REGULAR GAMMA RINGS

By

Shoji KYUNO, Nobuo NOBUSAWA and Mi-Soo B. SMITH

0. Introduction

Let M and Γ be additive abelian groups. If for all $a,b,c \in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma \in \Gamma$, the conditions

- (1) $a\alpha b \in M, \ \alpha a \beta \in \Gamma,$
- (2) $(a+b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c, \ a(\alpha+\beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b, \ a\alpha(b+c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c,$ $(\alpha+\beta)a\gamma = \alpha a\gamma + \beta a\gamma, \ \alpha(a+b)\beta = \alpha a\beta + \alpha b\beta, \ \alpha a(\beta+\gamma) = \alpha a\beta + \alpha a\gamma,$

(3) $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a(\alpha b\beta)c = a\alpha(b\beta c), \ (\alpha a\beta)b\gamma = \alpha(a\beta b)\gamma = \alpha a(\beta b\gamma),$

are satisfied, then M is called a weak gamma ring in the sense of Nobusawa and denoted by $(\Gamma, M)_{wN}$.

In this note (Γ, M) denotes $(\Gamma, M)_{wN}$, unless otherwise specified.

A gamma ring (Γ, M) is regular if for each $a \in M$ there exists $\delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a\delta a=a$. For a left *R*-module *M*, letting $\Gamma = \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R)$, we have a gamma ring (Γ, M) . A left *R*-module *M* is called regular, if for any element $m \in M$ there exists $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R)$ with (mf)m=m, [8]. Thus, the concept of regular gamma rings is a natural generalization of regular modules.

In this note, we study various properties of regular gamma rings. In 1, we obtain a couple of necessary and sufficient conditions that (Γ, M) is regular, and then characterize a commutative regular Nobusawa gamma ring as a subdirect sum of gamma fields (Th. 1.7).

In 2, we define a regular ideal and prove a basic theorem: If $J \subseteq K$ are two ideals in M, then, K is regular if and only if J and K/J are both regular (Th. 2.2). If \mathcal{R} is the class of all regular gamma rings, then this theorem shows that \mathcal{R} is a radical class. Next, we introduce the concept of a weakly nilpotent element, and we obtain that a non-zero subdirectly irreducible regular gamma ring with no non-zero weakly nilpotent elements is a division gamma ring (Th. 2.11).

In 3, we obtain relations among the regularities of the operator rings L, R and a gamma ring (Γ, M) as follows: If (Γ, M) has the left and right unities, then the following conditions are equivalent: (1) L is regular; (2) R is regular; (3) M is regular (Th. 3.2). By this theorem, we have that, when Mod- $R \approx$

Received July 31, 1986

Mod-L, R is regular if and only if L is regular (Corollary 3.5). Furthermore, we show that if (Γ, M) is a semi-prime gamma ring with min-r and min-l conditions, every left (right) L-module and every left (right) R-module are regular. In particular, L, M and R are regular (Th. 3.8).

In 4, we consider the regularity of a Morita context (Q, R, S, T, μ, ν) , where μ, ν are surjective. Here, it is not assumed that Q, R have unities nor that S, T are unital. We obtain an extension (Th. 4.1) of Theorem 3.2.

For the definitions of the following basic notions in gamma rings we refer, respectively, to [3] for the right operator ring R, the left operator ring L, a right (left, two-sided) ideal of M, $|a\rangle$, $[N, \Phi]$, where $N \subseteq M$ and $\Phi \subseteq \Gamma$ and to [4] for semiprime ideals, nilpotent elements, the right unity and the left unity.

1. Regular Gamma Rings.

1.1 DEFINITION. A gamma ring (Γ, M) is regular if for each $x \in M$ there exists $\delta \in \Gamma$ such that $x \delta x = x$. We abbreviate this as M is regular, when Γ is understood.

1.2 THEOREM. For a gamma ring (Γ, M) with the left and right unities, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) (Γ, M) is regular.
- (2) Every principal right ideal of M is generated by an idempotent of the left operator ring L.
- (2') Every principal left ideal of M is generated by an idempotent of the right operator ring R.
- (3) Every finitely generated right ideal of M is generated by an idempotent of the left operator ring L.
- (3') Every finitely generated left ideal of M is generated by an idempotent of the right operator ring R.

PROOF. We note that for any $a \in M$ $|a\rangle = a\Gamma M$, since $|a\rangle = Za + a\Gamma M \subseteq a\Gamma M$. (Z is the set of all integers.)

