AZ)g((A\phi + \phi A)X, Y) = 0 \quad \text{for any } X, Y, Z \in T^{\circ}M.$$

Now we assume that $\eta(AZ)=0$ for any $Z \in T^{\circ}M$, that is, ξ is a principal curvature vector. Then the equation (3.1) shows that $g((\nabla_X A)Y, Z)=0$ for any $X, Y, Z \in T^{\circ}M$, that is, the shape operator A of M is η -parallel. And hence our real hypersurface M is locally congruent to one of type (A_0) , (A_1) , (A'_1) , (A_2) or (B) by Theorem E.

Next, if there exists $Z \in T^{\circ}M$ such that $\eta(AZ) \neq 0$, that is, ξ is not a principal curvature vector. Then the equation (3.2) tells us that the holomorphic distribution $T^{\circ}M$ is integrable (cf., Proposition 3) and the integral manifold M° of $T^{\circ}M$ is a complex hypersurface in CH^{n} . Moreover, the second fundamental form A° of M° is parallel. Therefore we conclude that M° is locally congruent to CH^{n-1} (cf. [9].) Q. E. D.

References

- [1] J. Berndt, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space, J. Reine Angew. Math. 395 (1989), 132-141.
- [2] T.E. Cecil and P.J. Ryan, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269 (1982), 488-499.
- [3] B.Y. Chen, G.D. Ludden and S. Montiel, Real submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold, Algebraic, Groups and Geometries, 1 (1984), 174-216.
- [4] U.H.Ki, Real hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor of a complex space form, Tsukuba J. Math. 13 (1989), 73-81.
- [5] M. Kimura, Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 296 (1986), 137-149.
- [6] M. Kimura and S. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Math. Z. 202 (1989), 299-311.
- [7] M. Kimura and S. Maeda, Characterizations of geodesic hyperspheres in a complex projective space in terms of Ricci tensors, Yokohama Math. J. 40 (1992), 35-43.
- [8] M. Kimura and S. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space III, Hokkaido Math. J. 22 (1993), 63-78.
- [9] M. Kon, On some complex submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds, Ganad. J. Math. 26 (1974), 1442-1449.

Tadashi TANIGUCHI

- [10] S. Maeda and S. Udagawa, Real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space in terms of holomorphic distribution, Tsukuba J. Math. 14-1 (1990), 39-52.
- [11] Y. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 28-3 (1976), 529-540.
- [12] S. Montiel, Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, J. Math. Soc. Japan. 137-3 (1985), 515-535.
- [13] S. Montiel and A. Romero, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, Geometriae Dedicata 20 (1986), 245-261.
- [14] M. Okumura, On some real hypersufaces of a complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212 (1975), 355-364.
- [15] Y.J. Suh, On real hypersurfaces of a complex space form with η-parallel Ricci tensor, Tsukuba J. Math. 14-1 (1990), 27-37.
- [16] R. Takagi, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures I, II, J. Math. Soc. Japan 27 (1975), 43-53, 507-516.
- [17] M.H. Vernon, Contact hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, Tohoku Math. J. 39 (1987), 215-222.

Department of Mathematics Fauculty Science and Technology Keio University 3-14-1 Hiyoshi Kohoku-ku Yokohama 223, Japan