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$: Suppose that for each $a \in M$ there exists $\delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a\delta a = a$. Then $[a, \delta][a, \delta] = [a, \delta]$ and so $[a, \delta]$ is an idempotent in L. Since $a\Gamma M = a\delta a\Gamma M \subseteq a\delta M$, $a\Gamma M = a\delta M$. Thus, $|a\rangle = a\delta M$.

|b-hb>. Again by (2) |b-hb>=sM, where $s^2=s\in L$. Then, hsM=h|b-hb>=0, and it follows that $hs=hs^2=0$. So if g=s-sh, then g is an idempotent and orthogonal to h. Since sg=g and gs=s, we see that gM=sM=|b-hb>. Therefore, |a>+|b>=hM+gM. Since h and g are orthogonal, we have |a>+|b>=(h+g)M.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$: Suppose that for any $x \in M$, $|x\rangle = hM$, where $h^2 = h \in L$. Then, $x = hy = h^2y = h(hy) = hx$, where $y \in M$. On the other hand, $hL = [hM, \Gamma] = [|x\rangle,$ $\Gamma] = [Zx + x\Gamma M, \Gamma] \subseteq [x, \Gamma]$, which implies $h = h^2 = [x, \delta]$, where $\delta \in \Gamma$. Hence $x = hx = [x, \delta]x = x\delta x$. \Box

1.3 DEFINITION. A gamma ring (Γ, M) is right semi-hereditary if every finitely generated right ideal of M is a projective R-module. A right ideal I in M is called *essential* if for every non-zero right ideal A in M, $I \cap A \neq 0$. Let $\varphi(M)$ be the set of all essential right ideals in M, and $Z_r(M) = \{x \in M | x \Gamma I = 0 \text{ for some } I \in \varphi(M)\}$. (Γ, M) is called a *right nonsingular* gamma ring if $Z_r(M) = 0$. Similarly, a left semi-hereditary gamma ring and a left nonsingular gamma ring are defined.

- 1.4 COROLLARY. Let (Γ, M) be a regular gamma ring. Then
 - (1) All one-sided ideals in M are idempotent.
 - (2) All two-sided ideals in M are semi-prime.
 - (3) The Jacobson radical of M is zero.
 - (4) (Γ, M) with the left and right unities is right and left semi-hereditary.
 - (5) (Γ, M) is right and left nonsingular.

PROOF. Let J be a right ideal of M. Since M is regular, for each $x \in J$ $x\gamma x = x$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Consequently, $x = x\gamma x \in J\Gamma J$ and so $J = J\Gamma J$. Thus, we have (1).

Let I be a two-sided ideal of M. If A is a two-sided ideal in M such that $A\Gamma A \subseteq I$, then $A \subseteq I$, because by (1) $A = A\Gamma A$. Hence we have (2).

To show (3), suppose that e is right quasi-regular and $e=e\delta e$. Then, there exists $r \in R$ such that $[\delta, e] \circ r = r + [\delta, e] - [\delta, e]r = 0$. It follows $[\delta, e] = [\delta, e] \circ 0$ = $[\delta, e] \circ ([\delta, e] \circ r) = ([\delta, e] \circ [\delta, e]) \circ r = [\delta, e] \circ r = 0$. Thus, $e=e\delta e=e[\delta, e] =e0=0$. Recall that $J(M) = \{e \in M | \langle e \rangle$ is right quasi-regular}. Since $\langle e \rangle = 0$, e=0 and so J(M) = 0.

Now we prove (4). By Theorem 1.2.(3), every finitely generated right ideal in M may be written as hM, where $h^2=h\in L$. Let $A=\{x\in M|hx=0\}$. Clearly A is a right ideal in M. For any $x\in M$, x=hx+(x-hx), and $M=hM\oplus A$, because if $a\in hM\cap A$ then a=ha=0. Thus, hM is a direct summand of M and so every finitely generated right ideal in M is a projective R-module. Similarly it can be proved that (Γ, M) is left semi-hereditary.

For (5), let J be an essential right ideal in M. Suppose that $a\Gamma J=0$ for some $a \in M$, and that there exists $\delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a\delta a=a$. Then, $a\delta M \cap J=0$, for if $x \in a\delta M \cap J$ then $x=a\delta x=0$. Since J is essential, $a\delta M=0$ and so a=0. Similarly we obtain the same result for left ideals. \Box

Given an ideal I in M, we form a residue class gamma ring $(\Gamma/I^*, M/I)$, where $I^* = \{\gamma \in \Gamma | M\gamma M \subseteq I\}$.

1.5 THEOREM. A gamma ring (Γ, M) is regular if and only if the following (1), (2) and (3) hold.

- (1) M is semi-prime,
- (2) The union of any chain of semi-prime ideals of M is semi-prime,
- (3) M/P are regular for all prime ideals P of M.

PROOF. Let M be regular. Corollary 1.4 (2) shows that all ideals in M are semi-prime, whence (1) and (2) hold. (3) obviously holds, for, $(x+P)(\gamma + P^*)(x+P) = x\gamma x + P = x + P$.

Conversely, assume that (1), (2) and (3) hold. If M is not regular, then there is $a \in M$ such that $a \notin a\Gamma a$. By (2), there is a semi-prime ideal I in Mwhich is maximal among semi-prime ideals such that $a \notin a\Gamma a + I$. Note that {0} is a semi-prime ideal of M such that $a \notin a\Gamma a + \{0\}$. M/I is not regular, because otherwise, for any $x \in M$, $(x+I)(\gamma+I^*)(x+I) = x+I$ would imply $x \in x\Gamma x + I$, a contradiction. Hence, by (3) I is not prime. Thus, there are ideals A and Bwhich properly contain I and $A\Gamma B \subseteq I$. Indeed, since $A \notin I$ and $B \notin I$, $I \subsetneq A + I$ and $I \subsetneq B + I$. If we set A + I = A' and B + I = B', then $A'\Gamma B' = A\Gamma B + I \subseteq I + I = I$ and $I \subsetneq A'$ and $I \subsetneq B'$. Thus, we can take A, B instead of A', B' from the beginning. Now set $P = \{x \in M | x\Gamma B \subseteq I\}$ and $Q = \{x \in M | P\Gamma x \subseteq I\}$. Since I is semiprime, P and Q are semi-prime. For, $K\Gamma K \subseteq P \Rightarrow K\Gamma K\Gamma B \subseteq I \Rightarrow K\Gamma B\Gamma K\Gamma B \subseteq K\Gamma$ $K\Gamma B \subseteq I \Rightarrow K\Gamma B \subseteq I \Rightarrow K \subseteq P$, and $U\Gamma U \subseteq Q \Rightarrow P\Gamma U\Gamma U \subseteq I \Rightarrow P\Gamma U\Gamma P\Gamma U \subseteq P\Gamma U\Gamma U$

Since $(P \cap Q)\Gamma(P \cap Q) \subseteq P\Gamma Q \subseteq I$, we have $P \cap Q \subseteq I$. Clearly, $A \subseteq P$ and $B \subseteq Q$, and hence P and Q properly contain I. By the maximality of I, there exist elements γ , $\omega \in \Gamma$ such that $a - a\gamma a \in P$ and $a - a\omega a \in Q$. Then, $a - a(\gamma + \omega - \gamma a\omega)a$ $= a - a\gamma a - (a - a\gamma a)\omega a \in P$. Also $a - a(\gamma + \omega - \gamma a\omega)a = a - a\omega a - a\gamma(a - a\omega a) \in Q$.

It follows that $a \in a\Gamma a + P \cap Q \subseteq a\Gamma a + I$, which is a contradiction. Hence, M is regular. \Box

1.6 COROLLARY. A gamma ring (Γ, M) is regular if and only if all ideals

of M are idempotent and M/P are regular for all prime ideals P of M.

PROOF. If all ideals of M are idempotent, all ideals of M are semi-prime. \Box

1.7 THEOREM. A commutative regular Nobusawa gamma ring with more than one element is a subdirect sum of gamma fields.

PROOF. A regular gamma ring has no non-zero nilpotent elements. For, suppose $(a\gamma)^n a=0$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then we have $a=(a\delta)^m a=0$ since there exists $\delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a=a\delta a$. A homomorphic image of a regular gamma ring is regular, and so it has no non-zero nilpotent elements. Then, the theorem follows immediately from Theorems 3 and 4 in [5]. \Box

2. Regular Ideals

2.1 DEFINITION. A two-sided ideal J in M is regular if for each $x \in J$ there exists $\gamma \in J^*$ such that $x\gamma x = x$, where $J^* = \{\gamma \in \Gamma | M\gamma M \subseteq J\}$.

2.2 THEOREM. Let $J \subseteq K$ be two-sided ideals in M. Then K is regular if and only if J and K/J are both regular.

PROOF. Let $J^* = \{\gamma \in \Gamma | M\gamma M \subseteq J\}$ and $K^* = \{\gamma \in \Gamma | M\gamma M \subseteq K\}$. Then (J^*, J) , (K^*, K) and $(K^*/J^*, K/J)$ are gamma rings. Suppose that K is regular. For each $k \in K$ there exists $\gamma \in K^*$ such that $k\gamma k = k$. Thus, $(k+J)(\gamma+J^*)(k+J) = k\gamma k + J = k + J$ and so K/J is regular.

Given $x \in J$, we have $x\delta x = x$ for some $\delta \in K^*$, since $J \subseteq K$. Then, $\omega = \delta x\delta \in J^*$, for $M\omega M = M\delta x\delta M \subseteq J\delta M \subseteq J$. Hence, $x\omega x = x\delta x\delta x = x\delta x = x$, and so J is regular.

Conversely, assume that J and K/J are both regular. For a given $a \in K$, $a + J = (a+J)(\gamma+J^*)(a+J) = a\gamma a+J$, where $\gamma \in K^*$ from the regularity of K/J. Hence, $a-a\gamma a \in J$, for some $\gamma \in K^*$. Consequently, $a-a\gamma a = (a-a\gamma a)\omega(a-a\gamma a)$, where $\omega \in J^*$. Then,

 $a = a - a\gamma a + a\gamma a$

 $= (a - a\gamma a)\omega(a - a\gamma a) + a\gamma a$

 $=a(\omega - \gamma a\omega)(a - a\gamma a) + a\gamma a$

 $=a(\omega - \gamma a\omega - \omega a\gamma + \gamma a\omega a\gamma)a + a\gamma a$

 $=a(\omega - \gamma a\omega - \omega a\gamma + \gamma a\omega a\gamma + \gamma)a$

= $a\lambda a$, where $\lambda = \omega - \gamma a\omega - \omega a\gamma + \gamma a\omega a\gamma + \gamma \in K^*$,

because $J^* \subseteq K^*$ and K^* is an ideal in Γ .

Therefore, K is regular. \Box

2.3 REMARK. Let \mathcal{R} be the class of all regular gamma rings. Theorem 2.2 shows that \mathcal{R} is a radical class, since other two conditions: \mathcal{R} is homomorphically

closed and \mathcal{R} has the inductive property are trivially satisfied. (See, for instance, [7]) In fact, a radical N for any gamma ring (Γ, M) may be defined by the conditions in Proposition 2.6.

2.4 PROPOSITION. Any finite subdirect sum of regular Nobusawa gamma rings is regular.

PROOF. It suffices to show that a subdirect sum of two regular Nobusawa gamma rings is regular. Suppose that M has two ideals J and K such that $J \cap K=0$. Then $J^* \cap K^*=0$, where $J^*=\{\gamma \in \Gamma | M\gamma M \subseteq J\}$ and $K^*=\{\gamma \in \Gamma | M\gamma M \subseteq K\}$. For, if $\gamma \in J^*$ $\cap K^*$, then $M\gamma M \subseteq J \cap K=0$ and $\gamma=0$. Let the gamma rings $(\Gamma/J^*, M/J)$ and $(\Gamma/K^*, M/K)$ be both regular. Consider the homomorphism

$$(\varphi, \theta): (J^*, J) \rightarrow (J^* + K^*/K^*, J + K/K)$$

where

 θ is the natural epimorphism: $J \rightarrow J + K/K$, $x\theta = x + K$ and Ker $\theta = J \cap K = 0$, φ is the natural epimorphism: $J^* \rightarrow J^* + K^*/K^*$, $\alpha \varphi = \alpha + K^*$ and Ker $\varphi = J^* \cap K^* = 0$.

Then

 $(x\alpha y)\theta = x\alpha y + K = (x+K)(\alpha + K^*)(y+K) = x\theta\alpha\varphi y\theta$, and $(\alpha x\beta)\varphi = \alpha x\beta + K^* = (\alpha + K^*)(x+K)(\beta + K^*) = \alpha\varphi x\theta\beta\varphi$. Hence, (φ, θ) is an isomorphism from (J^*, J) onto $(J^* + K^*/K^*, J + K/K)$. Since J + K/K is an ideal in M/K, J + K/K is regular. Theorem 2.2 shows J is regular. Hence, J and M/J are regular, and again by Theorem 2.2 M is regular. \Box

2.5 REMARK. A subdirect sum of infinitely many regular Nobusawa gamma rings need not be regular. For example, (Z, Z) is the subdirect sum of infinitely many regular Nobusawa gamma rings (Z/(p), Z/(p)), where p runs through all prime numbers.

- 2.6 PROPOSITION. For a gamma ring(Γ , M), set $N = \{x \in M | \langle x \rangle \text{ is regular} \}$. Then,
 - (1) N is a regular ideal in M,
 - (2) N contains all regular ideals of M,
 - (3) M/N has no non-zero regular ideals.

PROOF. Let $x, y \in N$. Then $\langle y \rangle$ is regular and $\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle / \langle y \rangle$ is regular. Hence by Theorem 2.2 $\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle$ is regular. For any $a \in \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle$, $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle$. Theorem 2.2 shows $\langle a \rangle$ is regular, and so $a \in N$. Thus, $\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle \subseteq N$, whence N is an ideal in M. For any $x \in N$, since $\langle x \rangle$ is regular, there exists $\delta \in \langle x \rangle^*$, where $\langle x \rangle^* = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma | M \gamma M \subseteq \langle x \rangle \}$, such that $x \delta x = x$. Since N is an ideal. $\langle x \rangle \subseteq N$ and then $\langle x \rangle^* \subseteq N^*$. Thus, $\delta \in N^*$ and N is regular. This completes the proof of (1).

To prove (2), let A be any regular ideal in M. For any $a \in A$, $\langle a \rangle \subseteq A$. Thus, by Theorem 2.2, $\langle a \rangle$ is regular and so $a \in N$. Hence $A \subseteq N$.

If A/N is a non-zero regular ideal in M/N, A is regular by Theorem 2.2, and A contains N properly, which contradicts to (2). \Box

2.7 DEFINITION. An element $a \in M$ is said to be a weakly nilpotent element if there exist a non-zero element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and an integer n > 1 such that $(a\gamma)^{n-1}a = 0$.

2.8 PROPOSITION. In a gamma ring (Γ, M) with no non-zero weakly nilpotent elements, every idempotent commutes with every element in M.

PROOF. Let $e\delta e = e$, $\delta \in \Gamma$, and $x \in M$. If e = 0, $e\delta x = 0 = x\delta e$. Suppose $e \neq 0$. Then $\delta \neq 0$. Since $(e\delta x - e\delta x\delta e)\delta(e\delta x - e\delta x\delta e) = (e\delta x\delta e - e\delta x\delta e)([\delta, x] - [\delta, x\delta e]) = 0$ and (Γ, M) has

no non-zero weakly nilpotent elements, $e\delta x - e\delta x\delta e = 0$ or $e\delta x = ex\delta e$. Similarly, $x\delta e = e\delta x\delta e$, and so $e\delta x = x\delta e$. \Box

2.9 PROPOSITION. Let (Γ, M) be a regular gamma ring with no non-zero weakly nilpotent elements. Then

- (1) Every principal one-sided ideal is generated by an idempotent which commutes with any element in M.
- (2) Every one-sided ideal is a two-sided ideal.

PROOF. Let $a = a\delta a$ for some $\delta \in \Gamma$. Then, $|a\rangle = Za + a\Gamma M = a[\delta, Za] + a\Gamma M = a\Gamma M = a\delta a\Gamma M \subseteq a\delta M$, and hence $|a\rangle = a\delta M$. Proposition 2.8 shows that a commutes with any element in M. Thus we have (1).

To prove (2), let A be a right ideal in M. For any $a \in A$, $a\delta M \subseteq A$, where $a\delta a = a$ for some $\delta \in \Gamma$. By Proposition 2.8 $a\delta M = M\delta a$. Since $M\delta a = M\Gamma a$, $M\Gamma a \subseteq A$, and so A is a left ideal. \Box

2.10 DEFINITION. A gamma ring (Γ, M) is said to be a division gamma ring if (Γ, M) has the strong left unity $[e, \delta]$ and the strong right unity $[\delta, e]$, and if for each non-zero element $a \in M$ there exists $b \in M$ such that $a\delta b = b\delta a = e$. A gamma ring (Γ, M) is said to be subdirectly irreducible if the intersection of all non-zero ideals of M is not zero.

2.11 THEOREM. A non-zero subdirectly irreducible regular gamma ring with no non-zero weakly nilpotent **ele**ments is a division gamma ring.

PROOF. Let (Γ, M) be a non-zero subdirectly irreducible regular gamma ring with no non-zero weakly nilpotent elements. For each non-zero element $e \in M$ there exists $\delta \in \Gamma$ such that $e\delta e = e$. Proposition 2.8 shows that for any $x \in M e\delta x = x\delta e$. Let us consider two ideals $e\delta M$ and $A = \{x - e\delta x | x \in M\}$, whose intersection is zero. M is subdirectly irreducible, so $e\delta M = 0$ or A = 0. But $e\delta M \neq 0$, hence A = 0, and thus $e\delta x = x\delta e = x$. This means that $[e, \delta]$ and $[\delta, e]$ are the strong left and right unities, respectively. Let a be a non-zero element of M. Then, there exists $\omega \in \Gamma$ such that $a\omega a = a$. By the observation made above, $a\omega x = x = x\omega a$ for any $x \in M$ and so $a\omega e = e = e\omega a$, whence $(a\delta e)\omega e = e = e\omega(e\delta a)$ or $a\delta(e\omega e) = e = (e\omega e)\delta a$. Therefore, (Γ, M) is a division gamma ring. \Box

3. Relations among the regularities of the operator rings and a gamma ring.

Assuming the existence of the left and right unities in a gamma ring (Γ, M) , we prove that the left (right) operator ring L(R) is regular if and only M is regular. From this, we can conclude that the regularity may be considered one of Morita invariants.

For a ring A we prepare the following:

3.1 PROPOSITION. For a ring A with the unity, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) A is regular.
- (2) Every principal right (left) ideal of A is generated by an idempotent.
- (3) Every finitely generated right (left) ideal of A is generated by an idempotent.

The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.2. \Box

3.2 THEOREM. Suppose (Γ, M) has the left and right unities. Then, following conditions are equivalent:

(1) L is regular. (2) R is regular. (3) M is regular.

PROOF. $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$: Suppose that R is regular and let $M\Gamma m$, where $m \in M$, be a principal left ideal of M. We shall show that there exists $e \in R$ such that $e^2 = e$ and $M\Gamma m = Me$. Let $1_L = \sum [e_i, \delta_i]$, where $e_i \in M$, $\delta_i \in \Gamma$. Then, $\Gamma = \Gamma \sum [e_i, \delta_i] = \sum \Gamma e_i \delta_i \subseteq \sum R \delta_i$. Clearly, $\sum R \delta_i \subseteq \Gamma$. Hence $\Gamma = \sum R \delta_i$. So, $[\Gamma, m] = \sum Rr_i$, where $r_i = [\delta_i, m] \in R$. Since R is regular by Proposition 3.1 $\sum Rr_i = Re$, with $e \in R$, $e^2 = e$. Now, $M\Gamma m = MRe = Me$, as reguired. By Theorem 1.2, M is regular.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$: Suppose that M is regular, and let Rr be a principal left ideal of R. Let $1_R = \sum [\varepsilon_j, f_j]$, where $\varepsilon_j \in \Gamma$ and $f_j \in M$. Then, $M = M 1_R = \sum (M \varepsilon_j) f_j \subseteq$ $\sum L f_j$. Since $\sum L f_j \subseteq M$, we have $M = \sum L f_j$. Then, $Mr = \sum L m_j$, where $m_j = f_j r$ $\in M$. Since M is regular, by Theorem 1.2 $\sum L m_j = Me$, with $e \in R$, $e^2 = e$. Therefore, $Rr = \Gamma Mr = \Gamma Me = Re$. By Proposition 3.1, R is regular.

 $(1) \iff (3)$ is proved analogously. \Box

3.3 COROLLARY. Suppose (Γ, M) has the left and right unities, and R and L are the right and left operator rings, respectively. Then, for any positive integers m, n, R_n is regular if and only if L_m is regular, where R_n and L_m denote the total matrix rings of $n \times n$ matrices over R and of $m \times m$ matrices over L, respectively.

PROOF. Consider the matrix gamma ring $(\Gamma_{n,m}, M_{m,n})$ over (Γ, M) . Then $R_n = [\Gamma_{n,m}, M_{m,n}]$ and $L_m = [M_{m,n}, \Gamma_{n,m}]$ are the right and left operator rings of $(\Gamma_{n,m}, M_{m,n})$, respectively. \Box

3.4 REMARK. In Corollary 3.3, put m=1, then R_n is regular if and only if L is regular. Also we know L is regular if and only if R is regular. Hence, we have R_n is regular if and only if R is regular. Likewise, R_n is regular if and only if $M_{m,n}$ is regular, and R is regular if and only if M is regular. Hence, M is regular if and only if $M_{m,n}$ is regular, and R is regular if and only if M is regular. Hence, M is regular if and only if $M_{m,n}$ is regular.

Now, let R and R' be ordinary rings with the unities. Suppose the categories Mod-R and Mod-R' are equivalent, written Mod- $R \approx \text{Mod-}R'$. Then, there exist bimodules $_{R'}P_R$, $_{R}P'_{R'}$ and a Morita context $(R, R', P, P', \tau, \mu)$ for which τ and μ are surjective, so Morita I holds (see [2, p. 178]). Thus, (P', P) forms a gamma ring having the right operator ring R and the left operator ring R'. Thus, Theorem 3.2 shows the following:

3.5 COROLLARY. If R and R' are rings with the unities and Mod- $R \approx$ Mod-R', then R is regular if and only if R' is regular.

By this corollary, the regularity may be considered as one of Morita invariants.

3.6 DEFINITION. A left R-module M is called regular if, given any element $m \in M$, there exists $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ with (mf)m=m.

Chung and Luh [1] proved the following:

3.7 THEOREM. Let R be a ring with unity. For unital left R-modules, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a seme-simple artinian ring.
- (2) Every R-module is regular.
- (3) Every simple R-module is regular.

Using Theorem 3.7 we have

3.8 THEOREM. Let (Γ, M) be a semi-prime gamma ring with min-r and min-l conditions. Let L and R be the left and right operator rings respectively. Then, every left (right) L-module and every left (right) R-module are regular. In particular, L, M and R are regular.

PROOF. First we note that by Corollaries 3.6 and 3.7 in [4] M has the left unity 1_L and the right unity 1_R . Here, $1_L = \sum_i [e_i, \delta_i]$, where $[e_1, \delta_1], \dots, [e_n, \delta_n]$ are mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents. Similarly for 1_R . Thus,

 $L = \bigoplus_i [e_i, \delta_i] L = \bigoplus_i L[e_i, \delta_i]$, where $[e_i, \delta_i] L$ and $L[e_i, \delta_i]$ are right and left minimal ideals respectively. Hence, L is left and right artinian. So, we have

 $L = \bigoplus_{i,j} [e_i, \delta_i] L[e_j, \delta_j]$, where $[e_i, \delta_i] L[e_j, \delta_j]$ are division rings. Thus, L is a semi-simple artinian ring. By Theorem 3.7, every left (right) L-module is regular. In particular, L is regular as s left (right) L-module. Since L has the unity 1_L , $L = \text{End}(_L L)$ (End (L_L)), and so L is regular as a ring, because for any $h \in L$ there exists $h' \in \text{End}(_L L) = L$ such that hh'h = h. Now by Theorem 3.2 M is regular. Similarly, every left (right) R-module is regular, and in particular R is regular. \Box

4. Regularity of Morita pairs.

Let (Q, R, S, T, μ, ν) be a Morita context, where Q and R are rings, S and T are bimodules such that $S = QS_R$ and T = RTQ, and μ and ν are mappings such that $\mu: S \otimes_R T \rightarrow Q$ and $\nu: T \otimes_Q S \rightarrow R$. For s, $s' \in S$, and t, $t' \in T$, denote

$$st = \mu(s \otimes t) \in \mathbf{Q}, \quad ts = \nu(t \otimes s) \in \mathbf{R}$$

$$sts' = (st)s' \in S, tst' = (ts)t' \in I.$$

Due to the associative laws in a Morita context, the conditions (1), (2) and (3) of **0** are satisfied, and we obtain a gamma ring (T, S).

Conversely, if (Γ, M) is a gamma ring with the left and the right operator rings L and R, we obtain a Morita context $(L, R, M, \Gamma, \mu, \nu)$. However, note that Q and R of a Morita context are not the operator rings of a gamma ring (T, S), because S (or T) is not necessarily a faithful module.

For a Morita context, we let $ST = \{\sum s_i t_i\}$, $TS = \{\sum t_i s_i\}$. For the case Q = STand R = TS we say that Q and R are related through a Morita context, or simply (Q, R) is a Morita pair, [6]. Let (L, R) be a Morita pair, where L = ST and

R=TS. Define $L_0 = \{h \in L | Th=0\}$, $R_0 = \{r \in R | rT=0\}$, and $S_0 = \{s \in S | TsT=0\}$. L_0 and R_0 are ideals of L and of R, respectively, and S_0 is an L-R-submodule of S. It is easy to see that $S_0T \subseteq L_0$ and $TS_0 \subseteq R_0$. When S is a finitely generated left L- module, we simply say that LS is finitely generated. The same convention is used for S_R , $_RT$ and T_L . With the notations above, we have the following theorem:

4.1 THEOREM. Suppose that $_LS$, S_R , $_RT$ and T_L are all finitely generated. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) L/L_0 is a regular ring.
- (2) R/R_0 is a regular ring.
- (3) For any element $s \in S$, there exists an element $t \in T$ such that $sts \equiv s \mod S_0$.

PROOF. The proof consists of the following four steps.

Step 1. Suppose that T_L is finitely generated. Then (1) implies (3).

Proof of Step 1. Suppose that (1) holds. Since T_L is finitely generated, we have $T=\sum t_i L$, $(t_i \in T)$. For any element $s \in S$, $sT=\sum st_i L$. Here $st_i L$ are principal right ideals of L, and since L/L_0 is regular, there exists $e \in L$ such that $e^2 \equiv e \mod L_0$ and $\sum st_i L \equiv eL \mod L_0$. So, $sT \equiv eL \mod L_0$. Then, there exists an element $t_0 \in T$ such that $st_0 \equiv e \mod L_0$. On the other hand, for any $t \in T$, $st \equiv eh$ mod L_0 with some $h \in L$. Therefore, $est \equiv e^2h \equiv eh \equiv st \mod L_0$, $(es-s)t \equiv 0 \mod L_0$, and hence $(st_0s-s)t \in L_0$. This implies that $T(st_0s-s)t=0$ for any t. We have shown that $st_0s-s \in S_0$. So, (3) holds.

Step 2. Suppose that $_{L}S$ is finitely generated. Then, (3) implies (2).

Proof of Step 2. Suppose that (3) holds. Since LS is finitely generated, $S = \sum Lu_i(u_i \in S)$. For any element $r \in R$, $Sr = \sum Lu_ir = \sum Ls_i$, where $s_i = u_ir \in S$. By (3), there exist t_i such that $s_it_is_i \equiv s_i \mod S_0$. Let $e_i = t_is_i \in R$. Then, $e_i^2 = t_is_it_is_i \equiv t_is_i \mod R_0$, as $TS_0 \subseteq R_0$. Hence, $e_i^2 \equiv e_i \mod R_0$. Clearly, $Re_i = Rt_is_i = TSt_is_i \subseteq TLs_i$. On the other hand, $TLs_i \equiv TLs_it_is_i \mod R_0$, and $TLs_it_is_i = TLs_ie_i \subseteq Re_i$. So, $TLs_i \equiv Re_i \mod R_0$. Hence, $Rr \equiv \sum Re_i \mod R_0$. By a well known argument in ring theory, we have that $\sum Re_i \equiv Re \mod R_0$ with $e^2 \equiv e \mod R_0$. Thus, every principal left ideal of R/R_0 is generated by an idempotent and hence R/R_0 is regular. Thus, (3) holds.

Step 3. Suppose that $_{R}T$ is finitely generated. Then, (2) implies (3).

Proof of Step 3. The proof is similar to the proof of the step 1, using R in place of L, and changing the order of multiplication. Namely, let $T = \sum Rt_i$ and $Ts = \sum Rt_is$. We can show that there exists $e \in R$ such that $e^2 \equiv e \mod R_0$ and

 $Ts \equiv Re \mod R_0$. Then, $e \equiv t_0 s \mod R_0$ with some t_0 . We can also show that $t(st_0s-s) \equiv 0 \mod R_0$, and hence $st_0s \equiv s \mod S_0$.

Step 4. Suppose that S_R is finitely generated. Then (3) implies (1). Proof of Step 4. The proof is similar to the proof of Step 2. \Box

4.2 COROLLARY. Suppose that $_LS$ and T_L are finitely generated. Assume, further, that rR=0 implies r=0. Then, R is regular if L is regular.

References

- [1] Chung, L. W. and Luh, J., A characterization of semi-simple artinian rings, Math. Japonica 21 (1976), 227-228.
- [2] Jacobson, N., Basic Algebra II, Freeman, San Francisco, 1980.
- [3] Kyuno, S., On the radicals of Γ -rings, Osaka J. Math., 12 (1975), 639-645.
- [4] -----, A gamma ring with minimum conditions, Tsukuba J. Math., 5 (1981), 47 -65.
- [5] —, Subdirect sums of Nobusawa gamma rings, Math. Japonica 28 (1983), 31 -36.
- [6] Nobusawa, N., On Morita pairs of rings, (to appear in Okayama J. Math.).
- [7] Wiegandt, R., Radical theory of rings, Research report, 1985.
- [8] Zelmanowitz, J., Regular modules, Trans. A.M.S., 163 (1972), 341-355.

Shoji KYUNO Dept. of Mathematics Tohoku Gakuin University Tagajo, Miyagi 985 Japan

Nobuo NOBUSAWA Dept. of Mathematics University of Hawaii Honolulu, Hawaii 96822 U.S.A.

Mi-Soo B. SMITH Chaminade University of Honolulu Honolulu, Hawaii 96816 U.S.A.